

## NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

H. BREZIS and T. GALLOUET

Dept. de Mathématiques, Université Paris VI, 4, pl. Jussieu, 75230 Paris Cedex 05, France.

(Received 15 August 1979)

*Key words:* Nonlinear Schrödinger equation, global solutions, Sobolev embedding, interpolation inequality.

LET  $\Omega$  BE a domain in  $R^2$  with compact smooth boundary  $\Gamma$  ( $\Omega$  could be for example a bounded domain or an exterior domain). Consider the equation

$$\left. \begin{aligned} i \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + k|u|^2 u &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega \times [0, \infty) \\ u(x, t) &= 0 && \text{in } \Gamma \times [0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

where  $u(x, t)$  is a complex valued function and  $k \in \mathbf{R}$  is a constant. Problem (1) which occurs in nonlinear optics when  $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^2$  has been extensively studied in this case (see [1-3, 5, 8]), but we are not aware of any known result when  $\Omega \neq \mathbf{R}^2$ .

Our main result is the following:

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Assume that one of the following conditions holds

- (a) either  $k \geq 0$ ,
- (b) or  $k < 0$  and  $|k| \int |u_0(x)|^2 dx < 4$ .

Then there exists a unique solution of (1) such that

$$u \in C([0, \infty); H^2(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)).$$

The proof of Theorem 1 relies on several lemmas. The first lemma is of interest for its own sake; it is a new interpolation-embedding inequality.

In what follows we denote by  $C$  various constants depending only on  $\Omega$ .

**LEMMA 2.** We have

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1 + \sqrt{\log(1 + \|u\|_{H^2})}) \quad (2)$$

for every  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$  with  $\|u\|_{H^1} \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* It is well known that an  $H^2$  function on  $\Omega$  can be extended by an  $H^2$  function on  $R^2$ .

More precisely one can construct an extension operator  $P$  such that:

$P$  is a bounded operator from  $H^1(\Omega)$  into  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$P$  is a bounded operator from  $H^2(\Omega)$  into  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$Pu|_{\Omega} = u$  for every  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ .

Let  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$  with  $\|u\|_{H^1} \leq 1$ . Let  $v = Pu$  and denote by  $\hat{v}$  the Fourier transform of  $v$ . We clearly have

$$\|(1 + |\xi|)\hat{v}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \tag{3}$$

$$\|(1 + |\xi|^2)\hat{v}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C\|u\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \tag{4}$$

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C\|\hat{v}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \tag{5}$$

For  $R > 0$  we write

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{v}\|_{L^1} &= \int_{|\xi| < R} |\hat{v}(\xi)| \, d\xi + \int_{|\xi| \geq R} |\hat{v}(\xi)| \, d\xi \\ &= \int_{|\xi| < R} (1 + |\xi|) |\hat{v}(\xi)| \frac{1}{1 + |\xi|} \, d\xi + \int_{|\xi| \geq R} (1 + |\xi|^2) |\hat{v}(\xi)| \frac{1}{1 + |\xi|^2} \, d\xi \\ &\leq C \left[ \int_{|\xi| < R} \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi|)^2} \, d\xi \right]^{1/2} + C\|u\|_{H^2} \left[ \int_{|\xi| \geq R} \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^2} \, d\xi \right]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz, (3) and (4). A straightforward computation leads to

$$\|\hat{v}\|_{L^1} \leq C[\log(1 + R)]^{1/2} + C\|u\|_{H^2}(1 + R)^{-1}$$

by every  $R \geq 0$ . We obtain (2) by choosing  $R = \|u\|_{H^2}$ .

LEMMA 3. We have

$$\| |u|^2 u \|_{H^2} \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u\|_{H^2} \quad \text{for every } u \in H^2(\Omega). \tag{6}$$

*Proof of Lemma 3.* Let  $D$  denote any first order differential operator. For  $u \in H^2$  we have

$$|D^2(|u|^2 u)| \leq C(|u|^2 |D^2 u| + |u| |Du|^2),$$

and so

$$\| |u|^2 u \|_{H^2} \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u\|_{H^2} + C \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{W^{1,4}}^2. \tag{7}$$

On the other hand an inequality of Gagliardo-Nirenberg (see [6]) implies that

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,4}} \leq C \|u\|_{L^\infty}^{1/2} \|u\|_{H^2}^{3/2}. \tag{8}$$

Combining (7) and (8) we obtain (6).

Finally we recall the following well known result essentially due to Segal [7]:

LEMMA 4. Assume  $H$  is a Hilbert space and  $A: D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$  is an  $m$ -accretive linear operator. Assume  $F$  is a mapping from  $D(A)$  into itself which is Lipschitz on every bounded set of  $D(A)$ .

Then for every  $u_0 \in D(A)$ , there exists a unique solution  $u$  of the equation

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{du}{dt} + Au &= Fu \\ u(0) &= u_0 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

defined for  $t \in [0, T_{\max})$  such that

$$u \in C^1([0, T_{\max}); H) \cap C([0, T_{\max}); D(A))$$

with the additional property that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{either } T_{\max} &= \infty \\ \text{or } T_{\max} < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{t \uparrow T_{\max}} \|u(t)\| + \|Au(t)\| &= \infty. \end{aligned} \right\}$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We apply Lemma 4 in  $H = L^2(\Omega)$  to  $Au = i\Delta u$ ,  $D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $Fu = ik|u|^2 u$ . We shall show that  $T_{\max} = \infty$  by proving that  $\|u(t)\|_{H^2}$  remains bounded on every finite time interval.

First we multiply (1) by  $\bar{u}$  and consider the imaginary part. This leads to

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2} = \|u_0\|_{L^2}. \tag{9}$$

Next we multiply (1) by  $\partial \bar{u} / \partial t$  and consider the real part. This leads to

$$\frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 \, dx + \frac{k}{4} \int |u(x, t)|^4 \, dx \equiv E_0 \tag{10}$$

where

$$E_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0(x)|^2 \, dx + \frac{k}{4} \int_{\Omega} |u_0(x)|^4 \, dx.$$

We claim that  $\|u(t)\|_{H^1}$  remains bounded for  $t > 0$ . Indeed, this is clear when  $k \geq 0$ . While if  $k < 0$  we have

$$\int |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 \leq \frac{|k|}{2} \int |u(x, t)|^4 \, dx + 2E_0. \tag{11}$$

On the other hand an inequality of Gagliardo and Nirenberg ([6]) shows that\*

\* In order to obtain the constant  $\frac{1}{2}$  one proceeds as follows. For  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  we have

$$|\varphi(x_1, x_2)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\varphi_{x_1}(t, x_2)| \, dt, \quad |\varphi(x_1, x_2)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\varphi_{x_2}(x_1, s)| \, ds.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\varphi|^2 \, dx \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\varphi_{x_1}| \, dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\varphi_{x_2}| \, dx.$$

Choosing  $\varphi = |u|^2$  leads to

$$\int |u|^4 \, dx \leq \int |u|^2 \, dx \left( \int |u_{x_1}|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int |u_{x_2}|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int |u|^2 \, dx \int |\nabla u|^2 \, dx.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int |u|^4 dx &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int |u|^2 dx \int |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int |u_0|^2 dx \int |\nabla u|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Combining (11), (12) and assumption (b) in Theorem 1 we see that

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^1} \leq C \quad (13)$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $t$ .

We now denote by  $S(t)$  the  $L^2$  isometry group generated by  $-A$ . From (1) we have

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0 + ik \int_0^t S(t-s)|u(s)|^2 u(s) ds$$

and so

$$Au(t) = S(t)Au_0 + ik \int_0^t S(t-s)A[|u(s)|^2 u(s)] ds.$$

Thus

$$\|Au(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|Au_0\|_{L^2} + |k| \int_0^t \|A[|u(s)|^2 u(s)]\|_{L^2} ds. \quad (14)$$

Lemma 3 implies that

$$\|A[|u(s)|^2 u(s)]\|_{L^2} \leq C \|u(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u(s)\|_{H^2}.$$

From Lemma 2 and estimate (13) we deduce that

$$\|u(s)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1 + \sqrt{\log(1 + \|u(s)\|_{H^2})}).$$

Hence (14) leads to

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2} \leq C + C \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_{H^2} [1 + \log(1 + \|u(s)\|_{H^2})] ds. \quad (15)$$

We denote by  $G(t)$  the RHS in (15); thus

$$G'(t) = C \|u(t)\|_{H^2} [1 + \log(1 + \|u(t)\|_{H^2})] \leq CG(t) [1 + \log(1 + G(t))].$$

Consequently

$$\frac{d}{dt} \log[1 + \log(1 + G(t))] \leq C$$

and we find an estimate for  $\|u(t)\|_{H^2}$  of the form

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^2} \leq e^{\alpha e^{\beta t}}$$

for some constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Therefore  $\|u(t)\|_{H^2}$  remains bounded on every finite time interval and so we must have  $T_{\max} = \infty$ .

*Remarks.* (1) The proof of Theorem 1 leads to an estimate of the form  $\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \alpha e^{\beta t}$ . We do not know whether  $\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty}$  remains actually bounded as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

(2) When  $k < 0$  and  $|k| \|u_0\|^2 > 4$ , it is known (see [4] and [2]) if  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$  that the solution of (1) corresponding to some initial conditions may blow up in finite time. A similar phenomenon presumably occurs when  $\Omega \neq \mathbb{R}^2$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. BAILLON J. B., CAZENAVE T. & FIGUEIRA M., Equation de Schrödinger nonlinéaire, *C.r. Acad. Sci., Paris* **284**, 869–872 (1977).
2. CAZENAVE T., Equations de Schrödinger nonlinéaires, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* (to appear).
3. J. GINIBRE & VELO G., On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations.
4. GLASSEY R. T., On the blowing up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. math. Phys.* **18**, 1794–1979 (1977).
5. LIN J. E. & STRAUSS W. A., Decay and scattering of solutions of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. funct. Anal.* **30**, 245–263 (1978).
6. NIRENBERG L., On elliptic partial differential equations, *Ann. Sci. Norm. Sup. Pisa* **13**, 115–162 (1959).
7. SEGAL I., Nonlinear semi-groups, *Ann. Math.* **78**, 339–364 (1963).
8. STRAUSS W. A., The nonlinear Schrödinger equation, in *Contemporary Developments in Continuum Mechanics and PDE* (Edited by G. de la Penha and L. Medeiros), North Holland, Amsterdam 1978, pp. 452–465.