

Nonchalant Procedure

Bartłomiej Pawlik

Silesian University of Technology, Gliwice, Poland

One World Combinatorics on Words Seminar
Day of Short Talks

June 21, 2021

Joint work with Jarosław Grytczuk and Hubert Kordulewski

Let X, Y, Z be words and let $U = XYZ$. Every word X, Y and Z is called a **factor** of a word U .

A word XX is called a **square** for every nonempty word X .

A word U is **square-free** if it does not contain a square as a factor.

repetition

recreation

Let AB be a word and let x be a letter. The word AxB is called an **extension** of the word AB .

For example, all extensions of the word 12 over alphabet $\{1, 2\}$ are

112, 212, 122, 121.

The square-free word is *extremal* if every of its extensions is not square-free.

Extremal words over alphabet $\{1\}$:

1

Extremal words over alphabet $\{1, 2\}$:

121, 212

Extremal words over alphabet $\{1, 2, 3\}$:

1231213231232123121323123, ...

Theorem (Grytczuk, Kordulewski, Niewiadomski, 2019)

There exist infinitely many extremal square-free words over the alphabet $\{1, 2, 3\}$.

Theorem (Mol, Rampersad, 2020)

There exists a square-free extremal word of length n over the alphabet $\{1, 2, 3\}$ if and only if

$$n \in \{25, 41, 48, 50, 63, 71, 72, 77, 79, 81, 83, 84, 85\} \cup \{m : m \geq 87\}.$$

Nonchalant procedure

- The first element of the sequence is the word 1.
- Every following element is the square-free extension of the previous element by inserting at the rightmost possible position the least possible letter.

Originally, the procedure was considered over the alphabet $\{1, 2, 3\}$.

$$1 \rightarrow \underline{12} \rightarrow \underline{121} \rightarrow \underline{1213} \rightarrow \underline{12131} \rightarrow \underline{121312} \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \underline{1213121} \rightarrow \underline{12131231} \rightarrow \underline{121312313} \rightarrow \dots$$

Conjecture (Grytczuk, Kordulewski, Niewiadomski, 2019)

The sequence of the nonchalant words over the alphabet $\{1, 2, 3\}$ is infinite.

Some facts about the nonchalant procedure:

- The procedure does not stop in 10 000 iterations.
- The lengths of the longest suffixes for the single entry: 20 (twice; 4436, 6628), 15 (once; 143).
- The number of internal positions on which the nonchalant words are square-free extendable is "increasing" in such manner that if new maximal value n is obtained, then it never goes below $n - 2$ (1 000 iterations, max = 75).

Some results "inspired" by the nonchalant procedure
(Grytczuk, Kordulewski, P., 2021):

- There exists a square-free word over the alphabet $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ which is extendable neither at the last nor the penultimate position.
- For every pair of natural numbers t and k such that $k \geq 4$ and $1 \leq t < k$ there exists a square-free word W over the alphabet $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, which is not extendable at the first t internal positions.

4: 319

$$k: (k + 1)2^{(k-1)(k-2)} - 1$$

Natural modification of the nonchalant procedure:

- The first element of the sequence is the word 1.
- Every following element is the square-free extension of the previous element by inserting the least possible letter at the rightmost possible position.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\rightarrow \underline{12} \rightarrow \underline{121} \rightarrow \underline{1213} \rightarrow \underline{12131} \rightarrow \underline{121312} \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \underline{1213121} \rightarrow \underline{12131231} \rightarrow \underline{121312313} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \underline{1213123132123121312313212} \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Nonchalant procedure:

1213123132123121312313212 →

→ 12131231321231213123132**3**12

Modified nonchalant procedure:

1213123132123121312313212 →

→ 12131231321231213**2**12313212

A prime number p is an **extremal prime number**, if every nontrivial extension of p is a composite number.

Extremal primes not greater than 10^7 :

369 293, 3 823 867, 5 364 431, 5 409 259,

7 904 521, 8 309 369, 9 387 527, 9 510 341.

For example:

$$83090369 = 113 \cdot 457 \cdot 1609, \quad 82309369 = 2729 \cdot 30161$$

$$18309369 = 3 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \cdot 24709, \quad 83093699 = 13 \cdot 211 \cdot 30293$$

Conjecture

The set of all extremal primes is infinite.

Similar concepts:

- *digitally delicate primes*, e.g. 294 001
infinitely many: Erdős, 1978
positive proportion: Tao, 2011
- *composites that remain composite after inserting a single digit* (r.p. to 10), e.g. 25011
infinitely many: Filaseta, Kozek, Nicol, Selfridge, 2010
- *minimal primes*,
Shallit, 2001, full set:
 $\{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 19, 41, 61, 89, 409, 449, 499, 881, 991, 6469, 6949, 9001, 9049, 9649, 9949, 60649, 666649, 946669, 60000049, 66000049, 66600049\}$

The nonchalant procedure for the primes

- The first element of the sequence is the number 2.
- Every following prime number is the non-trivial extension of the previous prime number by inserting at the rightmost possible position the least possible digit.

2, $\underline{23}$, $\underline{233}$, $\underline{2333}$, $\underline{23333}$, $\underline{233323}$, $\underline{2333231}$, $\underline{23332301}$,
 $\underline{233323001}$, $\underline{2333230019}$, $\underline{23332030019}$, ...

Conjecture (Grytczuk, 2021)

The sequence of the nonchalant primes is infinite.

Conjecture (P., 2021)

The sequence of the nonchalant primes is finite.

base	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
length	36	14	25	114	>1270	36	>500	924

Thank you for your attention.