

A brief Report on the article [SHI 09]: “Nonconforming  
 $H^1$ –Galerkin Mixed FEM for Sobolev Equations on  
Anisotropic Meshes”

Dong-yang Shi, Hai-hong Wang

Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, Vol. 25, No. 2 (2009) 335–344.

Report done by Professor Bradji, Abdallah

Provisional home page: <http://www.cmi.univ-mrs.fr/~bradji>

This report is not finished yet.

Written in Thursday 1st April, 2010

**Primary AMS Subject Classification 2010:**

65N30

**Secondary AMS Subject Classification 2010:**

35Q10

**Key words and phrases:**

Sobolev equations; nonconforming Galerkin mixed finite element methods; anisotropic meshes; error estimates

**Abstract**

The authors consider a nonconforming mixed finite element approximation for the Sobolev equation on anisotropic meshes. Convergence order is provided in the both cases of semi and full discretization schemes. The error estimates are obtained without using Ritz–Volterra projection.

**1 Introduction**

It is well known that Sobolev equations have been found applications in many physical problems, such as the porous theories concerned with percolation into rocks with cracks, the transport problems of humidity in soil, the heat-conduction problems in different mediums and so on.

However, all of the above studies using finite element methods rely on the regularity assumption or the quasi-uniform assumption. i.e.,  $\frac{h_K}{\rho_K} \leq C_1$  and  $\frac{h}{h_K} \leq C_2$ , where  $K$  is an element,  $h_K, \rho_K$  denote

the diameter of  $K$  and the biggest circle contained in  $K$ , respectively.  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are two constants independent of  $h = \max_K \{h_K\}$  and the function considered. But when the domain concerned is very narrow, if we employ the regular partition, the computing cost will be very high. The obvious idea to overcome this difficulty is to use the anisotropic meshes with fewer degrees of freedom.

## 2 Sobolev equations

Let  $\Omega$  be an open polygonal subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  which is composed by rectangular subsets  $\mathcal{T}_h$ . The authors considered the following Sobolev equations:

$$u_t(x, t) - \nabla \cdot (a(x, t) \nabla u_t(x, t) + b(x, t) \nabla u(x, t)) = f(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in (0, T], \quad [1]$$

where  $T$  is a given positive constant; the function  $a$ ,  $b$  are continuous functions with bounded derivatives and

$$|b(x, t)| \leq b_1, \quad 0 < a_0 \leq |a(x, t)| \leq a_1, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in (0, T], \quad [2]$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0, \quad x \in \Omega \quad [3]$$

and

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t \in (0, T]. \quad [4]$$

Sobolev equations have been found applications in many physical problems, such as the porous theories concerned with percolation into rocks with cracks[4], the transport problems of humidity in soil, the heat-conduction problems[19] in different mediums and so on.

## 3 Formulation for problem [1]–[4]

Let us set  $q = a \nabla u(x, t)$ ; so equation [1] becomes as:

$$u_t(x, t) - \nabla \cdot (q_t(x, t) + \beta(x, t) q(x, t)) = f(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in (0, T], \quad [5]$$

where

$$\beta(x, t) = \frac{b(x, t) - a_t(x, t)}{a(x, t)} q(x, t)$$

To define a weak formulation for problem [1]–[4], we denote by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  the usual inner product,  $H(\div; \Omega) = \{v \in (L^2(\Omega))^2, \nabla \cdot v = 0\}$ .

The following weak formulation is given in [SHI 09], where  $\alpha = \frac{1}{a}$ : find  $\{u, q\} : [0, T] \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega) \times H(\text{div}; \Omega)$  such that

$$(\nabla u, \nabla v) = (\alpha q, \nabla v), \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \quad [6]$$

$$(\alpha_t q, w) + (\alpha q_t, w) + (\nabla \cdot (q_t(x, t) + \beta(x, t) q(x, t)), \nabla \cdot w) = -(f, \nabla \cdot w), \quad \forall w \in H(\text{div}; \Omega) \quad [7]$$

and

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \quad [8]$$

## 4 Anisotropic finite element discretization

The finite element discretization is performed thanks to partition by rectangles  $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ . For  $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ , the length of edges parallel to  $x$  and  $y$ -axis are  $2h_x$  and  $2h_y$ , and  $(x_K, y_K)$  is the center; the four vertices are then denoted by  $a_1(x_K - h_x, y_K - h_y)$ ,  $a_2(x_K + h_x, y_K - h_y)$ ,  $a_3(x_K + h_x, y_K + h_y)$ , and  $a_4(x_K - h_x, y_K + h_y)$ . The four edges then of  $K$  are denoted by  $\overline{a_1 a_2}$ ,  $\overline{a_2 a_3}$ ,  $\overline{a_3 a_4}$  and  $\overline{a_4 a_1}$ . Let  $\hat{K} = [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$  be the reference element; the four vertices of  $\hat{K}$  are then denoted by  $\hat{a}_1 = (-1, -1)$ ,  $\hat{a}_2 = (1, -1)$ ,  $\hat{a}_3 = (1, 1)$ , and  $\hat{a}_4 = (-1, 1)$ . The four edges of  $\hat{K}$  are  $\bar{l}_1 = \overline{\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2}$ ,  $\bar{l}_2 = \overline{\hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_3}$ ,  $\bar{l}_3 = \overline{\hat{a}_3 \hat{a}_4}$  and  $\bar{l}_4 = \overline{\hat{a}_4 \hat{a}_1}$ .

The affine mapping from  $\hat{K}$  to  $K$  can be defined as:  $x = x_K + h_x \hat{x}$  and  $y = y_K + h_y \hat{y}$ . We introduce two finite element types:

- first type:

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}^1 = \text{span}\{1, \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \varphi(\hat{x}), \varphi(\hat{y})\}, \quad [9]$$

where  $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2}(3t^2 - 1)$ ; **but i do not what is the difference between  $\text{span}\{1, \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \varphi(\hat{x}), \varphi(\hat{y})\}$  and  $\text{span}\{1, \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{x}^2, \hat{y}^2\}$**

- second type:

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}^2 = \text{span}\{1, \hat{x}, \hat{y}\} \times \text{span}\{1, \hat{x}, \hat{y}\} \quad [10]$$

- first interpolation type:

$$\frac{1}{|\hat{K}|} \int_{\hat{K}} (\hat{v} - \hat{I}^1 \hat{v}) d\hat{x} d\hat{y} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{|\bar{l}_i|} \int_{\bar{l}_i} (\hat{v} - \hat{I}^1 \hat{v}) ds = 0. \quad [11]$$

- second interpolation type::

$$\frac{1}{|\bar{l}_i|} \int_{\bar{l}_i} \hat{I}^2 \hat{v} ds = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{v}(\bar{a}_i) + \hat{v}(\bar{l}_{i+1})) \quad [12]$$

To define the finite element spaces, we define the affine application defined on  $\hat{K}$  into  $K$

$$x = x_K + h_x \hat{x} \quad \text{and} \quad y = y_K + h_y \hat{y}. \quad [13]$$

### 4.1 Finite element spaces

- first finite element space:

$$V_h = \{v, \hat{x} \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}_1, \int_F [v] ds = 0, F \subset \partial K\}. \quad [14]$$

- second interpolation type::

$$W_h = \{q = (q_1, q_2), (\hat{q}_1, \hat{q}_2) \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}_2 \times \hat{\mathcal{P}}_2, q(a) = 0, \text{ for any node } a \in \partial \Omega\}. \quad [15]$$

## 4.2 Discrete problem

Find  $\{u_h, q_h\} : [0, T] \rightarrow V_h \times W_h$  such that

$$(\nabla u_h, \nabla v_h) = (\alpha q_h, \nabla v_h), \forall v_h \in V_h \quad [16]$$

$$(\alpha_t q_h, w_h) + (\alpha (q_h)_t, w_h) + (\nabla \cdot ((q_h)_t(x, t) + \beta(x, t) q_h(x, t)), \nabla \cdot w_h) = -(f, \nabla \cdot w_h), \forall w_h \in W_h \quad [17]$$

and

$$u_h(x, 0) = (\Pi_1 u_0)(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \quad [18]$$

## 5 Convergence result

**THEOREM 5.1** Assume that  $u, u_t \in H^2(\Omega)$  and  $q, q_t \in (H^2(\Omega))^2$ , then the following error estimates hold

- estimate for  $u_h$

$$|u - u_h|_h \leq C h(x, 0) = \Pi_1(u_0)(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad [19]$$

where  $C$  depends on  $t, u, u_t, q$ , and  $q_t$

- estimate for  $q_h$

$$\|q_h\|_{H(\text{div}; \Omega)} \leq C h, \quad [20]$$

where

$$|v_h|_h^2 = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} |v_h|_{1, \Omega}^2, \quad [21]$$

$$\|q_h\|_{H(\text{div}; \Omega)}^2 = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} (|q_h|_{0, \Omega}^2 + |\nabla \cdot q_h|_{0, \Omega}^2). \quad [22]$$

## References

- [SHI 09] DONG-YANG SHI, HAI-HONG WANG: Nonconforming  $H^1$ -Galerkin mixed finite element method for Sobolev equations on anisotropic meshes. *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica*, Vol. 25, No. 2, 335–344, 2009.