Convergence of approximate solutions for Stationary compressible Stokes equations

R. Eymard, T. Gallouët, R. Herbin and J.-C. Latché

 $K\rho\dot{\eta}\tau\eta$ , September 2008

First step for proving the convergence of approximate solutions for the evolution compressible Navier-Stokes equations (which gives, in particular, the existence of solutions, d = 3,  $p = \rho^{\gamma}$ ,  $\gamma > \frac{3}{2}$ ).

#### Stationary compressible Stokes equations

 $\Omega$  is a bounded open set of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , d = 2 or 3, with a Lipschitz continuous boundary,  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $f \in L^2(\Omega)^d$  and M > 0

$$-\Delta u + \nabla \rho = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,$$
$$\operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \ \rho \ge 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \ \int_{\Omega} \rho(x) dx = M,$$
$$\rho = \rho^{\gamma} \text{ in } \Omega$$

Functional spaces :  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $p \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $\rho \in L^{2\gamma}(\Omega)$ 

(different spaces for p and  $\rho$  in the case of Navier-Stokes if d = 3 and  $\gamma < 3$ )

# Aim

Prove the existence of a weak solution to the compressible Stokes equations by the convergence of a sequence (up to a subsequence, since, up to now, no uniqueness result is available for this problem) of approximate solutions given by a numerical scheme as the mesh size goes to 0

Simpler result: "continuity" with respect to the data

$$-\Delta u_n + \nabla p_n = f_n \text{ in } \Omega, \ u_n = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,$$

 $\operatorname{div}(\rho_n u_n) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \ \rho_n \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \ \int_{\Omega} \rho_n(x) dx = M_n,$ 

 $p_n = \rho_n^{\gamma}$  in  $\Omega$ 

 $f_n \to f$  in  $(L^2(\Omega))^d$  and  $M_n \to M$ . Then, up to a subsequence,

•  $u_n \to u$  in  $L^2(\Omega)^d$  and weakly in  $H^1_0(\Omega)^d$ ,

•  $p_n \rightarrow p$  in  $L^q(\Omega)$  for any  $1 \le q < 2$  and weakly in  $L^2(\Omega)$ ,

•  $\rho_n \to \rho$  in  $L^q(\Omega)$  for any  $1 \le q < 2\gamma$  and weakly in  $L^{2\gamma}(\Omega)$ ,

where  $(u, p, \rho)$  is a weak solution of the compressible Stokes equations (with f and M as data)

The case  $\gamma = 1$  is also possible, but we obtain only weak convergence of  $p_n$  and  $\rho_n$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  (strong conv. are not needed).

## Preliminary lemma

 $ho\in L^{2\gamma}(\Omega),\ 
ho\geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega,\ u\in (H^1_0(\Omega))^d$  ,  $\operatorname{div}(
ho u)=0$ , then:

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho \operatorname{div}(u) dx = 0$$
$$\int_{\Omega} \rho^{\gamma} \operatorname{div}(u) dx = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

### Proof of the preliminary result

For simplicity :  $\rho \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $\rho \ge \alpha$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $1 < \beta \le \gamma$ . Take  $\varphi = \rho^{\beta-1}$  as test function in  $\operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0$ :

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho u \cdot \nabla \rho^{\beta-1} dx = (\beta-1) \int_{\Omega} \rho^{\beta-1} u \cdot \nabla \rho dx = 0.$$

Then

$$\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}\int_{\Omega}u\cdot\nabla\rho^{\beta}dx=0,$$

and finally

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho^{\beta} \mathrm{div}(u) dx = 0.$$

Two cases :  $\beta = \gamma$  $\beta = 1 + \frac{1}{k}$  and  $k \to \infty$  (or  $\varphi = \ln(\rho)$ )

### Variant of the preliminary lemma, for numerical schemes

In the case of the approximation by a numerical scheme, we will have a sequence  $(\rho_n, u_n)$  satisfying an approximation of  $\operatorname{div}(\rho_n u_n) = 0$  and taking also into account the condition  $\int_{\Omega} \rho_n dx = M_n$ . We will use a weak version of the preceding lemma, namely :

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{n\to\infty} &\int_{\Omega} \rho_n^{\gamma} \mathrm{div} \ u_n dx \leq 0, \\ \liminf_{n\to\infty} &\int_{\Omega} \rho_n \mathrm{div} \ u_n dx \leq 0. \end{split}$$

### Estimate on $u_n$

Taking  $u_n$  as test function in  $-\Delta u_n + \nabla p_n = f_n$ :

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_n : \nabla u_n \, dx - \int_{\Omega} p_n \mathrm{div}(u_n) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f_n \cdot u_n \, dx.$$

But  $p_n = \rho_n^{\gamma}$  a.e. and  $\operatorname{div}(\rho_n u_n) = 0$ , then  $\int_{\Omega} p_n \operatorname{div}(u_n) dx = 0$ . This gives an estimate on  $u_n$ :

 $\|u_n\|_{(H_0^1(\Omega))^d}\leq C_1.$ 

### Estimate on $p_n$ , Nečas Lemma

Let  $q \in L^2(\Omega)$  s.t.  $\int_{\Omega} q dx = 0$ . Then, there exists  $v \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^d$  s.t.

 $\operatorname{div}(v) = q \text{ a.e. in } \Omega,$ 

 $\|v\|_{(H_0^1(\Omega))^d} \leq C_2 \|q\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$ 

(日)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)()

where  $C_2$  only depends on  $\Omega$ .

#### Estimate on $p_n$

 $m_n = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} p_n dx, \ v_n \in H_0^1(\Omega)^d, \ \mathrm{div}(v_n) = p_n - m_n.$ Taking  $v_n$  as test function in  $-\Delta u_n + \nabla p_n = f_n$ :

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_n : \nabla v_n \, dx - \int_{\Omega} p_n \operatorname{div}(v_n) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f_n \cdot v_n \, dx.$$

Using  $\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(v_n) dx = 0$ :

$$\int_{\Omega} (p_n - m_n)^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} (f_n \cdot v_n - \nabla u_n : \nabla v_n) dx.$$

Since  $\|v_n\|_{(H_0^1(\Omega))^d} \leq C_2 \|p_n - m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$  and  $\|u_n\|_{(H_0^1(\Omega))^d} \leq C_1$ , the preceding inequality leads to:

$$\|p_n-m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\leq C_3.$$

where  $C_3$  only depends on the  $L^2$ -bound of  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and on  $\Omega$ .

#### Estimates on $p_n$ and $\rho_n$

 $\|p_n-m_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\leq C_3.$ 

$$\int_{\Omega} p_n^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} dx = \int_{\Omega} \rho_n dx \leq \sup\{M_p, p \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then:

 $\|p_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_4;$ 

where  $C_4$  only depends on the  $L^2$ -bound of  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , the bound of  $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\Omega$ .

 $p_n = \rho_n^{\gamma}$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ , then:

$$\|\rho_n\|_{L^{2\gamma}(\Omega)} \leq C_5 = C_4^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}.$$

Thanks to the estimates on  $u_n$ ,  $p_n$ ,  $\rho_n$ , it is possible to assume (up to a subsequence) that, as  $n \to \infty$ :

 $u_n \to u$  in  $L^2(\Omega)^d$  and weakly in  $H^1_0(\Omega)^d$ ,

 $p_n \to p$  weakly in  $L^2(\Omega)$ ,

 $\rho_n \to \rho$  weakly in  $L^{2\gamma}(\Omega)$ .

Passing to the limit on the equations, except EOS

Linear equation :

$$-\Delta u + \nabla p = f \text{ in } \Omega, \ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega,$$

Strong times weak convergence

$$\operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

 $L^1$ -weak convergence of  $\rho_n$  gives positivity of  $\rho$  and convergence of mass:

$$\rho \geq 0$$
 in  $\Omega$ ,  $\int_{\Omega} \rho(x) dx = M$ .

Question (if  $\gamma > 1$ ):

$$p = \rho^{\gamma} \text{ in } \Omega ?$$

Idea : prove  $\int_{\Omega} p_n \rho_n dx \to \int_{\Omega} p \rho dx$  and deduce a.e. convergence (of  $p_n$  and  $\rho_n$ ) and  $p = \rho^{\gamma}$ .

### $\nabla: \nabla = \operatorname{divdiv} + \operatorname{curl} \cdot \operatorname{curl}$

For all  $\bar{u}, \bar{v}$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)^d$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla \bar{u} : \nabla \bar{v} dx = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\bar{u}) \operatorname{div}(\bar{v}) dx + \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{curl}(\bar{u}) \cdot \operatorname{curl}(\bar{v}) dx.$$

Then, the weak form of  $-\Delta u_n + \nabla p_n = f_n$  gives for all  $\bar{v}$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)^d$ 

$$\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(u_n) \operatorname{div}(\bar{v}) dx + \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{curl}(u_n) \cdot \operatorname{curl}(\bar{v}) dx - \int_{\Omega} p_n \operatorname{div}(\bar{v}) dx = \int_{\Omega} f_n \cdot \bar{v} dx$$

Choice of  $\bar{v}$  ? curl $(\bar{v}) = 0$ , div $(\bar{v}) = \rho_n \dots$ 

#### Curl-free test function

Let B be a ball containing  $\Omega$  and  $w_n \in H^1_0(B)$ ,  $-\Delta w_n = \rho_n$ ,

 $v_n = \nabla w_n$ 

- ►  $v_n \in (H^1(\Omega))^d$ ,
- $\operatorname{div}(v_n) = \rho_n$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ,
- $\operatorname{curl}(v_n) = 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ,
- $\|v_n\|_{(H^1(\Omega))^d} \leq C_6 \|\rho_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ , where  $C_6$  only depends on  $\Omega$ .

Then, up to a subsequence,

 $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and weakly in  $H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\operatorname{curl}(v) = 0$ ,  $\operatorname{div}(v) = \rho$ . (Remark :  $||v_n||_{(H^2(\Omega))^d} \leq C_6 ||\rho_n||_{H^1(\Omega)}$ ) Proving  $\int_{\Omega} (p_n - \operatorname{div}(u_n)) \rho_n \varphi dx \to \int_{\Omega} (p - \operatorname{div}(u)) \rho \varphi dx$ Let  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$  (so that  $v_n \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)^d$ )). Taking  $\overline{v} = v_n \varphi$ :  $\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(u_n) \operatorname{div}(v_n \varphi) dx + \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{curl}(u_n) \cdot \operatorname{curl}(v_n \varphi) dx - \int_{\Omega} p_n \operatorname{div}(v_n \varphi) dx$  $= \int_{\Omega} f_n \cdot (v_n \varphi) dx.$ 

But,  $\operatorname{div}(v_n\varphi) = \rho_n\varphi + v_n \cdot \nabla\varphi$  and  $\operatorname{curl}(v_n\varphi) = L(\varphi)v_n$ , where  $L(\varphi)$  is a matrix involving the first order derivatives of  $\varphi$ . Then:

$$\int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{div}(u_n) - p_n) \rho_n \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} f_n \cdot (v_n \varphi) dx - \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(u_n) v_n \cdot \nabla \varphi dx - \int \operatorname{curl}(u_n) \cdot L(\varphi) v_n + \int_{\Omega} p_n v_n \cdot \nabla \varphi dx.$$

Weak convergence of  $u_n$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)^d$ , weak convergence of  $p_n$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and convergence of  $v_n$  and  $f_n$  in  $L^2(\Omega)^d$ :

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\Omega}(\operatorname{div}(u_n)-p_n)\rho_n\varphi dx=\int_{\Omega}f\cdot(v\varphi)dx\\ -\int_{\Omega}\operatorname{div}(u)v\cdot\nabla\varphi dx-\int\operatorname{curl}(u)\cdot L(\varphi)v+\int_{\Omega}pv\cdot\nabla\varphi dx.$$

Proving  $\int_{\Omega} (p_n - \operatorname{div}(u_n)) \rho_n \varphi dx \to \int_{\Omega} (p - \operatorname{div}(u)) \rho \varphi dx$ 

But, since  $-\Delta u + \nabla p = f$ :

 $\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(u) \operatorname{div}(v\varphi) dx + \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{curl}(u) \cdot \operatorname{curl}(v\varphi) dx - \int_{\Omega} p \operatorname{div}(v\varphi) dx \\ = \int_{\Omega} f \cdot (v\varphi) dx.$ 

which gives (using  $\operatorname{div}(v) = \rho$  and  $\operatorname{curl}(v) = 0$ ):

$$\int_{\Omega} (\operatorname{div}(u) - p) \rho \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} f \cdot (v\varphi) dx - \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(u) v \cdot \nabla \varphi dx - \int \operatorname{curl}(u) \cdot L(\varphi) v + \int_{\Omega} p v \cdot \nabla \varphi dx.$$

Then:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\Omega}(p_n-\operatorname{div}(u_n))\rho_n\varphi dx=\int_{\Omega}(p-\operatorname{div}(u))\rho\varphi dx$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Proving  $\int_{\Omega} (p_n - \operatorname{div}(u_n)) \rho_n dx \to \int_{\Omega} (p - \operatorname{div}(u)) \rho dx$ 

Lemma :  $F_n \to F$  in  $D'(\Omega)$ ,  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  bounded in  $L^q$  for some q > 1. Then  $F_n \to F$  weakly in  $L^1$ .

With  $F_n = (p_n - \operatorname{div}(u_n))\rho_n$ ,  $F = (p - \operatorname{div}(u))\rho$  and since  $\gamma > 1$ , the lemma gives

$$\int_{\Omega} (p_n - \operatorname{div}(u_n)) \rho_n dx \to \int_{\Omega} (p - \operatorname{div}(u)) \rho dx.$$

Proving  $\int_{\Omega} p_n \rho_n dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} p \rho dx$ 

$$\int_{\Omega} (p_n - \operatorname{div}(u_n)) \rho_n dx \to \int_{\Omega} (p - \operatorname{div}(u)) \rho dx.$$

But since  $\operatorname{div}(\rho_n u_n) = 0$ ,  $\operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0$ , the preliminary lemma gives:

$$\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(u_n) \rho_n dx = 0, \ \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(u) \rho dx = 0;$$

Then:

$$\int_{\Omega} p_n \rho_n dx \to \int_{\Omega} p \rho dx.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

a.e. convergence of  $\rho_n$  and  $p_n$ 

Let  $G_n = (\rho_n^{\gamma} - \rho^{\gamma})(\rho_n - \rho) \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $G_n \ge 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ . Futhermore  $G_n = (p_n - \rho^{\gamma})(\rho_n - \rho) = p_n\rho_n - p_n\rho - \rho^{\gamma}\rho_n + \rho^{\gamma}\rho$  and:

$$\int_{\Omega} G_n dx = \int_{\Omega} p_n \rho_n dx - \int_{\Omega} p_n \rho dx - \int_{\Omega} \rho^{\gamma} \rho_n dx + \int_{\Omega} \rho^{\gamma} \rho dx.$$

Using the weak convergence in  $L^2(\Omega)$  of  $p_n$  and  $\rho_n$  and  $\int_{\Omega} p_n \rho_n dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} p \rho dx$ :

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\Omega}G_ndx=0,$$

Then (up to a subsequence),  $G_n \to 0$  a.e. and then  $\rho_n \to \rho$  a.e. (since  $y \mapsto y^{\gamma}$  is an increasing function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ ). Finally:  $\rho_n \to \rho$  in  $L^q(\Omega)$  for all  $1 \le q < 2\gamma$ ,  $p_n = \rho_n^{\gamma} \to \rho^{\gamma}$  in  $L^q(\Omega)$  for all  $1 \le q < 2$ , and  $p = \rho^{\gamma}$ . Additional difficulty for stat. comp. NS equations

 $\Omega$  is a bounded open set of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , d = 2 or 3, with a Lipschitz continuous boundary,  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $f \in L^2(\Omega)^d$  and M > 0

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla p &= f \text{ in } \Omega, \ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega \\ \operatorname{div}(\rho u) &= 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \ \rho \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \ \int_{\Omega} \rho(x) dx = M, \\ p &= \rho^{\gamma} \text{ in } \Omega \end{aligned}$$

d = 2: no aditional difficulty d = 3: no additional difficulty if  $\gamma \ge 3$ . But for  $\gamma < 3$ , no estimate on p in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Estimates in the case of NS equations,  $\frac{3}{2} < \gamma < 3$ 

Estimate on u: Taking u as test function in the momentum leads to an estimate on u in  $(H_0^1(\Omega)^d$  since

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho u \otimes u : \nabla u dx = 0.$$

Then, we have also an estimate on u in  $L^6(\Omega)^d$  (using Sobolev embedding).

Estimate on p in  $L^q(\Omega)$ , with some 1 < q < 2 and q = 1 when  $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$  (using Nečas Lemma in some  $L^r$  instead of  $L^2$ ).

Estimate on  $\rho$  in  $L^q(\Omega)$ , with some  $\frac{3}{2} < q < 6$  and  $q = \frac{3}{2}$  when  $\gamma = \frac{3}{2}$  (since  $p = \rho^{\gamma}$ ).

Remark :  $\rho u \otimes u \in L^1(\Omega)$ , since  $u \in L^6(\Omega)^d$  and  $\rho \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)$  (and  $\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{3} = 1$ ).

NS equations,  $\gamma$  < 3, how to pass to the limit in the EOS

We prove

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\Omega}p_n\rho_n^{\theta}dx=\int_{\Omega}p\rho^{\theta}dx,$$

with some convenient choice of  $\theta > 0$  instead of  $\theta = 1$ .

This gives, as for  $\theta = 1$ , the a.e. convergence (up to a subsequence) of  $p_n$  and  $\rho_n$ .