

Law of Large Numbers

Etienne Pardoux

Aix-Marseille Université

- Suppose there is no latency period ($T_1 = 0$ a.s.) and that $\Delta T \sim \text{Exp}(\alpha)$. Consider a *SIR* model with constant population size equal to N . Let $S(t)$ denote the number of susceptibles at time t , $I(t)$ the number of infectious, $R(t)$ the number of “removed” (i.e. “healed and immune”).
- Hence the following equations, with $P_1(t)$ and $P_2(t)$ two standard mutually independent Poisson processes :

$$S(t) = S(0) - P_1 \left(\frac{\beta}{N} \int_0^t S(s)I(s)ds \right),$$

$$I(t) = I(0) + P_1 \left(\frac{\beta}{N} \int_0^t S(s)I(s)ds \right) - P_2 \left(\alpha \int_0^t I(s)ds \right).$$

- Suppose there is no latency period ($T_1 = 0$ a.s.) and that $\Delta T \sim \text{Exp}(\alpha)$. Consider a *SIR* model with constant population size equal to N . Let $S(t)$ denote the number of susceptibles at time t , $I(t)$ the number of infectious, $R(t)$ the number of “removed” (i.e. “healed and immune”).
- Hence the following equations, with $P_1(t)$ and $P_2(t)$ two standard mutually independent Poisson processes :

$$S(t) = S(0) - P_1 \left(\frac{\beta}{N} \int_0^t S(s)I(s)ds \right),$$

$$I(t) = I(0) + P_1 \left(\frac{\beta}{N} \int_0^t S(s)I(s)ds \right) - P_2 \left(\alpha \int_0^t I(s)ds \right).$$

The proportions

- Let us define $s_N(t) = S(t)/N$, $i_N(t) = I(t)/N$. The equations for the proportions of susceptibles and infectious are written

$$s_N(t) = s_N(0) - \frac{1}{N}P_1 \left(\beta N \int_0^t s_N(r)i_N(r)dr \right),$$

$$i_N(t) = i_N(0) + \frac{1}{N}P_1 \left(\beta N \int_0^t s_N(r)i_N(r)dr \right) - \frac{1}{N}P_2 \left(\alpha N \int_0^t i_N(r)dr \right)$$

- Define the two martingales $M_1(t) = P_1(t) - t$, $M_2(t) = P_2(t) - t$. We have

$$s_N(t) = s_N(0) - \beta \int_0^t s_N(r)i_N(r)dr - \frac{1}{N}M_1 \left(\beta N \int_0^t s_N(r)i_N(r)dr \right),$$

$$i_N(t) = i_N(0) + \beta \int_0^t s_N(r)i_N(r)dr - \alpha \int_0^t i_N(r)dr + \frac{1}{N}M_1 \left(\beta N \int_0^t s_N(r)i_N(r)dr \right) - \frac{1}{N}M_2 \left(\alpha N \int_0^t i_N(r)dr \right).$$

The proportions

- Let us define $s_N(t) = S(t)/N$, $i_N(t) = I(t)/N$. The equations for the proportions of susceptibles and infectious are written

$$s_N(t) = s_N(0) - \frac{1}{N}P_1 \left(\beta N \int_0^t s_N(r)i_N(r)dr \right),$$

$$i_N(t) = i_N(0) + \frac{1}{N}P_1 \left(\beta N \int_0^t s_N(r)i_N(r)dr \right) - \frac{1}{N}P_2 \left(\alpha N \int_0^t i_N(r)dr \right)$$

- Define the two martingales $M_1(t) = P_1(t) - t$, $M_2(t) = P_2(t) - t$. We have

$$s_N(t) = s_N(0) - \beta \int_0^t s_N(r)i_N(r)dr - \frac{1}{N}M_1 \left(\beta N \int_0^t s_N(r)i_N(r)dr \right),$$

$$i_N(t) = i_N(0) + \beta \int_0^t s_N(r)i_N(r)dr - \alpha \int_0^t i_N(r)dr \\ + \frac{1}{N}M_1 \left(\beta N \int_0^t s_N(r)i_N(r)dr \right) - \frac{1}{N}M_2 \left(\alpha N \int_0^t i_N(r)dr \right).$$

- Consider the process

$$\mathcal{M}_N(t) := \frac{1}{N} M_1 \left(\beta N \int_0^t s_N(r) i_N(r) dr \right).$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{s_N(r), i_N(r), 0 \leq r \leq t\}$.

- We have

Lemma

$\{\mathcal{M}_N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a \mathcal{F}_t -martingale which satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{M}_N(t)] = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}\left[|\mathcal{M}_N(t)|^2\right] = \frac{\beta}{N} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t s_N(r) i_N(r) dr.$$

- Consider the process

$$\mathcal{M}_N(t) := \frac{1}{N} M_1 \left(\beta N \int_0^t s_N(r) i_N(r) dr \right).$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{s_N(r), i_N(r), 0 \leq r \leq t\}$.

- We have

Lemma

$\{\mathcal{M}_N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a \mathcal{F}_t -martingale which satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{M}_N(t)] = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{M}_N(t)|^2] = \frac{\beta}{N} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t s_N(r) i_N(r) dr.$$

Proof of the Lemma

- For the martingale property, see the Notes. From this, $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{M}_N(t)] = 0$.
- Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t$.

$$|\mathcal{M}_N(t)|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\mathcal{M}_N(t_i) - \mathcal{M}_N(t_{i-1})|^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [\mathcal{M}_N(t_i) - \mathcal{M}_N(t_{i-1})][\mathcal{M}_N(t_j) - \mathcal{M}_N(t_{j-1})]$$

$$\mathbb{E} (|\mathcal{M}_N(t)|^2)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} (|\mathcal{M}_N(t_i) - \mathcal{M}_N(t_{i-1})|^2)$$

- When $|t_i - t_{i-1}| \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |\mathcal{M}_N(t_i) - \mathcal{M}_N(t_{i-1})|^2 &\rightarrow \sum_{0 \leq r \leq t} |\Delta \mathcal{M}_N(r)|^2 \\ &= N^{-2} P_1 \left(\beta N \int_0^t s_N(r) i_N(r) dr \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the Lemma

- For the martingale property, see the Notes. From this, $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{M}_N(t)] = 0$.
- Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t$.

$$|\mathcal{M}_N(t)|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\mathcal{M}_N(t_i) - \mathcal{M}_N(t_{i-1})|^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [\mathcal{M}_N(t_i) - \mathcal{M}_N(t_{i-1})][\mathcal{M}_N(t_j) - \mathcal{M}_N(t_{j-1})]$$

$$\mathbb{E} (|\mathcal{M}_N(t)|^2)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} (|\mathcal{M}_N(t_i) - \mathcal{M}_N(t_{i-1})|^2)$$

- When $|t_i - t_{i-1}| \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |\mathcal{M}_N(t_i) - \mathcal{M}_N(t_{i-1})|^2 &\rightarrow \sum_{0 \leq r \leq t} |\Delta \mathcal{M}_N(r)|^2 \\ &= N^{-2} P_1 \left(\beta N \int_0^t s_N(r) i_N(r) dr \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the Lemma

- For the martingale property, see the Notes. From this, $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{M}_N(t)] = 0$.
- Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{M}_N(t)|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n |\mathcal{M}_N(t_i) - \mathcal{M}_N(t_{i-1})|^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [\mathcal{M}_N(t_i) - \mathcal{M}_N(t_{i-1})][\mathcal{M}_N(t_j) - \mathcal{M}_N(t_{j-1})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E} (|\mathcal{M}_N(t)|^2)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E} (|\mathcal{M}_N(t_i) - \mathcal{M}_N(t_{i-1})|^2)$$

- When $|t_i - t_{i-1}| \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |\mathcal{M}_N(t_i) - \mathcal{M}_N(t_{i-1})|^2 &\rightarrow \sum_{0 \leq r \leq t} |\Delta \mathcal{M}_N(r)|^2 \\ &= N^{-2} P_1 \left(\beta N \int_0^t s_N(r) i_N(r) dr \right) \end{aligned}$$

- The above cv is a.s., by uniform integrability we can interchange \mathbb{E} and the limit.
- Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \left[|\mathcal{M}_N(t)|^2 \right] &= N^{-2} \mathbb{E} P_1 \left(\beta N \int_0^t s_N(r) i_N(r) dr \right) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{N} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t s_N(r) i_N(r) dr.\end{aligned}$$

- This implies that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\mathcal{M}_N(t)| \rightarrow 0$ in probability. In fact this is true a.s.
- This follows from

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \frac{P_1(Nt)}{N} - t \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

- The above cv is a.s., by uniform integrability we can interchange \mathbb{E} and the limit.
- Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \left[|\mathcal{M}_N(t)|^2 \right] &= N^{-2} \mathbb{E} P_1 \left(\beta N \int_0^t s_N(r) i_N(r) dr \right) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{N} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t s_N(r) i_N(r) dr.\end{aligned}$$

- This implies that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\mathcal{M}_N(t)| \rightarrow 0$ in probability. In fact this is true a.s.
- This follows from

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \frac{P_1(Nt)}{N} - t \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

- The above cv is a.s., by uniform integrability we can interchange \mathbb{E} and the limit.
- Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \left[|\mathcal{M}_N(t)|^2 \right] &= N^{-2} \mathbb{E} P_1 \left(\beta N \int_0^t s_N(r) i_N(r) dr \right) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{N} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t s_N(r) i_N(r) dr.\end{aligned}$$

- This implies that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\mathcal{M}_N(t)| \rightarrow 0$ in probability. In fact this is true a.s.
- This follows from

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \frac{P_1(Nt)}{N} - t \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

- The above cv is a.s., by uniform integrability we can interchange \mathbb{E} and the limit.
- Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \left[|\mathcal{M}_N(t)|^2 \right] &= N^{-2} \mathbb{E} P_1 \left(\beta N \int_0^t s_N(r) i_N(r) dr \right) \\ &= \frac{\beta}{N} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t s_N(r) i_N(r) dr.\end{aligned}$$

- This implies that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\mathcal{M}_N(t)| \rightarrow 0$ in probability. In fact this is true a.s.
- This follows from

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \frac{P_1(Nt)}{N} - t \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

- We prove the above results in three steps. Let $P(t)$ be a rate λ Poisson process

$$n^{-1}P(n) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n [P(i) - P(i-1)]$$
$$\rightarrow \lambda \text{ a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- Second step

$$t^{-1}P(t) = t^{-1}P([t]) + t^{-1}(P(t) - P([t]))$$
$$|t^{-1}P(t) - \lambda| \leq |t^{-1}P([t]) - \lambda| + t^{-1}P([t] + 1) - t^{-1}P([t]).$$

- We have just proved that $N^{-1}P(Nt) \rightarrow \lambda t$ a.s. for all $t > 0$. We have a sequence of increasing functions which converges to a continuous function, hence by the second Dini theorem, the convergence is uniform.

- We prove the above results in three steps. Let $P(t)$ be a rate λ Poisson process

$$n^{-1}P(n) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n [P(i) - P(i-1)]$$
$$\rightarrow \lambda \text{ a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- Second step

$$t^{-1}P(t) = t^{-1}P([t]) + t^{-1}(P(t) - P([t]))$$
$$|t^{-1}P(t) - \lambda| \leq |t^{-1}P([t]) - \lambda| + t^{-1}P([t] + 1) - t^{-1}P([t]).$$

- We have just proved that $N^{-1}P(Nt) \rightarrow \lambda t$ a.s. for all $t > 0$. We have a sequence of increasing functions which converges to a continuous function, hence by the second Dini theorem, the convergence is uniform.

- We prove the above results in three steps. Let $P(t)$ be a rate λ Poisson process

$$n^{-1}P(n) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n [P(i) - P(i-1)]$$
$$\rightarrow \lambda \text{ a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- Second step

$$t^{-1}P(t) = t^{-1}P([t]) + t^{-1}(P(t) - P([t]))$$
$$|t^{-1}P(t) - \lambda| \leq |t^{-1}P([t]) - \lambda| + t^{-1}P([t] + 1) - t^{-1}P([t]).$$

- We have just proved that $N^{-1}P(Nt) \rightarrow \lambda t$ a.s. for all $t > 0$. We have a sequence of increasing functions which converges to a continuous function, hence by the second Dini theorem, the convergence is uniform.

- We have the Law of Large Numbers :

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \{|s_N(t) - s(t)| + |i_N(t) - i(t)|\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s., where}$$

$(s(t), i(t))$ solves

$$\begin{cases} \frac{ds}{dt}(t) = -\beta s(t)i(t), & t > 0, \\ \frac{di}{dt}(t) = \beta s(t)i(t) - \alpha i(t), & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

- Define $X(t) = \begin{pmatrix} s(t) \\ i(t) \end{pmatrix}$, $X_N(t) = \begin{pmatrix} s_N(t) \\ i_N(t) \end{pmatrix}$, $\bar{X}_N(t) = X(t) - X_N(t)$,
 $Y_N(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_N(t) \\ \mathcal{N}_N(t) - \mathcal{M}_N(t) \end{pmatrix}$, and finally $F \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta xy \\ \beta xy - \alpha y \end{pmatrix}$. For
 $0 \leq x, y, x', y' \leq 1$,

$$\left\| F \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} - F \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq C(\alpha, \beta) \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} \right\|.$$

- We have the Law of Large Numbers :

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \{|s_N(t) - s(t)| + |i_N(t) - i(t)|\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s., where}$$

$(s(t), i(t))$ solves

$$\begin{cases} \frac{ds}{dt}(t) = -\beta s(t)i(t), & t > 0, \\ \frac{di}{dt}(t) = \beta s(t)i(t) - \alpha i(t), & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

- Define $X(t) = \begin{pmatrix} s(t) \\ i(t) \end{pmatrix}$, $X_N(t) = \begin{pmatrix} s_N(t) \\ i_N(t) \end{pmatrix}$, $\bar{X}_N(t) = X(t) - X_N(t)$,
 $Y_N(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_N(t) \\ \mathcal{N}_N(t) - \mathcal{M}_N(t) \end{pmatrix}$, and finally $F \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta xy \\ \beta xy - \alpha y \end{pmatrix}$. For
 $0 \leq x, y, x', y' \leq 1$,

$$\left\| F \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} - F \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq C(\alpha, \beta) \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} \right\|.$$

- We have

$$\bar{X}_N(t) = \bar{X}_N(0) + \int_0^t [F(X(r)) - F(X_N(r))]dr + Y_N(t).$$

- We have proved that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Y_N(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ a.s. as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
- Let $\varepsilon_N(t) = \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \|Y_N(r)\|$. We have

$$\|\bar{X}_N(t)\| \leq \|\bar{X}_N(0)\| + C(\alpha, \beta) \int_0^t \|\bar{X}_N(r)\|dr + \varepsilon_N(t).$$

- It then follows from Gronwall's Lemma that

$$\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \|\bar{X}_N(r)\| \leq (\|\bar{X}_N(0)\| + \varepsilon_N(t)) \exp(C(\alpha, \beta)t),$$

hence the result, provided that $\bar{X}_N(0) \rightarrow 0$.

- We have

$$\bar{X}_N(t) = \bar{X}_N(0) + \int_0^t [F(X(r)) - F(X_N(r))]dr + Y_N(t).$$

- We have proved that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Y_N(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ a.s. as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
- Let $\varepsilon_N(t) = \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \|Y_N(r)\|$. We have

$$\|\bar{X}_N(t)\| \leq \|\bar{X}_N(0)\| + C(\alpha, \beta) \int_0^t \|\bar{X}_N(r)\|dr + \varepsilon_N(t).$$

- It then follows from Gronwall's Lemma that

$$\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \|\bar{X}_N(r)\| \leq (\|\bar{X}_N(0)\| + \varepsilon_N(t)) \exp(C(\alpha, \beta)t),$$

hence the result, provided that $\bar{X}_N(0) \rightarrow 0$.

- We have

$$\bar{X}_N(t) = \bar{X}_N(0) + \int_0^t [F(X(r)) - F(X_N(r))]dr + Y_N(t).$$

- We have proved that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Y_N(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ a.s. as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
- Let $\varepsilon_N(t) = \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \|Y_N(r)\|$. We have

$$\|\bar{X}_N(t)\| \leq \|\bar{X}_N(0)\| + C(\alpha, \beta) \int_0^t \|\bar{X}_N(r)\|dr + \varepsilon_N(t).$$

- It then follows from Gronwall's Lemma that

$$\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \|\bar{X}_N(r)\| \leq (\|\bar{X}_N(0)\| + \varepsilon_N(t)) \exp(C(\alpha, \beta)t),$$

hence the result, provided that $\bar{X}_N(0) \rightarrow 0$.

- We have

$$\bar{X}_N(t) = \bar{X}_N(0) + \int_0^t [F(X(r)) - F(X_N(r))]dr + Y_N(t).$$

- We have proved that $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|Y_N(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ a.s. as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
- Let $\varepsilon_N(t) = \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \|Y_N(r)\|$. We have

$$\|\bar{X}_N(t)\| \leq \|\bar{X}_N(0)\| + C(\alpha, \beta) \int_0^t \|\bar{X}_N(r)\|dr + \varepsilon_N(t).$$

- It then follows from Gronwall's Lemma that

$$\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \|\bar{X}_N(r)\| \leq (\|\bar{X}_N(0)\| + \varepsilon_N(t)) \exp(C(\alpha, \beta)t),$$

hence the result, provided that $\bar{X}_N(0) \rightarrow 0$.