

Bochner-Martinelli formulas on singular complex spaces

Vincenzo Ancona and Bernard Gaveau

Abstract.

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}^n$ be the blowing-up of \mathbf{C}^n at a point P . We prove that the pullback to $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}^n$ of the Bochner-Martinelli form centered at P is logarithmic along the exceptional divisor. It follows that the Bochner-Martinelli integral formula appears as a Leray residue formula. Moreover the Bochner-Martinelli form is logarithmic also at infinity.

Let U be a complex space of complex dimension $n \geq 2$, subject to the following assumption: *there exist a compact complex space X bimeromorphic to a Kähler manifold, and a closed subspace $T \subset X$, such that $X \setminus T = U$.* An affine, or a quasi projective variety U satisfies the above property (X is a projective compactification of U). For a point $P \in U$, the cohomology group $H^{2n-1}(U \setminus \{P\}, \mathbf{C})$, equipped with the weight filtration W_m , carries a mixed Hodge structure. Thus the first graded quotient

$$BM(U \setminus \{P\}) = \frac{W_1 H^{2n-1}(U \setminus \{P\}, \mathbf{C})}{W_0 H^{2n-1}(U \setminus \{P\}, \mathbf{C})}$$

carries a pure Hodge structure of weight $2n - 2$, which turns out to contain only elements of pure type $(n - 1, n - 1)$. The elements of $BM(U \setminus \{P\})$ are represented by closed forms ω on $U \setminus \{P\}$ of pure type $(n, n - 1)$, which are logarithmic in a suitable sense. Thanks to a more general residue formula we prove that the forms ω give rise to an integral formula of Bochner-Martinelli type for holomorphic functions.

We prove that the forms ω can be chosen to depend C^∞ on P , that is, we prove the existence of ($\bar{\partial}$ -closed) Bochner-Martinelli Kernels. Such Kernels can be used to prove integral formulas for differential forms (in sense of Grauert) on U .

1. The Bochner-Martinelli formula as a residue formula.

It is well known (see for example [GH]) that the Bochner-Martinelli integral formula can be proved as a consequence of the Grothendieck residue formula. Here we show that it can also be proved from the Leray residue formula [L]. This suggests how to extend such formulas to complex spaces.

Let $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ be a point in \mathcal{C}^n . We consider the differential form

$$\omega'(\overline{z-w}, d\bar{z}) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} (\overline{z_k - w_k}) d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\bar{z}_k} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\bar{z}_n \quad (1.1)$$

The form

$$\omega(w, z) = \frac{\omega'(\overline{z-w}, d\bar{z}) \wedge dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n}{\|z-w\|^{2n}} \quad (1.2)$$

with

$$\|z-w\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n |z_k - w_k|^2 \quad (1.3)$$

is the *Bochner-Martinelli form*. It is d -closed and $\bar{\partial}$ -closed. The Bochner-Martinelli formula reads as

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathcal{C}^n$ be a relatively compact domain with (piecewise) smooth boundary. Let f be a holomorphic function on Ω , which is continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$. For a point $w \in \Omega$ one has*

$$f(w) = \frac{(n-1)!}{(2i\pi)^n} \int_{\partial\Omega} f(z) \omega(w, z) \quad (1.4)$$

Proof. One can assume that $w = 0$. We shall prove that (1.4) can be deduced from the Leray residue formula. Let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be the manifold obtained by blowing-up the point $0 \in \Omega$. $\tilde{\Omega}$ is the submanifold of $\Omega \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ defined by the equations $Z_l z_k = Z_k z_l$ for $k \neq l$, where $[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ are the homogeneous coordinates in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . We consider a point m of the exceptional divisor $(0) \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subset \tilde{\Omega}$ such that $Z_n \neq 0$ at m . Then, one can choose as complex coordinates in the neighborhood of m , the numbers

$$\zeta_1 = \frac{Z_1}{Z_n}, \dots, \zeta_k = \frac{Z_k}{Z_n}, \dots, \zeta_{n-1} = \frac{Z_{n-1}}{Z_n}, z_n$$

so that $z_k = \zeta_k z_n$ for $k \leq n-1$. Thus $z_n = 0$ is the local equation of the exceptional divisor $(0) \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ in a neighborhood of m . We consider the form

$$\phi = f(z) \frac{\omega'(\bar{z}, d\bar{z}) \wedge dz^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz^n}{\|z\|^{2n}} \quad (1.5)$$

The pull back of ϕ by the projection map $p : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \Omega$ is logarithmic along the exceptional divisor D .

In fact $p^*\phi$ is given by the following formula

$$\begin{aligned}
p^*\phi &= \frac{f(\zeta_1 z_n, \dots, \zeta_{n-1} z_n, z_n)}{|z_n|^{2n} (1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |\zeta_k|^2)^n} \times \\
&\quad \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k-1} \bar{\zeta}_k \bar{z}_n d(\bar{\zeta}_1 \bar{z}_n) \wedge \dots \wedge d(\widehat{\bar{\zeta}_k \bar{z}_n}) \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_n \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (-1)^{n-1} \bar{z}_n d(\bar{\zeta}_1 \bar{z}_n) \wedge \dots \wedge d(\bar{\zeta}_{n-1} \bar{z}_n) \right] \wedge [d(\zeta_1 z_n) \wedge \dots \wedge d(\zeta_{n-1} z_n) \wedge dz_n] \\
&\equiv \frac{f(\zeta_1 z_n, \dots, \zeta_{n-1} z_n, z_n)}{(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |\zeta_k|^2)^n} \left(d\bar{\zeta}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_{n-1} \wedge d\zeta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\zeta_{n-1} \wedge \frac{dz_n}{z_n} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

(modulo smooth forms).

So the residue of $p^*\phi$ on the exceptional divisor is

$$\text{Res } p^*\phi = f(0) \frac{(d\bar{\zeta}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_{n-1} \wedge d\zeta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\zeta_{n-1})}{(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |\zeta_k|^2)^n}$$

Moreover because ϕ is closed, one has

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \phi = \int_{\partial B(0, \epsilon)} \phi = \int_{S(0, \epsilon)} \phi$$

where $B(0, \epsilon)$ (resp. $S(0, \epsilon)$) is the ball (resp. the sphere) of center at 0 and radius ϵ . But $S(0, \epsilon)$ is exactly $\delta[(0) \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}]$ where δ is the residue in homology. Thus in the manifold $\tilde{\Omega}$, one has by the residue formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{S(0, \epsilon)} \phi &= \int_{S(0, \epsilon)} p^*\phi = (2i\pi) \int_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} \text{Res } p^*\phi = \\
&= 2i\pi f(0) \int_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} \frac{(d\bar{\zeta}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_{n-1} \wedge d\zeta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\zeta_{n-1})}{(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |\zeta_k|^2)^n}
\end{aligned}$$

This last integral is exactly the integral of the volume form of \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . Its value is

$$\frac{(2i\pi)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

Hence we have seen that the pullback of the Bochner-Martinelli form on $\tilde{\Omega}$ has a logarithmic singularity along the exceptional divisor, and that the Bochner-Martinelli formula is a residue formula in the blow-up manifold $\tilde{\Omega}$ with respect to the exceptional divisor.

The behaviour at the infinity of the Bochner-Martinelli form.

Next we study the behaviour at the infinity of the Bochner-Martinelli form. We consider the projective space \mathbb{P}^n with complex coordinates $[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ and we look at \mathcal{C}^n as the complement of the hyperplane H defined by the equation $x_0 = 0$. Let P be a point of H where $x_1 \neq 0$. Then we can write

$$z_j = \frac{x_j}{x_0}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

so that the local coordinates v_j around P are related to the coordinates z_j by the formulas

$$z_1 = \frac{1}{v_1}, \quad z_s = \frac{v_s}{v_1} \quad \text{for } s = 2, \dots, n$$

and H is defined by the equation $v_1 = 0$. Substituting the above relations in the formula (1.2) ($w = 0$) we find that the Bochner-Martinelli form has a logarithmic singularity along H , whose residue is

$$-\frac{(d\bar{v}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{v}_n \wedge dv_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dv_n)}{(1 + \sum_{k=2}^n |v_k|^2)^n}$$

2. The Leray residue theorem for a divisor with normal crossings.

In this section, X is a complex analytic manifold and $D = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_N$ is a *divisor with normal crossings*; that means that each D_i is a smooth hypersurface of X , and at each point $x \in X$, there are at most $n = \dim_{\mathcal{C}} X$ divisors D_j passing through x and which are transversal. In particular, given x , one can find complex analytic coordinates (z_1, \dots, z_n) in a neighborhood U of x , such that the local equation of $D \cap U$ in U is $z_1 \cdots z_s = 0$, s depending on x .

We define for any ordered multiindex $I = (i_1, \dots, i_q) \subset (1, \dots, N)$

$$D_I = D_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{i_q}$$

and

$$D^{[q]} = \amalg_{|I|=q} D_I, \quad D^{[0]} = X$$

where the symbol \amalg denotes the disjoint union. Then the $D^{[q]}$ are manifolds (not connected in general).

The residue theorem.

Let $L_i \rightarrow X$ be the line bundle associated to D_i , and h_i a hermitian metrics on L_i . We denote by s_i a holomorphic section of L_i vanishing exactly on D_i . There exists a neighborhood of D_i in X diffeomorphic to a neighborhood of D_i (embedded as the zero section) in L_i . For $\epsilon_i > 0$ small enough we consider the tube around D_i :

$$T_{\epsilon_i} = \{x \in X : \|s_i(x)\| < \epsilon_i\}$$

where the length $\|s_i(x)\|$ is taken with respect to the metrics h_i .

Let us define

$$T_{\underline{\epsilon}} = \bigcup_i T_{\epsilon_i} \tag{2.1}$$

The boundary $\partial T_{\underline{\epsilon}}$ is a cycle of dimension $2n - 1$ in $X \setminus D$.

Let ω be a form of type $(n, n - 1)$ on a neighborhood of D , d -closed (hence $\bar{\partial}$ -closed), having logarithmic singularities along D .

We define the residue of ω on D . Let x be a smooth point of D ; it belongs to a unique component D_j of D . Let $\zeta_j = 0$ be the equation of D_j in a neighborhood U of x ; on U we can write

$$\omega|_U = \frac{d\zeta_j}{\zeta_j} \wedge \psi + \theta$$

where ψ, θ are C^∞ on U . We put

$$Res \omega|_{U \cap D_j} = \psi|_{U \cap D_j}$$

and we get a well defined $(n - 1, n - 1)$ form $Res \omega$ on the disjoint union $\bigcup_j (D_j \setminus Sing(D)) = D \setminus Sing(D)$, having logarithmic singularities along each $D_j \cap Sing(D)$.

Lemma 2.1. *The form $Res \omega$ is integrable on D_j .*

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a complex manifold of complex dimension $n \geq 2$, $D \subset X$ a divisor with normal crossings, ω a differential form of type $(n, n - 1)$, with compact support, on X , having logarithmic singularities along D . Then*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial T_{\underline{\epsilon}}} \omega = 2i\pi \int_D Res \omega$$

with $T_{\underline{\epsilon}} = \bigcup_i T_{\epsilon_i}$ as in (2.1).

3. Logarithmic differential forms and the mixed Hodge structure on cohomology.

By a pair (of complex spaces) (X, Q) we mean the data of a complex space X and of a closed, nowhere dense complex subspace Q . Let $\rho : X \setminus Q \rightarrow X$ be the natural embedding.

If X is smooth and $Q = D$ is a divisor with normal crossings, a *logarithmic differential k -form* on X (with poles of order $\leq l$ along D) is a form ω on $X \setminus D$ which, in a sufficiently small neighborhood of any $x \in D$ can be written as

$$\omega = \sum_{|I| \leq l} \alpha_I \wedge \left(\frac{dz}{z} \right)^I \quad (3.1)$$

where $\left(\frac{dz}{z} \right)^I = \frac{dz_{i_1}}{z_{i_1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dz_{i_l}}{z_{i_l}}$.

The differential $d\omega$ of a logarithmic form (with poles of order $\leq l$) is logarithmic (with poles of order $\leq l$). The above definition has a local nature: we can define a logarithmic form on $Y \setminus D$ for any open subset $Y \subset X$, hence the sheaf $\mathcal{E}_X^k \langle \log D \rangle$ of the logarithmic k -forms is well defined, and $\mathcal{E}_X^k \langle \log D \rangle$ is a complex of fine sheaves on X .

The logarithmic forms on any open set $Y \subset X$ are particular differential forms on $Y \setminus D$, hence we have an inclusion

$$\mathcal{E}_X^k \langle \log D \rangle \subset \rho_* \mathcal{E}_{X \setminus D}^k$$

where $\rho : X \setminus D \hookrightarrow X$ is the natural inclusion map.

The following statements (Griffiths-Schmid) hold:

- every closed differential form on $X \setminus D$ is cohomologous to a logarithmic form;
- every logarithmic differential form on $X \setminus D$ which is exact, is the differential of a logarithmic form.

The main consequence of the above result is that the cohomology of $X \setminus D$ is the cohomology of the complex of global sections $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{E}_X^k \langle \log D \rangle)$:

$$H^k(X, \mathcal{E}_X^k \langle \log D \rangle) \simeq H^k(X, \rho_* \mathcal{E}_{X \setminus D}^k) \simeq H^k(X \setminus D, \mathcal{C})$$

We introduce **the weight filtration W** on $\mathcal{E}_X^k \langle \log D \rangle$, just defining $W_l \mathcal{E}_X^k \langle \log D \rangle$ as the subsheaf of $\mathcal{E}_X^k \langle \log D \rangle$ of the forms having poles of order $\leq l$.

If X is smooth and Q is any closed subspace, a differential k -form ω on $X \setminus Q$ is said *logarithmic along Q* if for some blowing-up

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{i} & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\ Q & \xrightarrow{j} & X \end{array}$$

such that D is a divisor with normal crossing, the pull-back $\pi^*\omega$ is logarithmic along D .

For a pair (X, Q) , where X is possibly singular, we define a complex (in fact, a family of complexes) of fine sheaves $(\Lambda_X \langle \log Q \rangle, d)$ on X with the following properties.

(I) The restriction $\Lambda_{X \setminus Q} = \Lambda_X \langle \log Q \rangle|_{X \setminus Q}$ of $\Lambda_X \langle \log Q \rangle$ to $X \setminus Q$ is a resolution of the constant sheaf \mathcal{C} on $X \setminus Q$, and the natural morphism of complexes

$$\Lambda_X \langle \log Q \rangle \rightarrow \rho_* \Lambda_{X \setminus Q}$$

induces isomorphisms in cohomology:

$$H^k(X, \Lambda_X \langle \log Q \rangle) = H^k(X, \rho_* \Lambda_{X \setminus Q}) = H^k(X \setminus Q, \mathcal{C}) \quad (3.2)$$

in other words the cohomology of $X \setminus Q$ can be calculated as the cohomology of the complex of sections $(\Gamma(X, \Lambda_X \langle \log Q \rangle), d)$ of $\Lambda_X \langle \log Q \rangle$.

(II) For $k > 2\dim X$, $\Lambda_X^k \langle \log Q \rangle = 0$.

The complex $\Lambda_X \langle \log Q \rangle$ will be called a *logarithmic complex* for a pair (X, Q) ; we recall its construction.

Let (X, Q) be any pair, $E = \text{Sing}(X)$; let us consider a diagram of desingularization of X

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{E} & \xrightarrow{i} & \tilde{X} \\ q \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow \\ E & \xrightarrow{j} & X \end{array} \quad (3.3)$$

where \tilde{X} is a smooth manifold, $\tilde{E} = \pi^{-1}(E)$, and π induces by restriction an isomorphism $\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{E} \simeq X \setminus E$. Let

$$\tilde{Q} = \pi^{-1}(Q), \quad M = E \cap Q, \quad \tilde{M} = \tilde{E} \cap \tilde{Q}.$$

We suppose that \tilde{Q} is a divisor with normal crossings.

By induction on $\dim(X)$ we can find complexes $\Lambda_E \langle \log M \rangle$ and $\Lambda_{\tilde{E}} \langle \log \tilde{M} \rangle$, corresponding to the pairs (E, M) and (\tilde{E}, \tilde{M}) , a pullback

$$\phi : \Lambda_E \langle \log M \rangle \rightarrow \Lambda_{\tilde{E}} \langle \log \tilde{M} \rangle \quad (3.4)$$

a pullback

$$\psi : \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{X}} \langle \log \tilde{Q} \rangle \rightarrow \Lambda_{\tilde{E}} \langle \log \tilde{M} \rangle$$

so that we define the complex

$$\Lambda_X^k \langle \log Q \rangle = \pi_* \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{X}}^k \langle \log \tilde{Q} \rangle \oplus j_* \Lambda_E^k \langle \log M \rangle \oplus (j \circ q)_* \Lambda_{\tilde{E}}^{k-1} \langle \log \tilde{M} \rangle \quad (3.5)$$

whose differential is by definition

$$d(\omega, \sigma, \theta) = (d\omega, d\sigma, d\theta + (-1)^k(\psi(\omega) - \phi(\sigma))). \quad (3.6)$$

Note that $\Lambda_X^k \langle \log Q \rangle$ is a fine sheaf defined on all of X .

From the construction of $\Lambda_X^k \langle \log Q \rangle$ it follows that there is a uniquely determined family $((X_a, Q_a), h_a)_{a \in A}$ of pairs (X_a, Q_a) , where X_a is a smooth manifold and Q_a is (either empty or) a divisor with normal crossings in X_a , and proper maps of pairs $h_a : (X_a, Q_a) \rightarrow (X, Q)$ such that

$$\Lambda_X^k \langle \log Q \rangle = \bigoplus_{a \in A} (h_a)_* \mathcal{E}_{X_a}^{k-q(a)} \langle \log Q_a \rangle \quad (3.7)$$

where $q(a) = q_X(a)$ is a nonnegative integer, which depends only on $a \in A$ and not on k . The family $(X_a, Q_a)_{a \in A}$ will be called the *hypercovering* of (X, Q) associated to the complex $\Lambda_X^k \langle \log Q \rangle$, and $q_X(a)$ will be the **rank** of (X_a, Q_a) .

Remark.

- 1) In the situation of the diagram (3.3) and of the complex (3.5), we notice that (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Q}) is a pair (X_a, Q_a) of the hypercovering, with $q(a) = 0$.
- 2) Notice also that $\dim X_a \leq \dim X$, and equality holds if and only if $X_a = \tilde{X}$.

The weight filtration W and the Hodge filtration F .

If $\Lambda_X^k \langle \log Q \rangle$ is a logarithmic complex, we can rewrite the equation (3.5) defining the complex as

$$\Lambda_X^k \langle \log Q \rangle = \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{X}}^k \langle \log \tilde{Q} \rangle \oplus \Lambda_E^k \langle \log M \rangle \oplus \Lambda_{\tilde{E}}^{k-1} \langle \log \tilde{M} \rangle \quad (3.8)$$

where we have skipped the symbols of direct images of sheaves. The *weight filtration* W on the complex $(\Lambda_X^k \langle \log Q \rangle, d)$ is defined by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} W_m \Lambda_X^k \langle \log Q \rangle &= \\ &= W_m \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{X}}^k \langle \log \tilde{Q} \rangle \oplus W_m \Lambda_E^k \langle \log M \rangle \oplus W_{m+1} \Lambda_{\tilde{E}}^{k-1} \langle \log \tilde{M} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

In (3.9) $W_m \Lambda_E^k \langle \log M \rangle$ and $W_{m+1} \Lambda_{\tilde{E}}^{k-1} \langle \log \tilde{M} \rangle$ are defined by recursion on the dimension of the spaces, and $W_m \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{X}}^k \langle \log \tilde{Q} \rangle$ is the filtration by the order of the poles. $(\Lambda_X^k \langle \log Q \rangle, d)$ is a filtered complex for W_m :

$$d(W_m \Lambda_X^k \langle \log Q \rangle) \subset W_m \Lambda_X^{k+1} \langle \log Q \rangle \quad (3.10)$$

As well, the *Hodge filtration* F on the complex $(\Lambda_X^k \langle \log Q \rangle, d)$ is defined by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} F^p \Lambda_X^k \langle \log Q \rangle &= \\ &= F^p \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{X}}^k \langle \log \tilde{Q} \rangle \oplus F^p \Lambda_E^k \langle \log M \rangle \oplus F^p \Lambda_{\tilde{E}}^{k-1} \langle \log \tilde{M} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where $F^p \Lambda_E^k < \log M >$ and $F^p \Lambda_{\tilde{E}}^{k-1} < \log \tilde{M} >$ are defined by recursion on the dimension of the spaces, and $F^p \mathcal{E}_{\tilde{X}} < \log \tilde{Q} >$ is the usual Hodge filtration.

By the isomorphism (3.2) the filtrations W and F induce a weight and a Hodge filtrations on the cohomology spaces $H^k(X \setminus Q, \mathcal{C})$, which we denote by the same symbols.

The spectral sequence associated to the weight filtration.

For the spectral sequences (associated to a filtration) we use notations, which are different from those which usually appear in the literature. In our notation $E_r^{m,k}$, m is the degree of the filtration and k is the degree of the complex (the degree of differential forms in the case of the De Rham complex). In particular

$$d_r : E_r^{m,k} \rightarrow E_r^{m-r,k+1}$$

If one is willing to work with the classical indices $E_r^{p,q}$ can use the following dictionary:

$$E_r^{m,k} = E_r^{p,p+q}$$

$$E_r^{p,q} = E_r^{-m,k+m}$$

The mixed Hodge structure.

Let us suppose that X is a compact complex space bimeromorphic to a Kähler manifold.

We consider the spectral sequence $E_r^{m,k}$ attached to the weight filtration of the complex $\Gamma(X, \Lambda_X < \log Q >)$.

The following (highly non trivial) results hold.

1) the first terms are

$$E_1^{m,k} = E_1^{m,k}(X) = \bigoplus_a E_1^{m+q(a),k-q(a)}(X_a) \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$E_1^{r,s}(X_a) = H^{s-r}(Q_a^{[r]}, \mathcal{C}) \quad (3.13)$$

(recall: $Q_a^{[0]} = X_a$);

2) the spectral sequence degenerates at the level 2: $d_r = 0$, hence $E_r^{m,k} = E_2^{m,k}$, for $r \geq 2$;

3) the second terms $E_2^{m,k}$ carry a pure Hodge structure, and they are isomorphic to the graded quotients $\frac{W_m H^k(X \setminus Q, \mathcal{C})}{W_{m-1} H^k(X \setminus Q, \mathcal{C})}$ of the cohomology $H^k(X \setminus Q, \mathcal{C})$ with respect to the weight filtration;

4) the Hodge filtration on $E_2^{m,k}$ coincides with the filtration induced in cohomology, by means of residues, by the Hodge filtration of the complex $\Lambda_X < \log Q >$.

4. The general Bochner-Martinelli formula.

Definition 4.1. Let U be a complex space, $S \subset U$ a closed subspace. Let α be a differential form on $U \setminus (\text{Sing}(U) \cup S)$. We say that α is logarithmic along S if there exists a proper modification $\pi : \tilde{U} \rightarrow U$ with the following properties

- 1) \tilde{U} is non singular;
- 2) π induces an isomorphism $h : \tilde{U} \setminus \pi^{-1}(\text{Sing}(U) \cup S) \rightarrow U \setminus (\text{Sing}(U) \cup S)$;
- 3) $\tilde{S} = \pi^{-1}(S)$ is a divisor with normal crossings in \tilde{U} ;
- 4) $\pi^*\alpha$ extends to a differential form on $\tilde{U} \setminus \tilde{S}$, logarithmic along \tilde{S} .

If S is a point $P \in U$, we will say that α is logarithmic at P .

Throughout the present talk, U will be a complex space of complex dimension $n \geq 2$, subject to the following assumption: *there exist a compact complex space X bimeromorphic to a Kähler manifold, and a closed subspace $T \subset X$, such that $X \setminus T = U$.* An affine, or a quasi projective variety U satisfies the above property (X is a projective compactification of U).

Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be a desingularization of X (so that $p^{-1}(U)$ is a desingularization of U).

Let P be a point of U . Let $u : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$ be the blowing-up of Y along a suitable subspace of the fiber $p^{-1}(P)$ such that $D = (p \circ u)^{-1}(P)$ is a divisor with normal crossings in \tilde{X} . We denote $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ the composition $p \circ u$. Let $\tilde{U} = \pi^{-1}(U)$. Then $\tilde{U} \setminus D$ is a desingularization of $U \setminus \{P\}$. Replacing Y by a suitable blowing-up along a subspace of $p^{-1}(T)$ (not affecting $p^{-1}(U)$) we can assume that $H = Y \setminus p^{-1}(U) = \tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{U}$ is also a divisor with normal crossings.

The cohomology groups $H^k(U \setminus \{P\}, \mathcal{O})$ carry a mixed Hodge structure [D]. We are interested in the case $k = 2n - 1$. We follow the constructions in [AG], part II, chapters 2 and 4 to describe the mixed Hodge structure.

Let

$$Q = T \cup \{P\}$$

Let us fix a complex $\Lambda_X \langle \log Q \rangle$ corresponding to the above desingularization \tilde{X} of X , and let $((X_a, Q_a))$ be the associated hypercovering. Let $n_a = \dim X_a$. Let us notice that

$$\tilde{Q} = D \cup H$$

hence (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Q}) is a pair of the hypercovering. The weight filtration W_m of the complex $\Gamma(X, \Lambda_X \langle \log Q \rangle)$ gives rise to a spectral sequence whose first term, by (3.12) and (3.13), is

$$E_1^{m,k} = E_1^{m,k}(X) = \bigoplus_a E_1^{m+q(a),k-q(a)}(X_a) \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$E_1^{m+q(a),k-q(a)}(X_a) = H^{k-m-2q(a)}(Q_a^{[m+q(a)]}, \mathcal{O}) \quad (4.2)$$

which vanishes unless

$$k - m - 2q(a) \leq 2n_a - 2m - 2q(a)$$

that is

$$k \leq 2n_a - m \quad (4.3)$$

We want to compute the term $E_2^{1,2n-1}$ of the spectral sequence, hence we are interested in $E_1^{0,2n}$, $E_1^{1,2n-1}$ and $E_1^{2,2n-2}$. For $(m, k) = (0, 2n), (1, 2n-1), (2, 2n-2)$, from (4.3) we obtain $n_a = n$. There is only one X_a with $n_a = n$, that is $X_a = \tilde{X}$. The corresponding $q(a)$ is zero.

It follows

$$E_1^{0,2n} = H^{2n}(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{C})$$

$$E_1^{1,2n-1} = \bigoplus_{l=1}^p H^{2n-2}(H_l, \mathcal{C}) \oplus \bigoplus_{ls=1}^N H^{2n-2}(D_s, \mathcal{C})$$

where $H = H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_p$ and $D = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_N$ are the decompositions of the divisors H and D into irreducible components, and

$$E_1^{2,2n-2} = H^{2n-4}(H^{[2]}, \mathcal{C}) \oplus H^{2n-4}(D^{[2]}, \mathcal{C})$$

It follows that $E_1^{0,2n}$, $E_1^{1,2n-1}$ and $E_1^{2,2n-2}$ carry a pure Hodge structure of weight $2n$, $2n-2$ and $2n-4$ respectively, admitting only elements of type (n, n) , $(n-1, n-1)$, $(n-2, n-2)$ respectively.

The differentials

$$d_1^{1,2n-1} : E_1^{1,2n-1} \rightarrow E_1^{0,2n}, \quad d_1^{2,2n} : E_1^{2,2n-2} \rightarrow E_1^{1,2n-1}$$

are sums with coefficients ± 1 of Gysin maps, which are morphism of pure Hodge structures (suitably shifted).

The term

$$E_2^{1,2n-1} = \frac{\text{Ker } d_1^{1,2n-1}}{\text{Im } d_1^{0,2n}}$$

carries a pure Hodge structure of weight $2n-2$ whose elements are of pure type $(n-1, n-1)$. Since the Hodge filtration on $E_2^{1,2n-1}$ is induced, by means of residues, by the Hodge filtration on $\Lambda_X \langle \log Q \rangle$, and the spectral sequence degenerates at E_2 , we obtain the following

Theorem 4.2. *Let W_m be the weight filtration on the cohomology $H^{2n-1}(U \setminus \{P\}, \mathcal{C})$, and denote $BM(U \setminus \{P\}) = \frac{W_1 H^{2n-1}(U \setminus \{P\}, \mathcal{C})}{W_0 H^{2n-1}(U \setminus \{P\}, \mathcal{C})}$, which is isomorphic to $E_2^{1,2n-1}$. For any element $\alpha \in BM(U \setminus \{P\})$ there exists a differential form ω on $U \setminus \{P\}$, logarithmic at P*

and along T (in sense of definition 4.1), of type $(n, n-1)$, d -closed (hence $\bar{\partial}$ -closed), whose class modulo W_0 is α . Such a form will be called a Bochner-Martinelli form on $U \setminus \{P\}$.

By construction the form ω is in fact a differential form on $\tilde{X} \setminus (D \cup H)$, logarithmic along $D \cup H$, and has the property that the residue $Res \omega$ is a closed form of type $(n-1, n-1)$ on $D_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup D_N \sqcup H_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup H_p$ which detects an element of $Ker d_1^{1, 2n-1}$.

A Bochner-Martinelli form ω on $U \setminus \{P\}$ induces an ordinary differential form of type $(n, n-1)$ on $U \setminus \{P\} \setminus Sing(U)$ which we still denote ω .

Theorem 4.3. *Let ω be a Bochner-Martinelli form on $U \setminus \{P\}$, $\Omega \subset U$ a relatively compact domain containing the point P , such that $\bar{\Omega}$ is subanalytic, and no component of $\partial\Omega$ is contained in $Sing(U)$. Let f be a holomorphic function on Ω , continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$. Then the integral of $f\omega$ converges on $\partial\Omega \setminus Sing(U)$ and the following equality holds:*

$$2i\pi f(P) \int_D Res \omega = \int_{\partial\Omega \setminus Sing(U)} f\omega \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Since $\bar{\Omega}$ is subanalytic, the closure of $\pi^{-1}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus Sing(U))$ in \tilde{U} is subanalytic. Hence one can define the strict transforms $\tilde{\Omega}$ and $\bar{\tilde{\Omega}}$, through π , of Ω and $\bar{\Omega}$ respectively. Because ω is logarithmic along D , by theorem 2.2

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial T_\epsilon} (f \circ \pi) \omega = 2i\pi \int_D Res ((f \circ \pi) \omega)$$

where $T_\epsilon = \bigcup_i T_{\epsilon_i}$ is a neighborhood of D defined as in (2.1). Because $(f \circ \pi)$ is constant on H , $Res ((f \circ \pi) \omega) = f(P) Res \omega$; because $f\omega$ is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, hence d -closed, on $\tilde{U} \setminus D$,

$$\int_{\partial T_\epsilon} (f \circ \pi) \omega = \int_{\partial \tilde{\Omega}} (f \circ \pi) \omega$$

It is clear that $\partial \tilde{\Omega}$ and $\partial\Omega \setminus Sing(U)$ differ by a set of measure zero, so that

$$\int_{\partial \tilde{\Omega}} (f \circ \pi) \omega = \int_{\partial\Omega \setminus Sing(U)} f\omega$$

which implies (4.4).

Remark. *The space $BM(U \setminus \{P\})$ is intrinsic, because the mixed Hodge structure on $H^{2n-1}(U \setminus \{P\}, \mathcal{C})$ is unique. In particular, it does not depend on the choice of a desingularization of X .*

Let us remark also that a closed $(2n-1)$ -form whose class is in $BM(U \setminus \{P\})$ is a Bochner-Martinelli form if and only if it has type $(n, n-1)$; otherwise it is not necessarily $\bar{\partial}$ -closed.

The following theorem gives more information about the Bochner-Martinelli forms.

Theorem 4.4. *Under the assumptions of theorem 4.3, let ω_1 and ω_2 be two Bochner-Martinelli forms on $U \setminus \{P\}$. Then*

- i) ω_1 and ω_2 are cohomologous for d as logarithmic forms if and only if they are cohomologous for d as forms on $\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{Q}$; as well, they are cohomologous for $\bar{\partial}$ as logarithmic forms if and only if they are cohomologous for $\bar{\partial}$ as forms on $\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{Q}$.
- ii) ω_1 and ω_2 are cohomologous for d as logarithmic forms if and only if they are cohomologous for $\bar{\partial}$ as logarithmic forms.
- iii) ω_1 and ω_2 have same class in $H^{2n-1}(U \setminus \{P\}, \mathcal{C})$ if and only if they are cohomologous for d on $\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{Q}$.
- iv) Let $\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^k \langle \log \tilde{Q} \rangle$ be the sheaf of holomorphic k -forms on $\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{Q}$ which are logarithmic along \tilde{Q} . There is a natural surjective morphism

$$H^{n-1}(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^n \langle \log \tilde{Q} \rangle) \rightarrow BM(U \setminus \{P\}) \quad (4.5)$$

inducing an isomorphism

$$\frac{W_1 H^{n-1}(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^n \langle \log \tilde{Q} \rangle)}{W_0 H^{n-1}(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^n \langle \log \tilde{Q} \rangle)} \simeq BM(U \setminus \{P\}) \quad (4.6)$$

5. Dependence on the point: the Bochner-Martinelli Kernels.

Let us keep the notations and the assumptions of the previous section. Let $V \subset U$ be an open set of U . Let $Z = V \times X$, the diagram of desingularization of X :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{E} & \rightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & p \downarrow \\ E & \rightarrow & X \end{array}$$

We can suppose that $H = p^{-1}(T)$ is a divisor with normal crossings in Y . In $Z' = p^{-1}(V) \times Y$ let $R' = \{(P, Q) \in Z' : P = Q\}$. Then R' is a closed subspace of Z' , contained in $p^{-1}(V) \times p^{-1}(V)$, isomorphic to $p^{-1}(V)$. Let $\pi' : \tilde{Z}' \rightarrow Z'$ be the blowing-up of Z' along R' , $\pi : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ the composite mapping, $\tilde{R} = \pi'^{-1}(R')$. Finally, let $S = R' \cup (p^{-1}(V) \times T)$, $\tilde{S} = \tilde{R} \cup \pi^{-1}(p^{-1}(V) \times H)$.

Let V_1 be the open set of smooth points of V . For a point P of V_1 let

$$X_P = \{P\} \times X, \quad \tilde{X}_P = \pi^{-1}(\{P\} \times X)$$

$$D_P = \tilde{X}_P \cap \tilde{R}$$

$$T_P = \{P\} \times T, \quad H_P = \{P\} \times H$$

$$Q_P = \{(P, P)\} \cup T_P, \quad \tilde{Q}_P = D_P \cup H_P$$

It is easy to see that \tilde{X}_P is the blowing-up of $Y_P = \{P\} \times Y$ at the point (P, P) , whose exceptional divisor is D_P . The pair (X_P, Q_P) gives rise to a complex $\Lambda_{X_P} \langle \log Q_P \rangle$ which describes the cohomology of $U \setminus \{P\}$, and there is a natural restriction mapping

$$\Lambda_Z \langle \log S \rangle \rightarrow \Lambda_{X_P} \langle \log Q_P \rangle$$

which is compatible with the respective differentials and weight filtrations.

Let $\pi_1 : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow V$ be the composition of the blowing-up $\pi' : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z' = p^{-1}(V) \times Y$ with the projection $p^{-1}(V) \times Y \rightarrow V$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $U = X \setminus T$ be a complex space, such that X is a compact complex space bimeromorphic to a Kähler manifold, and T is a closed subspace of X ; let $V \subset U$ be a Stein open subset of U , and P' a smooth point of V . Let $R = \{(P, Q) \in V \times U : P = Q\}$. For every Bochner-Martinelli form $\omega \in BM(U \setminus \{P'\})$ on $U \setminus \{P'\}$ there exists a form $\omega(P, Q)$ of type $(n, n-1)$ on $(V \times U) \setminus R$, $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, logarithmic along R and $V \times T$ (in sense of definition 4.1), which induces ω and for each $P \in V$ induces Bochner-Martinelli form on $U \setminus \{P\}$.*

In other terms, the above theorem states, under the above assumptions, that Bochner-Martinelli forms at smooth points admit $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, logarithmic kernels. We do not know if it is possible in general to find kernels of pure type $(n, n-1)$ which are also d -closed.

Corollary 5.2. *Let U be a smooth affine variety, and $\Delta \subset U \times U$ be the diagonal. For every Bochner-Martinelli form $\omega \in BM(U \setminus \{P'\})$ on $U \setminus \{P'\}$ there exists a form $\omega(P, Q)$ of type $(n, n-1)$ on $(U \times U) \setminus \Delta$, $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, logarithmic along Δ and at infinity (in sense of definition 4.1), which induces ω and for each $P \in U$ induces a Bochner-Martinelli form on $U \setminus \{P\}$.*

The Bochner-Martinelli form (1.2), as a form on $\mathcal{C}^n \times \mathcal{C}^n$, is not logarithmic along the diagonal $\Delta = \{(w, z) : z = w\}$. In order to fulfill the conclusions of corollary 5.2 it must be replaced by the form

$$\tilde{\omega}(w, z) = \frac{\tilde{\omega}'(\overline{z-w}, d(\overline{z-w})) \wedge d(z_1 - w_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(z_n - w_n)}{\|z - w\|^{2n}} \quad (5.1)$$

with

$$\tilde{\omega}'(\overline{z-w}, d(\overline{z-w})) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k (\overline{z_k - w_k}) d(\overline{z_1 - w_1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(\widehat{\overline{z_k - w_k}}) \wedge \cdots \wedge d(\overline{z_n - w_n})$$

The form $\tilde{\omega}(w, z)$ is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed and d -closed on $(\mathcal{C}^n \times \mathcal{C}^n) \setminus \Delta$, and is logarithmic along Δ (that is, its pullback to the blowing-up of $\mathcal{C}^n \times \mathcal{C}^n$ along Δ is logarithmic along the exceptional divisor).

Corollary 5.3. Let $\omega(P, Q)$ be a Bochner-Martinelli kernel as in theorem 5.1. The integral $\int_{D_P} \text{Res } \omega(P, Q) dQ$ appearing in formula (4.4) is locally constant with respect to $P \in V$.

6. Integral formulas for differential forms.

Theorem 6.1. Let U be a complex manifold of complex dimension n , $V \subset U$ a connected open subset, $Z = V \times U$, $R = \{(P, Q) \in V \times U : P = Q\}$, $\pi : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ the blowing-up of Z along R , $\tilde{R} = \pi^{-1}(R)$. Let $\omega(w, z)$ be a differential form of type $(n, n-1)$ on $(V \times U) \setminus R$, $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, logarithmic along R , (that is, its pullback to \tilde{Z} is logarithmic along \tilde{R}). Let $\Omega \subset U$ be a relatively compact domain with piecewise C^1 -boundary, $\phi = \phi(z)$ a differential form of type (p, q) defined in a neighborhood of Ω . Then

(i) The integral

$$C = \int_{\tilde{R} \cap \{w=\text{const}\}} \text{Res } \omega(w, z)$$

is constant with respect to $w \in V$.

(ii) One has the equality

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{p+q} 2i\pi C \phi &= \int_{z \in \partial\Omega} \phi(z) \wedge \omega(w, z) - \int_{z \in \Omega} \bar{\partial}\phi(z) \wedge \omega(w, z) + \\ &+ \bar{\partial} \int_{z \in \Omega} \phi(z) \wedge \omega(w, z) \quad (\text{on } V) \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

We define, for a form η and $A = \Omega$ or $\partial\Omega$:

$$(B_A \eta)(w) = \int_{z \in A} \eta(z) \wedge \omega(w, z)$$

so that (6.1) can be written

$$(-1)^{p+q} 2i\pi C \phi = B_{\partial\Omega} \phi - B_{\Omega}(\bar{\partial}\phi) + \bar{\partial}(B_{\Omega} \phi) \quad (\text{on } V) \quad (6.2)$$

Proof. (i) is a consequence of corollary 5.3.

(ii) Let $v(w)$ be a differential form of type $(n-p, n-q)$ with compact support on V . As in [HL] (theorem 1.11.1) we must prove the identity

$$(-1)^{p+q} 2i\pi C \int_{w \in V} \phi(w) \wedge v(w) = \int_{(w,z) \in V \times \partial\Omega} \phi(z) \wedge \omega(w, z) \wedge v(w)$$

$$- \int_{(w,z) \in V \times \Omega} \bar{\partial} \phi(z) \wedge \omega(w, z) \wedge v(w) - (-1)^{p+q-1} \int_{(w,z) \in V \times \Omega} \phi(z) \wedge \omega(w, z) \wedge \bar{\partial} v(w)$$

The form $\phi(z) \wedge \omega(w, z) \wedge v(w)$ has type $(2n, 2n - 1)$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi(z) \wedge \omega(w, z) \wedge v(w)) &= \bar{\partial}(\phi(z) \wedge \omega(w, z) \wedge v(w)) \\ &= \bar{\partial} \phi(z) \wedge \omega(w, z) \wedge v(w) + (-1)^{p+q-1} \phi(z) \wedge \omega(w, z) \wedge \bar{\partial} v(w) \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Stokes formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(w,z) \in (V \times \Omega) \setminus T_\epsilon} \bar{\partial} \phi(z) \wedge \omega(w, z) \wedge v(w) + (-1)^{p+q-1} \int_{(w,z) \in (V \times \Omega) \setminus T_\epsilon} \phi(z) \wedge \omega(w, z) \wedge \bar{\partial} v(w) \\ &= \int_{(w,z) \in V \times \partial \Omega} \phi(z) \wedge \omega(w, z) \wedge v(w) - \int_{(w,z) \in \partial T_\epsilon} \phi(z) \wedge \omega(w, z) \wedge v(w) \end{aligned}$$

where T_ϵ is a small tubular neighborhood of R in $V \times U$. T_ϵ is also a tubular neighborhood of \tilde{R} in \tilde{Z} . Thus the last integral can be computed on \tilde{Z} using the residue theorem:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{(w,z) \in \partial T_\epsilon} \phi(z) \wedge \omega(w, z) \wedge v(w) = 2i\pi \int_{\tilde{R}} \text{Res} (\pi_2^* \phi \wedge \pi^* \omega \wedge \pi_1^* v)$$

where $\pi : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow V \times U$ is the blowing-up along R and $\pi_1 : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow V$, $\pi_2 : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow U$ are the projections. On \tilde{R} one has $\pi_2^* \phi = \pi_1^* \phi$ so that the integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{p+q} 2i\pi \int_{\tilde{R}} \pi_1^* (\phi \wedge v) \wedge \text{Res} \pi^* \omega = \\ & (-1)^{p+q} 2i\pi \int_{w \in V} \phi(w) \wedge v(w) \int_{\tilde{R} \cap \{w=\text{const}\}} \text{Res} \omega(w, z) = (-1)^{p+q} 2i\pi C \int_{w \in V} \phi(w) \wedge v(w) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the above formulas we get (6.1).

Theorem 6.2. (Bochner-Martinelli formula for differential forms). Let U be a normal complex space of complex dimension n ; let $V \subset U$ be a connected open subset of U , $Z = V \times U$, $R = \{(P, Q) \in V \times U : P = Q\}$, $\pi : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ the blowing-up of Z along R , $\tilde{R} = \pi^{-1}(R)$, and $\omega(w, z)$ a Bochner-Martinelli kernel on $(V \times U) \setminus R$ ($\bar{\partial}$ -closed, logarithmic along R). Let $\Omega \subset U$ be a relatively compact domain such that $\bar{\Omega}$ is subanalytic, and no component of $\partial \Omega$ is contained in $\text{Sing}(U)$, $\phi = \phi(w)$ a differential form in sense of Grauert, of type (p, q) , defined in a neighborhood of Ω . Then

(i) The integral

$$C = \int_{\tilde{R} \cap \{w=\text{const}\}} \text{Res} \omega(w, z)$$

is constant with respect to $w \in V$.

(ii) *The integrals*

$$B_{\partial\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(U)}\phi, B_{\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(U)}(\bar{\partial}\phi), (B_{\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(U)}\phi)$$

converge on $V \setminus \text{Sing}(U)$ and we have the equality

$$(-1)^{p+q}2i\pi C\phi|_{V \setminus \text{Sing}(U)} = B_{\partial\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(U)}\phi - B_{\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(U)}(\bar{\partial}\phi) + \bar{\partial}(B_{\Omega \setminus \text{Sing}(U)}\phi) \quad (6.3)$$

The form $\omega(w, z)$ lives by construction on a desingularization \tilde{X} of X , and the form ϕ extends to a form on \tilde{X} . Hence the proof of the theorem is an easy consequence of theorem 6.1 (on \tilde{X}) and its proof.

References

- [AG] V. Ancona, B. Gaveau, Differential forms on singular varieties: De Rham and Hodge theory simplified. Pure and applied mathematics series, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, London, New York, Singapore 2005.
- [AG1] V. Ancona, B. Gaveau, Families of differential forms on complex spaces, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 1, 119-150 (2002).
- [D] P. Deligne, Théorie de Hodge II et III. Publ. Math. IHES, 40, 5-58, (1971) et 44, 5-77, (1974).
- [Do] P. Dolbeault, Théorème de Plemelj en plusieurs variables. Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (4) 10 (1984), special vol. 10*, 47-54.
- [GH] P. Griffiths, J. Harris, Principles of algebraic geometry, Wiley Interscience, New York 1978.
- [GS] P. Griffiths, W. Schmid, Recent developments in Hodge theory: a discussion of techniques and results, Proceedings of the International Colloquium on Discrete subgroups of Lie groups (Bombay 1973), Oxford university Press 1975.
- [HL] G. M. Henkin, J. Leiterer, Theory of functions on complex manifolds, Monographs in mathematics 79, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston-Stuttgart 1984.
- [HL1] G. M. Henkin, J. Leiterer, Andreotti-Grauert theory by integral formulas. Progress in Mathematics, 74. Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston-Stuttgart 1988.
- [HP] G. M. Henkin, P. L. Polyakov, The Grothendieck-Dolbeault lemma for complete intersections. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math. 308 (1989), no. 13, 405-409.
- [HP1] G. M. Henkin, P. L. Polyakov, Integral formulas for solution of the ∂ -equation, and interpolation problems in analytic polyhedra. Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch. 53 (1990), 130-170, 260-261; translation in Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 1991, 135-175.
- [LT] C. Laurent-Thiébaud, Formules intégrales et théorèmes du type "Bochner" sur une variété de Stein. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math. 295 (1982), no. 12, 661-664.
- [L] J. Leray, Problème de Cauchy III, Bull. Soc. Math. France 87, 81-180 (1959).