

Backward doubly stochastic differential equations and systems of quasilinear SPDEs

Etienne Pardoux^{1,*}, Shige Peng^{2,**}

¹ Laboratoire APT, URA 225, Université de Provence, F-13331 Marseille Cedex 3, France

² Institute of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, People's Republic of China

Received: 30 December 1992 / In revised form: 6 October 1993

Summary. We introduce a new class of backward stochastic differential equations, which allows us to produce a probabilistic representation of certain quasilinear stochastic partial differential equations, thus extending the Feynman-Kac formula for linear SPDE's.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 60H10, 60H15, 60H30

Introduction

A new kind of backward stochastic differential equations (in short BSDE), where the solution is a pair of processes adapted to the past of the driving Brownian motion, has been introduced by the authors in [6]. It was then shown in a series of papers by the second and both authors (see [8, 7, 9, 10]), that this kind of backward SDEs gives a probabilistic representation for the solution of a large class of systems of quasi-linear parabolic PDEs, which generalizes the classical Feynman-Kac formula for linear parabolic PDEs.

On the other hand, the classical Feynman-Kac formula has been generalized by the first author in [4, 5] to provide a probabilistic representation for solutions of linear parabolic stochastic partial differential equations; see also Krylov and Rozovskii [1], Rozovskii [11] and Ocone and Pardoux [3] for further extensions. The aim of this paper is to combine the two above types of results, and relate a new class of backward stochastic differential equations, which we call “doubly stochastic” for reasons which will become clear below, to a class of systems of quasilinear parabolic SPDEs. Hence we shall give a probabilistic representation of solutions of such systems of quasilinear SPDEs, and use it to prove an existence and uniqueness result of such SPDEs.

* The research of this author was partially supported by DRET under contract 901636/A000/DRET/DS/SR

** The research of this author was supported by a grant from the French “Ministère de la Recherche et de la Technologie”, which is gratefully acknowledged

Let us be more specific. Let $\{W_t, t \geq 0\}$ and $\{B_t, t \geq 0\}$ be two mutually independent standard Brownian motions, with values respectively in \mathbb{R}^d and \mathbb{R}^l . For each $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$, let $\{X_s^{t,x}; t \leq s \leq T\}$ be the solution of the SDE:

$$X_s^{t,x} = x + \int_t^s b(X_r^{t,x}) dr + \int_t^s \sigma(X_r^{t,x}) dW_r, \quad t \leq s \leq T.$$

We next want to find a pair of processes $\{(Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x}); t \leq s \leq T\}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times l}$ such that for each $s \in [t, T]$ $(Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x})$ is $\sigma(W_r; t \leq r \leq s) \vee \sigma(B_r - B_s; s \leq r \leq T)$ measurable and

$$\begin{aligned} Y_s^{t,x} &= h(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T f(X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) dr \\ &\quad + \int_s^T g(X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) dB_r - \int_s^T Z_r^{t,x} dW_r, \quad t \leq s \leq T \end{aligned}$$

where the dW integral is a forward Itô integral and the dB integral is a backward Itô integral. We shall show that, under appropriate conditions on f and g , the above “backward doubly stochastic differential equation” has a unique solution.

We finally will show that under rather strong smoothness conditions on b, σ, f and $g, \{Y_t^{t,x}; (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d\}$ is the unique solution of the following system of backward stochastic partial differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &= h(x) + \int_s^T [\mathcal{L}_s u(s, x) + f(x, u(s, x), (\nabla u \sigma)(s, x))] ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^T g(x, u(s, x), (\nabla u \sigma)(s, x)) dB_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \end{aligned}$$

where u takes values in \mathbb{R}^k ,

$$(\mathcal{L} u)_i(t, x) = (Lu_i)(t, x), \quad 1 \leq i \leq k$$

and

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d (\sigma \sigma^*)_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^d b_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

The paper is organised as follows. In Sect. 1, we study existence and uniqueness of the solution to a backward doubly stochastic differential equation, and estimate the moments of the solution. In Sect. 2, we consider both a forward and a backward SDE, as introduced above, and study the regularity of the solution of the latter with respect to x , the initial condition of the former. Finally in Sect. 3 we relate our BSDE to a system of quasilinear stochastic partial differential equations.

Notation. The Euclidean norm of a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ will be denoted by $|x|$, and for a $d \times d$ matrix A , we define $\|A\| = \sqrt{\text{Tr} AA^*}$.

1 Backward doubly stochastic differential equations

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space, and $T > 0$ be fixed throughout this paper. Let $\{W_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ and $\{B_t, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be two mutually independent standard Brownian motion processes, with values respectively in \mathbb{R}^d and in \mathbb{R}^l , defined on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Let \mathcal{N} denote the class of P -null sets of \mathcal{F} . For each $t \in [0, T]$, we define

$$\mathcal{F}_t \triangleq \mathcal{F}_t^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B$$

where for any process $\{\eta_t\}$, $\mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\eta = \sigma\{\eta_r - \eta_s; s \leq r \leq t\} \vee \mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{F}_t^\eta = \mathcal{F}_{0,t}^\eta$.

Note that the collection $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ is neither increasing nor decreasing, and it does not constitute a filtration.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the set of (classes of $dP \times dt$ a.e. equal) n dimensional jointly measurable random processes $\{\varphi_t; t \in [0, T]\}$ which satisfy:

(i)
$$E \int_0^T |\varphi_t|^2 dt < \infty$$

(ii) φ_t is \mathcal{F}_t measurable, for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

We denote similarly by $S^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ the set of continuous n dimensional random processes which satisfy:

(i)
$$E(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\varphi_t|^2) < \infty$$

(ii) φ_t is \mathcal{F}_t measurable, for any $t \in [0, T]$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} f: \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \\ g: \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k \times l} \end{aligned}$$

be jointly measurable and such that for any $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\cdot, y, z) &\in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^k) \\ g(\cdot, y, z) &\in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times l}). \end{aligned}$$

We assume moreover that there exist constants $c > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that for any $(\omega, t) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$, $(y_1, z_1), (y_2, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$,

(H.1)
$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, y_1, z_1) - f(t, y_2, z_2)|^2 &\leq c(|y_1 - y_2|^2 + \|z_1 - z_2\|^2) \\ \|g(t, y_1, z_1) - g(t, y_2, z_2)\|^2 &\leq c|y_1 - y_2|^2 + \alpha \|z_1 - z_2\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Given $\xi \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; \mathbb{R}^k)$, we consider the following backward doubly stochastic differential equation:

(1.1)
$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) dB_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

We note that the integral with respect to $\{B_t\}$ is a “backward Itô integral” and the integral with respect to $\{W_t\}$ is a standard forward Itô integral. These

two types of integrals are particular cases of the Itô-Skorohod integral, see Nualart and Pardoux [2].

The main objective of this section is to prove the:

Theorem 1.1 *Under the above conditions, in particular (H.1), Eq. (1.1) has unique solution*

$$(Y, Z) \in S^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^k) \times M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}).$$

Before we start proving the theorem, let us establish the same result in case f and g do not depend on Y and Z . Given $f \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^k)$ and $g \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times l})$ and ξ as above, consider the SDE:

$$(1.2) \quad Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s) ds + \int_t^T g(s) dB_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Proposition 1.2 *There exists a unique pair*

$$(Y, Z) \in S^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^k) \times M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times d})$$

which solves Eq. (1.2).

Proof. Uniqueness is immediate, since if (\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) is the difference of two solutions,

$$\bar{Y}_t + \int_t^T \bar{Z}_s dW_s = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Hence by orthogonality

$$E(|\bar{Y}_t|^2) + E \int_t^T \text{Tr}[\bar{Z}_s \bar{Z}_s^*] ds = 0,$$

and $\bar{Y}_t \equiv 0$ a.s., $\bar{Z}_t = 0$ dt dP a.e.

We now prove existence. We define the filtration $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ by

$$\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t^W \vee \mathcal{F}_t^B$$

and the \mathcal{G}_t -square integrable martingale

$$M_t = E^{\mathcal{G}_t} \left[\xi + \int_0^T f(s) ds + \int_0^T g(s) dB_s \right], \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

An obvious extension of Itô's martingale representation theorem yields the existence of \mathcal{G}_t -progressively measurable process $\{Z_t\}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$ such that

$$E \int_0^T |Z_t|^2 dt < \infty$$

$$M_t = M_0 + \int_0^t Z_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Hence

$$M_T = M_t + \int_0^T Z_s dW_s.$$

Replacing M_T and M_t by their defining formulas and subtracting $\int_0^t f(s) ds + \int_0^t g(s) dB_s$ from both sides of the equality yields

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s) ds + \int_t^T g(s) dB_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s,$$

where

$$Y_t \triangleq E^{\mathcal{G}_t} \left(\xi + \int_t^T f(s) ds + \int_t^T g(s) dB_s \right).$$

It remains to show that $\{Y_t\}$ and $\{Z_t\}$ are in fact \mathcal{F}_t -adapted. For Y_t , this is obvious since for each t ,

$$Y_t = E(\Theta / \mathcal{F}_t \vee \mathcal{F}_t^B)$$

where Θ is $\mathcal{F}_T^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B$ measurable. Hence \mathcal{F}_t^B is independent of $\mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(\Theta)$, and

$$Y_t = E(\Theta / \mathcal{F}_t).$$

Now

$$\int_t^T Z_s dW_s = \xi + \int_t^T f(s) ds + \int_t^T g(s) dB_s - Y_t,$$

and the right side is $\mathcal{F}_T^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B$ measurable.

Hence, from Itô's martingale representation theorem, $\{Z_s, t < s < T\}$ is $\mathcal{F}_s^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B$ adapted. Consequently Z_s is $\mathcal{F}_s^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B$ measurable, for any $t < s$, so it is $\mathcal{F}_s^W \vee \mathcal{F}_{s,T}^B$ measurable. \square

We shall need the following extension of the well-known Itô formula.

Lemma 1.3 *Let $\alpha \in S^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\beta \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\gamma \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times d})$, $\delta \in M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times d})$ be such that:*

$$\alpha_t = \alpha_0 + \int_0^t \beta_s ds + \int_0^t \gamma_s dB_s + \int_0^t \delta_s dW_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_t|^2 &= |\alpha_0|^2 + 2 \int_0^t (\alpha_s, \beta_s) ds + 2 \int_0^t (\alpha_s, \gamma_s dB_s) \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^t (\alpha_s, \delta_s dW_s) - \int_0^t \|\gamma_s\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\delta_s\|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

$$E |\alpha_t|^2 = E |\alpha_0|^2 + 2E \int_0^t (\alpha_s, \beta_s) ds - E \int_0^t \|\gamma_s\|^2 ds + E \int_0^t \|\delta_s\|^2 ds.$$

More generally, if $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^k)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\alpha_t) &= \phi(\alpha_0) + \int_0^t (\phi'(\alpha_s), \beta_s) ds + \int_0^t (\phi'(\alpha_s), \gamma_s dB_s) + \int_0^t (\phi'(\alpha_s), \delta_s dW_s) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \text{Tr}[\phi''(\alpha_s) \gamma_s \gamma_s^*] ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \text{Tr}[\phi''(\alpha_s) \delta_s \delta_s^*] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first identity is a combination of Itô's forward and backward formulae, applied to the process $\{\alpha_t\}$ and the function $x \rightarrow |x|^2$. We only sketch the proof.

Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_{t_{i+1}}|^2 - |\alpha_{t_i}|^2 &= 2(\alpha_{t_{i+1}} - \alpha_{t_i}, \alpha_{t_i}) + |\alpha_{t_{i+1}} - \alpha_{t_i}|^2 \\ &= 2 \left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \beta_s ds, \alpha_{t_i} \right) + 2 \left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \gamma_s dB_s, \alpha_{t_{i+1}} \right) + 2 \left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \delta_s dW_s, \alpha_{t_i} \right) \\ &\quad - 2 \left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \gamma_s dB_s, \alpha_{t_{i+1}} - \alpha_{t_i} \right) + |\alpha_{t_{i+1}} - \alpha_{t_i}|^2 \\ &= 2 \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (\alpha_{t_i}, \beta_s) ds + 2 \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (\alpha_{t_{i+1}}, \gamma_s dB_s) + 2 \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (\alpha_{t_i}, \delta_s dW_s) \\ &\quad - \left| \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \gamma_s dB_s \right|^2 + \left| \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \delta_s dW_s \right|^2 + \rho_i, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \rho_i \rightarrow 0$ in probability, as $\sup_i t_{i+1} - t_i \rightarrow 0$. The rest of the proof is standard.

The second identity follows from the first, provided the stochastic integrals have zero expectation. This will follow from

$$E \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_t^T (\alpha_s, \gamma_s dB_s) \right| + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t (\alpha_s, \delta_s dW_s) \right| \right) < \infty,$$

which is a consequence of Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality and the assumptions made on α , γ and δ . Indeed, considering e.g. the forward integral, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} E \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t (\alpha_s, \delta_s dW_s) \right| \right) &\leq c E \sqrt{\int_0^T |\alpha_t|^2 \|\delta_t\|^2 dt} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{2} \left(E \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\alpha_t|^2 \right) + E \int_0^T \|\delta_t\|^2 dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

The last identity is proved in a way very similar to the first one. \square

We can now turn to the

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Uniqueness. Let $\{(Y_t^1, Z_t^1)\}$ and $\{(Y_t^2, Z_t^2)\}$ be two solutions. Define

$$\bar{Y}_t = Y_t^1 - Y_t^2, \quad \bar{Z}_t = Z_t^1 - Z_t^2, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Y}_t &= \int_t^T [f(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1) - f(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2)] ds + \int_t^T [g(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1) - g(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2)] dB_s \\ &\quad - \int_t^T \bar{Z}_s dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 1.3 to \bar{Y} yields:

$$\begin{aligned} E(|\bar{Y}_t|^2) + E \int_t^T \|\bar{Z}_s\|^2 ds &= 2E \int_t^T (f(s, Y_s^1, Z_s) - f(s, Y_s^2, Z_s), \bar{Y}_s) ds \\ &\quad + E \int_t^T \|g(s, Y_s^1, Z_s) - g(s, Y_s^2, Z_s)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (H.1) and the inequality $ab \leq \frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)} a^2 + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} b^2$,

$$E(|\bar{Y}_t|^2) + E \int_t^T \|\bar{Z}_s\|^2 ds \leq c(\alpha) E \int_t^T |\bar{Y}_s|^2 ds + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} E \int_t^T \|\bar{Z}_s\|^2 ds + \alpha E \int_t^T \|\bar{Z}_s\|^2 ds,$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$ is the constant appearing in (H.1). Consequently

$$E(|\bar{Y}_t|^2) + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} E \int_t^T \|\bar{Z}_s\|^2 ds \leq c(\alpha) E \int_t^T \|\bar{Y}_s\|^2 ds.$$

From Gronwall's lemma, $E(|\bar{Y}_t|^2) = 0, 0 \leq t \leq T$, and hence $E \int_0^T \|\bar{Z}_t\|^2 ds = 0$.

Existence. We define recursively a sequence $\{(Y_t^i, Z_t^i)\}_{i=0,1,\dots}$ as follows. Let $Y_t^0 \equiv 0, Z_t^0 \equiv 0$. Given $\{(Y_t^i, Z_t^i)\}, \{(Y_t^{i+1}, Z_t^{i+1})\}$ is the unique solution, constructed as in Proposition 1.2, of the following equation:

$$Y_t^{i+1} = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s^i, Z_s^i) ds + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s^i, Z_s^i) dB_s - \int_t^T Z_s^{i+1} dW_s.$$

Let $\bar{Y}_t^{i+1} \triangleq Y_t^{i+1} - Y_t^i, \bar{Z}_t^{i+1} \triangleq Z_t^{i+1} - Z_t^i, 0 \leq t \leq T$. The same computations as in the proof of uniqueness yield:

$$\begin{aligned} E(|\bar{Y}_t^{i+1}|^2) + E \int_t^T \|\bar{Z}_s^{i+1}\|^2 ds &= 2E \int_t^T (f(s, Y_s^i, Z_s^i) - f(s, Y_s^{i-1}, Z_s^{i-1}), \bar{Y}_s^{i+1}) ds \\ &\quad + E \int_t^T \|g(s, Y_s^i, Z_s^i) - g(s, Y_s^{i-1}, Z_s^{i-1})\|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. By integration by parts, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & E(|\bar{Y}_t^{i+1}|^2) e^{\beta t} + \beta E \int_t^T |\bar{Y}_s^{i+1}|^2 e^{\beta s} ds + E \int_t^T \|\bar{Z}_s^{i+1}\|^2 e^{\beta s} ds \\ &= 2E \int_t^T (f(s, Y_s^i, Z_s^i) - f(s, Y_s^{i-1}, Z_s^{i-1}), \bar{Y}_s^{i+1}) e^{\beta s} ds \\ & \quad + E \int_t^T \|g(s, Y_s^i, Z_s^i) - g(s, Y_s^{i-1}, Z_s^{i-1})\|^2 e^{\beta s} ds. \end{aligned}$$

There exists $c, \gamma > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & E(|\bar{Y}_t^{i+1}|^2) e^{\beta s} + (\beta - \gamma) E \int_t^T |\bar{Y}_s^{i+1}|^2 e^{\beta s} ds + E \int_t^T \|\bar{Z}_s^{i+1}\|^2 e^{\beta s} ds \\ & \leq E \int_t^T \left(c |\bar{Y}_s^i|^2 + \frac{1+\alpha}{2} \|\bar{Z}_s^i\|^2 \right) e^{\beta s} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now choose $\beta = \gamma + \frac{2c}{1+\alpha}$, and define $\bar{c} = \frac{2c}{1+\alpha}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & E(|\bar{Y}_t^{i+1}|^2) e^{\beta t} + E \int_t^T (\bar{c} |\bar{Y}_s^{i+1}|^2 + \|\bar{Z}_s^{i+1}\|^2) e^{\beta s} ds \leq \frac{1+\alpha}{2} E \int_t^T (c |\bar{Y}_s^i|^2 \\ & \quad + \|\bar{Z}_s^i\|^2) e^{\beta s} ds. \end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately that

$$E \int_t^T (\bar{c} |\bar{Y}_s^{i+1}|^2 + \|\bar{Z}_s^{i+1}\|^2) e^{\beta s} ds \leq \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{2} \right)^i E \int_t^T (\bar{c} |Y_s^1|^2 + \|Z_s^1\|^2) e^{\beta s} ds$$

and, since $\frac{1+\alpha}{2} < 1$, $\{(Y_t^i, Z_t^i)\}_{i=0,1,2,\dots}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^k) \times M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times d})$. It is then easy to conclude that $\{Y_t^i\}_{i=0,1,2,\dots}$ is also Cauchy in $S^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^k)$, and that

$$\{(Y_t, Z_t)\} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \{(Y_t^i, Z_t^i)\}$$

solves Eq. (1.1). \square

We next establish higher order moment estimates for the solution of Eq. (1.1). For that sake, we need an additional assumption on g .

(H.2) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{There exists } c \text{ such that for all } (t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}, g g^*(t, y, z) \\ \leq z z^* + c(\|g(t, 0, 0)\|^2 + |y|^2) I. \end{array} \right.$

Theorem 1.4 Assume, in addition to the conditions of Theorem 1.1, that (H.2) holds and for some $p > 2$, $\xi \in L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; \mathbb{R}^k)$ and

$$E \int_0^T (|f(t, 0, 0)|^p + \|g(t, 0, 0)\|^p) dt < \infty.$$

Then

$$E \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t|^p + \left(\int_0^T \|Z_t\|^2 dt \right)^{p/2} \right) < \infty.$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 1.3 with $\varphi(x) = |x|^p$, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} & |Y_t|^p + \frac{p}{2} \int_t^T |Y_s|^{p-2} \|Z_s\|^2 ds + \frac{p}{2} (p-2) \int_t^T |Y_s|^{p-4} (Z_s Z_s^* Y_s, Y_s) ds \\ &= |\xi|^p + p \int_t^T |Y_s|^{p-2} (f(s, Y_s, Z_s), Y_s) ds + p \int_t^T |Y_s|^{p-2} (Y_s, g(s, Y_s, Z_s) dB_s) \\ &+ \frac{p}{2} \int_t^T |Y_s|^{p-2} \|g(s, Y_s, Z_s)\|^2 ds \\ &+ \frac{p}{2} (p-2) \int_t^T |Y_s|^{p-4} (g g^*(s, Y_s, Z_s) Y_s, Y_s) ds - p \int_t^T |Y_s|^{p-2} (Y_s, Z_s dW_s). \end{aligned}$$

Also we do not know a priori that the above stochastic integrals have zero expectation, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 in Pardoux and Peng [7], we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & E(|Y_t|^p) + \frac{p}{2} E \int_t^T |Y_s|^{p-2} \|Z_s\|^2 ds + \frac{p}{2} (p-2) E \int_t^T |Y_s|^{p-4} (Z_s Z_s^* Y_s, Y_s) ds \\ &\leq E(|\xi|^p) + p E \int_t^T |Y_s|^{p-2} (f(s, Y_s, Z_s), Y_s) ds + \frac{p}{2} E \int_t^T |Y_s|^{p-2} \|g(s, Y_s, Z_s)\|^2 ds \\ &+ \frac{p}{2} (p-2) E \int_t^T |Y_s|^{p-4} (g g^*(s, Y_s, Z_s) Y_s, Y_s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we can conclude from (H.1) that for any $\alpha < \alpha' < 1$, there exists $c(\alpha')$ such that

$$\|g(t, y, z)\|^2 \leq c(\alpha')(|y|^2 + \|g(t, 0, 0)\|^2) + \alpha' \|z\|^2.$$

From the last two inequalities, (H.1) and (H.2), and using Hölder's and Young's inequalities, we deduce that there exists $\theta > 0$ and c such that for $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E(|Y_t|^p) + \theta E \int_t^T |Y_s|^{p-2} \|Z_s\|^2 ds \\ &\leq E(|\xi|^p) + c E \int_t^T (|Y_t|^p + |f(s, 0, 0)|^p + \|g(s, 0, 0)\|^p) ds. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows, using Gronwall's lemma, that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} E(|Y_t|^p) + E \int_0^T |Y_t|^{p-2} \|Z_t\|^2 dt < \infty.$$

Applying the same inequalities we have already used to the first identity of this proof, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_t|^p &\leq |\xi|^p + c \int_t^T (|Y_s|^p + |f(s, 0, 0)|^p + \|g(s, 0, 0)\|^p) ds \\ &\quad + p \int_t^T |Y_s|^{p-2} (Y_s, g(s, Y_s, Z_s) dB_s) - p \int_t^T |Y_s|^{p-2} (Y_s, Z_s dW_s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t|^p) &\leq E(|\xi|^p) + c E \int_0^T (|Y_t|^p + |f(t, 0, 0)|^p + \|g(t, 0, 0)\|^p) dt \\ &\quad + c E \sqrt{\int_0^T |Y_t|^{2p-4} (g g^*(t, Y_t, Z_t) Y_t, Y_t) dt} \\ &\quad + c E \sqrt{\int_0^T |Y_t|^{2p-4} (Z_t Z_t^* Y_t, Y_t) dt}. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the last term as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E \sqrt{\int_0^T |Y_t|^{2p-4} (Z_t Z_t^* Y_t, Y_t) dt} &\leq E \left(Y_t^{p/2} \sqrt{\int_0^T |Y_t|^{p-2} \|Z_t\|^2 dt} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} E(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t|^p) + \frac{1}{4} E \int_0^T |Y_t|^{p-2} \|Z_t\|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

The next to last term of the above inequality can be treated analogously, and we deduce that

$$E(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t|^p) < \infty.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \|Z_t\|^2 dt &= |\xi|^2 - |Y_0|^2 + 2 \int_0^T (f(t, Y_t, Z_t), Y_t) dt + 2 \int_0^T (Y_t, g(t, Y_t, Z_t) dB_t) \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \|g(t, Y_t, Z_t)\|^2 dt - 2 \int_0^T (Y_t, Z_t dW_t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\int_0^T \|Z_t\|^2 dt \right)^{p/2} &\leq (1 + \delta) \left(\int_0^T \|g(t, Y_t, Z_t)\|^2 dt \right)^{p/2} \\
 &\quad + c(\delta, p) \left[|\xi|^p + |Y_0|^p + \left| \int_0^T (f(t, Y_t, Z_t), Y_t) dt \right|^{p/2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left| \int_0^T (Y_t, g(t, Y_t, Z_t)) dB_t \right|^{p/2} + \left| \int_0^T (Y_t, Z_t) dW_t \right|^{p/2} \right] \\
 E \left[\left(\int_0^T \|Z_t\|^2 dt \right)^{p/2} \right] &\leq (1 + \delta)^2 \alpha E \left[\left(\int_0^T \|Z_t\|^2 dt \right)^{p/2} \right] + c'(\delta, p) \\
 &\quad + c(\delta, p) E \left[\left(\int_0^T |Y_t| \|Z_t\| dt \right)^{p/2} \right] + c(\delta, p) E \left[\left(\int_0^T |Y_t|^2 \|Z_t\|^2 dt \right)^{p/4} \right] \\
 &\leq (1 + \delta)^2 \alpha E \left[\left(\int_0^T \|Z_t\|^2 dt \right)^{p/2} \right] + c'(\delta, p) \\
 &\quad + c(\delta, p) E \left\{ \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t|^{p/2} \right) \left[\left(\int_0^T \|Z_t\| dt \right)^{p/2} + \left(\int_0^T \|Z_t\|^2 dt \right)^{p/4} \right] \right\} \\
 &\leq [(1 + \delta)^2 \alpha + (1 + \delta)] E \left[\left(\int_0^T \|Z_t\|^2 dt \right)^{p/2} \right] + c''(\delta, p).
 \end{aligned}$$

The second part of the result now follows, if we choose $\delta > 0$ small enough such that

$$(1 + \delta)^2 \alpha + (1 + \delta) < 1$$

(recall that $\alpha < 1$). \square

2 Regularity of the solution of the BDSDE

Let us first repeat some notations from Pardoux and Peng [7].

$C^k(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^q)$, $C_{l,b}^k(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^q)$, $C_p^k(\mathbb{R}^p; \mathbb{R}^q)$ will denote respectively the set of functions of class C^k from \mathbb{R}^p into \mathbb{R}^q , the set of those functions of class C^k whose partial derivatives of order less than or equal to k are bounded (and hence the function itself grows at most linearly at infinity), and the set of those functions of class C^k which, together with all their partial derivatives of order less than or equal to k , grow at most like a polynomial function of the variable x at infinity.

We are given $b \in C_{l,b}^3(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\sigma \in C_{l,b}^3(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$, and for each $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote by $\{X_s^{t,x}, t \leq s \leq T\}$ the unique strong solution of the following SDE:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_s^{t,x} &= b(X_s^{t,x}) ds + \sigma(X_s^{t,x}) dW_s, \quad t \leq s \leq T \\ X_s^{t,x} &= x. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that the random field $\{X_s^{t,x}; 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ has a version which is a.s. of class C^2 in x , the function and its derivatives being a.s. continuous with respect to (t, s, x) .

Moreover, for each (t, x) ,

$$\sup_{t \leq s \leq T} (|X_s^{t,x}| + |\nabla X_s^{t,x}| + |D^2 X_s^{t,x}|) \in \bigcap_{p \geq 1} L^p(\Omega),$$

where $\nabla X_s^{t,x}$ denotes the matrix of first order derivatives of $X_s^{t,x}$ with respect to x and $D^2 X_s^{t,x}$ the tensor of second order derivatives.

Now the coefficients of the BDSDE will be of the form (with an obvious abuse of notations):

$$f(s, y, z) = f(s, X_s^{t,x}, y, z)$$

$$g(s, y, z) = g(s, X_s^{t,x}, y, z)$$

where

$$f: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$$

$$g: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k \times i}.$$

We assume that for any $s \in [0, T]$, $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (f(s, x, y, z), g(s, x, y, z))$ is of class C^3 , and all derivatives are bounded on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$.

We assume again that (H.1) and (H.2) hold, together with

(H.3)

$$g'_z(t, x, y, z) \theta \theta^* g'_z(t, x, y, z)^* \leq \theta \theta^*, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d, y \in \mathbb{R}^k, z, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}.$$

Let $h \in C_p^3(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^k)$. For any $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $\{(Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x}); t \leq s \leq T\}$ denote the unique solution of the BDSDE:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} Y_s^{t,x} &= h(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T f(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) dr \\ &\quad + \int_s^T g(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) dB_r - \int_s^T Z_r^{t,x} dW_r, \quad t \leq s \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

We shall define $X_s^{t,x}$, $Y_s^{t,x}$ and $Z_s^{t,x}$ for all $(s, t) \in [0, T]^2$ by letting $X_s^{t,x} = X_{s \vee t}^{t,x}$, $Y_s^{t,x} = Y_{s \vee t}^{t,x}$, and $Z_s^{t,x} = 0$ for $s < t$.

Theorem 2.1 $\{Y_s^{t,x}; (s, t) \in [0, T]^2, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ has a version whose trajectories belong to $C^{0,0,2}([0, T]^2 \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Before proceeding to the proof of this theorem, let us state an immediate corollary:

Corollary 2.2 *There exists a continuous version of the random field $\{Y_t^{t,x}; t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ such that for any $t \in [0, T], x \rightarrow Y_t^{t,x}$, is of class C^2 a.s., the derivatives being a.s. continuous in (t, x) .*

Proof of Theorem 2.1 We first note that we can deduce from Theorem 1.4 applied to the present situation that, for each $p \geq 2$, there exist c_p and q such that

$$E \left(\sup_{t \leq s \leq T} |Y_s^{t,x}|^p + \left(\int_t^T \|Z_s^{t,x}\|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \right) \leq c_p (1 + |x|^q).$$

Next for $t \vee t' \leq s \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_s^{t,x} - Y_s^{t',x'} &= \left[\int_0^1 h'(X_r^{t',x'} + \lambda(X_r^{t,x} - X_r^{t',x'})) d\lambda \right] (X_T^{t,x} - X_T^{t',x'}) \\ &+ \int_s^T (\varphi_r(t, x; t', x') [X_r^{t,x} - X_r^{t',x'}] + \psi_r(t, x; t', x') [Y_r^{t,x} - Y_r^{t',x'}] \\ &+ \chi_r(t, x; t', x') [Z_r^{t,x} - Z_r^{t',x'}]) dr + \int_s^T (\bar{\varphi}_r(t, x; t', x') [X_r^{t,x} - X_r^{t',x'}] \\ &+ \bar{\psi}_r(t, x; t', x') [Y_r^{t,x} - Y_r^{t',x'}] + \bar{\chi}_r(t, x; t', x') [Z_r^{t,x} - Z_r^{t',x'}]) dB_r \\ &- \int_s^T (Z_r^{t,x} - Z_r^{t',x'}) dW_r \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_r(t, x; t', x') &= \int_0^1 f'_x(\Sigma_{r,\lambda}^{t,x;t',x'}) d\lambda \\ \psi_r(t, x; t', x') &= \int_0^1 f'_y(\Sigma_{r,\lambda}^{t,x;t',x'}) d\lambda \\ \chi_r(t, x; t', x') &= \int_0^1 f'_z(\Sigma_{r,\lambda}^{t,x;t',x'}) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

$\bar{\varphi}_r, \bar{\psi}_r$ and $\bar{\chi}_r$ are defined analogously, with f replaced by g , and

$$\Sigma_{r,\lambda}^{t,x;t',x'} = (r, X_r^{t',x'} + \lambda(X_r^{t,x} - X_r^{t',x'}), Y_r^{t',x'} + \lambda(Y_r^{t,x} - Y_r^{t',x'}), Z_r^{t',x'} + \lambda(Z_r^{t,x} - Z_r^{t',x'})).$$

Combining the argument of Theorem 1.4 with the estimate:

$$E \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |X_s^{t,x} - X_s^{t',x'}|^p \right) \leq c_p (1 + |x|^p + |x'|^p) (|x - x'|^p + |t - t'|^{p/2}),$$

we deduce that for all $p \geq 2$, there exists c_p and q such that

$$\begin{aligned} E \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |Y_s^{t,x} - Y_s^{t',x'}|^p + \left(\int_t^T \|Z_s^{t,x} - Z_s^{t',x'}\|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \right) \\ \leq c_p (1 + |x|^q + |x'|^q) (|x - x'|^p + |t - t'|^{p/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that (H.3) is used in the proof; it plays the same role as (H.2) in the proof of Theorem 1.4. Note also that (H.1) implies that $\|\bar{\chi}_r\| \leq \alpha < 1$. We conclude from the last estimate, using Kolmogorov's lemma, that $\{Y_s^{t,x}; s, t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ has an a.s. continuous version.

Next we define

$$\Delta_h^i X_s^{t,x} \triangleq (X_s^{t,x+h_{e_i}} - X_s^{t,x})/h,$$

where $h \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^d . $\Delta_h^i Y_s^{t,x}$ and $\Delta_h^i Z_s^{t,x}$ are defined analogously. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h^i Y_s^{t,x} &= \int_0^t h'(X_T^{t,x} + \lambda h \Delta_h^i X_T^{t,x}) \Delta_h^i X_T^{t,x} d\lambda \\ &+ \int_s^T \int_0^1 [f'_x(\Xi_r^{t,x,h}) \Delta_h^i X_r^{t,x} + f'_y(\Xi_r^{t,x,h}) \Delta_h^i Y_r^{t,x} + f'_z(\Xi_r^{t,x,h}) \Delta_h^i Z_r^{t,x}] d\lambda dr \\ &+ \int_s^T \int_0^1 [g'_x(\Xi_r^{t,x,h}) \Delta_h^i X_r^{t,x} g'_y(\Xi_r^{t,x,h}) \Delta_h^i Y_r^{t,x} + g'_z(\Xi_r^{t,x,h}) \Delta_h^i Z_r^{t,x}] d\lambda dB_r \\ &- \int_s^T \Delta_h^i Z_r^{t,x} dW_r, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Xi_r^{t,x,h} = (r, X_r^{t,x} + \lambda h \Delta_h^i X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x} + \lambda h \Delta_h^i Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x} + \lambda h \Delta_h^i Z_r^{t,x})$.

We note that for each $p \geq 2$, there exists c_p such that

$$E\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |\Delta_h^i X_s^{t,x}|^p\right) \leq c_p.$$

The same estimates as above yields

$$E\left(\sup_{t \leq s \leq T} |\Delta_h^i Y_s^{t,x}|^p + \left(\int_t^T \|\Delta_h^i Z_s^{t,x}\|^2 ds\right)^{p/2}\right) \leq c_p(1 + |x|^q + |h|^q).$$

Finally, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h^i Y_s^{t,x} - \Delta_{h'}^i Y_s^{t',x'} &= \int_0^1 h'(X_T^{t,x} + \lambda h \Delta_h^i X_T^{t,x}) \Delta_h^i X_T^{t,x} d\lambda \\ &- \int_0^1 h'(X_T^{t',x'} + \lambda h' \Delta_{h'}^i X_T^{t',x'}) \Delta_{h'}^i X_T^{t',x'} d\lambda \\ &+ \int_s^T \int_0^1 [f'_x(\Xi_r^{t,x,h}) \Delta_h^i X_r^{t,x} - f'_x(\Xi_r^{t',x',h'}) \Delta_{h'}^i X_r^{t',x'}] d\lambda dr \\ &+ \int_s^T \int_0^1 [f'_y(\Xi_r^{t,x,h}) \Delta_h^i Y_r^{t,x} - f'_y(\Xi_r^{t',x',h'}) \Delta_{h'}^i Y_r^{t',x'}] d\lambda dr \\ &+ \int_s^T \int_0^1 [f'_z(\Xi_r^{t,x,h}) \Delta_h^i Z_r^{t,x} - f'_z(\Xi_r^{t',x',h'}) \Delta_{h'}^i Z_r^{t',x'}] d\lambda dr \\ &+ \int_s^T \int_0^1 [g'_x(\Xi_r^{t,x,h}) \Delta_h^i X_r^{t,x} - g'_x(\Xi_r^{t',x',h'}) \Delta_{h'}^i X_r^{t',x'}] d\lambda dB_r \\ &+ \int_s^T \int_0^1 [g'_y(\Xi_r^{t,x,h}) \Delta_h^i Y_r^{t,x} - g'_y(\Xi_r^{t',x',h'}) \Delta_{h'}^i Y_r^{t',x'}] d\lambda dB_r \\ &+ \int_s^T \int_0^1 [g'_z(\Xi_r^{t,x,h}) \Delta_h^i Z_r^{t,x} - g'_z(\Xi_r^{t',x',h'}) \Delta_{h'}^i Z_r^{t',x'}] d\lambda dB_r \\ &- \int_s^T [\Delta_h^i Z_r^{t,x} - \Delta_{h'}^i Z_r^{t',x'}] dW_r. \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$E\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |\Delta_h^i X_s^{t,x} - \Delta_{h'}^i X_s^{t',x'}|^p\right) \leq c_p(1 + |x|^p)(|x - x'|^p + |h - h'|^p + |t - t'|^{p/2})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\Xi_{r,\lambda}^{t,x,h} - \Xi_{r,\lambda}^{t',x',h'}| &\leq (|X_r^{t,x} - X_r^{t',x'}| + |X_r^{t,x+h_{e_i}} - X_r^{t',x'+h'_{e_i}}| \\ &\quad + |Y_r^{t,x} - Y_r^{t',x'}| + |Y_r^{t,x+h_{e_i}} - Y_r^{t',x'+h'_{e_i}}| \\ &\quad + \|Z_r^{t,x} - Z_r^{t',x'}\| + \|Z_r^{t,x+h_{e_i}} - Z_r^{t',x'+h'_{e_i}}\|). \end{aligned}$$

Using similar arguments as those in Theorem 1.4, combined with those of Theorem 2.9 in Pardoux and Peng [7], we show that

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |\Delta_h^i Y_s^{t,x} - \Delta_{h'}^i Y_s^{t',x'}|^p + \left(\int_{t \wedge t'}^T \|\Delta_h^i Z_s^{t,x} - \Delta_{h'}^i Z_s^{t',x'}\|^2 ds\right)^{p/2}\right) \\ \leq c_p(1 + |x|^q + |x'|^q + |h|^q + |h'|^q) \times (|x - x'|^p + |h - h'|^p + |t - t'|^{p/2}). \end{aligned}$$

The existence of a continuous derivative of $Y_s^{t,x}$ with respect to x follows easily from the above estimate, as well as the existence of a mean-square derivative of $Z_s^{t,x}$ with respect to x , which is mean square continuous in (s, t, x) . The existence of a continuous second derivative of $Y_s^{t,x}$ with respect to x is proved in a similar fashion. \square

It is easy to deduce, as in Pardoux and Peng [7], that $\left\{ \left(\nabla Y_s^{t,x} = \frac{\partial Y_s^{t,x}}{\partial x}, \nabla Z_s^{t,x} = \frac{\partial Z_s^{t,x}}{\partial x} \right) \right\}$ is the unique solution of the BDSDE:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla Y_s^{t,x} &= h'(X_T^{t,x}) \nabla X_T^{t,x} + \int_s^T [f'_x(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) \nabla X_r^{t,x} \\ &\quad + f'_y(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) \nabla Y_r^{t,x} + f'_z(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) \nabla Z_r^{t,x}] dr \\ &\quad + \int_s^T [g'_x(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) \nabla X_r^{t,x} + g'_y(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) \nabla Y_r^{t,x} \\ &\quad + g'_z(r, X_r^{t,x}, Y_r^{t,x}, Z_r^{t,x}) \nabla Z_r^{t,x}] dB_r - \int_s^T \nabla Z_r^{t,x} dW_r. \end{aligned}$$

We shall need below a formula relating Z with the gradients of Y and X :

Proposition 2.3 *The random field $\{Z_s^{t,x}; 0 \leq t \leq s \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ has an a.s. continuous version which is given by:*

$$Z_s^{t,x} = \nabla Y_s^{t,x} (\nabla X_s^{t,x})^{-1} \sigma(X_s^{t,x})$$

and in particular

$$Z_t^{t,x} = \nabla Y_t^{t,x} \sigma(x).$$

Proof. We only indicate the main ideas, the details being obvious adaptations of those leading to Lemma 2.5 in Pardoux and Peng [7].

For any random variable F of the form $F = f(W(h_1), \dots, W(h_n); B(k_1), \dots, B(k_p))$ with $f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+p})$, $h_1, \dots, h_n \in L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$, $k_1, \dots, k_p \in L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^l)$, where

$$W(h_i) \triangleq \int_0^T (h_i(t), dW_t), \quad B(k_j) \triangleq \int_0^T (k_j(t), dB_t),$$

we let

$$D_t F \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^n f'_i(W(h_1), \dots, W(h_n); B(k_1), \dots, B(k_p)) h_i(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

For such an F , we define its 1, 2-norm as:

$$\|F\|_{1,2} = \left(E \left[F^2 + \int_0^T |D_t F|^2 dt \right] \right)^{1/2}.$$

\mathbf{S} denoting the set of random variables of the above form, we define the Sobolev space:

$$\mathbb{D}^{1,2} \triangleq \bar{\mathbf{S}}^{\|\cdot\|_{1,2}}.$$

The ‘‘derivation operator’’ D_\cdot extends as an operator from $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ into $L^2(\Omega; L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d))$. It turns out that under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, the components of $X_s^{t,x}$, $Y_s^{t,x}$ and $Z_s^{t,x}$ take values in $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$, and the pair $\{(D_\theta Y_s^{t,x}, D_\theta Z_s^{t,x}; t \leq \theta \leq s \leq T)\}$ satisfies for each fixed θ the same equation as $\{(\nabla Y_s^{t,x}, \nabla Z_s^{t,x})\}$, but where $\nabla X_s^{t,x}$ has been replaced by $D_\theta X_s^{t,x}$. Now since for $t \leq \theta < s$,

$$D_\theta X_s^{t,x} = \nabla X_s^{t,x} (\nabla X_\theta^{t,x})^{-1} \sigma(X_\theta^{t,x})$$

and moreover the mapping

$$D_\theta X_s^{t,x} \rightarrow (D_\theta Y_s^{t,x}, D_\theta Z_s^{t,x})$$

is the same linear mapping as

$$\nabla X_s^{t,x} \rightarrow (\nabla Y_s^{t,x}, \nabla Z_s^{t,x}),$$

it follows that

$$D_\theta Y_s^{t,x} = \nabla Y_s^{t,x} (\nabla X_\theta^{t,x})^{-1} \sigma(X_\theta^{t,x}).$$

Now $D_\theta Y_s^{t,x} = 0$ for $\theta > s$, and

$$\begin{aligned} D_\theta Y_\theta^{t,x} &\triangleq \lim_{s \downarrow \theta} D_\theta Y_s^{t,x} \\ &= Z_\theta^{t,x}, \quad \theta \text{ a.e.} \end{aligned}$$

This gives the first part of the proposition. The second part follows. \square

3 BDSDEs and systems of quasilinear SPDEs

We now relate our BDSDE to the following system of quasilinear backward stochastic partial differential equations:

$$(3.1) \quad u(t, x) = h(x) + \int_t^T [\mathcal{L} u(s, x) + f(s, x, u(s, x), (\nabla u \sigma)(s, x))] ds + \int_t^T g(s, x, u(s, x), (\nabla u \sigma)(s, x)) dB_s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T;$$

where $u: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$,

$$\mathcal{L} u = \begin{pmatrix} Lu_1 \\ \vdots \\ Lu_k \end{pmatrix},$$

with $L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d (\sigma \sigma^*)_{ij}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(t, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let f and g satisfy the assumptions of Sect. 1 and h be of class C^2 . Let $\{u(t, x); 0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ be a random field such that $u(t, x)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B$ -measurable for each (t, x) , $u \in C^{0,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^k)$ a.s., and u satisfies Eq. (3.1).*

Then $u(t, x) = Y_t^{t,x}$, where $\{(Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x}); t \leq s \leq T\}_{t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ is the unique solution of the BDSDE (2.2).

Proof. It suffices to show that $\{(u(t, X_s^{t,x}), (\nabla u \sigma)(s, X_s^{t,x}); 0 \leq s \leq t)\}$ solves the BDSDE (2.2).

Let $t = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n = T$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [u(t_i, X_{t_i}^{t,x}) - u(t_{i+1}, X_{t_{i+1}}^{t,x})] \\ &= \sum_i [u(t_i, X_{t_i}^{t,x}) - u(t_i, X_{t_{i+1}}^{t,x})] + \sum_i [u(t_i, X_{t_{i+1}}^{t,x}) - u(t_{i+1}, X_{t_{i+1}}^{t,x})] \\ &= - \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \mathcal{L} u(t_i, X_s^{t,x}) ds - \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (\nabla u \sigma)(t_i, X_s^{t,x}) dW_s \\ & \quad + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} [\mathcal{L} (u(s, X_{t_{i+1}}^{t,x})) + f(s, X_{t_{i+1}}^{t,x}, u(s, X_{t_{i+1}}^{t,x}), (\nabla u \sigma)(s, X_{t_{i+1}}^{t,x}))] ds \\ & \quad + \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} g(s, X_{t_{i+1}}^{t,x}, u(s, X_{t_{i+1}}^{t,x}), (\nabla u \sigma)(s, X_{t_{i+1}}^{t,x})) dB_s, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Itô formula and the equation satisfied by u . It finally suffices to let the mesh size go to zero in order to conclude. \square

We have also a converse to Theorem 3.1:

Theorem 3.2 *Let f, g and h satisfy the assumptions of Sects. 1 and 2. Then $\{u(t, x) \triangleq Y_t^{t,x}; 0 \leq t \leq T, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ is the unique classical solution of the system of backward SPDEs (3.1).*

Proof. We prove that $\{Y_t^{t,x}\}$ is a solution. Uniqueness will then follow from Theorem 3.2. We first note that $Y_{t+h}^{t,x} = Y_{t+h}^{t+h, X_{t+h}^{t,x}}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} u(t+h, x) - u(t, x) &= u(t+h, x) - u(t+h, X_{t+h}^{t,x}) + u(t+h, X_{t+h}^{t,x}) - u(t, x) \\ &= - \int_t^{t+h} \mathcal{L}u(t+h, X_s^{t,x}) ds - \int_t^{t+h} (\nabla u \sigma)(t+h, X_s^{t,x}) dW_s \\ &\quad - \int_t^{t+h} f(s, X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x}) ds - \int_t^{t+h} g(s, X_s^{t,x}, Y_s^{t,x}, Z_s^{t,x}) dB_s \\ &\quad + \int_t^{t+h} Z_s^{t,x} dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

We can then finish the proof exactly as in Theorem 3.2 of Pardoux and Peng [7]. \square

Remark 3.3 Condition (H.1), with $\alpha < 1$, is a very natural condition for (3.1) to be well posed. Indeed, in the case where g is linear with respect to its last argument, and does not depend on y , g is of the form:

$$g(s, x, z) = c(s, x)z$$

i.e. the stochastic integral term in (3.1) reads:

$$\int_t^T c(s, x)(\nabla u \sigma)(s, x) dB_s.$$

Condition (H.1) for g , in this case, reduces to $|c(s, x)| \leq \alpha < 1$. This is a well known condition (see e.g. Pardoux [5]) for the SPDE (3.1) to be a well-posed stochastic parabolic equation. \square

Remark 3.4 Our result generalizes the stochastic Feynman-Kac formula of Pardoux [4] for linear SPDEs. Indeed, if $k=1$, f and g are linear in y and do not depend on z , the BDSDE becomes

$$Y_s^{t,x} = h(X_T^{t,x}) + \int_s^T a(r, X_r^{t,x}) Y_r^{t,x} dr + \int_s^T b(r, X_r^{t,x}) Y_r^{t,x} dB_r - \int_s^T Z_r^{t,x} dW_r$$

and it has an explicit solution given by:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_s^{t,x} &= \exp \left(\int_s^T a(r, X_r^{t,x}) dr + \int_s^T b(r, X_r^{t,x}) dB_r - \frac{1}{2} \int_s^T |b(r, X_r^{t,x})|^2 dr \right) h(X_T^{t,x}) \\ &\quad - \int_s^T \exp \left(\int_s^r a(\theta, X_\theta^{t,x}) d\theta + \int_s^r b(\theta, X_\theta^{t,x}) dB_\theta - \frac{1}{2} \int_s^r |b^2(\theta, X_\theta^{t,x})|^2 d\theta \right) Z_r^{t,x} dW_r \end{aligned}$$

and because $Y_t^{t,x}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B$ measurable,

$$Y_t^{t,x} = E \left[h(X_T^{t,x}) \exp \left(\int_t^T a(r, X_r^{t,x}) dr + \int_t^T b(r, X_r^{t,x}) dB_r - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T |b(r, X_r^{t,x})|^2 dr \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B \right],$$

which is the formula in Pardoux [4] (where only the case $a \equiv 0$ is considered). Note however that in [4] B and W are allowed to be correlated. This does not seem possible here, unless we allow the stochastic integrals in the BDSDE to be of a non adapted nature. \square

References

1. Krylov, N.V., Rozovskii, B.L.: Stochastic evolution equations. *J. Sov. Math.* **16**, 1233–1277 (1981)
2. Nualart, D., Pardoux, E.: Stochastic calculus with anticipating integrands. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **78**, 535–581 (1988)
3. Ocone, D., Pardoux, E.: A stochastic Feynman-Kac formula for anticipating SPDEs, and application to nonlinear smoothing. *Stochastics* **45**, 79–126 (1993)
4. Pardoux, E.: Un résultat sur les équations aux dérivées partielles stochastiques et filtrage des processus de diffusion. *Note C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris Sér. A* **287**, 1065–1068 (1978)
5. Pardoux, E.: Stochastic PDEs, and filtering of diffusion processes. *Stochastics* **3**, 127–167 (1979)
6. Pardoux, E., Peng, S.: Adapted solution of a backward stochastic differential equation. *Syst. Control Lett.* **14**, 55–61 (1990)
7. Pardoux, E., Peng, S.: Backward stochastic differential equations and quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations. In: Rozovskii, B.L., Sowers, R.B. (eds.) *Stochastic partial differential equations and their applications*. (Lect. Notes Control Inf. Sci., vol. 176, pp. 200–217) Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer 1992
8. Peng, S.: Probabilistic interpretation for systems of quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations. *Stochastics* **37**, 61–74 (1991)
9. Peng, S.: A generalized dynamic programming principle and Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation. *Stochastics* **38**, 119–134 (1992)
10. Peng, S.: A non linear Feynman-Kac formula and applications. In: Chen, S.P., Yong, J.M. (eds.) *Proc. of Symposium on system science and control theory*, pp. 173–184. Singapore: World Scientific 1992
11. Rozovskii, B.: *Stochastic evolution systems*. Dordrecht: Reidel 1991