

On the Regularity of Solutions to Elliptic Equations

Thierry GALLOUET
and
Alexis MONIER

—————
CMI, Université de Provence, 39 rue Joliot-Curie, 13453 Marseille, France.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we prove that the $W^{1,p}$ -estimate, $p > 2$, of any solution to the Dirichlet problem for a linear elliptic equation with discontinuous coefficients, due to N.G. Meyers [12] can be generalized to other boundary conditions, and for an open set with a Lipschitz continuous boundary. For regular operator in Lipschitz domains, G. Savaré obtain optimal regularity results in [14]. In [9], Konrad Gröger shows the result for a mixed boundary value problem, by using a fixed-point technique (he cannot mimic Meyers' proof for he's interested in monotonous non-linear operators).

Our proof works differently and very simply. The technique is to reduce the problem, by using local coordinates and reflection arguments, to a Dirichlet problem in a ball and to apply known results.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce our notations and recall Meyers' Theorem. Section 3 is devoted to the study of Neumann problem. Section 4 is devoted to other boundary condition, mainly Fourier condition and the mixed boundary value problem. The last section is about an application of our main result, namely, the uniqueness (up to a constant) of the weak solution of Neumann problem for a linear elliptic equation, in a bounded connected open set of \mathbb{R}^2 , whose right-hand side is a measure.

2 Definitions and Preliminary Results

Let Ω be a bounded connected open set of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$. \mathbb{R}^N is considered with its euclidean norm, denoted $|\cdot|$ and \cdot denotes the inner product. We consider the following linear elliptic equation

$$-\operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla u(x)) = f(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (1)$$

where A is an element of $(L^\infty(\Omega))^{N \times N}$ which satisfies the following condition (ellipticity and boundedness):

$$\exists \alpha, \beta > 0 \text{ such that } \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \alpha|\xi|^2 \leq A(x)\xi \cdot \xi \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \text{ and } \|A\|_\infty \leq \beta, \quad (2)$$

and f is a given function. We start from the following result, due to N.G. Meyers [12], for Dirichlet problem, if the boundary of Ω is smooth enough.

Theorem 1 (Meyers) *Let Ω be a bounded connected open set of C^2 -class and A in $(L^\infty(\Omega))^{N \times N}$ satisfy (2). There is a real number p_0 , $p_0 > 2$, such that if u is the weak solution of (1), i.e.*

$$\begin{cases} u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ \int_{\Omega} A(x)\nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) \, dx = \langle f, \varphi \rangle_{H^{-1}, H_0^1}, \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

and f belongs to $W^{-1,p}(\Omega)$, $p \in [2, p_0[$, then $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and there is a $C(p)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}} \leq C(p)\|f\|_{W^{-1,p}}.$$

Moreover, p_0 only depends on A and Ω and $C(p)$ on A , Ω and p , not on f .

The proof of this theorem uses a regularity theorem of Agmon-Douglis-Nirenberg (see [2] and [3]), and in particular the open set needs to be regular enough (of C^2 -class is sufficient). Here, a simplified version of this theorem is only needed thereafter, the open set considered being a ball centered on zero with radius $R > 0$. Indeed, for an open set with Lipschitz continuous boundary, we use local maps and reflection to get back to a Dirichlet problem on the unit ball. Furthermore, our method works also for a large choice of boundary conditions. So let us recall a definition of an open set with Lipschitz continuous boundary.

Definition 1 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded open set. Its boundary $\partial\Omega$ is Lipschitz continuous if, for all $a \in \partial\Omega$, there exists an orthonormal coordinates system \mathcal{R}_a , a neighbourhood of a , $V = \prod_{i=1}^N]\alpha_i, \beta_i[= V' \times]\alpha, \beta[$ in these coordinates, and a Lipschitz continuous function $\eta : V' \rightarrow]\alpha, \beta[$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} V \cap \Omega &= \{(y', y_N) \in V \mid y_N > \eta(y')\}, \\ V \cap \partial\Omega &= \{(y', \eta(y')), y' \in V'\}. \end{aligned}$$

With this definition, we can prove the following proposition, where $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N, |x| < 1\}$.

Proposition 1 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded open set with a Lipschitz continuous boundary. Then there exists a family (U_0, U_1, \dots, U_k) of open sets of \mathbb{R}^N , satisfying

$$\overline{\Omega} \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^k U_i, \quad \overline{U_0} \subset \Omega, \quad (3)$$

and (J_1, \dots, J_k) functions such that for $i = 1, \dots, k$, $J_i : U_i \rightarrow B$ is an homeomorphism, J_i and J_i^{-1} are Lipschitz continuous and

$$\begin{aligned} J_i(U_i \cap \Omega) &= B \cap \{(x', x_N) \mid x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}, x_N > 0\} = B_+, \\ J_i(U_i \cap \partial\Omega) &= B \cap \{(x', 0) \mid x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}\} = B^{N-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Remarks:

1. This definition of Lipschitz continuous boundary allows us to define properly the outward normal of Ω and to integrate on the boundary. That is actually necessary in section 4 for the Fourier condition.
2. Because the Rademacher Theorem, it is possible to make a change of variable with Lipschitz continuous functions. Indeed, if J is a Lipschitz continuous homeomorphism, mapping an open set U onto an open set V , the Jacobian matrix of J , denoted DJ , is defined almost everywhere and we have the classical formulae of change of variable (see [4] or [7]).

Moreover, if J^{-1} is Lipschitz continuous too, the operator $T_J : W^{1,p}(V) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(U)$, defined by $T_J(u) = u \circ J$, is linear continuous. The norm of T_J only depends on the ‘‘Lipschitz contents’’ of J and J^{-1} and N .

Let us finally set $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ if $N > p$ and $p^* = \infty$ if $N \leq p$.

3 Meyers’ Theorem for Neumann Problem

Let Ω be bounded connected open set of \mathbb{R}^N , with a Lipschitz continuous boundary. Let us consider the Neumann problem for the equation (1) where A satisfies conditions (2).

Define

$$H_*^1(\Omega) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega); \int_{\Omega} u(x) dx = 0\}.$$

A weak formulation of this problem is expressed by

$$\begin{cases} u \in H_*^1(\Omega), \\ \int_{\Omega} A(x) \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) \, dx = \langle f, \varphi \rangle_{(H^1)' , H^1}, \forall \varphi \in H^1(\Omega), \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where f is in $(H^1(\Omega))'$ with $\langle f, 1 \rangle_{(H^1)' , H^1} = 0$ (note that this condition is necessary to obtain a solution of (5)). By the Lax-Milgram theorem and the Poincaré inequality with a null mean, there exists a unique solution u in $H_*^1(\Omega)$ to (5).

If f belongs to $(W^{1,q}(\Omega))'$, with $p > 2$ and $q = p/(p-1)$, there is u in $H_*^1(\Omega)$ solution to (5) (indeed $(W^{1,q}(\Omega))' \subset (H^1(\Omega))'$). The following theorem improves the regularity of u .

Theorem 2 (Meyers Neumann) *Let Ω be a bounded connected open set of \mathbb{R}^N , with a Lipschitz continuous boundary. Let A in $(L^\infty(\Omega))^{N \times N}$ satisfy (2). For $p \geq 2$ and $q = p/p-1$, let T_p be the operator defined by $T_p(f) = u$, for all $f \in (W^{1,q}(\Omega))'$, with $\langle f, 1 \rangle_{(H^1)' , H^1} = 0$, where u is the unique solution to (5). Then, there is a real number p_M , $2^* > p_M > 2$, such that, for all p , $2 < p < p_M$, the operator T_p is linear continuous from $(W^{1,q}(\Omega))'$ to $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Moreover, the norm of T_p only depends on p , α , β and Ω and p_M on α , β and Ω , not on f .*

Proof. Let p be fixed as greater than or equal to 2 and less than 2^* . Let $f \in (W^{1,q}(\Omega))'$. As previously seen, we can consider $u = T_p(f)$. So, u belongs to $H_*^1(\Omega)$.

Step 1 (Localization) Let us now consider a set of local maps, given by the proposition 1. We associate a partition of unity $(\theta_i)_i$ to the open sets $(U_i)_{i=0, \dots, k}$; that is, functions $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$ of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$0 \leq \theta_i \leq 1, \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, k \text{ and } \sum_{i=0}^k \theta_i = 1 \text{ on } \overline{\Omega},$$

and

$$\text{supp} \theta_i \text{ is compact and included in } U_i, \forall i = 0, \dots, k.$$

Let then

$$u_i = \theta_i u.$$

For all $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega)$, u_i satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} A \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} \theta_i A \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} u A \nabla \theta_i \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} A \nabla u \cdot (\nabla(\theta_i \varphi) - \varphi \nabla \theta_i) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} u A \nabla \theta_i \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \\ &= \langle \theta_i f - \text{div}(u A \nabla \theta_i) - A \nabla u \cdot \nabla \theta_i, \varphi \rangle_{(H^1(\Omega))' , H^1(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \theta_i f, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \theta_i \varphi \rangle$ and, if a function F belongs to $(L^2)^N$, let

$$\langle -\text{div}(F), \varphi \rangle_{(H^1(\Omega))' , H^1(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} F(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) \, dx.$$

To define properly a linear form f_i on $H^1(U_i \cap \Omega)$, let us consider a function γ of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\text{supp} \theta_i \subset \text{supp} \gamma \subset U_i$ and $\gamma(x) = 1$ on $\text{supp} \theta_i$. For all function φ in $H^1(U_i \cap \Omega)$, we define f_i

$$\langle f_i, \varphi \rangle_{(H^1(U_i \cap \Omega))' , H^1(U_i \cap \Omega)} = \langle \theta_i f - \text{div}(u A \nabla \theta_i) - A \nabla u \cdot \nabla \theta_i, \gamma \varphi \rangle_{(H^1(\Omega))' , H^1(\Omega)},$$

where $\gamma \varphi$ is the function extended by zero on Ω . So, we have got for all $\varphi \in H^1(U_i \cap \Omega)$

$$\int_{U_i \cap \Omega} A \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = \langle f_i, \varphi \rangle_{(H^1(U_i \cap \Omega))' , H^1(U_i \cap \Omega)}.$$

Of course $\theta_i f$ is in $(W^{1,q}(U_i \cap \Omega))'$ and we have $\|\theta_i f\|_{(W^{1,q})'} \leq C_{\theta_i} \|f\|_{(W^{1,q})'}$. If u belongs to $H^1(\Omega)$, then $A \nabla u \cdot \nabla \theta_i$ belongs to L^2 . According to Sobolev's injection theorem, a function L^2 is also in $(W^{1,q})'$ if $q^* > 2$, i.e. $p < 2^*$. By the continuity of the Sobolev imbedding,

$$\|A \nabla u \cdot \nabla \theta_i\|_{(W^{1,q})'} \leq C_0 \|u\|_{H^1} \leq C_1 \|f\|_{(H^1)} \leq C_2 \|f\|_{(W^{1,q})'}.$$

In the same way, $\operatorname{div}(u A \nabla \theta_i)$ belongs to $(W^{1,q}(U_i \cap \Omega))'$ if $u A \nabla \theta_i$ is in $(L^p)^N$ (i.e. $p < 2^*$), and

$$\|\operatorname{div}(u A \nabla \theta_i)\| \leq C'_0 \|u\|_{(L^p)^N} \leq C'_1 \|u\|_{H^1} \leq C'_2 \|f\|_{(W^{1,q})'}.$$

Finally f_i is in $(W^{1,q}(U_i \cap \Omega))'$ and there exists a real M_i positive such that

$$\|f_i\|_{(W^{1,q})'} \leq M_i \|f\|_{(W^{1,q})'}.$$

Interior estimates. Consider first u_0 .

Let B_R a ball with radius R large enough to allow $U_0 \subset B_R$ (Ω is bounded...). With the function γ used before we can also extend f_0 on $H_0^1(B_R)$. We extend u_0 by zero outside U_0 . Then, u_0 is a solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \int_{B_R} A \nabla u_0 \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = \langle f_0, \varphi \rangle_{H^{-1}(B_R), H_0^1(B_R)}, \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(B_R), \\ u_0 = 0, \text{ on } \partial B_R. \end{cases}$$

Note that f_0 is in $W^{-1,p}(B_R)$. Hence, according to Meyers' Theorem, there is a $2^* > p_0 > 2$, such that, if $p \in [2, p_0[$, then $u_0 \in W_0^{1,p}(B_R)$, and even in $W_0^{1,p}(U_0)$, by definition of θ_0 . Moreover, there is a real positive $C_0(p)$ such that

$$\|u_0\|_{W_0^{1,p}} \leq C_0(p) \|f_0\|_{(W^{1,q})'} \leq M_0 C_0(p) \|f\|_{(W^{1,q})'},$$

$C_0(p)$ and p_0 only depends on α, β and Ω , not on f .

Estimates near the boundary. Let us now consider $v = u_i$ and $g = f_i$, for a fixed i . We will avoid recalling indices i throughout this proof. As seen previously, v satisfies

$$\int_{U \cap \Omega} A \nabla v \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = \langle g, \varphi \rangle_{(H^1(U \cap \Omega))', H^1(U \cap \Omega)}, \forall \varphi \in H^1(U \cap \Omega),$$

where the mapping g is an element of $(W^{1,q}(U \cap \Omega))'$ (where $q = p/p - 1$), as soon as $p < 2^*$.

Step 2 (Transport) Now, we make the change of variable $y = J(x)$, where J is the Lipschitz continuous function given by Proposition 1. Let $H = J^{-1}$. DJ (resp. DH) denotes the Jacobian matrix of J (resp. H), i.e. the matrix with general term $\partial J_i / \partial x_j$. ${}^t M$ denotes the transpose matrix of the matrix M . Let $w(y) = v \circ H(y)$, for all $y \in B_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N, |x| < 1, x_N > 0\}$. Let $\psi \in H^1(B_+)$, and $\varphi = \psi \circ J$. Then,

$$\nabla v(x) = {}^t DJ(x) \nabla w(J(x)), \text{ and } \nabla \varphi(x) = {}^t DJ(x) \nabla \psi(J(x)).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) \nabla v(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) &= A(x) {}^t DJ(x) \nabla w(J(x)) \cdot {}^t DJ(x) \nabla \psi(J(x)) \\ &= DJ(x) A(x) {}^t DJ(x) \nabla w(J(x)) \cdot \nabla \psi(J(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\Lambda(y) = |\det DH(y)| DJ(H(y)) A(H(y)) {}^t DJ(H(y)). \quad (6)$$

According to the formulae of change of variable, we have

$$\int_{U \cap \Omega} A(x) \nabla v(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) \, dx = \int_{B^+} \Lambda(y) \nabla w(y) \cdot \nabla \psi(y) \, dy$$

The mappings J and H both are Lipschitz continuous, hence the Jacobian matrices and $|\det DH|$ are bounded with respect to the supremum norm. Hence, the matrix Λ is in $(L^\infty(B_+))^{N \times N}$.

Λ also satisfies the uniform ellipticity condition. Indeed, there exist reals m, M such that

$$m \leq |\det DH(y)| \leq M, \text{ a.e. on } B_+$$

and

$$m|\xi|^2 \leq |{}^t DJ(H(y))\xi|^2 \leq M|\xi|^2, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ a.e. on } B_+. \quad (7)$$

Then, for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and almost everywhere on B_+ , because

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(y)\xi \cdot \xi &= |\det DH(y)| \, DJ(H(y))A(H(y)){}^t DJ(H(y))\xi \cdot \xi \\ &= |\det DH(y)| \, A(H(y)){}^t DJ(H(y))\xi \cdot {}^t DJ(H(y))\xi, \end{aligned}$$

there exist α' and β' , only depended on α, β, m and M , such that Λ satisfies

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \alpha'|\xi| \leq \Lambda(y)\xi \cdot \xi \text{ and } \|\Lambda\|_\infty \leq \beta'.$$

The operator g is carried out as an operator h of $(W^{1,q}(B_+))'$. One can describe that operator thanks to g and the function H . Indeed, if g is an element of $(W^{1,q}(\Omega \cap U))'$, there exist function g_0 in $L^p(\Omega \cap U)$ and G in $(L^p(\Omega \cap U))^N$ such that, for all φ in $W^{1,q}(\Omega \cap U)$,

$$\langle g, \varphi \rangle_{(W^{1,q}(U \cap \Omega))', W^{1,p}(U \cap \Omega)} = \int_{\Omega \cap U} g_0(x) \varphi(x) \, dx + \int_{\Omega \cap U} G(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) \, dx.$$

Hence, for all $\psi \in H^1(B_+)$, $\varphi = \psi \circ J$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\Omega \cap U} g_0(x) \varphi(x) \, dx + \int_{\Omega \cap U} G(x) \cdot {}^t DJ(x) \nabla \psi(J(x)) \, dx \\ &= \int_{B^+} |\det DH| \, g_0(H(y)) \psi(y) \, dy + \int_{B^+} |\det DH| \, DJ(H(y)) G(H(y)) \cdot \nabla \psi(y) \, dy \\ &= \langle h, \psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The function $|\det DH| \, g_0(H(y))$ belongs to $L^p(B_+)$ and $|\det DH| \, DJ(H(y)) G(H(y))$ to $(L^p(B_+))^N$. Thus $h \in (W^{1,q}(B_+))'$ and it is easy to see that $\|h\|_{(W^{1,q})'} \leq C \|g\|_{(W^{1,q})'}$, with $C > 0$. Finally, the function w is the solution to the new problem

$$\begin{cases} w \in H^1(B_+), \\ \int_{B^+} \Lambda(y) \nabla w(y) \cdot \nabla \psi(y) \, dy = \langle h, \psi \rangle_{(H^1)', H^1}, \forall \psi \in H^1(\Omega), \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where Λ is defined by (6), and h belongs to $(W^{1,q}(B_+))'$.

Step 3 (reflection) Let us now extend the solution by reflection, to get the following general result (the notation in this lemma is independent of that used in the rest of the paper):

Lemma 1 For a given $u \in W^{1,p}(B_+)$, define on B the function u^* extended by reflection, that is to say

$$u^*(x', x_N) = \begin{cases} u(x', x_N) & \text{if } x_N > 0 \\ u(x', -x_N) & \text{if } x_N < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, $u^* \in W^{1,p}(B)$ and

$$\|u^*\|_{W^{1,p}(B)} \leq 2\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_+)}.$$

This is a classical lemma (cf H. Brézis' book, [5], p. 158, for instance). Note that, for $x_N < 0$, one has the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial x_i}(x', x_N) &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(x', -x_N) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq N-1, \\ \frac{\partial u^*}{\partial x_N}(x', x_N) &= -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N}(x', -x_N). \end{aligned}$$

Let us apply this result to our problem. w can be extended to a function w^* which is defined on the whole of B and is an element of $H^1(B)$. But θ is a function with compact support of U , hence the same holds for $\theta \circ H$ on B ; in particular, there is an r , $r < 1$, such that B_r contains the support of θ . It is then obvious that the support of our function w^* , extended by reflection, is also contained in that ball. Thus w^* is in $H_0^1(B)$.

We extend the operator h the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h^*, \phi \rangle_{(W^{1,q}(B))', W^{1,q}(B)} &= \langle h, \phi \rangle_{(W^{1,q}(B_+))', W^{1,q}(B_+)} + \\ &\quad \langle h, \phi(x', -x_N) \rangle_{(W^{1,q}(B_+))', W^{1,q}(B_+)}. \end{aligned}$$

for all ϕ in $W^{1,q}(B)$. In particular, $h^* \in W^{-1,p}(B)$ and $\|h^*\|_{W^{-1,p}} \leq 2\|h\|_{(W^{1,q})'}$.

To extend Λ is not that easy. We proceed as follows (we note $\Lambda = (\alpha_{kl})_{k,l}$)

- for all k and l less or equal than $N-1$, let $\alpha_{kl}^*(x', x_N) = \alpha_{kl}(x', -x_N)$ if $x_N < 0$,
- if $k = N$ or $l = N$ (but $(k, l) \neq (N, N)$), let $\alpha_{kl}^*(x', x_N) = -\alpha_{kl}(x', -x_N)$ if $x_N < 0$,
- $\alpha_{NN}^*(x', x_N) = \alpha_{NN}(x', -x_N)$ if $x_N < 0$.

Of course, we leave the α_{kl} as they are if $x_N > 0$. We get

$$\int_{B_-} \Lambda^* \nabla w^* \cdot \nabla \phi(x) \, dx = \int_{B_+} \Lambda \nabla w(y) \cdot \nabla \phi(y', -y_N) \, dy,$$

where $B_- = \{x \in B \mid x_N \leq 0\}$. There also remains to check that this matrix is elliptic. The case of $x_N > 0$ was seen before; if $x_N < 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^* \xi \cdot \xi &= \sum_{i,j \leq N-1} \alpha(x', -x_N) \xi_i \xi_j + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} -\alpha_{N,j}(x', -x_N) \xi_N \xi_j + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} -\alpha_{i,N}(x', -x_N) \xi_i \xi_N + \alpha_{NN} \xi_N^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $\xi^* = (\xi', -\xi_N)$, the preceding expression can then be written as

$$\Lambda^* \xi \cdot \xi = \Lambda \xi^* \cdot \xi^*.$$

Now, $|\xi| = |\xi^*|$; Λ^* satisfies the ellipticity condition indeed.

We can check that w^* is the solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} w^* \in H_0^1(B) \\ \int_B \Lambda^* \nabla w^* \cdot \nabla \phi = \langle h^*, \phi \rangle_{H^{-1}, H_0^1}, \text{ for all } \phi \in H_0^1. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Note that h^* is an element of $W^{-1,p}(B)$ and w^* is the solution of problem (9). Then Theorem 1 is applied. There is a real p_i , $2^* > p_i > 2$, such that, if $p \in [2, p_i]$, w^* is in $W_0^{1,p}(B)$ and a real number $C_i(p)$ positive such that

$$\|w^*\|_{W_0^{1,p}} \leq C_i(p) \|h^*\|_{W^{-1,p}}.$$

Moreover p_i depends on α' , β' and N , and $C_i(p)$ on α' , β' , p and N , not on h^* . In fact, they hence depend on A and functions H and J , that is, on the change of map. We then get the desired estimate for $v = u_i$ by restriction and with the help of the Remark 2 of Section 2.

Let $p_M = \min_{i=0, \dots, k} (p_i)$. As soon as $2 \leq p < p_M$, u_i belongs to $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and so, $u = \sum_{i=0, \dots, k} u_i$ too. Moreover, there exists a real positive $C(p)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}} \leq C(p) \|f\|_{W^{-1,p}},$$

where $C(p)$ depends on all the $C_i(p)$, M_i and the norm of the transport operator T_J and T_H (see remark 2, section 2).

So we are done with the proof of Theorem 2.

Remarks :

1. The condition $\langle f, 1 \rangle_{(H^1)', H^1} = 0$ is necessary to have all the functions of $H^1(\Omega)$ as test functions. That is an important fact for the rest of the proof.
2. The inequality (7) is true only because H is an homeomorphism. Indeed, if J is differentiable almost everywhere (due to Rademacher Theorem), it is not sure for $J \circ H \dots$

4 Some Other Boundary Conditions

4.1 Fourier's Condition

The purpose of this section is to give some other generalization of Meyers' Theorem for different boundary conditions. First, we consider Fourier's Condition, *i.e.*

$$A \nabla u \cdot n + \lambda u = 0, \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

where n denotes the outward normal on the boundary of Ω and λ a function $L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ satisfying the following condition:

$$\exists \gamma > 0 \text{ such that, } \lambda(x) \geq \gamma \text{ for almost all } x \in \partial\Omega.$$

The rest of the notation is exactly the same as in the preceding section. We still consider a uniform elliptic operator, with coefficient in L^∞ defined on an open set Ω with a Lipschitz continuous boundary. The weak formulation of our new problem is then expressed by

$$\begin{cases} u \in H^1(\Omega), \\ \int_\Omega A(x) \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \lambda(x) u \varphi ds = \langle f, \varphi \rangle_{(H^1)', H^1}, \forall \varphi \in H^1(\Omega). \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Once again, we want information about the regularity of the solution. The existence of solution can be proved by using Lax-Milgram theorem again. So let us express the regularity result.

Theorem 3 (Meyers Fourier) *Let Ω be a bounded connected open set of \mathbb{R}^N , with a Lipschitz continuous boundary. Let A of $(L^\infty(\Omega))^{N \times N}$ satisfy (2). For $p \geq 2$ and $q = p/p - 1$, let T_p be the operator defined by $T_p(f) = u$ for $f \in (W^{1,q}(\Omega))'$, where u is the unique solution to (10). Then, there is a real number p_0 , $2^* > p_0 > 2$, such that, for all p , $2 < p < p_0$, the operator T_p is linear continuous from $(W^{1,q}(\Omega))'$ to $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Moreover, the norm of T_p only depends on p , α , β and Ω and p_0 on α , β and Ω , not on f .*

The proof of this theorem works exactly as the preceding section. So let us consider only the differences. Fix p greater or equal to 2 and less than 2^* . Get $q = p/(p - 1)$. For f in $(W^{1,q}(\Omega))'$, we have existence and unicity of solution to (10). So, u belongs to $H^1(\Omega)$. Let us just consider the following mapping

$$\varphi \rightarrow \int_{\partial\Omega} \lambda(x)u\varphi \, ds.$$

The trace of a function in $H^1(\Omega)$ is in $H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$. So using Sobolev injection (see [1]), we find that the trace of u belongs to $L^r(\partial\Omega)$ for all $r < 2(N - 1)/(N - 2)$ (let $r < \infty$ if $N = 2$). So the idea is to consider that our mapping can be defined on $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$, for $q < 2$. Computation shows that q must be greater than $2N/(N + 2)$, hence that p must be less than $2N/(N - 2)$. So, the term $\int_{\partial\Omega} \lambda(x)u\varphi \, ds$ can be brought in the operator f . It is possible now to reproduce the proof of preceding section.

4.2 The Dirichlet Problem Revisited

We claim here that the Meyers theorem is true on an open set with a Lipschitz continuous boundary. The proof doesn't work as before in the step 3. Indeed, it is not possible to extended our solution to B and find a new problem satisfy by the extension. We use a different way.

Let us consider only the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} u \in H_0^1(B_+), \\ \int_{B_+} A(x)\nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) \, dx = \langle f, \varphi \rangle_{H^{-1}, H_0^1}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(B_+), \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where A belongs to $(L^\infty(\Omega))^{N \times N}$ which satisfies the condition (2) and f belongs to $W^{-1,p}(B_+)$, $p > 2$. So, there exists a function F , of $(L^p(B_+))^N$ such that, for all $\varphi \in W_0^{1,q}(B_+)$,

$$\langle f, \varphi \rangle_{W^{-1,p}, W_0^{1,q}} = \int_{B_+} F(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) \, dx.$$

We define the function G on B by (we get, for all x in \mathbb{R}^N , $x = (x', x_N)$, x' in \mathbb{R}^{N-1})

- if $x_N > 0$, $G(x', x_N) = F(x', x_N)$,
- if $x_N < 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, N - 1$, $G_i(x', x_N) = -F_i(x', -x_N)$ and $G_N(x', x_N) = F_N(x', -x_N)$.

Then G belongs to $(L^p(B))^N$ and we set, for all $\varphi \in W_0^{1,q}(B)$,

$$\langle g, \varphi \rangle_{W^{-1,p}, W_0^{1,q}} = \int_B G(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) \, dx.$$

For $x_n < 0$ we denote by \tilde{A} the extension of A onto B , defined as:

- for all k and l less or equal than $N - 1$, let $a_{kl}^*(x', x_N) = a_{kl}(x', -x_N)$,
- if $k = N$ or $l = N$ (but $(k, l) \neq (N, N)$), let $a_{kl}^*(x', x_N) = -a_{kl}(x', -x_N)$,
- $a_{NN}^*(x', x_N) = a_{NN}(x', -x_N)$.

We can now consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} v \in H_0^1(B), \\ \int_B \tilde{A}(x) \nabla v(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) \, dx = \langle g, \varphi \rangle_{H^{-1}, H_0^1}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(B). \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Because Theorem 1, there exists $p_0 > 2$ such that the solution v of (12) belongs to $W_0^{1,p}(B)$ if g belongs to $W^{-1,p}(B)$, for $2 < p < p_0$. We want to prove that the restriction of v to B_+ , denoted $v|_{B_+}$, is equal to u .

Let us prove first that the trace of v on B^{N-1} is null. We get $w(x', x_N) = -v(x', -x_N)$. Due to the construction of g and \tilde{A} , w is a solution to (12). Then by unicity, $w = v$ in $H_0^1(B)$. For the trace operator γ on B^{N-1} , we have so

$$\gamma(v)(x') = \gamma(w)(x') = -\gamma(v)(x'),$$

then $\gamma(v) = 0$ on B^{N-1} .

Let φ be a function of $H_0^1(B_+)$. We can extend φ on B by zero, denoted $\tilde{\varphi}$. We can take $\tilde{\varphi}$ for test function in (12). Then

$$\int_B \tilde{A}(x) \nabla v(x) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\varphi}(x) \, dx = \langle g, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle_{H^{-1}, H_0^1}.$$

But we have

$$\int_B \tilde{A}(x) \nabla v(x) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\varphi}(x) \, dx = \int_{B_+} A(x) \nabla v|_{B_+}(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) \, dx$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, \tilde{\varphi} \rangle_{H^{-1}, H_0^1} &= \int_B G(x) \cdot \nabla \tilde{\varphi}(x) \, dx \\ &= \int_{B_+} F(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) \, dx \\ &= \langle f, \varphi \rangle_{H^{-1}, H_0^1}. \end{aligned}$$

As we have seen that $v|_{B_+}$ belongs to $H_0^1(B_+)$, we find finally that $v|_{B_+}$ satisfies (11). By unicity, $v|_{B_+} = u$ in $H_0^1(B_+)$, and so there exists a real $p_0 > 2$, such that u belongs to $W_0^{1,p}(B_+)$ if f belongs to $W^{-1,p}(B_+)$, for $2 < p < p_0$.

4.3 The mixed value boundary problem

We are interested in the mixed boundary value problem, *i.e.* u satisfies Dirichlet's Condition on a part $\tilde{\Gamma}$ of $\partial\Omega$ (with a non-zero $(N-1)$ -dimensional measure) and a natural (Neumann or Fourier) boundary condition on $\Gamma = \partial\Omega \setminus \tilde{\Gamma}$. We need first a regularity condition on Γ . Here, we use some notations of [9], but the regularity condition on Γ are different. We set $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \Gamma$.

Definition 2 *Let Ω be an open set with a Lipschitz continuous boundary. A measurable part Γ of $\partial\Omega$ is called **regular**, if there exists a family (U_0, U_1, \dots, U_k) of open sets of \mathbb{R}^N satisfying (3) and (J_1, \dots, J_k) functions such that, for $i = 1, \dots, k$, $J_i : U_i \rightarrow B$ is one-to-one, J_i and J_i^{-1} are Lipschitz continuous and we have one of the following condition*

- $U_i \cap \Gamma = U_i \cap \partial\Omega$, and J_i satisfies (4).
- $U_i \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$, and J_i satisfies (4).
- $J_i(U_i \cap \Omega) = \{x \in B \mid x_N > 0 \text{ and } x_{N-1} > 0\} = B_{++}$,
 $J_i(U_i \cap \tilde{\Gamma}) = \{x \in B \mid x_N = 0 \text{ and } x_{N-1} \geq 0\}$,
and $J_i(U_i \cap \Gamma) = \{x \in B \mid x_N > 0 \text{ and } x_{N-1} = 0\}$.

Remarks

1. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we denote $W_0^{1,p}(\tilde{\Omega})$ the closure of $\{u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid \text{supp} u \cap \tilde{\Gamma} = \emptyset\}$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.
2. When Γ is regular, the functions of $W_0^{1,p}(\tilde{\Omega})$ are the functions of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, null on $\tilde{\Gamma}$. In particular, if $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega$, then $W_0^{1,p}(\tilde{\Omega}) = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, of course. If $\tilde{\Omega} = \bar{\Omega}$, then $W_0^{1,p}(\tilde{\Omega}) = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.
3. We denote $W^{-1,p}(\tilde{\Omega})$, the dual space of $W_0^{1,q}(\tilde{\Omega})$

Theorem 4 *Let Ω be a bounded connected open set with a Lipschitz continuous boundary of \mathbb{R}^N . Let Γ be a regular part of $\partial\Omega$ and $\tilde{\Gamma} = \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma$. Suppose $\tilde{\Gamma}$ has a non-null $(N-1)$ -dimensional measure. There is a real number $p_0, 2^* \geq p_0 > 2$, such that, if u is the weak solution of*

$$\begin{cases} u \in W_0^{1,2}(\tilde{\Omega}) \\ \int_{\Omega} A(x) \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) dx = \langle f, \varphi \rangle_{W^{-1,2}(\tilde{\Omega}), W_0^{1,2}(\tilde{\Omega})}, \quad \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\tilde{\Omega}), \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where f belongs to $W^{-1,p}(\tilde{\Omega})$, for $p \in [2, p_0)$, then u belongs to $W_0^{1,p}(\tilde{\Omega})$ and there exists a real number $C(p)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\tilde{\Omega})} \leq C(p) \|f\|_{W^{-1,p}(\tilde{\Omega})}.$$

Moreover, p_0 only depends on A and $\tilde{\Omega}$ and $C(p)$ on A, Ω and p , not on f .

Sketch of proof. We give here only the idea of the proof (due to J.Droniou, [6]). We need to study three cases

- a) First, U_i satisfies *a* of Definition 2. The proof works exactly as the proof of Theorem 3 in Section 3.
- b) U_i satisfies *b* of Definition 2. The proof works exactly as the proof in Section 4.2.
- c) We are in the third case, *c* of the definition 2. We extend the solution first to B_+ by using reflection argument with respect to x_{N-1} , as the proof of Theorem 3 in Section 3. Then, we works exactly as the proof in Section 4.2 : we consider a new Dirichlet problem on B , and the restriction of the solution to B_{++} is well the researched function. Then we obtain $W^{1,p}$ -estimate on u .

5 Application: A uniqueness theorem

Meyers' Theorem can notably be used to prove uniqueness of the solution of Dirichlet's problem for a linear elliptic differential equation with a 2-dimensional measure as right-hand side (see [8]).

One can now generalize this result to other boundary conditions. Regarding Neumann's Problem, for instance,

Theorem 5 *Let Ω be a bounded regular open set of \mathbb{R}^N . Let $N = 2$ and $\mu \in M(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} 1 d\mu = 0$, where $M(\Omega)$ is the set of bounded Radon measures. Let A be in $(L^\infty(\Omega))^{N \times N}$, satisfying (2). Then, there exists a unique function u such that:*

$$\begin{cases} u \in \bigcap_{p < 2} W^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad \int_{\Omega} u = 0, \\ \int_{\Omega} A(x) \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) d\mu, \quad \forall \varphi \in \bigcup_{q > 2} W^{1,q}(\Omega). \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Proof. [13], for instance, provides a proof of the existence of u . To prove its uniqueness, we show that if v satisfies

$$\begin{cases} v \in \bigcap_{p < 2} W^{1,p}(\Omega), & \int_{\Omega} v = 0, \\ \int_{\Omega} A(x) \nabla v(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) \, dx = 0, \forall \varphi \in \bigcup_{q > 2} W^{1,q}(\Omega), \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

then v is null.

Indeed, suppose that v satisfies (15), and is not the null function. Let $B = \{x | v(x) > 0\}$. B is a measurable part. λ denotes the Lebesgue measure. By hypothesis, $\lambda(B) \neq 0$ and $\lambda(B) \neq \lambda(\Omega)$. Let $A^* = (a_{ji})_{i,j=1,2}$. Let ψ_B be the solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \psi_B \in H^1(\Omega), & \int_{\Omega} \psi_B(x) dx = 0, \\ \int_{\Omega} A^*(x) \nabla \psi_B(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi(x) dx = \lambda(B)^{-1} \int_B \varphi(x) dx - \lambda(\Omega - B)^{-1} \int_{\Omega - B} \varphi(x) dx, \forall \varphi \in H^1(\Omega). \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

One can then apply Theorem 2; as $\lambda(B)^{-1} \chi_B - \lambda(\Omega - B)^{-1} \chi_{\Omega - B}$ is an element of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and its mean is null, there is a $\bar{q} > 2$ (which depends on A and Ω only, not on B) such that $\psi_B \in W^{1,\bar{q}}(\Omega)$. $\varphi = \psi_B$ can hence be chosen in (15):

$$\int_{\Omega} A(x) \nabla v(x) \cdot \nabla \psi_B(x) \, dx = 0. \quad (17)$$

As $\bar{q}' = \bar{q}/(\bar{q} - 1) < 2$, we have $v \in W^{1,\bar{q}'}(\Omega)$. There exists a sequence of functions $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ de $H^1(\Omega)$ such that $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to v in $W^{1,\bar{q}'}(\Omega)$. Next, choose $\varphi = \varphi_n$ in (16):

$$\int_{\Omega} A^*(x) \nabla \psi_B(x) \cdot \nabla \varphi_n(x) \, dx = \lambda(B)^{-1} \int_B \varphi_n(x) \, dx - \lambda(\Omega - B)^{-1} \int_{\Omega - B} \varphi_n(x) \, dx.$$

If n becomes infinite, we get:

$$\int_{\Omega} A^*(x) \nabla \psi_B(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) \, dx = \lambda(B)^{-1} \int_B v(x) \, dx - \lambda(\Omega - B)^{-1} \int_{\Omega - B} v(x) \, dx.$$

Now $A^* \nabla \psi_B \cdot \nabla v = A \nabla v \cdot \nabla \psi_B$. Hence, using (17) and $\int_{\Omega} v = 0$, we obtain $\int_B v(x) \, dx = 0$, which is impossible.

References

- [1] ROBERT A. ADAMS, *Sobolev spaces*, Acad. Press (1975).
- [2] S. AGMON, A. DOUGLIS AND L. NIRENBERG, *Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions*, Part I, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 12, p623-727 (1959).
- [3] S. AGMON, A. DOUGLIS AND L. NIRENBERG, *Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions*, Part II, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 17 (1964).
- [4] DOMINIQUE AZÉ, *Elément d'analyse convexe et variationnelle*, Ellipse, p221-226 (1996).
- [5] H. BRÉZIS, *Analyse fonctionnelle, théorie et applications*, Masson (1983).
- [6] J. DRONIOU, *Solving conection-diffusion equations with mixed, Neumann and Fourier boundary conditions and measures data, by a duality method*, paper in preparation.
- [7] L.C. EVANS AND R.F. GARIEPY, *Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions*, coll. Studies in Advanced Mathematics (1992).
- [8] T. GALLOUET AND R. HERBIN, *Existence of a solution to a coupled elliptic system*, *Appl. Math.*, 7 (2), p49-55.
- [9] KONRAD GRÖGER, *A $W^{1,p}$ -estimate or solutions to mixed boundary value problems for second order elliptic differential equations*, Springer-Verlag, *Math. Ann.* 283, p679-687 (1989).
- [10] D. JERISON AND C.E. KENIG, *The Neumann problem on Lipschitz domains*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 4, 1981, 203-207.
- [11] D. JERISON AND C.E. KENIG, *Boundary value problems on Lipschitz domains*, in "Studies in Partial Differential Equations" (W. Littmaan, Ed.), *Studies in Mathematics*, N.23, pp.1-68, Math. Assoc. Amer., Washington DC, 1982.
- [12] N.G. MEYERS, *An L^p estimate for the gradient of solution of second order divergence equations*, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa*, 17, p189-206 (1963).
- [13] A. PRIGNET, *Conditions aux limites non homogènes pour des problèmes elliptiques avec second membre mesure*, prepublication UMPA-95-n° 153, à paraître aux Annales de Toulouse.
- [14] G. SAVARÉ, *Regularity results for elliptic equations in Lipschitz domains*, *Journal of Functionnal Analysis*, 152, 1998, pp.176-201.