

# Lower Dimensional Complex Multiplication

David R. Kohel  
Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy

## Complex multiplication of abelian varieties

An abelian variety  $A/k$  over a field  $k$  is a complete, projective group scheme. An abelian variety  $A/k$  is said to have CM if  $\mathcal{O} = \text{End}(A)$  is an order in a CM field  $K$  of degree  $2g = 2 \dim(A)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Such a number field has a unique automorphism which agrees with complex conjugation on any complex embedding. Consequently there exists a unique totally real subfield  $F$  of degree  $g$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

The simplest example of an abelian variety is an elliptic curve, which can be described by the projective closure of a Weierstrass cubic:

$$E : y^2 + xy = x^3 - \frac{36}{(j - 1728)}x - \frac{1}{(j - 1728)},$$

which has an associated invariant  $j = j(E)$ . An elliptic curve with CM is the projective image of  $\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{a}$  where  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal in a CM order  $\mathcal{O}$  embedded in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## Complex abelian varieties

For general  $A/\mathbb{C}$  we have  $A(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda$  for a Hermitian lattice  $\Lambda$ , and

$$\text{End}(A) \cong \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda) \subseteq \mathbb{M}_g(\mathbb{C}).$$

Generically, we have  $\text{End}(A) = \mathbb{Z}$ . We can write

$$\Lambda = \Omega_1\mathbb{Z}^g + \Omega_2\mathbb{Z}^g \cong \mathbb{Z}^g + \Omega_2^{-1}\Omega_1\mathbb{Z}^g,$$

such that  $\tau = \Omega_2^{-1}\Omega_1$  is an element of Siegel upper half space:

$$\mathbb{H}_g = \{\tau \in \mathbb{M}_g(\mathbb{C}) \mid \tau^t = \tau \text{ and } \Im(\tau) > 0\}.$$

The set of isomorphism classes of principally polarized abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{C}$  of dimension  $g$  are in bijection with

$$\mathcal{A}_g(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}_g,$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_g$  is the (course) moduli space of p.p. abelian varieties.

## Moduli of abelian varieties

In the case  $g = 1$ , the discrete group  $\mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  equals  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , and we recover the  $j$ -line  $\mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ , where

$$\mathbb{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : \Im(\tau) > 0\},$$

which is an affine line with coordinate function  $j$ . We would like to determine an algebraic description of the special values of  $j = j(E)$  for which  $\mathrm{End}(E)$  is a CM order. E.g. for  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{-23})/2]$ , such  $j$  satisfy:

$$j^3 + 3491750j^2 - 5151296875j + 12771880859375 = 0.$$

In general for a fixed CM order  $\mathcal{O}$  there are a finite number of such points in  $\mathcal{A}_g(\mathbb{C})$  which lie in  $\mathcal{A}_g(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ .

## Explicit moduli

In higher dimension we need a suitable moduli space of curves  $\mathcal{M}_g$  or of p.p. abelian varieties  $\mathcal{A}_g$  with known coordinate functions. For lower dimension  $g \leq 3$ , the map from curves to their Jacobians maps  $\mathcal{M}_g$  to a dense open subvariety of  $\mathcal{A}_g$  (invariants of nondecomposable abelian varieties).

### Assumptions:

1. Some functions  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  on  $\mathcal{M}_g$  (or  $\mathcal{A}_g$ ) are known which determine the function field of  $\mathcal{M}_g$  (or  $\mathcal{A}_g$ ).
2. Given a curve  $C/k$  (or abelian variety  $A/k$ ) we can compute the point  $P = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in  $\mathcal{M}_g(k)$  (or  $\mathcal{A}_g(k)$ ), which determines  $C$  (or  $A$ ) up to  $\bar{k}$ -isomorphism,
3. Conversely, from  $P$  we can find such a  $C$  (or an  $A$ ).

For dimension  $g \leq 3$  there exist explicitly computable invariants of curves, but for  $g = 3$ , there remains work to describe an algorithm for assumed property 3.

## Explicit CM constructions

**GOAL:** Determine moduli of CM curves (or p.p. abelian varieties), as a set of polynomial relations determining CM points in  $\mathcal{M}_g$  (or  $\mathcal{A}_g$ ), associated to an order  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

### Motivation:

1. Fundamental mathematical interest: generation of abelian extensions, explicit class field theory.
2. Cryptographic applications: the zeta function of a CM curve or abelian variety over a finite field is determined (up to finitely many possibilities) by  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

### Algorithmic considerations

1. Choice of a moduli space  $X$  with low degree map  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ .
2. Complex analytic,  $p$ -adic, or CRT methods.
  - 2.1 Construction of special CM points.
  - 2.2 Reconstruction of defined polynomials (ideals) over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
3. Galois theoretic properties of these points coming from CFT.

# Explicit invariant theory

We have at our disposal the following explicit invariants:

$g$	$\dim(\mathcal{H}_g)$	$\dim(\mathcal{M}_g)$	$\dim(\mathcal{A}_g)$	Invariants
1		1	1	$j$
2	3	3	3	Igusa/Clebsch
3	5	6	6	Dixmier-Ohno/Shioda
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	
$g$	$2g - 1$	$3g - 3$	$g(g + 1)/2$	Theta constants

## Genus 1:

In the case of  $g = 1$  we have  $\mathcal{M}_1(= \mathcal{A}_1) = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}[j]) = \mathbb{A}^1$ .

## Genus 2:

In the case of  $g = 2$  we have a rational parametrization of  $\mathcal{M}_2$ , given by a (noncanonical choice of) triple of Igusa invariants  $(j_1, j_2, j_3)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{M}_2$  is birational to  $\mathbb{A}^3$ .

## Explicit invariant theory

### Genus 3:

For  $g = 3$ , Shioda described the ring of projective invariants for the hyperelliptic locus  $\mathcal{H}_3$ , as  $\mathbb{Q}[J_2, J_3, \dots, J_{10}]$  such that  $J_2, J_3, \dots, J_7$  are algebraically independent and  $\mathbb{Q}[J_2, \dots, J_{10}]$  is a free  $\mathbb{Q}[J_2, \dots, J_7]$ -module of rank 5, generated by  $\{1, J_8, J_9, J_{10}, J_9^2\}$ .

On the generic space  $\mathcal{M}_3$ , Dixmier described 7 algebraically independent projective invariants, such that  $\mathcal{M}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^6$  is a finite cover of degree 60. Invariants of Ohno complete the description of the full ring of projective invariants.

### Potential improvements:

For  $g \geq 2$ , we may replace  $\mathcal{A}_g$  of dimension  $g(g+1)/2$  by the smaller Hilbert moduli space of dimension  $g$ , whose points have endomorphisms by a fixed totally real subring  $\mathcal{O}_F$ .

At the risk of replacing a geometrically simple moduli space with a more complicated one, we consider finite covers of  $\mathcal{M}_g$  or  $\mathcal{A}_g$  by adding a level structure.



## Level structure in genus 1

In terms of the  $j$ -function, we saw that even for the small order  $\mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{-23})/2]$  the Hilbert class polynomial (minimal polynomial of CM  $j$ -invariants) has relatively large coefficient size:

$$j^3 + 3491750j^2 - 5151296875j + 12771880859375.$$

Using a Legendre model  $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-t)$ , with full parametrized 2-torsion subgroup, we find a class polynomial

$$t^6 - 3t^5 + 13651t^4 - 27297t^3 + 8016t^2 + 5632t + 4096.$$

Here  $t$  is a generator for the function field of  $X(2)$ , and  $j = 2^8(t^2 - t + 1)^3 / (t(t-1))^2$ . The coefficient size is reduced at the expense of finding a degree  $2h$  polynomial.

By adding a level-48 structure, a suitable Weber function (such that  $j = (u^{24} - 16)^3 / u^{24}$ ) gives class polynomial:

$$u^3 - u^2 + 1.$$

## Level structure in genus 2

In analogy with the Legendre model for an elliptic curve, a genus 2 curve with a full level 2 structure can be expressed by a Rosenhain model:

$$C : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-t_0)(x-t_1)(x-t_2).$$

The six points with  $y = 0$  or  $y = \infty$  are Weierstrass points, whose differences give the 2-torsion points of  $J = \text{Jac}(C)$ . The action of  $S_6$  on (pairs of) Weierstrass points is isomorphic to the symplectic group  $\text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{F}_2)$  action on  $J[2] \setminus \{0\}$ .

The triple  $(t_0, t_1, t_2)$  represents a point on  $\mathcal{M}_g(2)$ , the moduli space of curves with level 2 structure (on their Jacobian). This triple of functions give candidates for CM invariants of smaller height. If  $(2) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2 \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1 \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_2$  splits completely in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , then

$$J[2] = J[\mathfrak{p}_1] \oplus J[\mathfrak{p}_2] \oplus J[\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_1] \oplus J[\bar{\mathfrak{p}}_2].$$

However, the Galois action on  $\{\mathfrak{p}_i, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_i\}$  results in a nontrivial action on the 2-torsion, hence on these invariants. Thus the degrees of the class polynomials are greater than those for  $(j_1, j_2, j_3)$ .

## Level structure in genus 2

A Riemann surface is determined by the ordered factorization

$$y^2 = G_0(x)G_1(x)G_2(x),$$

where  $G_0(x) = x(x - t_0)$ ,  $G_1(x) = (x - 1)(x - t_1)$ ,  $G_2(x) = t - t_2$ . This data is equivalent to specifying a split  $(2, 2)$ -subgroup of  $J[2]$ . In terms of the action of  $S_6$ , this represents a quotient by the subgroup

$$\langle (1, 4), (2, 5), (3, 6) \rangle,$$

under the ordering

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ (0, 0) & (0, 1) & \infty & (t_0, 0) & (t_1, 0) & (t_2, 0) \end{array}$$

The quotient space of this group is a  $\Gamma_1(2)$  level structure:

$$\Gamma_1(p) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}) : C \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ and } A \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \right\}.$$

## Level structure in genus 2

To find invariants of this quotient we find the representation of the  $S_6$  action in  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}(t_0, t_1, t_2))$ . For instance the cycle  $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$  determines

$$(t_0, t_1, t_2) \mapsto \left( \frac{(1-t_1)}{(t_0-t_1)}, \frac{(1-t_2)}{(t_0-t_2)}, \frac{1}{t_0} \right),$$

by applying the permutation of points, followed by a linear fractional transformation to send the first three to  $(0, 1, \infty)$ .

Noting that the degenerate loci  $t_i - t_j = 0$  must be permuted, we find an action on exponent vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}^9$  in terms of the factor basis

$$\{t_0, t_1, t_2, t_0 - 1, t_1 - 1, t_2 - 1, t_0 - t_1, t_0 - t_2, t_1 - t_2\}.$$

We find forms in the  $+1$  eigenspace of  $(1, 4)$ ,  $(2, 5)$ , and  $(3, 6)$ :

$$u_1 = \frac{t_2(t_0 - t_2)}{t_0^2}, u_2 = \frac{(t_2 - 1)(t_1 - t_2)}{(t_1 - 1)^2}, u_3 = \frac{t_1(t_0 - 1)(t_0 - t_1)}{t_0^2(t_1 - 1)^2}.$$

## First example in genus 2

Consider the quartic CM field  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^2 + 10x + 17)$  of class number 1 (with real subfield of discriminant 8). One set of minimal polynomials for Rosenhain invariants  $(t_0, t_1, t_2)$  is:

$$2^4x^4 - 28x^3 + 13x^2 + 2x - 2,$$

$$2^4x^8 + 20x^7 + 465x^6 - 950x^5 + 723x^4 - 232x^3 + 19x^2 + 2x + 1,$$

$$2^{10}x^8 - 3904x^7 + 6196x^6 - 4984x^5 + 1776x^4 + 52x^3 - 171x^2 - 4x + 2^4$$

The Richelot invariants  $(u_1, u_2, u_3)$  corresponding to the  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -isogeny determined by  $\mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}_2 \mid \mathfrak{p}_1^2\mathfrak{p}_2^2 = 2\mathcal{O}_K$  have minimal polynomials:

$$16x^2 + 4x - 1,$$

$$256x^4 - 16 \cdot 11x^3 + 8 \cdot 17x^2 - 75x + 16,$$

$$256x^4 + 16 \cdot 11x^3 + 8 \cdot 17x^2 + 75x + 16$$

By comparison, the minimal polynomials of Igusa invariants are:

$$j_1^2 - 531441j_1 + 55788550416,$$

$$j_2^2 - 4374j_2 - 76527504,$$

$$16j_4^2 - 8667j_4 - 3359232$$

## Second example in genus 2

The field  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^2 + 38x + 89)$  with real subfield of discriminant 17 is one of two non-normal quartic CM fields of class number 7 in which 2 splits completely (the other being its reflex field). This is the smallest class number for which this occurs.

For this field there exists a single set of split Richelot invariants of the same degree ( $= 14$ ) as the Igusa invariants (the rest have degree 28). The corresponding Richelot isogeny is not one of the six  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -isogenies determined by the factorization of 2. For this example, the size of the minimal polynomials for these invariants is strikingly small (in comparison to those of the Igusa invariants):

$$\begin{aligned} &5^8x^{14} - 26606920000x^{13} + 65586675772096x^{12} \\ &+ 177740304205952x^{11} + 248039128327680x^{10} + 208159900349440x^9 \\ &+ 385595201712128x^8 - 191023627468800x^7 + 297310545969152x^6 \\ &- 283298980691968x^5 + 107307397545984x^4 - 18493869129728x^3 \\ &+ 1372963471360x^2 - 29729226752x + 2^{28}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &5^8x^{14} - 137748840000x^{13} + 12962437435616x^{12} \\ &+ 94672625668736x^{11} + 2543612904653568x^{10} - 5738421484984320x^9 \\ &+ 5526999453577216x^8 - 2038583246651392x^7 + 392532678737920x^6 \\ &- 107927186178048x^5 + 31648890486784x^4 - 5490033557504x^3 \\ &+ 617669984256x^2 - 19595788288x + 2^{28}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &5^411^4x^{14} - 1116231216x^{13} + 2287896896x^{12} \\ &- 544552666944x^{11} - 748232547840x^{10} - 10793935284224x^9 \\ &+ 37781775106048x^8 - 27811400695808x^7 - 13407189270528x^6 \\ &+ 9054522703872x^5 + 6717607772160x^4 + 1516031180800x^3 \\ &+ 134637158400x^2 + 3892314112x + 2^{28} \end{aligned}$$

There are similarly two non-normal quartic CM fields of class number 10 and two of class number 11 for which 2 splits completely. Taking one of the latter we find the following minimal polynomials of Richelot invariants:

We note again that there is a unique choice among the 15 such invariants (up to permutation) such that the degree is 22 (and not 44), and this does not correspond to one of the six  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -isogenies.



$$\begin{aligned}
&5^8 x^{22} + 295323445000x^{21} + 6857290679649907536x^{20} - 2524473724431920005504x^{19} \\
&+ 202383755636236313005056x^{18} - 2568258732301201107746816x^{17} + 15003082194028844457512960x^{16} \\
&- 57106960893077440982302720x^{15} + 145566144073843381467807744x^{14} - 115438127941825876291223552x^{13} \\
&+ 36027369998205389628243968x^{12} + 20010956053632413717233664x^{11} + 13749939694738605122519040x^{10} \\
&- 53567024452696007214366720x^9 + 42951219137317596471230464x^8 - 18124066058151064128978944x^7 \\
&+ 5065342529500983081304064x^6 - 1093116656637916072640512x^5 + 189799205941359117598720x^4 \\
&- 23269654196989353525248x^3 + 1467599348735129157632x^2 + 316606572241354752x + 2^{44},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&5^{12} 31^4 x^{22} - 241664598090625000x^{21} + 65893737585675070000x^{20} \\
&- 88390831429754650176x^{19} - 2103465281888678425344x^{18} + 1949312817245894310912x^{17} \\
&+ 27488074334529851899904x^{16} + 13115970128431774842880x^{15} - 84469039712247954079744x^{14} \\
&+ 3295786194987463475200x^{13} + 81444981958668454985728x^{12} - 39631086800973605109760x^{11} \\
&- 8426403160138344038400x^{10} + 9916587822249332965376x^9 - 1316073487063607934976x^8 \\
&- 686352984656167567360x^7 + 260623350842982924288x^6 - 28825525019710849024x^5 \\
&- 543698466891628544x^4 + 216431442224218112x^3 + 4174845650665472x^2 + 43980465111040x + 2^{44},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&5^8 x^{22} + 1111785000x^{21} + 242723405136x^{20} - 110278699886016x^{19} \\
&- 24786540857223680x^{18} + 2316736614120654848x^{17} + 826683867377015758848x^{16} \\
&- 16167405833026381922304x^{15} + 86146188421147758624768x^{14} - 33632382079612275916800x^{13} \\
&+ 129611210547416928354304x^{12} + 387022813841796714463232x^{11} + 224385212320758429122560x^{10} \\
&+ 1237485561393660821504x^9 + 22242984945992429731840x^8 + 63067465899165813309440x^7 \\
&+ 29461147322971789983744x^6 + 3303427266641999691776x^5 + 236856043408027811840x^4 \\
&+ 10275338857700392960x^3 + 243980530691866624x^2 + 3034652092661760x + 2^{44}
\end{aligned}$$