



HAL
open science

Boundary effects in Radiative Transfer of acoustic waves in a randomly fluctuating half-space

Adel Messaoudi, Regis Cottereau, Christophe Gomez

► **To cite this version:**

Adel Messaoudi, Regis Cottereau, Christophe Gomez. Boundary effects in Radiative Transfer of acoustic waves in a randomly fluctuating half-space. 2023. hal-03878927v2

HAL Id: hal-03878927

<https://hal.science/hal-03878927v2>

Preprint submitted on 5 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Boundary effects in Radiative Transfer of acoustic waves in a randomly fluctuating half-space

Adel Messaoudi ^{*,†} Regis Cottureau ^{*} Christophe Gomez [†]

Abstract

This paper concerns the derivation of radiative transfer equations for acoustic waves propagating in a randomly fluctuating half-space in the weak-scattering regime, and the study of boundary effects through an asymptotic analysis of the Wigner transform of the wave solution. These radiative transfer equations allow to model the transport of wave energy density, taking into account the scattering by random heterogeneities. The approach builds on the method of images, where the half-space problem is extended to a full-space, with two symmetric sources and an even map of mechanical properties. Two contributions to the total energy density are then identified: one similar to the energy density propagation in a full-space, for which the resulting lack of statistical stationarity of the medium properties has no leading-order effect; and one supported within one wavelength of the boundary, which describes interference effects between the waves produced by the two symmetric sources. In the case of a homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, this boundary effect yields a doubling of the intensity, and in the case of homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions, a canceling of that intensity.

Key words. Radiative transfer, wave in random media, Wigner transform, boundary effects.

1 Introduction

Radiative transfer theory was introduced over a century ago to describe the propagation of light in complex media. Today, it is used in many other fields such as in geophysics [20, 21, 22], neutronics [17, 18, 19, 27], optics [15, 16], for weather forecasting [28], or for the illumination of animation movies scenes [7, 14]. Radiative transfer equations can be derived from the wave equation in the high-frequency regime [2, 3, 4, 6, 10, 24] through a multi-scale asymptotic analysis on the Wigner transform of the wave field.

In this paper, we consider (scalar) acoustics in a half-space $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$. In that case, the wave equation for the pressure field $p(t, \mathbf{x})$ is given by:

$$\partial_{tt}p(t, \mathbf{x}) - c^2(\mathbf{x})\Delta p(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \Omega, \quad (1.1)$$

where $c(\mathbf{x})$ is the sound speed in the medium, modeled as a random field and assumed to fluctuate at the scale ℓ_c (correlation length) with amplitude σ (standard deviation). Neumann boundary conditions complete this wave equation (homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions will also be considered below):

$$\partial_n p(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, x_n = 0) = 0, \quad (t, \mathbf{x}_\perp) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (1.2)$$

where the spatial variable \mathbf{x} has been split into $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_\perp, x_n)$, where x_n represents the coordinate along the vector normal to the interface $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$, \mathbf{x}_\perp its transverse coordinate and ∂_n stands for the derivative with respect to the variable x_n . Finally the following initial conditions are considered:

$$p(t = 0, \mathbf{x}) = A(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t p(t = 0, \mathbf{x}) = B(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \quad (1.3)$$

where the shape of the functions $A(\mathbf{x})$ and $B(\mathbf{x})$ defines the wavelength λ . It is assumed that the initial conditions A and B are smooth functions in Ω , even w.r.t. the x_n -variable and compactly supported to be compatible with the

^{*}Aix-Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, LMA, France.

[†]Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, I2M, France.

boundary conditions. More precisely the supports of A and B are included within Ω , so that they do not cross the interface.

In the so-called high-frequency regime, where the parameter $\epsilon = \lambda/L$ is small (L is the propagation length), and the medium velocity $c(\mathbf{x})$ fluctuates weakly ($\sigma^2 \approx \epsilon$) at the scale of the wavelength ($\ell_c \approx \lambda$), the energy density can be shown to verify a Radiative Transfer Equation (RTE):

$$\partial_t W + c_0 \widehat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} W = -\Sigma(\mathbf{k})W + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q})W(\mathbf{q})\delta(c_0(|\mathbf{q}| - |\mathbf{k}|))d\mathbf{q}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $W(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k})$ represents the energy density at time t and position \mathbf{x} in direction \mathbf{k} (see Sect. 2.5 for a precise definition of Wigner transform), $\widehat{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k}/|\mathbf{k}|$ is the normalized wave direction, and c_0 is the average sound speed in the medium. The differential scattering cross-section $\sigma(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q})$ represents the rate at which energy density along wave vector \mathbf{q} is diffracted into energy density along wave vector \mathbf{k} , and the total scattering cross-section is

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{k}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{k})\delta(c_0(|\mathbf{q}| - |\mathbf{k}|))d\mathbf{q}. \quad (1.5)$$

This asymptotic result is usually obtained for problems supported on the entire space ($\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$), and has been derived starting from various wave equations and using different approaches (see references above). On the other hand, the case of the half-space ($\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$) has been less studied. The essential conclusion is that the RTE is still valid for half-spaces, and that it must be completed by a boundary condition on $\partial\Omega$, reminiscent of geometrical optics:

$$W(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, x_n = 0), (\mathbf{k}_\perp, k_n)) = W(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, x_n = 0), (\mathbf{k}_\perp, -k_n)). \quad (1.6)$$

This result has been obtained through direct plane wave analysis in the high-frequency regime [13] (see also an extension to elastic waves [12, 26]) or through more technical results on the trace of semiclassical measures [11, 25, 9, 23] (see also the more complicated extension to the elastic case, treated in [1]). In [5], the authors extend these results on flat interfaces to the case of rough interfaces (in the regime of Born approximation), and conclude that roughness induces additional diffraction at the interface. In addition, note that the heterogeneous half-space can also be modeled as a finite (heterogeneous) layer over a homogeneous half-space, in the limit of infinite thickness [8]. This allows to work on the (countable) basis of modes of the finite-size layer, and shows coupling between the surface and bulk waves. Finally, in [21], a half-space with Robin boundary conditions is considered, which gives rise to surface waves, whose coupling with the body waves is described. Note, however, that our study will be limited to Neumann or Dirichlet boundary conditions, so that no surface waves will appear.

The first objective of this paper is to retrieve the result that high-frequency wave energy propagation in a half-space are controlled by a RTE, Eq. (1.4), with the boundary condition (1.6), using the method of images. This approach allows the study of boundary effects in a convenient way. The idea of the method of images is to use the equivalence of the solution $p(t, \mathbf{x})$ of (1.1), supported on the half-space Ω with the superposition of two solutions $p_-(t, \mathbf{x})$ (up-going wave) and $p_+(t, \mathbf{x})$ (down-going wave) of the same wave equation (1.1) extended to the full-space \mathbb{R}^3 , the former with the original initial condition, and the latter with an initial condition chosen so as to enforce the boundary condition (see Fig. 1 for a sketch). The extension of the original wave equation (1.1) to the full-space is done such that the velocity field $c(\mathbf{x}_\perp, x_n)$ is an even function of x_n . This approach has the advantage that it works on the full-space, bypassing the need to deal specifically with the interface. Therefore, one can extend the analysis presented in [25] from plane waves to more general cases by employing the same asymptotic analysis as in [2, 24]. However, since the pressure field is decomposed in two parts, four components of the Wigner transform will have to be considered. Also, the extension of the random velocity field induces a loss of statistical homogeneity, but it will be shown that our approach provides no first-order influence on the RTE (Sect. 3.1.3) and that the classical results for a full-space are retrieved.

Another objective of this paper, not previously considered in the literature, is to consider more in detail what happens at the interface. More specifically, we concentrate on what happens at a distance of the order of the wavelength λ (scaling as ϵ) from the interface $x_n = 0$. At this scale, interference phenomena occur which translate in a doubling of the total energy associated with both the coherent and the incoherent parts of the wave field corresponding to the classical radiative transfer model under Neumann boundary conditions (and a cancellation in the case of Dirichlet boundary conditions). The contribution due to the interference effects vanishes far from the interface of the propagation medium, except when the initial condition is close (within one wavelength) to the boundary. In that case, the up and down-going waves evolve within one wavelength of each other and then produce constructive interferences throughout the propagation domain.




Figure 1: Sketch of the method of images, for the half-space bounded above by the dashed line: up-going wave p_- propagating in a full-space from the real source (in green); down-going wave p_+ propagating from the fictitious source (in red); and real wave propagating from the real source in the half-space (rightmost figure).

To gather a heuristic understanding of the amplification phenomenon occurring at the vicinity of the interface, let us consider two stationary and centered random fields X and Y with variance s^2 . One can draw an analogy between their mean square intensity $\langle (X + Y)^2 \rangle$ and the total energy density of the extended wave field p which is the superposition of the up-going and down-going waves p_- , p_+ introduced earlier. By expanding this quantity, we obtain

$$\langle (X + Y)^2 \rangle = \langle X^2 \rangle + \langle Y^2 \rangle + 2\langle XY \rangle.$$

$\langle XY \rangle$ is of the form $s^2 \cos(\phi)$ with $\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$. In this way the above equation can be rewritten as

$$\langle (X + Y)^2 \rangle = 2s^2(1 + \cos(\phi)).$$

Here the manifestation of the amplification phenomenon depends on the correlation between the random fields. More precisely, for two independent random fields, no phenomenon is observed; for two perfectly positively correlated fields, the mean square intensity of X is doubled. In our study, the Wigner transform will capture this correlation close to the interface.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Sect. 2, the method of images is described in detail, as well as the asymptotic regime that is considered and the Wigner transform. Sect. 3 describes the main results of this paper, deriving the RTE on the basis of the method of images, as well as exhibiting the constructive interference phenomenon at the boundary, for a source far from the boundary. Finally, Sect. 4 addresses the case when the initial condition is supported close to the interface.

2 Acoustic waves in a random half-space in the high-frequency regime

In the following, we consider the scalar wave equation (1.1) over the half-space $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+^*$, equipped with the boundary condition (1.2) and the initial conditions (1.3). The initial conditions A and B are assumed to be trivially extended in the full space \mathbb{R}^3 , meaning that they are still supported within the domain Ω .

2.1 The method of images

The basic principle of the method of images is to replace an acoustic problem posed on a half-space with a given initial condition (or source) and velocity field by an acoustic problem posed on the full-space with a symmetrized initial condition and velocity field so that the solution of these problems are the same on the original half-space. We therefore consider the following extension $\tilde{c}(\mathbf{x})$ to the full-space of the original velocity field $c(\mathbf{x})$ defined only over the (lower) half-space:

$$\tilde{c}(\mathbf{x}) := c(\mathbf{x}_\perp, x_n) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_-}(x_n) + c(\mathbf{x}_\perp, -x_n) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+^*}(x_n), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (2.1)$$

Note that \tilde{c} is an even function with respect to the x_n -variable. We then consider a pair of solutions (in the full-space \mathbb{R}^3) denoted up-going wave $p_-(t, \mathbf{x})$ and down-going wave $p_+(t, \mathbf{x})$, to the same equation

$$\partial_{tt}^2 p_\pm(t, \mathbf{x}) - \tilde{c}^2(\mathbf{x}) \Delta p_\pm(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (2.2)$$

but with different initial conditions:

$$\begin{cases} p_-(t=0, \mathbf{x}) = A(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}_{0\perp}, x_n - x_{0n}), \\ p_+(t=0, \mathbf{x}) = A(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}_{0\perp}, x_n + x_{0n}), \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t p_-(t=0, \mathbf{x}) = B(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}_{0\perp}, x_n - x_{0n}), \\ \partial_t p_+(t=0, \mathbf{x}) = B(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}_{0\perp}, x_n + x_{0n}). \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

Finally, we introduce \tilde{p} :

$$\tilde{p}(t, \mathbf{x}) := p_-(t, \mathbf{x}) + p_+(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (2.5)$$

The choices above induce the following symmetry of the up-going and down-going fields

$$p_+(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, x_n) = p_-(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, -x_n), \quad (t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.6)$$

The decomposition (2.5) is not essential for the subsequent analysis, but it underlies clearly the way the method of images works and the notion of up-going and down-going waves, as introduced earlier. The symmetry relation (2.6) ensures that the derivative $\partial_n \tilde{p}(t, \mathbf{x})$ vanishes for $x_n = 0$, and that the restriction of $\tilde{p}(t, \mathbf{x})$ to the lower half-space is equal to the solution of the original problem:

$$\tilde{p}(t, \mathbf{x}) = p(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \Omega. \quad (2.7)$$

The derivations above are appropriate for Neumann conditions on the interface $x_n = 0$. If Dirichlet boundary conditions were considered, the sign of $p_+(t, \mathbf{x})$ in (2.7) should be switched to a $-$ sign. This ensures again that the restriction of $\tilde{p}(t, \mathbf{x})$ to the lower half-space is equal to the solution of the original problem $p(t, \mathbf{x})$.

2.2 High-frequency regime

The high-frequency regime is obtained by considering the scaling $t \rightarrow t/\epsilon$, $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}/\epsilon$ for a small parameter $\epsilon = \lambda/L \ll 1$, meaning that the wavelength is small compared to the typical propagation distance. We thus set

$$p^\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}) := p\left(\frac{t}{\epsilon}, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}\right) \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \Omega, \quad (2.8)$$

and, in a similar fashion, the rescaled $p_-^\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x})$, $p_+^\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x})$, and $\tilde{p}^\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x})$ associated respectively to p_- , p_+ , and \tilde{p} . Since the orders of derivation in time and space are the same for wave equations, the equilibrium equations (1.1) and (2.2), for p^ϵ , p_-^ϵ and p_+^ϵ are unchanged. The initial conditions are rescaled as

$$p^\epsilon(t=0, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3/2}} A\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0}{\epsilon}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon \partial_t p^\epsilon(t=0, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3/2}} B\left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0}{\epsilon}\right), \quad (2.9)$$

where the amplitudes are chosen so as to provide solutions of the RTE of order 1, and similarly for the initial conditions (2.3) and (2.4). The velocity field is assumed to fluctuate around a constant background value in the following manner (a slowly fluctuating background can also be considered, see for instance [24]):

$$c^2\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}\right) := c_0^2 - \sqrt{\epsilon} V\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}\right), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad (2.10)$$

where c_0 is the (constant) background velocity and $V(\mathbf{x})$ accounts for the random fluctuations. The scaling $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ is the proper scaling that allows the random fluctuations to fully interact with the waves and to provide, after an asymptotic analysis, a RTE describing the energy propagation. Under the high frequency regime we assume that \tilde{c} scales as

$$\tilde{c}\left(\mathbf{x}, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}\right) = c\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_-}(x_n) + c\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_\perp}{\epsilon}, -\frac{x_n}{\epsilon}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+^*}(x_n), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (2.11)$$

where the slow and fast \mathbf{x} -components are separated to enforce the role played by the interface and the extension procedure.

Although it is possible to work directly with the second-order form of the wave equation to derive the RTE, we use the formalism described in [2]. We therefore introduce the vector field

$$\mathbf{u}^\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}) := \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{p}^\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}) \\ \epsilon \tilde{c}^{-2}\left(\mathbf{x}, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}\right) \partial_t \tilde{p}^\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (2.12)$$

Through the relation (2.5), \mathbf{u}^ϵ is actually composed of a sum of two vectors:

$$\mathbf{u}^\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}_-^\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{u}_+^\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (2.13)$$

and, for all $j \in \{-, +\}$, \mathbf{u}_j^ϵ satisfies the 2×2 system of equations

$$\epsilon \partial_t \mathbf{u}_j^\epsilon + \mathcal{A}_\epsilon \mathbf{u}_j^\epsilon = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{A}_\epsilon := - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{c}^2(\mathbf{x}, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}) \\ \epsilon^2 \Delta & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.14)$$

with appropriate initial conditions inherited from (2.3) and (2.4).

2.3 Structure of the inhomogeneities

The fluctuation field $V(\mathbf{x})$ is modeled as the restriction on Ω of a statistically homogeneous mean-zero random field defined for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, with (normalized) power spectrum density $\widehat{R}(\mathbf{p})$ given by

$$(2\pi)^3 c_0^4 \widehat{R}(\mathbf{p}) \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}) := \langle \widehat{V}(\mathbf{p}) \widehat{V}(\mathbf{q}) \rangle,$$

such that

$$\widehat{R}(-\mathbf{p}) = \widehat{R}(\mathbf{p}). \quad (2.15)$$

Here, $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes an ensemble average and $\widehat{V}(\mathbf{k})$ refers to the Fourier transform of $V(\mathbf{x})$, with the convention

$$\widehat{V}(\mathbf{k}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} V(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \quad \text{and} \quad V(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \widehat{V}(\mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k}.$$

Note that the property (2.15) is satisfied if we assume the inverse Fourier transform R of \widehat{R} to be of the form $R(\mathbf{x}) = r(|\mathbf{x}|)$, R being therefore the correlation function of V . Let us also remark that due to the even extension of the velocity field properties w.r.t. the x_n -variable (Eq. (2.11)), the corresponding random fluctuations $\tilde{V}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}/\epsilon)$, defined as

$$\tilde{V}\left(\mathbf{x}, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}\right) = \tilde{V}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})|_{\mathbf{y}=\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}} := V(\mathbf{y}_\perp, y_n) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_-}(x_n) + V(\mathbf{y}_\perp, -y_n) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+^*}(x_n)|_{\mathbf{y}=\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}},$$

are not stationary anymore w.r.t. this variable. In this way, for any fixed slow component \mathbf{x} , the power spectrum density to $\tilde{V}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ w.r.t. the fast component reads

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widehat{\tilde{V}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \widehat{\tilde{V}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}) \rangle &= \left\langle \left(V(\mathbf{p}) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_-}(x_n) + V(\mathbf{p}_\perp, -p_n) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+^*}(x_n) \right) \times \left(V(\mathbf{q}) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_-}(x_n) + V(\mathbf{q}_\perp, -q_n) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+^*}(x_n) \right) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle V(\mathbf{p}) V(\mathbf{q}) \right\rangle \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_-}(x_n) + \left\langle V(\mathbf{p}_\perp, -p_n) V(\mathbf{q}_\perp, -q_n) \right\rangle \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+^*}(x_n) \\ &= (2\pi)^3 c_0^4 \delta(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}) \widehat{R}(\mathbf{p}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where $\widehat{\tilde{V}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ stands for the Fourier transform with respect to the \mathbf{y} -variable. Note that the obtained power spectra does not depend on the slow component \mathbf{x} , so that the extension procedure will play no role in the limiting RTE derived in Sect. 3.

2.4 Wigner transform

2.5 Wigner transform

The derivation of RTE from the wave equation in the high-frequency regime relies on a multi-scale asymptotic analysis of the Wigner transform of the wave field. The Wigner transform of two vector fields \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} is defined as

$$W[\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}](\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathbf{v}\left(\mathbf{x} - \frac{\epsilon \mathbf{y}}{2}\right) \otimes \mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{x} + \frac{\epsilon \mathbf{y}}{2}\right) \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^3},$$

where \otimes stands for the tensor product. We may think of the Wigner transform as the inverse Fourier transform of the two point correlation function of \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} .

Let us consider \mathbf{u}^ϵ defined by (2.12) with Wigner transform

$$W_\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) := W[\mathbf{u}^\epsilon(t, \cdot), \mathbf{u}^\epsilon(t, \cdot)](\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}), \quad (t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (2.17)$$

Since we are using the method of images, according to (2.13), four Wigner transforms actually have to be accounted for:

$$W_\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + W_\epsilon^{++}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + W_\epsilon^{-+}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + W_\epsilon^{+-}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}), \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$W_\epsilon^{ij}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) := W[\mathbf{u}_i^\epsilon(t, \cdot), \mathbf{u}_j^\epsilon(t, \cdot)](\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}), \quad \forall i, j \in \{-, +\}.$$

However, using the symmetry relation (2.6) between the up-going and down-going waves, we observe:

$$W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = W_\epsilon^{++}(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, -x_n), (\mathbf{k}_\perp, -k_n)), \quad (t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$W_\epsilon^{-+}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = W_\epsilon^{+-}(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, -x_n), (\mathbf{k}_\perp, -k_n)), \quad (t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (2.20)$$

Hence it is only necessary to follow two Wigner transforms and we will focus for the remaining of the paper on $W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k})$ and $W_\epsilon^{-+}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k})$, that we will denote respectively as self-Wigner transform and cross-Wigner transform.

3 Asymptotic analysis with an initial condition far from the border

In this section, models for energy density propagation carried by the up and down-going waves (actually only W_ϵ^{--}) are derived through an asymptotic analysis in the context of an initial condition *far* from the interface. Here, by *far* we mean that most of the mass of the initial condition is located at a distance of order 1 from the interface compared to the wavelength which is of order ϵ . The intensity enhancement happening at the interface of the domain $\partial\Omega$ is provided by nontrivial limits for the cross-terms (only W_ϵ^{-+} will be considered), which can be explicitly described through the asymptotic behavior of W_ϵ^{--} as we will see later. For an initial condition located close to the interface, the intensity enhancement phenomena are different from what will be described below, and will be precisely treated in Sect. 4.

3.1 Radiative transfer equations for the self-Wigner transforms

The derivation of the radiative transfer model for W_ϵ^{--} is based on a multi-scale asymptotic analysis and can be done rigorously in our context following [10]. This approach would provide a stronger convergence result, an almost sure convergence in some weak sense for W_ϵ^{--} as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ to a deterministic limit, but its methodology is beyond the scope of this paper. In this paper we rather follow the approach proposed in [2], that we recall in Sect. 3.1.2 and 3.1.3 for the sake of completeness. Let us remark that the later approach provides only a formal convergence of $\langle W_\epsilon^{--} \rangle$, but it still brings the main characteristics of the asymptotic radiative transfer model.

3.1.1 Equation for the Wigner transform

From (2.14) the following relation holds true

$$\epsilon \partial_t W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + W[\mathcal{A}_\epsilon \mathbf{u}_-^\epsilon(t, \cdot), \mathbf{u}_-^\epsilon(t, \cdot)](\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + W[\mathbf{u}_-^\epsilon(t, \cdot), \mathcal{A}_\epsilon \mathbf{u}_-^\epsilon(t, \cdot)](\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

for $(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, where according to (2.10), the operator \mathcal{A}_ϵ will be split as

$$\mathcal{A}_\epsilon = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_0^2 \\ \mathcal{F}(\epsilon \mathbf{D}) & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sqrt{\epsilon} \tilde{V} \left(\mathbf{x}, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon} \right) K \quad \text{with} \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In \mathcal{A}_ϵ the Laplacian operator is replaced by the pseudo-differential operator $\mathcal{F}(\epsilon \mathbf{D})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(\epsilon \mathbf{D})[\mathbf{u}](\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} (i\epsilon \mathbf{p}) \cdot (i\epsilon \mathbf{p}) \hat{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{p}) \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3}.$$

Using the four following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
W[\mathcal{F}(\epsilon \mathbf{D}) \mathbf{u}_-^\epsilon(t, \cdot), \mathbf{u}_-^\epsilon(t, \cdot)](\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) &= \mathcal{F}\left(\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k} + \frac{\epsilon \mathbf{D}}{2}\right) [W_\epsilon^{--}](t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}), \\
W[\mathbf{u}_-^\epsilon(t, \cdot), \mathcal{F}(\epsilon \mathbf{D}) \mathbf{u}_-^\epsilon(t, \cdot)](\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) &= \mathcal{F}\left(\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k} - \frac{\epsilon \mathbf{D}}{2}\right) [W_\epsilon^{--}](t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}), \\
W\left[\tilde{V}\left(\mathbf{x}, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}\right) \mathbf{u}_-^\epsilon(t, \cdot), \mathbf{u}_-^\epsilon(t, \cdot)\right](\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{p}/\epsilon} \widehat{\tilde{V}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) W_\epsilon^{--}\left(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k} - \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}\right) \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \\
&\quad + O(\epsilon), \\
W\left[\mathbf{u}_-^\epsilon(t, \cdot), \tilde{V}\left(\mathbf{x}, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}\right) \mathbf{u}_-^\epsilon(t, \cdot)\right](\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{p}/\epsilon} \widehat{\tilde{V}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) W_\epsilon^{--}\left(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k} + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}\right) \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \\
&\quad + O(\epsilon),
\end{aligned}$$

Eq. (3.1) can be recast as

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \partial_t W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k} + \frac{\epsilon \mathbf{D}}{2}\right) W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) \mathcal{P}^*\left(\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k} - \frac{\epsilon \mathbf{D}}{2}\right) \\
+ \sqrt{\epsilon} (K \mathcal{K}_\epsilon^- [W_\epsilon^{--}](t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + \mathcal{K}_\epsilon^+ [W_\epsilon^{--}](t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) K^*) + O(\epsilon^{3/2}) = 0, \quad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k} + \frac{\epsilon \mathbf{D}}{2}\right) := -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_0^2 \\ \mathcal{F}\left(\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k} + \frac{\epsilon \mathbf{D}}{2}\right) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_\epsilon[W](t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{p}/\epsilon} \widehat{\tilde{V}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) W\left(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k} - \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}\right) \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3}.$$

Note that (3.2) is naturally equipped with an initial condition at $t = 0$ depending directly on the ones of \mathbf{u}_-^ϵ . At the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ this condition is given by

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} W_\epsilon(t = 0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = \widehat{S}(\mathbf{k}) \widehat{S}^*(\mathbf{k}) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0), \quad \text{with} \quad \widehat{S}(\mathbf{k}) := \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{A}(\mathbf{k}) \\ c_0^{-2} \widehat{B}(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.4)$$

3.1.2 Multiple scale expansion

Due to the presence of the rapidly oscillating phases $e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{p}/\epsilon}$ in \mathcal{K}_ϵ , the fast variable $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}/\epsilon$ is introduced and W_ϵ^{--} is rewritten as

$$W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{k})|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}/\epsilon} = W_\epsilon^{--}\left(t, \mathbf{x}, \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon}, \mathbf{k}\right).$$

to account for this new variable. Having two spatial variables for W_ϵ^{--} , the differential operator \mathbf{D} is now given by

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}_\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbf{D}_\mathbf{y},$$

and (3.2) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \partial_t W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{k}) + \mathcal{P}\left(\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k} + \frac{\mathbf{D}_\mathbf{y}}{2} + \frac{\epsilon \mathbf{D}_\mathbf{x}}{2}\right) W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{k}) + W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{k}) \mathcal{P}^*\left(\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k} - \frac{\mathbf{D}_\mathbf{y}}{2} - \frac{\epsilon \mathbf{D}_\mathbf{x}}{2}\right) \\
+ \sqrt{\epsilon} (K \mathcal{K}[W_\epsilon^{--}](t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{k}) + \mathcal{K}^*[W_\epsilon^{--}](t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{k}) K^*) + O(\epsilon^{3/2}) = 0, \quad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathcal{K}[\mathcal{W}](t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{k}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{y}\cdot\mathbf{p}} \widehat{\tilde{V}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \mathcal{W}\left(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{k} - \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}\right) \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3}.$$

To derive the radiative transfer equation from (3.5), we consider the following expansion for W_ϵ^{--} in powers of ϵ

$$W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{k}) = W_0(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + \sqrt{\epsilon} W_1(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{k}) + \epsilon W_2(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{k}), \quad (3.6)$$

so that the asymptotic behavior of W_ϵ^{--} is characterized by W_0 . We also consider the first order expansion

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\mathbf{ik} + \frac{\mathbf{D}_y}{2} + \frac{\epsilon \mathbf{D}_x}{2}\right) = \mathcal{F}\left(\mathbf{ik} + \frac{\mathbf{D}_y}{2}\right) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \mathcal{F}'\left(\mathbf{ik} + \frac{\mathbf{D}_y}{2}\right) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \quad (3.7)$$

where the symbol of \mathcal{F}' is given by

$$\mathcal{F}'(\mathbf{ik}) := -2\mathbf{k} = 2iq_0(\mathbf{ik})\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}q_0(\mathbf{ik}),$$

and the one of q_0 is defined as

$$q_0(\mathbf{ik}) := \sqrt{-\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{ik})} = |\mathbf{k}|.$$

Remembering (3.3), \mathcal{P} also admits an expansion of the form

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_0 + \epsilon \mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \quad (3.8)$$

where each term is defined according to (3.7). Injecting (3.6) and (3.8) into (3.5) yields a sequence of three equations by equating the coefficients associated to each power of ϵ .

Leading order term W_0 and dispersion relation The leading order terms yield the relation

$$\mathcal{L}_0 W_0 := \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbf{ik})W_0 + W_0 \mathcal{P}_0^*(\mathbf{ik}) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

In this equation the dispersion matrix

$$\mathcal{P}_0(\mathbf{ik}) = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_0^2 \\ \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{ik}) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

admits the following spectral representation

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \lambda_+ \mathbf{b}_+ \mathbf{c}_+^* + \lambda_- \mathbf{b}_- \mathbf{c}_-^*,$$

where

$$\lambda_\pm(\mathbf{k}) := \pm ic_0 q_0(\mathbf{ik}), \quad \mathbf{b}_\pm(\mathbf{k}) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \pm iq_0^{-1}(\mathbf{ik}) \\ c_0^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{c}_\pm(\mathbf{k}) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \pm iq_0(\mathbf{ik}) \\ c_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.10)$$

with

$$\mathbf{b}_\pm^* \mathbf{c}_\pm = 1.$$

Note that here, the \pm signs are not related to the up- and down going waves introduced in Sect. 1. Using that $(\mathbf{b}_+(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{b}_-(\mathbf{k}))$ forms a basis of \mathbb{R}^2 , the matrix W_0 itself can be decomposed as

$$W_0 = \sum_{j,l=\pm} a_{jl} \mathbf{b}_j \mathbf{b}_l^* \quad \text{with} \quad a_{jl} := \mathbf{c}_j^* W_0 \mathbf{c}_l. \quad (3.11)$$

Plugging this relation into (3.9) gives $a_{jl} = 0$ for $j \neq l$, and then

$$W_0 = a_+ \mathbf{b}_+ \mathbf{b}_+^* + a_- \mathbf{b}_- \mathbf{b}_-^*, \quad \text{with} \quad a_+ := a_{++} \quad \text{and} \quad a_- := a_{--}. \quad (3.12)$$

Also, using that $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{c}(-\mathbf{k})$ for any \mathbf{k} , we have

$$a_\pm(\mathbf{k}) = a_\mp(-\mathbf{k}), \quad (3.13)$$

so that we just have to focus our attention on a_+ .

First order term W_1 Equating like powers of $\epsilon^{1/2}$ in (3.5), together with (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain the following relation

$$\mathcal{P}_0\left(\mathbf{ik} + \frac{\mathbf{D}_y}{2}\right) W_1 + W_1 \mathcal{P}_0^*\left(\mathbf{ik} - \frac{\mathbf{D}_y}{2}\right) + \mathcal{K} K W_0 + \mathcal{K}^* W_0 K^* = 0.$$

To avoid singular terms and to preserve causality, a regularization term θ is added following [2, 24] and will be sent to 0 later on:

$$\mathcal{P}_0\left(\mathbf{ik} + \frac{\mathbf{D}_y}{2}\right) W_1 + W_1 \mathcal{P}_0^*\left(\mathbf{ik} - \frac{\mathbf{D}_y}{2}\right) + \theta W_1 + \mathcal{K} K W_0 + \mathcal{K}^* W_0 K^* = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Now, taking the Fourier transform of (3.14) in \mathbf{y} leads to

$$\mathcal{P}_0 \left(i\mathbf{k} + i\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2} \right) \widehat{W}_1 + \widehat{W}_1 \mathcal{P}_0^* \left(i\mathbf{k} - i\frac{\mathbf{p}}{2} \right) + \theta \widehat{W}_1 + \widehat{V}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) K W_0 \left(\mathbf{k} + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2} \right) + \widehat{V}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) W_0 \left(\mathbf{k} - \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2} \right) K^* = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

and using that $(\mathbf{b}_+(\mathbf{k}), \mathbf{b}_-(\mathbf{k}))$ forms a basis of \mathbb{R}^2 for all \mathbf{k} , \widehat{W}_1 can be decomposed as

$$\widehat{W}_1(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}) = \sum_{j,l=\pm} \alpha_{jl}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}) \mathbf{b}_j \left(\mathbf{k} + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2} \right) \mathbf{b}_l^* \left(\mathbf{k} - \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2} \right). \quad (3.16)$$

Projecting (3.15) on the left on $\mathbf{c}_j^* \left(\mathbf{k} + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2} \right)$ and on the right on $\mathbf{c}_l \left(\mathbf{k} - \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2} \right)$, we obtain

$$\alpha_{jl}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{\widehat{V}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})}{2c_0^2} \left(\frac{\lambda_j(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}/2) a_l(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}/2) - \lambda_l(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}/2) a_j(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}/2)}{\lambda_j(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}/2) - \lambda_l(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}/2) + \theta} \right), \quad (3.17)$$

where we have used the three following relations

$$\lambda_{\pm}^* = -\lambda_{\pm}, \quad \mathbf{b}_l^*(\mathbf{p}) K^* \mathbf{c}_j(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2c_0^2} \lambda_j(\mathbf{q}), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{c}_j^*(\mathbf{p}) K \mathbf{b}_l(\mathbf{q}) = -\frac{1}{2c_0^2} \lambda_j(\mathbf{p}).$$

3.1.3 Radiative transfer equation

To conclude and derive the radiative transfer equation we have to discuss the second order term W_2 that appears by equating powers of ϵ in (3.5), together with (3.6) and (3.7). This way, we obtain

$$\mathcal{P}_0 \left(i\mathbf{k} + \frac{\mathbf{D}_y}{2} \right) W_2 + W_2 \mathcal{P}_0^* \left(i\mathbf{k} - \frac{\mathbf{D}_y}{2} \right) + \mathcal{K} K W_1 + \mathcal{K}^* W_1 K^* + \partial_t W_0 + \mathcal{P}_1(i\mathbf{k}) W_0 + W_0 \mathcal{P}_1^*(i\mathbf{k}) = 0, \quad (3.18)$$

where the last terms do not depend on \mathbf{D}_y because W_0 does not depend on the \mathbf{y} -variable. Thanks to the decomposition (3.12) the term W_2 can be chosen as being orthogonal to W_0 (W_0 is expanded over a two dimensional basis in a four dimensional vector space for any fixed \mathbf{k}) so that we necessarily have

$$\mathbf{c}_+^*(\mathbf{k}) W_2(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{k}) \mathbf{c}_+(\mathbf{k}) = 0.$$

As a result, projecting (3.18) on the left on $\mathbf{c}_+^*(\mathbf{k})$ and on the right on $\mathbf{c}_+(\mathbf{k})$, we obtain

$$\partial_t a_+ + \mathcal{L}_1 W_1 + \mathcal{L}_2 W_0 = 0, \quad (3.19)$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_1 W_1(\mathbf{k}) := \mathbf{c}_+^*(\mathbf{k}) (\mathcal{K} K W_1 + \mathcal{K}^* W_1 K^*) \mathbf{c}_+(\mathbf{k}),$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_2 W_0(\mathbf{k}) := \mathbf{c}_+^*(\mathbf{k}) (\mathcal{P}_1(i\mathbf{k}) W_0 + W_0 \mathcal{P}_1^*(i\mathbf{k})) \mathbf{c}_+(\mathbf{k}) = c_0 \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} q(i\mathbf{k}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} a_+ = c_0 \widehat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} a_+,$$

remembering that \mathcal{P}_1 is defined through (3.7 - 3.8).

Regarding the term $\mathcal{L}_1 W_1$, considering (3.16) and (3.17), we can factorize \widehat{W}_1 as

$$\widehat{W}_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}) = \widehat{V}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}), \quad (3.20)$$

and obtain

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}_1 W_1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}) = \int \widehat{V}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{r}) \widehat{V}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{r}) \mathbf{c}_+^*(\mathbf{k}) \left(\mathcal{K} \mathcal{W}_1 \left(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k} - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2} \right) + \mathcal{W}_1 \left(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2} \right) K^* \right) \mathbf{c}_+(\mathbf{k}) \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{(2\pi)^3}.$$

At this step we invoke a mixing argument on \widehat{V} defined through a mixing property on \widehat{V} . This step of averaging can be justified rigorously following the approach of [10]. Following this work, we could even prove a self-averaging property (that is the following result would hold for a_+ and not only for $\langle a_+ \rangle$ as it is described below). Nevertheless, this approach being very technical, with more involved mathematics, is beyond the scope of this paper, and we choose a more formal derivation. Assuming the following mixing property for \widehat{V}

$$\langle \widehat{V}(\mathbf{s}_1) \widehat{V}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{s}_2) U(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{s}'_1, \mathbf{s}'_2) \rangle = \langle \widehat{V}(\mathbf{s}_1) \widehat{V}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{s}_2) \rangle \langle U(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{s}'_1, \mathbf{s}'_2) \rangle, \quad (3.21)$$

and using that

$$\widehat{V}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = \widehat{V}(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_-}(x_n) + \widehat{V}(\mathbf{p}_\perp, -p_n)\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}_+}(x_n)$$

is a linear combination of two \widehat{V} , we then write

$$\langle \widehat{\mathcal{L}_1 W_1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}) \rangle = \int \langle \widehat{V}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{r}) \widehat{V}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{r}) \rangle \mathbf{c}_+^*(\mathbf{k}) \left(K \langle \mathcal{W}_1 \left(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k} - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2} \right) \rangle + \langle \mathcal{W}_1 \left(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2} \right) \rangle K^* \right) \mathbf{c}_+(\mathbf{k}) \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{(2\pi)^3}.$$

Here we apply the mixing relation w.r.t. the variable \mathbf{p} of \widehat{V} , which is the Fourier variable of the fast variable \mathbf{y} to justify formally this relation. In this later relation (as well as in (3.21)) we make an abuse of notation by using an equal sign, while this relation would hold in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Note that the behavior of \widehat{V} near the interface, corresponding to variations at the scale ϵ , plays no role since it would correspond to terms of order $O(\epsilon)$ in $\langle \widehat{\mathcal{L}_1 W_1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}) \rangle$.

As a result, averaging (3.19) yields

$$\partial_t \langle a_+ \rangle + c_0 \widehat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \langle a_+ \rangle + \langle \mathcal{L}_1 W_1 \rangle = 0, \quad (3.22)$$

with

$$\langle \widehat{\mathcal{L}_1 W_1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}) \rangle = c_0^4 \delta(\mathbf{p}) \left(\int \widehat{R}(\mathbf{r}) K \langle \mathcal{W}_1 \left(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k} - \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2} \right) \rangle d\mathbf{r} + \int \widehat{R}(\mathbf{r}) \langle \mathcal{W}_1 \left(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k} + \frac{\mathbf{r}}{2} \right) \rangle K^* d\mathbf{r} \right), \quad (3.23)$$

according to (2.16). Considering now the change of variable $\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}$ for the term involving $K\mathcal{W}_1$ and $\mathbf{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}$ for the one involving $\mathcal{W}_1 K^*$ yields

$$\langle \widehat{\mathcal{L}_1 W_1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}) \rangle = c_0^4 \delta(\mathbf{p}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{R}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}) \left[K \langle \mathcal{W}_1 \left(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{k}, \frac{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}}{2} \right) \rangle + \langle \mathcal{W}_1 \left(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}, \frac{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}}{2} \right) \rangle K^* \right] d\mathbf{q}, \quad (3.24)$$

where we have used the relation $\widehat{R}(-\mathbf{r}) = \widehat{R}(\mathbf{r})$. Going back to the definition of \mathcal{W}_1 , given by (3.20) through (3.16) and (3.17), and sending the regularization term θ to 0, knowing that in the sense of distributions

$$\frac{1}{ix + \theta} \xrightarrow{\theta \searrow 0} \frac{1}{ix} + \pi \delta(x),$$

we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{p}} \langle \widehat{\mathcal{L}_1 W_1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}) \rangle \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \xrightarrow{\theta \searrow 0} \Sigma(\mathbf{k}) \langle a_+ \rangle(\mathbf{k}) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}) \langle a_+ \rangle(\mathbf{q}) \delta(c_0(|\mathbf{q}| - |\mathbf{k}|)) d\mathbf{q},$$

with

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\pi c_0^2 |\mathbf{k}|^2}{2(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{R}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}) \delta(c_0(|\mathbf{q}| - |\mathbf{k}|)) d\mathbf{q},$$

and

$$\sigma(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{\pi c_0^2 |\mathbf{k}|^2}{2(2\pi)^3} \widehat{R}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}).$$

Finally, passing to the limit $\theta \searrow 0$ in (3.22) yields

$$\partial_t \langle a_+ \rangle(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + c_0 \widehat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \langle a_+ \rangle(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = -\Sigma(\mathbf{k}) \langle a_+ \rangle(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sigma(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}) \langle a_+ \rangle(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}) \delta(c_0(|\mathbf{q}| - |\mathbf{k}|)) d\mathbf{q}, \quad (3.25)$$

equipped with the initial condition

$$a_+(t = 0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \left| c_0^{-1} \widehat{B}(\mathbf{k}) - i|\mathbf{k}| \widehat{A}(\mathbf{k}) \right|^2 \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0), \quad (3.26)$$

according to (3.4), (3.10), and (3.11). As a result, despite the lack of stationarity for \widetilde{V} w.r.t. the x_n -variable the effective scattering phenomena for the waves energy propagation can be described by the same RTE as for fully stationary random media [2]. Also, as already mentioned, following the strategy of [10], we could have proved that a_+ itself is the solution to the deterministic equation (3.25), leading to the relation

$$a_+ = \langle a_+ \rangle.$$

Keeping in mind the relation (3.11) and (3.12), we drop the $+$ dependence for a_+ in the remaining of the paper, and only use the notation a to avoid any confusion with the up- and down-going waves introduced in Sect. 1.

To summarize, remembering (3.6), (3.12), and (3.13), the asymptotic behavior for the Wigner transform W_ϵ^{-} is then given by

$$W_0^{-}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} W_\epsilon^{-}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = a(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k})\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}) + a(t, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{k})\mathbf{B}^T(\mathbf{k}), \quad (3.27)$$

where

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}) := \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1/|\mathbf{k}|^2 & i/(c_0|\mathbf{k}|) \\ -i/(c_0|\mathbf{k}|) & 1/c_0^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.28)$$

and \mathbf{B}^T stands for its transposition.

3.2 Energy contribution of the cross terms

From (2.18) and (2.20), to complete the analysis of W_ϵ , it remains to describe the asymptotic behavior of W_ϵ^{-+} . This term describes the correlations between the up- and down-going waves produced on each side of the interface $\{x_n = 0\}$.

3.2.1 RTE for the cross-Wigner transform

Following the very same steps of Sect. 3.1, it can be shown that W_ϵ^{-+} can be described asymptotically by a standard (linear) RTE. However, in the case of initial conditions far away from the interface, the initial condition for W_ϵ^{-+} goes to 0 as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} W_\epsilon^{-+}(t = 0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathbf{u}_-^\epsilon(t = 0, \mathbf{x} - \epsilon \mathbf{y}/2) \otimes \mathbf{u}_+^\epsilon(t = 0, \mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{y}/2) \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^3} \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathbf{w}_{1,\epsilon} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}}{\epsilon} - \frac{\mathbf{y}}{2} - \frac{\mathbf{x}_0}{\epsilon} \right) \otimes \mathbf{w}_{2,\epsilon} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_\perp}{\epsilon} + \frac{\mathbf{y}_\perp}{2} - \frac{\mathbf{x}_{0,\perp}}{\epsilon}, \frac{x_n}{\epsilon} + \frac{y_n}{2} + \frac{x_{0,n}}{\epsilon} \right) \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^3} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{s}} e^{-i\mathbf{x}_{0,\perp} \cdot \mathbf{s}_\perp} e^{-2ix_{0,n}k_n/\epsilon} \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{1,\epsilon} \left(\mathbf{k} + \frac{\epsilon \mathbf{s}}{2} \right) \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{2,\epsilon}^* \left(\mathbf{k} - \frac{\epsilon \mathbf{s}}{2} \right) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

where

$$\mathbf{w}_{1,\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{pmatrix} A(\mathbf{x}) \\ c_\epsilon^{-2}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}_0)B(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{w}_{2,\epsilon}(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{pmatrix} A(\mathbf{x}) \\ c_\epsilon^{-2}(\mathbf{x}_\perp + \mathbf{x}_{0,\perp}, x_n - x_{0,n})B(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.30)$$

so that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} W_\epsilon^{-+}(t = 0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = 0,$$

in a weak sens, because of the fast phase $e^{-2ik_n x_{0,n}/\epsilon}$ together with the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem. Therefore, the RTE would have null initial conditions leading to

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} W_\epsilon^{-+}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = 0,$$

for any time $t > 0$. To describe the contribution provided by W_ϵ^{-+} we need a more careful approach.

3.2.2 Amplification at the interface for the cross-Wigner transform

Remembering (2.18) and (2.20), the asymptotic total energy density is given by

$$\begin{aligned} W^{tot}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) &:= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} W_\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = W_0^{-}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + W_0^{-}(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, -x_n), (\mathbf{k}_\perp, -k_n)) \\ &= (a(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + a(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, -x_n), (\mathbf{k}_\perp, -k_n)))\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}) \\ &\quad + (a(t, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{k}) + a(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, -x_n), (-\mathbf{k}_\perp, k_n)))\mathbf{B}^T(\mathbf{k}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

yielding the boundary condition (1.6) at $\{x_n = 0\}$ corresponding to the one derived in [25], and the total energy contributions from all directions

$$\begin{aligned} E(t, \mathbf{x}) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W^{tot}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_0^{-}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + W_0^{-}(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, -x_n), \mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k}, \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + a(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, -x_n), \mathbf{k})) \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

where

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{k}) := \begin{pmatrix} 1/|\mathbf{k}|^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/c_0^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To exhibit the nontrivial contribution to W_ϵ^{-+} , we introduce the following *shifted* wave equation

$$\partial_{tt}^2 q^\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}) - \tilde{c}^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_\perp}{\epsilon}, \frac{x_n - x_{0,n}}{\epsilon} \right) \Delta q^\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^* \times \mathbb{R}^3,$$

equipped with the initial conditions

$$q^\epsilon(t=0, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3/2}} A \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}_{0,\perp}}{\epsilon}, \frac{x_n}{\epsilon} \right),$$

and

$$\epsilon \partial_t q^\epsilon(t=0, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{3/2}} B \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}_{0,\perp}}{\epsilon}, \frac{x_n}{\epsilon} \right).$$

Considering now the vector field

$$\mathbf{v}_\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}) := \left(\epsilon \tilde{c}^{-2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_\perp}{\epsilon}, \frac{x_n - x_{0,n}}{\epsilon} \right) \partial_t q^\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}) \right),$$

it turns out that

$$\mathbf{u}_\epsilon^-(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, x_n) = \mathbf{v}_\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, -x_n + x_{0,n}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{u}_\epsilon^+(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, x_n) = \mathbf{v}_\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, x_n + x_{0,n}).$$

In this way, W_ϵ^{-+} can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} W_\epsilon^{-+}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathbf{u}_\epsilon^-(\mathbf{x} - \epsilon \mathbf{y}/2) \otimes \mathbf{u}_\epsilon^+(\mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{y}/2) \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^3} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathbf{v}_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \epsilon \mathbf{y}_\perp/2, x_{0,n} + \epsilon y_n/2 - x_n) \otimes \mathbf{v}_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}_\perp + \epsilon \mathbf{y}_\perp/2, x_{0,n} + \epsilon y_n/2 + x_n) \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^3} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ik_n y_n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2ip_n x_n/\epsilon} \mathcal{W}_\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, x_{0,n} + \epsilon y_n/2, \mathbf{k}_\perp, p_n) dp_n \right) dy_n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}_\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \mathbf{v}_\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x} - \epsilon \mathbf{y}/2) \otimes \mathbf{v}_\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x} + \epsilon \mathbf{y}/2).$$

We thus obtain the following expression for W_ϵ^{-+} ,

$$W_\epsilon^{-+}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{2}{\epsilon(2\pi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2ik_n(y_n - x_{0,n})/\epsilon} e^{-2ip_n x_n/\epsilon} \mathcal{W}_\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, y_n, \mathbf{k}_\perp, p_n) dp_n dy_n.$$

The presence of highly oscillatory terms, $e^{2ik_n(y_n - x_{0,n})/\epsilon}$ and $e^{-2ip_n x_n/\epsilon}$, suggests to place our attention at the vicinity of the interface $\{x_n = 0\}$ (within one wavelength), leading to the two changes of variables

$$\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_\epsilon = (\mathbf{x}_\perp, \epsilon \tilde{x}_n/2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_\epsilon = (\mathbf{k}_\perp, \epsilon \tilde{k}_n/2).$$

The first change of variable $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}_\epsilon$ allows us to focus on phenomena near the boundary $\{x_n = 0\}$, while the second one $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_\epsilon$ allows to follow what propagates along this boundary. Finally, remembering that \tilde{c}_ϵ is even w.r.t. the x_n -variable, and that, thanks to (2.3) and (2.4), the initial conditions for the two fields are symmetrical with respect to $x_n = 0$, we remark that

$$W_\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, y_n, \mathbf{k}_\perp, p_n) = W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, x_{0,n} - y_n, \mathbf{k}_\perp, -p_n),$$

so that

$$W_\epsilon^{-+}(t, \mathbf{x}_\epsilon, \mathbf{k}_\epsilon) = \frac{2}{\epsilon(2\pi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\tilde{k}_n y_n} e^{ip_n \tilde{x}_n} W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, y_n, \mathbf{k}_\perp, p_n) dp_n dy_n.$$

In this representation, the cross-Wigner transform near the interface can be expressed in term of a self-Wigner transform seen at the macroscopic scale. This formulation is very convenient to pass to the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as it allows

to bypass the lack of stationarity of \tilde{V} near the interface, and avoids a detailed analysis of the statistical properties at a distance ϵ from the interface.

As a result, the asymptotic (nontrivial) contribution of W_ϵ^{-+} can be described as

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon W_\epsilon^{-+}(t, \mathbf{x}_\epsilon, \mathbf{k}_\epsilon) = \frac{2}{(2\pi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\tilde{k}_n y_n} e^{ip_n \tilde{x}_n} W_0^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, y_n, \mathbf{k}_\perp, p_n) dp_n dy_n, \quad (3.33)$$

where W_0^{--} is given by (3.27). One can remark here that the boundary effects will not provide any additional numerical effort to be simulated. They can easily be obtained from W_0^{--} through the numerical simulation of a .

Regarding now the energy contributions from all directions at the vicinity (within one wavelength) of the boundary $\{x_n = 0\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{boundary}(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, \tilde{x}_n) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} W_\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}_\epsilon, \mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}_\epsilon, \mathbf{k}) + W_\epsilon^{--}(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, -\epsilon \tilde{x}_n/2), \mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon W_\epsilon^{-+}(t, \mathbf{x}_\epsilon, \mathbf{k}_\epsilon) + \epsilon W_\epsilon^{-+}(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, -\epsilon \tilde{x}_n/2), \mathbf{k}_\epsilon) d\mathbf{k}_\perp d\tilde{k}_n, \end{aligned}$$

after the change of variable $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_\epsilon = (\mathbf{k}_\perp, \epsilon \tilde{k}_n/2)$ for the integral of the cross terms. Finally, using (3.33), we obtain

$$E_{boundary}(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, \tilde{x}_n) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, 0), \mathbf{k}) (1 + \cos(k_n \tilde{x}_n)) \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k},$$

exhibiting the effects of the boundary, and then

$$E_{boundary}(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, \tilde{x}_n = 0) = 2 E(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, 0)),$$

that is a doubling of the energy w.r.t. (3.32).

3.3 Time and space evolution of the boundary effects

In this section we investigate in more details the time and space evolution of the boundary effects (3.33) along the interface $\{x_n = 0\}$ by looking at

$$\mathcal{W}_0(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, \tilde{x}_n, \mathbf{k}_\perp) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} W_\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}_\epsilon, \mathbf{k}_\perp, k_n) dk_n,$$

which can be rewritten thanks to (2.18), (2.19), (2.20), and (3.33) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_0(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, \tilde{x}_n, \mathbf{k}_\perp) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}_\epsilon, (\mathbf{k}_\perp, k_n)) + W_\epsilon^{--}(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, -\epsilon \tilde{x}_n/2), (\mathbf{k}_\perp, k_n)) dk_n \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon W_\epsilon^{-+}(t, \mathbf{x}_\epsilon, \mathbf{k}_\perp, \epsilon \tilde{k}_n/2) + \epsilon W_\epsilon^{-+}(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, -\epsilon \tilde{x}_n/2), (\mathbf{k}_\perp, -\epsilon \tilde{k}_n/2)) dk_n \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + \cos(k_n \tilde{x}_n)) W_0^{--}(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, 0), (\mathbf{k}_\perp, k_n)) dk_n \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + \cos(k_n \tilde{x}_n)) (a(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, 0), (\mathbf{k}_\perp, k_n)) \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}_\perp, k_n) + a(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, 0), (-\mathbf{k}_\perp, k_n)) \mathbf{B}^T(\mathbf{k}_\perp, k_n)) dk_n. \end{aligned}$$

To describe more closely a , let us rewrite (3.25) under its mild formulation

$$a(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = e^{-\Sigma(\mathbf{k})t} a_0(\mathbf{x} - c_0 t \hat{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{k}) + \int_0^t \int \sigma(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) e^{-\Sigma(\mathbf{k})(t-s)} a(s, \mathbf{x} - c_0 \hat{\mathbf{k}}(t-s), \mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p},$$

where

$$a_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) := a(t = 0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = \mathbb{A}(\mathbf{k}) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0),$$

with \mathbb{A} defined according to (3.26). Iterating this relation yields the following Duhamel expansion

$$a(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = \sum_{N \geq 0} \int_{\Delta_N(t)} ds^{(N)} \int d\mathbf{p}^{(N)} e^{-\sum_{j=0}^N \Sigma(\mathbf{p}_j)(s_j - s_{j+1})} \prod_{j=1}^N \sigma(\mathbf{p}_{j-1}, \mathbf{p}_j) \quad (3.34)$$

$$\times \mathbb{A}(\mathbf{p}_N) \delta\left(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0 - c_0 \sum_{j=0}^N \hat{\mathbf{p}}_j(s_j - s_{j+1})\right),$$

where $\mathbf{p}^{(N)} := (\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_N)$, $\mathbf{p}_0 := \mathbf{k}$, and

$$\Delta_N(t) = \{\mathbf{s}^{(N)} := (s_1, \dots, s_N) : 0 \leq s_N \leq \dots \leq s_1 \leq t\},$$

with $s_0 := t$ and $s_{N+1} := 0$. In this expansion, the first term in the r.h.s ($N = 0$) corresponds to the coherent component, while the other terms ($N \geq 1$) result from the multiple scattering. The N -th term in the sum corresponds to the component that undergoes N scattering events.

Now, inserting (3.34) into the definition of \mathcal{W}_0 yields

$$\mathcal{W}_0 = \mathcal{W}_0^c + \mathcal{W}_0^i,$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{0,c}$ contains the contribution of the coherent waves

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_0^c(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, \tilde{x}_n, \mathbf{k}_\perp) &:= 2 \int dk_n (1 + \cos(k_n \tilde{x}_n)) e^{-\Sigma(\mathbf{k}_\perp, k_n)t} \\ &\times \left(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{k}_\perp, k_n) \delta(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}_{0,\perp} - c_0 \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{0,\perp} t) \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}_\perp, k'_n(t)) \right. \\ &+ \mathbb{A}(-\mathbf{k}_\perp, k_n) \delta(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}_{0,\perp} + c_0 \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{0,\perp} t) \mathbf{B}^T(\mathbf{k}_\perp, k'_n(t)) \left. \right) \\ &\times \delta(x_{0,n} + c_0 \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{0,n} t), \end{aligned}$$

with the notation

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}_0 := \frac{(\mathbf{k}_\perp, k_n)}{\sqrt{|\mathbf{k}_\perp|^2 + k_n^2}},$$

and \mathcal{W}_0^i contains the contributions of the incoherent waves

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_0^i(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, \tilde{x}_n, \mathbf{k}_\perp) &:= 2 \sum_{N \geq 1} \int_{\Delta_N(t)} ds^{(N)} \int d\mathbf{p}^{(N)} \int dk_n (1 + \cos(k_n \tilde{x}_n)) \\ &\times e^{-\sum_{j=0}^N \Sigma(\mathbf{p}_j)(s_j - s_{j+1})} \prod_{j=1}^N \sigma(\mathbf{p}_{j-1}, \mathbf{p}_j) \\ &\times \left(\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{p}_{N,\perp}, \mathbf{p}_{N,n}) \delta\left(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}_{0,\perp} - c_0 \sum_{j=0}^N \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{j,\perp}(s_j - s_{j+1})\right) \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}_\perp, k_n) \right. \\ &+ \mathbb{A}(-\mathbf{p}_{N,\perp}, \mathbf{p}_{N,n}) \delta\left(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}_{0,\perp} + c_0 \sum_{j=0}^N \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{j,\perp}(s_j - s_{j+1})\right) \mathbf{B}^T(\mathbf{k}_\perp, k_n) \left. \right) \\ &\times \delta\left(x_{0,n} + c_0 \sum_{j=0}^N \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{j,n}(s_j - s_{j+1})\right). \end{aligned}$$

The last Dirac masses in \mathcal{W}_0^c and \mathcal{W}_0^i lead to the condition

$$k_n = k'_n(t, \mathbf{x}_0) := |\mathbf{k}_\perp| \frac{|x_{0,n}|}{\sqrt{c_0^2 t^2 - x_{0,n}^2}} \quad \text{for} \quad \mathcal{W}_{0,c},$$

and

$$k_n = \pm k'_n\left(t - s_1, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0(\mathbf{s}^{(N)}, \mathbf{p}^{(N)})\right) \quad \text{for} \quad \mathcal{W}_{0,i},$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0(\mathbf{s}^{(N)}, \mathbf{p}^{(N)}) := (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{0,\perp}(\mathbf{s}^{(N)}, \mathbf{p}_{\perp}^{(N)}), \tilde{x}_{0,n}(\mathbf{s}^{(N)}, \mathbf{p}_n^{(N)})) := \mathbf{x}_0 + c_0 \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{\mathbf{p}}_j (s_j - s_{j+1}). \quad (3.35)$$

Here, $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0(\mathbf{s}^{(N)}, \mathbf{p}^{(N)})$ reflects a position after N scattering events. To compute this position, starting from \mathbf{x}_0 , we follow successively the directions $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_j$ for a time duration $s_j - s_{j+1}$.

In \mathcal{W}_0^c the later Dirac mass can then be rewritten as

$$\delta(x_{0,n} + c_0 \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{0,n} t) = \delta(g(k_n)) = \frac{1}{g'(k'_n)} \delta(k_n - k'_n),$$

with

$$g'(k_n) = \frac{c_0 t |\mathbf{k}_{\perp}|^2}{(|\mathbf{k}_{\perp}|^2 + k_n^2)^{3/2}},$$

so that

$$g'(k'_n) = \frac{c_0 t}{|\mathbf{k}_{\perp}|} \left(1 - \left(\frac{x_{0,n}}{c_0 t}\right)^2\right)^{3/2}.$$

As a result, the contribution of the coherent waves can be explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_0^c(t, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}, \tilde{x}_n, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) &= (1 + \cos(k'_n(t, \mathbf{x}_0) \tilde{x}_n)) (\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{k}_{\perp}, k'_n(t, \mathbf{x}_0)) \mathcal{I}_-(t, \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{A}(-\mathbf{k}_{\perp}, k'_n(t, \mathbf{x}_0)) \mathcal{I}_+^T(t, \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{k}_{\perp})), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_{\pm}(t, \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) := \frac{2|\mathbf{k}_{\perp}| e^{-\Sigma(\mathbf{k}_{\perp}, k'_n(t, \mathbf{x}_0))t}}{c_0 t \left(1 - \left(\frac{x_{0,n}}{c_0 t}\right)^2\right)^{3/2}} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}_{\perp} - \mathbf{x}_{0,\perp} \pm \sqrt{c_0^2 t^2 - x_{0,n}^2} \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp}\right) \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}_{\perp}, k'_n(t, \mathbf{x}_0)).$$

The displacement of this contribution along the boundary with direction $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp}$ is described through \mathcal{I}_{\pm} , each of them originate at $\mathbf{x}_{0,\perp}$ and propagate in opposite directions depending of the \pm signs. The amplitudes of these contributions are affected by a geometric attenuation $1/(c_0 t)$ and how the initial condition charges the current direction of the waves reaching the boundary $\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{k}_{\perp}, k'_n(t, \mathbf{x}_0))$. These two contributions hold whether or not the propagation medium is heterogeneous. The difference comes from the attenuation term $e^{-\Sigma(\mathbf{k}_{\perp}, k'_n(t, \mathbf{x}_0))t}$ depending on the current statistical properties of the medium heterogeneities.

Regarding the contribution of the incoherent waves, the same strategy yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_0^i(t, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}, \tilde{x}_n, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) &= \sum_{N \geq 1} \int_{\Delta_N(t)} d\mathbf{s}^{(N)} \int d\mathbf{p}^{(N)} \\ &\quad e^{-\sum_{j=1}^N \Sigma(\mathbf{p}_j)(s_j - s_{j+1})} \prod_{j=1}^N \sigma(\mathbf{p}_{j-1}, \mathbf{p}_j) \sigma((\mathbf{k}_{\perp}, k'_n(t - s_1, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0(\mathbf{s}^{(N)}, \mathbf{p}^{(N)}))), \mathbf{p}_1) \\ &\quad \times (1 + \cos(k'_n(t - s_1, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0(\mathbf{s}^{(N)}, \mathbf{p}^{(N)})) \tilde{x}_n)) \\ &\quad \times (\mathbb{A}(\mathbf{p}_{N,\perp}, \mathbf{p}_{N,n}) \mathcal{I}_-(t - s_1, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0(\mathbf{s}^{(N)}, \mathbf{p}^{(N)}), \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{A}(-\mathbf{p}_{N,\perp}, \mathbf{p}_{N,n}) \mathcal{I}_+^T(t - s_1, \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0(\mathbf{s}^{(N)}, \mathbf{p}^{(N)}), \mathbf{k}_{\perp})), \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

after using the symmetries w.r.t. the k_n -variable and appropriate changes of variables.

One can observe here that the incoherent component provides a continuum of contribution w.r.t time through the integral over $\mathbf{s}^{(N)}$, and the three last lines of (3.36) provide a similar comportment as for the coherent component \mathcal{W}_0^c . However, the starting points of these contributions are described through the location $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0$, playing the role of fictitious sources w.r.t. the last scattering event. The position $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_0$ is evaluated by (3.35) and depends on all the previous scattering events (as for the overall contribution). Also, the time duration of each contribution holds on a time interval of length $t - s_1$ corresponding to the time of the last scattering event s_1 to the observation time t .

3.4 Dirichlet boundary condition

Under Dirichlet boundary conditions for the wave equation (1.1), the asymptotic analysis of the energy density is the same as for Neumann conditions, but with

$$\tilde{p}^\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}) = p_-^\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}) - p_+^\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}) \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (3.37)$$

instead of (2.5), where p_-^ϵ and p_+^ϵ still satisfy (2.6). This way, \tilde{p}^ϵ is now odd with respect to the x_n -variable, which is compatible with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Despite the change of boundary conditions, the reflection conditions (1.6) still hold true, as well as (2.19) and (2.20). Hence, similar results as (3.27) and (3.33) can be obtained for the self- and cross-Wigner transforms respectively. In particular, (3.32) still holds true. The difference lies in the decomposition (2.18), which becomes here

$$W_\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = W_\epsilon^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + W_\epsilon^{++}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) - W_\epsilon^{-+}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) - W_\epsilon^{+-}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}),$$

because of the negative sign in (3.37). Therefore, following the same lines as in Sect. 3.2 yields

$$E_{boundary}(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, \tilde{x}_n) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, 0), \mathbf{k})(1 - \cos(k_n \tilde{x}_n)) \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k}.$$

One can see here a canceling of the energy intensities at the boundary,

$$E_{boundary}(t, \mathbf{x}_\perp, \tilde{x}_n = 0) = 0,$$

which is consistent with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

4 Asymptotic analysis with an initial condition close to the border

In this part, we assume an initial condition located close (within one wavelength) to the interface. In other words, \mathbf{x}_0 becomes here

$$\mathbf{x}_{0,\epsilon} = (\mathbf{x}_{0,\perp}, \epsilon x_{0,n}).$$

In this situation, all the boundary effects described in Sect. 3.2 still hold true, and the dramatic difference lies away from the boundary. In fact, going back to (3.29)

$$\begin{aligned} W_\epsilon^{-+}(t=0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{u}_-^\epsilon(t=0, \mathbf{x} - \epsilon\mathbf{y}/2) \otimes \mathbf{u}_+^\epsilon(t=0, \mathbf{x} + \epsilon\mathbf{y}/2) \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{(2\pi)^3} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{s}} e^{-i\mathbf{x}_{0,\perp}\cdot\mathbf{s}_\perp} e^{-2ix_{0,n}k_n} \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{1,\epsilon} \left(\mathbf{k} + \frac{\epsilon\mathbf{s}}{2} \right) \widehat{\mathbf{w}}_{2,\epsilon}^* \left(\mathbf{k} - \frac{\epsilon\mathbf{s}}{2} \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{w}_{1,\epsilon}$ and $\mathbf{w}_{2,\epsilon}$ are given by (3.30), we obtain now a non-vanishing initial condition for the cross-Wigner transforms

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} W_\epsilon^{-+}(t=0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = e^{-2ix_{0,n}k_n} \widehat{S}(\mathbf{k}) \widehat{S}^*(\mathbf{k}) \delta(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}_{0,\perp}) \delta(x_n), \quad (4.1)$$

taking place at the boundary $\{x_n = 0\}$, and where \widehat{S} is defined by (3.4). As a result, following the very same lines as in Sect. 3, one can write

$$\begin{aligned} W_0^{-+}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) &:= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} W_\epsilon^{-+}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) \\ &= a'(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}) + a'(t, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{B}^T(\mathbf{k}), \end{aligned}$$

where a' satisfies the RTE (3.25) with initial condition given by (4.1), and \mathbf{B} defined by (3.28). From (2.18), (2.19) and (2.20), the asymptotic of the full Wigner transform is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{W}^{tot}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) &:= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} W_\epsilon(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) \\ &= W_0^{--}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + W_0^{--}(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, -x_n), (\mathbf{k}_\perp, -k_n)) \\ &\quad \pm W_0^{-+}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) \pm W_0^{-+}(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, -x_n), (\mathbf{k}_\perp, -k_n)) \\ &= (\tilde{a}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) + \tilde{a}(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, -x_n), (\mathbf{k}_\perp, -k_n))) \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{k}) \\ &\quad + (\tilde{a}(t, \mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{k}) + \tilde{a}(t, (\mathbf{x}_\perp, -x_n), (-\mathbf{k}_\perp, k_n))) \mathbf{B}^T(\mathbf{k}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{a} = a \pm a',$$

exhibiting the extra contribution a' compared to W^{tot} given by (3.31) for a source far from the interface, and for which no boundary effect were taking place. Here, the \pm signs depend on the boundary condition under consideration. A $+$ sign holds for Neumann boundary condition, and a $-$ sign for Dirichlet conditions. Note that \tilde{a} satisfies the same RTE (3.25) as a , by linearity, but with initial conditions

$$\tilde{a}(t = 0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = (1 \pm e^{-2ix_{0,n}k_n})a(t = 0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}),$$

reflecting the effects of the boundary. Sending $x_{0,n}$ to 0 provides, for Neumann boundary condition, a doubling of the initial condition, so that

$$\tilde{a}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = 2a(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}).$$

In this context, the boundary effects produce a doubling of the energy density that propagates all over the medium and not only located at the vicinity of the boundary. In other words, a source very close to the interface with the Neumann boundary conditions produce some resonance effects allowing the initial condition to interact with itself and produce a doubling of the total propagating energy density,

$$\widetilde{W}^{tot} = 2W^{tot}$$

compared to a source located far away from the boundary (3.31). Note that to respect the parity of the initial conditions A and B in (2.9), and the need to be compatible with the boundary conditions, the two functions A and B need to be sent to Dirac masses at 0 when sending $x_{0,n}$ to 0.

For Dirichlet boundary conditions, sending $x_{0,n}$ to 0 then yields

$$\tilde{a}(t = 0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}) = 0,$$

and therefore a complete cancellation of the energy density

$$\widetilde{W}^{tot} = 0,$$

which is consistent with this type of boundary conditions.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, an asymptotic analysis has been provided allowing to derive a radiative transfer equation for acoustic waves energy propagating in a randomly fluctuating half-space, as well as describing explicitly the propagation of radiative interference located within one wavelength of the boundary. The approach has been built on the method of images, where the half-space problem has been extended to a full-space, and two symmetrical wave fields (the up and down-going waves) have been considered. The energy densities for these wave fields verify the same RTE (3.25). Moreover, the interferences between the the up and down-going waves are responsible for contributions to the total energy, supported within one wavelength of the boundary. More specifically, at the interface, under Neumann boundary conditions the interferences yields a doubling of the intensity and under Dirichlet boundary condition yields a canceling of the intensity. In the case of a source close (within one wavelength) to the interface, the contribution due to the interference effects does not vanish far from the interface, because the up and down-going waves evolve within one wavelength of each other and then produce interferences throughout the propagation domain.

References

- [1] Jean-Luc Akian. “Space–time semiclassical measures for three-dimensional elastodynamics: boundary conditions for the hyperbolic set”. In: *Asymptotic Analysis* 78.1-2 (2012), pp. 37–83.
- [2] Guillaume Bal. “Kinetics of scalar wave fields in random media”. In: *Wave Motion* (2005).
- [3] Guillaume Bal, Tomasz Komorowski, and Lenya Ryzhik. “Kinetic limits for waves in a random medium”. In: *Kinetic & Related Models* 3.4 (2010), p. 529.

- [4] Guillaume Bal and Leonid Ryzhik. “Wave transport for a scalar model of the Love waves”. In: *Wave motion* 36.1 (2002), pp. 49–66.
- [5] Guillaume Bal et al. “Transport theory for acoustic waves with reflection and transmission at interfaces”. In: *Wave Motion* 30.4 (1999), pp. 303–327.
- [6] Ibrahim Baydoun et al. “Kinetic modeling of multiple scattering of elastic waves in heterogeneous anisotropic media”. In: *Wave Motion* 51.8 (2014), pp. 1325–1348.
- [7] Benedikt Bitterli et al. “A radiative transfer framework for non-exponential media”. In: (2018).
- [8] Liliana Borcea, Josselin Garnier, and Knut Sølna. “Onset of energy equipartition among surface and body waves”. In: *Proceedings of the Royal Society A* 477.2246 (2021), p. 20200775.
- [9] N. Burq and P. Gérard. “Condition nécessaire et suffisante pour la contrôlabilité exacte des ondes”. In: *Comptes Rendus de l’Académie des Sciences-Series I-Mathematics* 325 (1997), pp. 749–752. DOI: 10.1016/S0764-4442(97)80053-5.
- [10] Maximilian Josef Butz. “Kinetic limit for wave propagation in a continuous, weakly random medium”. PhD thesis. Technische Universität München, 2015.
- [11] P. Gérard and E. Leichtnam. “Ergodic properties of eigenfunctions for the Dirichlet problem”. In: *Duke Math. J.* 71.2 (1993), pp. 559–607. DOI: 10.1215/S0012-7094-93-07122-0.
- [12] S. Jin and X. Liao. “A Hamiltonian-preserving scheme for high-frequency elastic waves in heterogeneous media”. In: *Journal of Hyperbolic Differential Equations* 3.4 (2006), pp. 741–777. DOI: 10.1142/S0219891606000999.
- [13] S. Jin and X. Wen. “A Hamiltonian-preserving scheme for the Liouville equation of Geometrical Optics with partial transmissions and reflections”. In: *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 44.5 (2006), pp. 1801–1828. DOI: 10.1137/050631343.
- [14] Alexander Keller et al. “The path tracing revolution in the movie industry”. In: (2015), pp. 1–7.
- [15] Alexander D Klose. “The forward and inverse problem in tissue optics based on the radiative transfer equation: a brief review”. In: *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer* 111.11 (2010), pp. 1852–1853.
- [16] Alexander D Klose and Andreas H Hielscher. “Iterative reconstruction scheme for optical tomography based on the equation of radiative transfer”. In: *Medical physics* 26.8 (1999), pp. 1698–1707.
- [17] Edward W Larsen. “Neutron transport and diffusion in inhomogeneous media. I”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 16.7 (1975), pp. 1421–1427.
- [18] Edward W Larsen. “Neutron transport and diffusion in inhomogeneous media. II”. In: *Nuclear science and engineering* 60.4 (1976), pp. 357–368.
- [19] Edward W Larsen and Joseph B Keller. “Asymptotic solution of neutron transport problems for small mean free paths”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 15.1 (1974), pp. 75–81.
- [20] Takuto Maeda, Haruo Sato, and Takeshi Nishimura. “Synthesis of coda wave envelopes in randomly inhomogeneous elastic media in a half-space: single scattering model including Rayleigh waves”. In: *Geophysical Journal International* 172.1 (2008), pp. 130–154.
- [21] Ludovic Margerin, Andres Bazaras, and Michel Campillo. “A scalar radiative transfer model including the coupling between surface and body waves”. In: *Geophysical Journal International* 219.2 (2019), pp. 1092–1108.
- [22] Ludovic Margerin, Michel Campillo, and Bart Tiggelen. “Radiative transfer and diffusion of waves in a layered medium: new insight into coda Q”. In: *Geophysical journal international* 134.2 (1998), pp. 596–612.

- [23] L. Miller. “Refraction of high-frequency waves density by sharp interfaces and semiclassical measures at the boundary”. In: *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* 79.3 (2000), pp. 227–269. DOI: 10.1016/S0021-7824(00)00158-6.
- [24] Leonid Ryzhik, George Papanicolaou, and Joseph B Keller. “Transport equations for elastic and other waves in random media”. In: *Wave motion* 24.4 (1996), pp. 327–370.
- [25] Leonid Ryzhik, George C Papanicolaou, and Joseph B Keller. “Transport equations for waves in a half space”. In: *Communications in Partial Differential Equations* 22.11 (1997), pp. 1869–1910.
- [26] E. Savin. “A transport model for high-frequency vibrational power flows in coupled heterogeneous structures”. In: *Interaction Multiscale Mechanics* 1.1 (2008), pp. 53–81. DOI: 10.12989/imm.2008.1.1.053.
- [27] Jerome Spanier and Ely M Gelbard. *Monte Carlo principles and neutron transport problems*. Courier Corporation, 2008.
- [28] Najda Villefranque et al. “Path-tracing monte carlo libraries for 3d radiative transfer in cloudy atmospheres”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.01137* (2019).