Complex multiplication and canonical lifts **SAGA 2007**

David R. Kohel The University of Sydney

7 mai 2007

The Main Theorem of Complex Multiplication gives the relation between ideal classes and abelian varieties.

The Main Theorem of Complex Multiplication gives the relation between ideal classes and abelian varieties. For example, in genus 1, the *j*-variant of an elliptic curve with CM by a maximal order \mathcal{O}_K in K, generates the Hilbert class field H = K(j)/K.

The Main Theorem of Complex Multiplication gives the relation between ideal classes and abelian varieties. For example, in genus 1, the *j*-variant of an elliptic curve with CM by a maximal order \mathcal{O}_K in K, generates the Hilbert class field H = K(j)/K.

More precisely, an embedding $K \to \mathbb{C}$ gives the relation between ideals of \mathcal{O}_K and isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over \mathbb{C} :

$$\mathfrak{a} \longmapsto E_{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{a}^{-1}.$$

The Main Theorem of Complex Multiplication gives the relation between ideal classes and abelian varieties. For example, in genus 1, the *j*-variant of an elliptic curve with CM by a maximal order \mathcal{O}_{K} in K, generates the Hilbert class field H = K(j)/K.

More precisely, an embedding $K \to \mathbb{C}$ gives the relation between ideals of \mathcal{O}_K and isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over \mathbb{C} :

$$\mathfrak{a} \longmapsto E_{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{a}^{-1}.$$

The Artin isomorphism $\sigma : \operatorname{Gal}(H/K) \cong \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, determines an action on $\{E_{\mathfrak{a}}\}$ compatible induced isogenies

$$E_{\mathfrak{a}}
ightarrow E_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{p}} \cong_{\mathbb{C}} E_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\sigma(\mathfrak{p})}$$

N.B. The Galois action on $\{E_{\mathfrak{a}}\}$ is determined on any model $E_{\mathfrak{a}}/H$.

A CM construction is an algorithm for the construction of invariants of an abelian variety with complex multiplication.

A CM construction is an algorithm for the construction of invariants of an abelian variety with complex multiplication.

In genus 1, the traditional method is to evaluate the *j*-function at points τ in the upper half Poincaré plane, which correspond to lattices with complex multiplication.

A CM construction is an algorithm for the construction of invariants of an abelian variety with complex multiplication.

In genus 1, the traditional method is to evaluate the *j*-function at points τ in the upper half Poincaré plane, which correspond to lattices with complex multiplication.

The objective of this algorithm is to determine the minimal polynomial $H_D(x)$ for $j(\tau)$ over \mathbb{Q} .

A CM construction is an algorithm for the construction of invariants of an abelian variety with complex multiplication.

In genus 1, the traditional method is to evaluate the *j*-function at points τ in the upper half Poincaré plane, which correspond to lattices with complex multiplication.

The objective of this algorithm is to determine the minimal polynomial $H_D(x)$ for $j(\tau)$ over \mathbb{Q} . This polynomial defines a zero dimensional subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \cong X(1)$.

A CM construction is an algorithm for the construction of invariants of an abelian variety with complex multiplication.

In genus 1, the traditional method is to evaluate the *j*-function at points τ in the upper half Poincaré plane, which correspond to lattices with complex multiplication.

The objective of this algorithm is to determine the minimal polynomial $H_D(x)$ for $j(\tau)$ over \mathbb{Q} . This polynomial defines a zero dimensional subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \cong X(1)$.

We may choose, instead, a function f on a modular curve X = X(N) or $X = X_0(N)$ such that

$$X \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^1 \stackrel{j}{\longrightarrow} X(1),$$

A CM construction is an algorithm for the construction of invariants of an abelian variety with complex multiplication.

In genus 1, the traditional method is to evaluate the *j*-function at points τ in the upper half Poincaré plane, which correspond to lattices with complex multiplication.

The objective of this algorithm is to determine the minimal polynomial $H_D(x)$ for $j(\tau)$ over \mathbb{Q} . This polynomial defines a zero dimensional subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \cong X(1)$.

We may choose, instead, a function f on a modular curve X = X(N) or $X = X_0(N)$ such that

$$X \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^1 \stackrel{j}{\longrightarrow} X(1),$$

in order to determine a *class polynomial* $F_D(x)$, as the minimal polynomial of $f(\tau)$.

For example, the class polynomial $F_{-23}(x) = x^3 - x^2 + 1$, is defined in terms of the Weber function $f : X(48) \to X = \mathbb{P}^1$, where

$$j = \frac{(f^{24} - 16)^3}{f^{24}}.$$

For example, the class polynomial $F_{-23}(x) = x^3 - x^2 + 1$, is defined in terms of the Weber function $f : X(48) \to X = \mathbb{P}^1$, where

$$j = \frac{(f^{24} - 16)^3}{f^{24}}.$$

This polynomial generates the same class field as the Hilbert class polynomial : $x^3 + 3491750x^2 - 5151296875x + 12771880859375$.

For example, the class polynomial $F_{-23}(x) = x^3 - x^2 + 1$, is defined in terms of the Weber function $f : X(48) \to X = \mathbb{P}^1$, where

$$j = \frac{(f^{24} - 16)^3}{f^{24}}.$$

This polynomial generates the same class field as the Hilbert class polynomial : $x^3 + 3491750x^2 - 5151296875x + 12771880859375$.

The complete decomposition of $H_{-23}(j)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[f, f^{-1}]$ is given by the factoration :

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{H}_{-23}\left((f^{24}-16)^3/f^{24}\right)f^{72} \\ &= \left(f^3-f^2+1\right)\cdot\left(f^3+f^2-1\right)\cdot\left(f^6+f^4+2f^2+1\right)\cdot \\ & \left(f^6-f^5+f^4-2f^3+f^2+1\right)\cdot\left(f^6+f^5+f^4+2f^3+f^2+1\right)\cdot \\ & \left(f^{12}-f^{10}-f^8+3f^4-2f^2+1\right)\cdot\left(f^{12}-3f^8+2f^4+1\right)\cdot \\ & \mathcal{G}_{24}(f)\cdot\mathcal{G}_{48}(f)\cdot\mathcal{G}_{96}(f). \end{split}$$

Thus there are multiple components over $H_{-23}(j)$ on X.

In genus 2 (i.e. Jacobian surfaces), a generic CM field K is non-Galois over \mathbb{Q} , and its normal closure is a degree 2 extension L/K with Galois group D_4 over \mathbb{Q} .

In genus 2 (i.e. Jacobian surfaces), a generic CM field K is non-Galois over \mathbb{Q} , and its normal closure is a degree 2 extension L/K with Galois group D_4 over \mathbb{Q} . There exist a triple of invariants (j_1, j_2, j_3) of any maximal order \mathcal{O}_K (with associated CM type Φ), contained in the Hilbert class field H^r of the reflex field K^r :

In genus 2 (i.e. Jacobian surfaces), a generic CM field K is non-Galois over \mathbb{Q} , and its normal closure is a degree 2 extension L/K with Galois group D_4 over \mathbb{Q} . There exist a triple of invariants (j_1, j_2, j_3) of any maximal order \mathcal{O}_K (with associated CM type Φ), contained in the Hilbert class field H^r of the reflex field K^r :



The field K^r is constructed in terms of the CM type Φ .

The abelian surfaces (with fixed polarization type) correspond to pairs (\mathfrak{a}, α) such that $\mathfrak{a}\overline{\mathfrak{a}} = (\alpha)$ for $(\alpha) \equiv (1)$ in $\mathrm{Cl}^+(\mathcal{O}_F)$.

The abelian surfaces (with fixed polarization type) correspond to pairs (\mathfrak{a}, α) such that $\mathfrak{a}\overline{\mathfrak{a}} = (\alpha)$ for $(\alpha) \equiv (1)$ in $\mathrm{Cl}^+(\mathcal{O}_F)$. The set of pairs (\mathfrak{a}, α) forms a groupe $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ with identity $(\mathcal{O}_K, 1)$.

The abelian surfaces (with fixed polarization type) correspond to pairs (\mathfrak{a}, α) such that $\mathfrak{a}\overline{\mathfrak{a}} = (\alpha)$ for $(\alpha) \equiv (1)$ in $\mathrm{Cl}^+(\mathcal{O}_F)$. The set of pairs (\mathfrak{a}, α) forms a groupe $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ with identity $(\mathcal{O}_K, 1)$.

The class group $\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{K^r})$ acts on the group $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ by means of the homomorphism :

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Gal}(H^r/K^r) &\cong \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{K^r}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K) \\ \mathfrak{c} \longmapsto & \left(\operatorname{N}_{\Phi}(\mathfrak{c}), \operatorname{N}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{K^r}(\mathfrak{c})\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $N_{\Phi}(\mathfrak{c}) = N_{K}^{L}(\mathfrak{cO}_{L})$.

The abelian surfaces (with fixed polarization type) correspond to pairs (\mathfrak{a}, α) such that $\mathfrak{a}\overline{\mathfrak{a}} = (\alpha)$ for $(\alpha) \equiv (1)$ in $\mathrm{Cl}^+(\mathcal{O}_F)$. The set of pairs (\mathfrak{a}, α) forms a groupe $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ with identity $(\mathcal{O}_K, 1)$.

The class group $Cl(\mathcal{O}_{K^r})$ acts on the group $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ by means of the homomorphism :

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Gal}(H^r/K^r) &\cong \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{K^r}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K) \\ \mathfrak{c} \longmapsto & \left(\operatorname{N}_{\Phi}(\mathfrak{c}), \operatorname{N}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{K^r}(\mathfrak{c}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $N_{\Phi}(\mathfrak{c}) = N_{\mathcal{K}}^{L}(\mathfrak{cO}_{L})$. Composing with multiplication in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}})$, we obtain the Galois action :

$$\operatorname{Gal}(H^r/K^r) \times \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K) \to \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K).$$

The abelian surfaces (with fixed polarization type) correspond to pairs (\mathfrak{a}, α) such that $\mathfrak{a}\overline{\mathfrak{a}} = (\alpha)$ for $(\alpha) \equiv (1)$ in $\mathrm{Cl}^+(\mathcal{O}_F)$. The set of pairs (\mathfrak{a}, α) forms a groupe $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ with identity $(\mathcal{O}_K, 1)$.

The class group $Cl(\mathcal{O}_{K^r})$ acts on the group $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ by means of the homomorphism :

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Gal}(H^r/K^r) &\cong \operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{K^r}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K) \\ \mathfrak{c} \longmapsto & \left(\operatorname{N}_{\Phi}(\mathfrak{c}), \operatorname{N}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{K^r}(\mathfrak{c}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $N_{\Phi}(\mathfrak{c}) = N_{\mathcal{K}}^{L}(\mathfrak{cO}_{L})$. Composing with multiplication in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}})$, we obtain the Galois action :

$$\operatorname{Gal}(H^r/K^r) imes \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K) o \mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K).$$

N.B. The above homomorphism can fail to be injective (hence $\{j_1, j_2, j_3\}$ does not generate H^r) or fail to be surjective (in which case there are multiple Galois orbits of invariants).

An analytic construction for dimension 2 uses theta functions on Siegel to determine points (j_1, j_2, j_3) in $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$, the moduli space of curves of genus 2

An analytic construction for dimension 2 uses theta functions on Siegel to determine points (j_1, j_2, j_3) in $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$, the moduli space of curves of genus 2 (which we identify with its image in the moduli space $\mathcal{A}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of principally polarized abelian surfaces).

An analytic construction for dimension 2 uses theta functions on Siegel to determine points (j_1, j_2, j_3) in $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$, the moduli space of curves of genus 2 (which we identify with its image in the moduli space $\mathcal{A}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of principally polarized abelian surfaces). The result of a CM construction is an ideal in $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ defining the zero dimensional scheme over \mathbb{Q} of the Galois orbit of the point (j_1, j_2, j_3) .

An analytic construction for dimension 2 uses theta functions on Siegel to determine points (j_1, j_2, j_3) in $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$, the moduli space of curves of genus 2 (which we identify with its image in the moduli space $\mathcal{A}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of principally polarized abelian surfaces). The result of a CM construction is an ideal in $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ defining the zero dimensional scheme over \mathbb{Q} of the Galois orbit of the point (j_1, j_2, j_3) .

Examples. The curves $y^2 = x^5 + 1$ and $y^2 = x^6 + 1$ have Igusa invariants

(0, 0, 0) and (6400000/3, 440000/9, -32000/81).

An analytic construction for dimension 2 uses theta functions on Siegel to determine points (j_1, j_2, j_3) in $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$, the moduli space of curves of genus 2 (which we identify with its image in the moduli space $\mathcal{A}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of principally polarized abelian surfaces). The result of a CM construction is an ideal in $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ defining the zero dimensional scheme over \mathbb{Q} of the Galois orbit of the point (j_1, j_2, j_3) .

Examples. The curves $y^2 = x^5 + 1$ and $y^2 = x^6 + 1$ have Igusa invariants

(0, 0, 0) and (6400000/3, 440000/9, -32000/81).

Thus their respective defining ideals are

 (x_1, x_2, x_3) and $(3x_1 - 6400000, 9x_2 - 440000, 81x_3 + 32000)$.

An analytic construction for dimension 2 uses theta functions on Siegel to determine points (j_1, j_2, j_3) in $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$, the moduli space of curves of genus 2 (which we identify with its image in the moduli space $\mathcal{A}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of principally polarized abelian surfaces). The result of a CM construction is an ideal in $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ defining the zero dimensional scheme over \mathbb{Q} of the Galois orbit of the point (j_1, j_2, j_3) .

Examples. The curves $y^2 = x^5 + 1$ and $y^2 = x^6 + 1$ have Igusa invariants

(0, 0, 0) and (6400000/3, 440000/9, -32000/81).

Thus their respective defining ideals are

 (x_1, x_2, x_3) and $(3x_1 - 6400000, 9x_2 - 440000, 81x_3 + 32000)$.

We now describe a *p*-adic algorithm for the construction of ideals.

Suppose that A/k is an ordinary, simple abelian variety over a finite field of characteristic p, and let R be its Witt ring, i.e. an extension of \mathbb{Z}_p such that $[R : \mathbb{Z}_p] = [k : \mathbb{F}_p]$ and $\pi : R \to k$.

Suppose that A/k is an ordinary, simple abelian variety over a finite field of characteristic p, and let R be its Witt ring, i.e. an extension of \mathbb{Z}_p such that $[R : \mathbb{Z}_p] = [k : \mathbb{F}_p]$ and $\pi : R \to k$. A canonical lift is an abelian variety \tilde{A}/R such that

$$\tilde{A}/R \times_R k = A/k$$
 and $\operatorname{End}(\tilde{A}) = \operatorname{End}(A)$.

Suppose that A/k is an ordinary, simple abelian variety over a finite field of characteristic p, and let R be its Witt ring, i.e. an extension of \mathbb{Z}_p such that $[R : \mathbb{Z}_p] = [k : \mathbb{F}_p]$ and $\pi : R \to k$. A canonical lift is an abelian variety \tilde{A}/R such that

$$ilde{A}/R imes_R k = A/k$$
 and $\operatorname{End}(ilde{A}) = \operatorname{End}(A).$

An abelian variety \tilde{A}_1/R is a canonical lift if there exists an isogeny $\varphi: \tilde{A}_1 \to \tilde{A}_2$ for $\tilde{A}_1^{\sigma} = \tilde{A}_2$ such that $\tilde{A}_1 \times_R k = A$ and for some ℓ

$$ilde{A}_2[\ell] = \ker(\varphi)^\sigma \oplus \varphi(ilde{A}_1[\ell]).$$

Suppose that A/k is an ordinary, simple abelian variety over a finite field of characteristic p, and let R be its Witt ring, i.e. an extension of \mathbb{Z}_p such that $[R : \mathbb{Z}_p] = [k : \mathbb{F}_p]$ and $\pi : R \to k$. A canonical lift is an abelian variety \tilde{A}/R such that

$$ilde{A}/R imes_R k = A/k$$
 and $\operatorname{End}(ilde{A}) = \operatorname{End}(A).$

An abelian variety \tilde{A}_1/R is a canonical lift if there exists an isogeny $\varphi: \tilde{A}_1 \to \tilde{A}_2$ for $\tilde{A}_1^{\sigma} = \tilde{A}_2$ such that $\tilde{A}_1 \times_R k = A$ and for some ℓ

$$\tilde{A}_2[\ell] = \ker(\varphi)^\sigma \oplus \varphi(\tilde{A}_1[\ell]).$$

We construct the canonical lifted invariants, given x in $\mathcal{A}_g(k)$, by solving for \tilde{x} in $\mathcal{A}_g(R)$ such that $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}^{\sigma})$ lies on a subscheme of $\mathcal{A}_g \times \mathcal{A}_g$ defined by isogenies with kernel of type $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^g$.

An algorithm pour the construction of the *p*-adic canonical lift of an elliptic curve was introduced by Satoh in 1999, to determine the number of points on a given E/\mathbb{F}_q (in small characteristic *p*).

An algorithm pour the construction of the *p*-adic canonical lift of an elliptic curve was introduced by Satoh in 1999, to determine the number of points on a given E/\mathbb{F}_q (in small characteristic *p*). The algorithm constructs the canonically lifted \tilde{j} of an given ordinary *j*-invariant *j* in \mathbb{F}_q , as the unique point $(\tilde{j}, \tilde{j}^{\sigma})$ on

 $X_0(p) \rightarrow X(1) \times X(1).$

An algorithm pour the construction of the *p*-adic canonical lift of an elliptic curve was introduced by Satoh in 1999, to determine the number of points on a given E/\mathbb{F}_q (in small characteristic *p*). The algorithm constructs the canonically lifted \tilde{j} of an given ordinary *j*-invariant *j* in \mathbb{F}_q , as the unique point $(\tilde{j}, \tilde{j}^{\sigma})$ on

$$X_0(p) \rightarrow X(1) \times X(1).$$

An algorithm of Mestre, in 2000, introduced the use of theta functions and the AGM. This algorithm determines canonically lifted invariants $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}^{\sigma})$ on $X_0(8)$ (in residue characteristic 2).

An algorithm pour the construction of the *p*-adic canonical lift of an elliptic curve was introduced by Satoh in 1999, to determine the number of points on a given E/\mathbb{F}_q (in small characteristic *p*). The algorithm constructs the canonically lifted \tilde{j} of an given ordinary *j*-invariant *j* in \mathbb{F}_q , as the unique point $(\tilde{j}, \tilde{j}^{\sigma})$ on

 $X_0(p) \rightarrow X(1) \times X(1).$

An algorithm of Mestre, in 2000, introduced the use of theta functions and the AGM. This algorithm determines canonically lifted invariants ($\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}^{\sigma}$) on $X_0(8)$ (in residue characteristic 2). Couveignes and Henocq in 2002 introduced the idea of *p*-adic lifting as a CM construction, to determine a high precision approximation to the Hilbert class polynomial on X(1).
Note that the *j*-invariant \tilde{j} of the canonical lift of any E/\mathbb{F}_p lies in \mathbb{Z}_p , but is algebraic over \mathbb{Z} ,

Note that the *j*-invariant \tilde{j} of the canonical lift of any E/\mathbb{F}_p lies in \mathbb{Z}_p , but is algebraic over \mathbb{Z} , and moreover generates the Hilbert class field over $K = \operatorname{End}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Note that the *j*-invariant \tilde{j} of the canonical lift of any E/\mathbb{F}_p lies in \mathbb{Z}_p , but is algebraic over \mathbb{Z} , and moreover generates the Hilbert class field over $K = \operatorname{End}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. For instance

$$E/\mathbb{F}_{59}: y^2 = x^3 + 31x + 54$$

has *j*-invariant 20,

Note that the *j*-invariant \tilde{j} of the canonical lift of any E/\mathbb{F}_p lies in \mathbb{Z}_p , but is algebraic over \mathbb{Z} , and moreover generates the Hilbert class field over $K = \operatorname{End}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. For instance

$$E/\mathbb{F}_{59}: y^2 = x^3 + 31x + 54$$

has *j*-invariant 20, but its canonical lift in \mathbb{Z}_{59} is

 $\tilde{j} = 20 + 53 \cdot 59 + 0 \cdot 59^2 + 57 \cdot 59^3 + 9 \cdot 59^4 + 3 \cdot 59^5 + 5 \cdot 59^6 + \cdots$

Note that the *j*-invariant \tilde{j} of the canonical lift of any E/\mathbb{F}_p lies in \mathbb{Z}_p , but is algebraic over \mathbb{Z} , and moreover generates the Hilbert class field over $K = \operatorname{End}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. For instance

$$E/\mathbb{F}_{59}: y^2 = x^3 + 31x + 54$$

has *j*-invariant 20, but its canonical lift in \mathbb{Z}_{59} is

 $\tilde{j} = 20 + 53 \cdot 59 + 0 \cdot 59^2 + 57 \cdot 59^3 + 9 \cdot 59^4 + 3 \cdot 59^5 + 5 \cdot 59^6 + \cdots$

By lifting to sufficient precision we verify that $\tilde{\jmath}$ is a root of

 $x^{3} + 3491750x^{2} - 5151296875x + 12771880859375.$

Note that the *j*-invariant \tilde{j} of the canonical lift of any E/\mathbb{F}_p lies in \mathbb{Z}_p , but is algebraic over \mathbb{Z} , and moreover generates the Hilbert class field over $K = \operatorname{End}(E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. For instance

$$E/\mathbb{F}_{59}: y^2 = x^3 + 31x + 54$$

has *j*-invariant 20, but its canonical lift in \mathbb{Z}_{59} is

$$\tilde{\jmath} = 20 + 53 \cdot 59 + 0 \cdot 59^2 + 57 \cdot 59^3 + 9 \cdot 59^4 + 3 \cdot 59^5 + 5 \cdot 59^6 + \cdots$$

By lifting to sufficient precision we verify that $\tilde{\jmath}$ is a root of

 $x^{3} + 3491750x^{2} - 5151296875x + 12771880859375.$

In general, a p-adic algorithm for constructive CM must

- construct the lifted invariant (to some finite precision), and
- recognize an algebraic number from its approximation.

In general, a *p*-adic algorithm for constructive CM must

- construct the lifted invariant (to some finite precision), and
- recognize an algebraic number from its approximation.

In general, a *p*-adic algorithm for constructive CM must

- construct the lifted invariant (to some finite precision), and
- recognize an algebraic number from its approximation.

The first step replaces the p-adic numbers with complex numbers in analogous analytic constructions. Rather than a period lattice, the input is a suitable curve which we lift p-adically.

In general, a *p*-adic algorithm for constructive CM must

- construct the lifted invariant (to some finite precision), and
- recognize an algebraic number from its approximation.

The first step replaces the p-adic numbers with complex numbers in analogous analytic constructions. Rather than a period lattice, the input is a suitable curve which we lift p-adically.

The second step uses an LLL reconstruction, from one or multiple points on the CM subscheme.

In general, a *p*-adic algorithm for constructive CM must

- construct the lifted invariant (to some finite precision), and
- recognize an algebraic number from its approximation.

The first step replaces the p-adic numbers with complex numbers in analogous analytic constructions. Rather than a period lattice, the input is a suitable curve which we lift p-adically.

The second step uses an LLL reconstruction, from one or multiple points on the CM subscheme.

In general, a *p*-adic algorithm for constructive CM must

- construct the lifted invariant (to some finite precision), and
- recognize an algebraic number from its approximation.

The first step replaces the p-adic numbers with complex numbers in analogous analytic constructions. Rather than a period lattice, the input is a suitable curve which we lift p-adically.

The second step uses an LLL reconstruction, from one or multiple points on the CM subscheme.

Currently several constructive CM algorithms for genus 2 CM moduli exist :

 2-adic lifting of (2, 2)-isogenies (Gaudry, Houtmann, K., Ritzenthaler, Weng).

In general, a *p*-adic algorithm for constructive CM must

- construct the lifted invariant (to some finite precision), and
- recognize an algebraic number from its approximation.

The first step replaces the p-adic numbers with complex numbers in analogous analytic constructions. Rather than a period lattice, the input is a suitable curve which we lift p-adically.

The second step uses an LLL reconstruction, from one or multiple points on the CM subscheme.

- 2-adic lifting of (2, 2)-isogenies (Gaudry, Houtmann, K., Ritzenthaler, Weng).
- ▶ 3-adic lifting of (3,3)-isogenies (Carls, K., Lubicz),

In general, a *p*-adic algorithm for constructive CM must

- construct the lifted invariant (to some finite precision), and
- recognize an algebraic number from its approximation.

The first step replaces the p-adic numbers with complex numbers in analogous analytic constructions. Rather than a period lattice, the input is a suitable curve which we lift p-adically.

The second step uses an LLL reconstruction, from one or multiple points on the CM subscheme.

- 2-adic lifting of (2, 2)-isogenies (Gaudry, Houtmann, K., Ritzenthaler, Weng).
- ▶ 3-adic lifting of (3,3)-isogenies (Carls, K., Lubicz),
- ▶ *p*-adic lifting of (ℓ, ℓ) -isogenies (K., adapting above to $p \neq \ell$).

- 2-adic lifting of (2, 2)-isogenies (Gaudry, Houtmann, K., Ritzenthaler, Weng).
- ▶ 3-adic lifting of (3,3)-isogenies (Carls, K., Lubicz),
- ▶ *p*-adic lifting of (ℓ, ℓ) -isogenies (K., adapting above to $\ell \neq p$).

Currently several constructive CM algorithms for genus 2 CM moduli exist :

- 2-adic lifting of (2, 2)-isogenies (Gaudry, Houtmann, K., Ritzenthaler, Weng).
- ▶ 3-adic lifting of (3,3)-isogenies (Carls, K., Lubicz),
- ▶ *p*-adic lifting of (ℓ, ℓ) -isogenies (K., adapting above to $\ell \neq p$).

The first uses Richelot isogenies between Jacobians of curves in Rosenhain form : $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda_1)(x-\lambda_2)(x-\lambda_3)$.

Currently several constructive CM algorithms for genus 2 CM moduli exist :

- 2-adic lifting of (2, 2)-isogenies (Gaudry, Houtmann, K., Ritzenthaler, Weng).
- ▶ 3-adic lifting of (3,3)-isogenies (Carls, K., Lubicz),
- ▶ *p*-adic lifting of (ℓ, ℓ) -isogenies (K., adapting above to $\ell \neq p$).

The first uses Richelot isogenies between Jacobians of curves in Rosenhain form : $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda_1)(x-\lambda_2)(x-\lambda_3)$.

The 3-adic algorithm makes use of correspondence equations of algebraic theta functions.

Currently several constructive CM algorithms for genus 2 CM moduli exist :

- 2-adic lifting of (2, 2)-isogenies (Gaudry, Houtmann, K., Ritzenthaler, Weng).
- ▶ 3-adic lifting of (3,3)-isogenies (Carls, K., Lubicz),
- ▶ *p*-adic lifting of (ℓ, ℓ) -isogenies (K., adapting above to $\ell \neq p$).

The first uses Richelot isogenies between Jacobians of curves in Rosenhain form : $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda_1)(x-\lambda_2)(x-\lambda_3)$.

The 3-adic algorithm makes use of correspondence equations of algebraic theta functions.

Finding suitable input curves, whose Jacobian has endomorphism ring which is a maximal order of low class number, is the primary difficulty in the first step.

Currently several constructive CM algorithms for genus 2 CM moduli exist :

- 2-adic lifting of (2, 2)-isogenies (Gaudry, Houtmann, K., Ritzenthaler, Weng).
- ▶ 3-adic lifting of (3,3)-isogenies (Carls, K., Lubicz),
- ▶ *p*-adic lifting of (ℓ, ℓ) -isogenies (K., adapting above to $\ell \neq p$).

The first uses Richelot isogenies between Jacobians of curves in Rosenhain form : $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda_1)(x-\lambda_2)(x-\lambda_3)$.

The 3-adic algorithm makes use of correspondence equations of algebraic theta functions.

Finding suitable input curves, whose Jacobian has endomorphism ring which is a maximal order of low class number, is the primary difficulty in the first step. The height of the moduli points (hence the resulting output size) presents the major challenge to the LLL phase.

As the size of the input field grows, the following problems present themselves :

• The determination of the exact endomorphism ring $\mathcal{O} = End(J)$, where $\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \overline{\pi}] \subseteq \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{K}$.

As the size of the input field grows, the following problems present themselves :

• The determination of the exact endomorphism ring $\mathcal{O} = End(J)$, where $\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \overline{\pi}] \subseteq \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{K}$.

Idea : determine and use (ℓ, ℓ) -modular correspondences in $\mathcal{A}_g \times \mathcal{A}_g$ in order to determine $\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, or rather $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

As the size of the input field grows, the following problems present themselves :

• The determination of the exact endomorphism ring $\mathcal{O} = End(J)$, where $\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \overline{\pi}] \subseteq \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$.

Idea : determine and use (ℓ, ℓ) -modular correspondences in $\mathcal{A}_g \times \mathcal{A}_g$ in order to determine $\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, or rather $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

• The reconstruction of the ideal of relations for (j_1, j_2, j_3) .

As the size of the input field grows, the following problems present themselves :

• The determination of the exact endomorphism ring $\mathcal{O} = End(J)$, where $\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \overline{\pi}] \subseteq \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$.

Idea : determine and use (ℓ, ℓ) -modular correspondences in $\mathcal{A}_g \times \mathcal{A}_g$ in order to determine $\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, or rather $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

The reconstruction of the ideal of relations for (j₁, j₂, j₃).
Idea : Determine H_r by algebraic algorithms, then identify each j_k as an integral element of in terms of a basis for O_{H^r}. This avoids reconstructing relations between powers of the elements j_k of large height.

As the size of the input field grows, the following problems present themselves :

• The determination of the exact endomorphism ring $\mathcal{O} = End(J)$, where $\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \overline{\pi}] \subseteq \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{K}$.

Idea : determine and use (ℓ, ℓ) -modular correspondences in $\mathcal{A}_g \times \mathcal{A}_g$ in order to determine $\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$, or rather $\mathfrak{C}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

The reconstruction of the ideal of relations for (j₁, j₂, j₃).
Idea : Determine H_r by algebraic algorithms, then identify each j_k as an integral element of in terms of a basis for O_{H^r}. This avoids reconstructing relations between powers of the elements j_k of large height.

The combined algebraic and analytic methods has potential to improve both algorithms when the exponent of $\operatorname{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_{K^r})$ contains large a prime power divisor.

Example. Let *C* be the curve $y^2 + h(x)y = f(x)$ over

$$\mathbb{F}_8 = \mathbb{F}_2[t]/(t^3+t+1),$$

with h(x) = x(x+1) and $f(x) = x(x+1)(x^3 + x^2 + t^2x + t^3)$.

Example. Let *C* be the curve $y^2 + h(x)y = f(x)$ over

$$\mathbb{F}_8 = \mathbb{F}_2[t]/(t^3 + t + 1),$$

with h(x) = x(x+1) and $f(x) = x(x+1)(x^3 + x^2 + t^2x + t^3)$. The curve is ordinary and has complex multiplication by the maximal order of $K = \mathbb{Q}(i\sqrt{23+4\sqrt{5}})$.

Example. Let *C* be the curve $y^2 + h(x)y = f(x)$ over

$$\mathbb{F}_8 = \mathbb{F}_2[t]/(t^3+t+1),$$

with h(x) = x(x+1) and $f(x) = x(x+1)(x^3 + x^2 + t^2x + t^3)$. The curve is ordinary and has complex multiplication by the maximal order of $K = \mathbb{Q}(i\sqrt{23+4\sqrt{5}})$. The maximal order has class number is 3, and there exist 6 isomorphism classes of principally polarized abelian varieties.

Example. Let *C* be the curve $y^2 + h(x)y = f(x)$ over

$$\mathbb{F}_8 = \mathbb{F}_2[t]/(t^3+t+1),$$

with h(x) = x(x+1) and $f(x) = x(x+1)(x^3 + x^2 + t^2x + t^3)$. The curve is ordinary and has complex multiplication by the maximal order of $K = \mathbb{Q}(i\sqrt{23+4\sqrt{5}})$. The maximal order has class number is 3, and there exist 6 isomorphism classes of principally polarized abelian varieties.

We construct the ideal of relations in Igusa invariants (j_1, j_2, j_3) from the canonical lift of the Jacobian of *C*. For example, the invariant j_1 satisfies a minimal polynomial :

$$\begin{split} H_1(x) &= 2^{18} 5^{36} 7^{24} x^6 \\ &\quad - 11187730399273689774009740470140169672902905436515808105468750000 x^5 \\ &\quad + 501512527690591679504420832767471421512684501403834547644662988263671875000 x^4 \\ &\quad - 10112409242787391786676284633730575047614543135572025667468221432704263857808262923 x^3 \\ &\quad + 118287000250588667564540744739406154398135978447792771928535541240797386992091828213521875 x \\ &\quad - 2^{1} 3^{50} g^{10} 1^{11} 1^{3} 5^{31} 701^{1} 16319^{1} 69938793494948953569198870004032131926868578084899317 x \\ &\quad + 3^{60} 5^{15} 23^{5} 409^{5} 179364113^{5} \end{split}$$

Choosing the 120-bit prime

p = 954090659715830612807582649452910809,

and solving a norm equation in the endomorphism ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}},$

Choosing the 120-bit prime

p = 954090659715830612807582649452910809,

and solving a norm equation in the endomorphism ring \mathcal{O}_K , we determine that the Jacobian of some curve over \mathbb{F}_p with CM by \mathcal{O}_K will have prime order

 $\begin{array}{l} 910288986956988885753118558284481029 \backslash \\ 311411128276048027584310525408884449. \end{array}$

Solving for a solution to the system of equations over $\mathbb{F}_p,$ we find a corresponding curve

Choosing the 120-bit prime

p = 954090659715830612807582649452910809,

and solving a norm equation in the endomorphism ring \mathcal{O}_K , we determine that the Jacobian of some curve over \mathbb{F}_p with CM by \mathcal{O}_K will have prime order

 $\begin{array}{l} 910288986956988885753118558284481029 \backslash \\ 311411128276048027584310525408884449. \end{array}$

Solving for a solution to the system of equations over $\mathbb{F}_p,$ we find a corresponding curve

$$\begin{split} C: y^2 &= x^6 + 827864728926129278937584622188769650 \ x^4 \\ &+ 102877610579816483342116736180407060 \ x^3 \\ &+ 335099510136640078379392471445640199 \ x^2 \\ &+ 351831044709132324687022261714141411 \ x \\ &+ 274535330436225557527308493450553085. \end{split}$$

We solve for the curve

We solve for the curve

$$\begin{split} C: y^2 &= x^6 + 827864728926129278937584622188769650 \ x^4 \\ &+ 102877610579816483342116736180407060 \ x^3 \\ &+ 335099510136640078379392471445640199 \ x^2 \\ &+ 351831044709132324687022261714141411 \ x \\ &+ 274535330436225557527308493450553085. \end{split}$$

We solve for the curve

$$\begin{split} C: y^2 &= x^6 + 827864728926129278937584622188769650 \, x^4 \\ &+ 102877610579816483342116736180407060 \, x^3 \\ &+ 335099510136640078379392471445640199 \, x^2 \\ &+ 351831044709132324687022261714141411 \, x \\ &+ 2745353304362255575273084934505553085. \end{split}$$

A test of a random point on the Jacobian verifies the group order.

We solve for the curve

$$\begin{split} C: y^2 &= x^6 + 827864728926129278937584622188769650 \, x^4 \\ &+ 102877610579816483342116736180407060 \, x^3 \\ &+ 335099510136640078379392471445640199 \, x^2 \\ &+ 351831044709132324687022261714141411 \, x \\ &+ 2745353304362255575273084934505553085. \end{split}$$

A test of a random point on the Jacobian verifies the group order.

A comprehensive database for CM invariants in genera 1 and 2 is being developed :

http://echidna.maths.usyd.edu.au/~kohel/dbs/ providing an interface for the interrelated invariants of CM fields K, their Hilbert class fields, and CM moduli of abelian varieties.

FIN

Un relèvement canonique 2-adique

Soit C/\mathbb{F}_2 la courbe :

$$y^{2} + (x^{3} + x^{2} + 1)y = (x^{2} + 1)(x^{3} + x^{2} + 1),$$
Soit C/\mathbb{F}_2 la courbe :

$$y^{2} + (x^{3} + x^{2} + 1)y = (x^{2} + 1)(x^{3} + x^{2} + 1),$$

alors le relèvement arbitraire

$$Y^{2} = (2y + (x^{3} + x^{2} + 1))^{2} = (x^{3} + x^{2} + 1)^{2} + 4(x^{2} + 1)(x^{3} + x^{2} + 1)$$

vers \mathbb{Z}_2 nous donne une courbe initiale pour la relèvement canonique (sur une extension de degré 3 qui nous donne tous les points de Weierstrass).

Soit C/\mathbb{F}_2 la courbe :

$$y^{2} + (x^{3} + x^{2} + 1)y = (x^{2} + 1)(x^{3} + x^{2} + 1),$$

alors le relèvement arbitraire

$$Y^{2} = (2y + (x^{3} + x^{2} + 1))^{2} = (x^{3} + x^{2} + 1)^{2} + 4(x^{2} + 1)(x^{3} + x^{2} + 1)$$

vers \mathbb{Z}_2 nous donne une courbe initiale pour la relèvement canonique (sur une extension de degré 3 qui nous donne tous les points de Weierstrass).

Un comptage de points naïf nous donne le polynôme de Frobenius,

$$T^4 + T^3 + T^2 + 2T + 4.$$

Soit C/\mathbb{F}_2 la courbe :

$$y^{2} + (x^{3} + x^{2} + 1)y = (x^{2} + 1)(x^{3} + x^{2} + 1),$$

alors le relèvement arbitraire

$$Y^{2} = (2y + (x^{3} + x^{2} + 1))^{2} = (x^{3} + x^{2} + 1)^{2} + 4(x^{2} + 1)(x^{3} + x^{2} + 1)$$

vers \mathbb{Z}_2 nous donne une courbe initiale pour la relèvement canonique (sur une extension de degré 3 qui nous donne tous les points de Weierstrass).

Un comptage de points naïf nous donne le polynôme de Frobenius,

$$T^4 + T^3 + T^2 + 2T + 4.$$

Le corps CM engendré par le Frobenius π ,

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{T}]/(\mathcal{T}^4 + \mathcal{T}^3 + \mathcal{T}^2 + 2\mathcal{T} + 4).$$

Le corps CM engendré par le Frobenius π ,

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Q}[T]/(T^4 + T^3 + T^2 + 2T + 4),$$

est une extension de degré 2 de $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13})$ avec discriminant $13^2 \, 17$.

Le corps CM engendré par le Frobenius π ,

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Q}[T]/(T^4 + T^3 + T^2 + 2T + 4),$$

est une extension de degré 2 de $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13})$ avec discriminant $13^2 \, 17$. L'ordre maximal \mathcal{O}_K est égal à $\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \overline{\pi}]$, où $\overline{\pi} \in \operatorname{End}(J)$ est le Verschiebung $\pi \overline{\pi} = q$.

Le corps CM engendré par le Frobenius π ,

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Q}[T]/(T^4 + T^3 + T^2 + 2T + 4),$$

est une extension de degré 2 de $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13})$ avec discriminant $13^2 17$. L'ordre maximal \mathcal{O}_K est égal à $\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \overline{\pi}]$, où $\overline{\pi} \in \text{End}(J)$ est le Verschiebung $\pi\overline{\pi} = q$. Le nombre des classes de K est 1, et le relèvement 2-adique des invariants de C nous donne des invariants d'Igusa (j_1, j_2, j_3) , qui sont des racines de l'idéal engendré par les polynômes :

$$\begin{array}{l} 4j_1^2 + 8218017j_1 + 146211169851,\\ j_2^2 + 1008855j_2 - 342014432400,\\ j_3^2 + 1368387j_3 - 240090131376,\\ 4480j_1 + 7499j_2 - 12255j_3,\\ 716j_1 + 1212j_2 - 1971j_3 - 1666737\end{array}$$

Le corps CM engendré par le Frobenius π ,

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Q}[T]/(T^4 + T^3 + T^2 + 2T + 4),$$

est une extension de degré 2 de $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13})$ avec discriminant $13^2 17$. L'ordre maximal \mathcal{O}_K est égal à $\mathbb{Z}[\pi, \overline{\pi}]$, où $\overline{\pi} \in \text{End}(J)$ est le Verschiebung $\pi\overline{\pi} = q$. Le nombre des classes de K est 1, et le relèvement 2-adique des invariants de C nous donne des invariants d'Igusa (j_1, j_2, j_3) , qui sont des racines de l'idéal engendré par les polynômes :

$$\begin{array}{l} 4j_1^2 + 8218017j_1 + 146211169851,\\ j_2^2 + 1008855j_2 - 342014432400,\\ j_3^2 + 1368387j_3 - 240090131376,\\ 4480j_1 + 7499j_2 - 12255j_3,\\ 716j_1 + 1212j_2 - 1971j_3 - 1666737\end{array}$$

qui definissent un sous-schéma de \mathcal{M}_2 de dimension 0 et degré 2.

Soit
$$\mathbb{F}_{27} = \mathbb{F}_3[w]/(w^3 - w + 1)$$
, et soit *C* le courbe
 $y^2 = x(x - 1)(x - t_1)(x - t_2)(x - t_3)$,

où

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3) = (w^{14}, w^8, 2).$$

Le point

$$(u_1, u_2, u_3) = (w^{16}, w^{24}, 2)$$

est l'image de (t_1, t_2, t_3) par le Frobenius et définit une seconde courbe

$$y^2 = x(x-1)(x-u_1)(x-u_2)(x-u_3),$$

reliée à la première par une correspondance de Richelot.

Soit
$$\mathbb{F}_{27} = \mathbb{F}_3[w]/(w^3 - w + 1)$$
, et soit *C* le courbe $y^2 = x(x - 1)(x - t_1)(x - t_2)(x - t_3)$,

où

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3) = (w^{14}, w^8, 2).$$

Le point

$$(u_1, u_2, u_3) = (w^{16}, w^{24}, 2)$$

est l'image de (t_1, t_2, t_3) par le Frobenius et définit une seconde courbe

$$y^{2} = x(x-1)(x-u_{1})(x-u_{2})(x-u_{3}),$$

reliée à la première par une correspondance de Richelot. Alors les relèvements 3-adiques de ces invariants s'envoient sur un triplet d'invariants d'Igusa absolus (j_1, j_2, j_3) , qui satisfont :

 $10460353203i_{1}^{6} - 20644606194972313680i_{1}^{5} +$ 1584797903444725069000181184*j*⁴- $57934203669971774729663594299868672i_1^3 475721039936395998603032571096726185115648 j_1^2 -$ 2319410019701066580457483440392962776928771637248*j*₁-1633610752539414651637667693318669910064037028972986368. $19683j_2^6 - 3154427913690j_2^5 + 13018458284705642175j_2^4 -$ $9011847196705020909893875 i_2^3 46912922512338152998837057320000 j_2^2 +$ 13719344346806722534193757175744000000*j*₂-

42517234157035811590789580667261104128000000,

 $\begin{array}{l} 531441j_3^6-80079819760854j_3^5+681652231356458824713j_3^4-\\ 1621537231026449336569333993j_3^3-\\ 1566137192004297839675972173376896j_3^2-\\ 1479377322341359891148215922582439772160j_3-\\ 939937021370655707607384087330217698726510592.\\ \end{array}$