

Una prueba constructiva del axioma de elección dependiente en lógica clásica

Hugo HERBELIN¹, Étienne MIQUEY^{1,2}

¹Team πr^2 (INRIA), PPS, Université Paris-Diderot

²Fac. de Ingeniería, Universidad de la República, Uruguay

29 de Marzo 2016



En el capítulo anterior...

La meta

- 1 **Objetivo** : definir un sistema de tipos para tener una **prueba** del axioma de elección dependiente
- 2 **Bonus** : corrección del sistema sin meta-uso del axioma de elección
- 3 **Super-bonus** : equiconsistencia con otro sistema lógico

Teoría de tipos de Martin-Löf (1973)

Ingredientes

$$\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda a. p : A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow_I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : T \vdash p : A}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. p : \forall x^T A} \forall_I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : A[t/x] \quad \Gamma \vdash t : T}{\Gamma \vdash (t, p) : \exists x^T A} \exists_I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \exists x^T A(x)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{prf } p : A(\text{wit } p)} \exists_E$$

Martin-Löf'73

$$\begin{aligned} AC_A &:= \lambda H. (\lambda x. \text{wit}(Hx), \lambda x. \text{prf}(Hx)) \\ &: \forall x^A \exists y^B P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists f^{A \rightarrow B} \forall x^A P(x, f(x)) \end{aligned}$$

Herbelin'12

$$\begin{aligned} AC_{\mathbb{N}} &:= \lambda H. \text{let } H_{\infty} = \text{cofix}_{fn}^0(H \ n, f(S(n))) \text{ in} \\ &\quad (\lambda n. \text{nth } n \ H_{\infty}, \lambda n. \text{nth } n \ H_{\infty}) \\ &: \forall x^{\mathbb{N}} \exists y^B P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists f^{\mathbb{N} \rightarrow B} \forall x^{\mathbb{N}} P(x, f(x)) \end{aligned}$$

Teoría de tipos de Martin-Löf (1973)

Ingredientes

$$\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda a. p : A \rightarrow B} \rightarrow_I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : T \vdash p : A}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. p : \forall x^T A} \forall_I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : A[t/x] \quad \Gamma \vdash t : T}{\Gamma \vdash (t, p) : \exists x^T A} \exists_I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \exists x^T A(x)}{\Gamma \vdash \text{prf } p : A(\text{wit } p)} \exists_E$$

Martin-Löf'73

$$\begin{aligned} AC_A &:= \lambda H. (\lambda x. \text{wit}(Hx), \lambda x. \text{prf}(Hx)) \\ &: \forall x^A \exists y^B P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists f^{A \rightarrow B} \forall x^A P(x, f(x)) \end{aligned}$$

Herbelin'12

$$\begin{aligned} AC_{\mathbb{N}} &:= \lambda H. \text{let } H_{\infty} = \text{cofix}_{fn}^0(H \ n, f(S(n))) \text{ in} \\ &\quad (\lambda n. \text{nth } n \ H_{\infty}, \lambda n. \text{nth } n \ H_{\infty}) \\ &: \forall x^{\mathbb{N}} \exists y^B P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists f^{\mathbb{N} \rightarrow B} \forall x^{\mathbb{N}} P(x, f(x)) \end{aligned}$$

Ref : Hugo Herbelin (LICS'12)

A Constructive Proof of Dependent
Choice, Compatible with Classical Logic

Un sistema formal :

● **clásico** : $p, q ::= \dots \mid \text{catch}_{\alpha} p \mid \text{throw}_{\alpha} p$ ● con **tipos dependientes** :● fórmulas : $A, B ::= \dots \mid [a : A] \rightarrow B \mid t = u,$ ● términos : $t, u ::= \dots \mid \text{wit } p,$ ● pruebas : $p, q ::= \dots \mid \text{prf } p$ ● una **compartimentación** :

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \exists x^T A(x) \quad p \text{ Nef}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{prf } p : A(\text{wit } p)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \exists x^T A(x) \quad \Gamma, x : T, a : A \vdash q : B}{\Gamma \vdash \text{dest } p \text{ as } ((x, a)) \text{ in } q : B}$$

● call-by-value y **sharing** : $p, q ::= \dots \mid \text{let } a = q \text{ in } p$ ● con constructores inductivos y **coinductivos** : $p, q ::= \dots \mid \text{ind } t \text{ of } [p \mid (x, a).q] \mid \text{cofix}_{bn}^t p$ ● **pereza** para el cofix

Propiedades

Subject reduction

Si $\Gamma \vdash p : A$ y $p \triangleright q$, entonces $\Gamma \vdash q : A$.

Normalización

Si $\Gamma \vdash p : A$ entonces p se normaliza.

Conservatividad

If A is \rightarrow - ν -wit- \forall -free, and $\vdash_{dPA^\omega} p : A$, then $\vdash_{HA^\omega} p : A$

Corrección

$$\not\vdash_{dPA^\omega} \perp$$

Continuación : aspecto lógico

Lógica clásica :

- lógica intuicionista + axioma clásica
- Encaje en lógica intuicionista :
 \curlywedge traducción negativa :

$$A \mapsto (A \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow \perp$$

Cálculo clásico :

- cálculo intuicionista + manipulación explícita de continuaciones
- “compilación” en un cálculo intuicionista :
 \curlywedge traducción **CPS** :

$$t : A \mapsto \llbracket t \rrbracket : (A \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow \perp$$

Normalización por CPS

- **Lenguaje 1** : λ -coso, reducción, tipaje
- **Lenguaje 2** : λ -cosa, reducción, tipaje, **normalización**

Normalización

$$\Gamma \vdash_1 p : A \quad \Rightarrow \quad p \text{ normaliza.}$$

Proof :

- 1 Si $\Gamma \vdash_1 p : A$ entonces $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \vdash_2 \llbracket p \rrbracket : \llbracket A \rrbracket$
- 2 Si $p \rightarrow_1 q$ entonces $\llbracket p \rrbracket \xrightarrow{+}_2 \llbracket q \rrbracket$

\Leftrightarrow si p no normalizaba, tampoco normalizaría $\llbracket p \rrbracket$, absurdo !

Semantic artefacts

CPS : Ariola et al (FLOPS'12)

Classical Call-by-Need Sequent Calculi :
The Unity of Semantic Artifacts

Semántica operacional :

- Cálculo big-step : *variante del $\bar{\lambda}\mu\tilde{\mu}$ -cálculo ?*
- Definición small-step
 \Downarrow reducción *context-free*
- Traducción CPS : *variante del λ -cálculo ?*
- Corrección computacional

Normalización :

- Tipaje de la traducción : *sistema F ?*
- Happy end ?

$\bar{\lambda}\mu\tilde{\mu}$: un cálculo de secuentes
(*Nuestra arma principal*)

$\bar{\lambda}\mu\tilde{\mu}$ **Ventajas :**

- Lenguaje de comandos : $\langle p \mid e \rangle$
- Manipulación atómica de las continuaciones : $\mu\alpha.c$
- Permite varias estrategias de reducción

Definición

Pruebas	$p ::= a \mid \lambda a.p \mid \mu\alpha.c$
Contextos	$e ::= \alpha \mid p \cdot e \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c$
Comandos	$c ::= \langle p \mid e \rangle$

Reducción

$\langle \mu\alpha.c \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$c[e/\alpha]$
$\langle p \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$c[p/a]$
$\langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle q \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle$

Tipaje

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : A \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \mid e : A \vdash \Delta}{\langle p \mid e \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}$$

$$\frac{c : (\Gamma \vdash \Delta, \alpha : A)}{\Gamma \vdash \mu\alpha.c : A \mid \Delta} \mu$$

$$\frac{c : (\Gamma, a : A \vdash \Delta)}{\Gamma \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c : A \vdash \Delta} \tilde{\mu}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda a.p : A \rightarrow B \mid \Delta} \rightarrow_I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash q : A \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \mid e : B \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \mid q \cdot e : A \rightarrow B \vdash \Delta} \rightarrow_E$$

Tipaje

Cálculo de secuentes :

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \mid A \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}$$

$$\frac{(\Gamma \vdash \Delta, A)}{\Gamma \vdash A \mid \Delta} \mu$$

$$\frac{(\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta)}{\Gamma \mid A \vdash \Delta} \tilde{\mu}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash B \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B \mid \Delta} \rightarrow_I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \mid B \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \mid A \rightarrow B \vdash \Delta} \rightarrow_E$$

Extendiendo para tipos dependientes

Definición

Términos	$t ::= x \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{wit } V$
Pruebas	$p ::= a \mid \lambda a.p \mid \mu\alpha.c$ $\mid (t, p) \mid \text{prf } V$
Contextos	$e ::= \alpha \mid p \cdot e \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c$
Comandos	$c ::= \langle p \mid e \rangle$

Reducción

$\langle \mu\alpha.c \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$c[e/\alpha]$
$\langle V \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$c[V/a]$
$\langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle q \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle$
$\langle (t, p) \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle p \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle (t, a) \mid e \rangle \rangle$
$\langle \text{prf}(t, V) \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle V \mid e \rangle$
$\langle \text{prf } p \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle p \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle \text{prf } a \mid e \rangle \rangle$

Extendiendo para tipos dependientes

Definición

Términos	$t ::= x \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{wit } V$
Pruebas	$p ::= a \mid \lambda a.p \mid \mu\alpha.c$ $\mid (t, p) \mid \text{prf } V$
Contextos	$e ::= \alpha \mid p \cdot e \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c$
Comandos	$c ::= \langle p \mid e \rangle$

Reducción

$\langle \mu\alpha.c \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$c[e/\alpha]$
$\langle V \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$c[V/a]$
$\langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle q \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle$
$\langle (t, p) \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle p \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle (t, a) \mid e \rangle \rangle$
$\langle \text{prf}(t, V) \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle V \mid e \rangle$
$\langle \text{prf } p \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle p \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle \text{prf } a \mid e \rangle \rangle$

Extendiendo para tipos dependientes

Definición

Términos	$t ::= x \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{wit } V$
Pruebas	$p ::= a \mid \lambda a.p \mid \mu\alpha.c$ $\mid (t, p) \mid \text{prf } V$
Contextos	$e ::= \alpha \mid p \cdot e \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c$
Comandos	$c ::= \langle p \mid e \rangle$

Reducción

$\langle \mu\alpha.c \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$c[e/\alpha]$
$\langle V \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$c[V/a]$
$\langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle q \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle$
$\langle (t, p) \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle p \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle (t, a) \mid e \rangle \rangle$
$\langle \text{prf}(t, V) \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle V \mid e \rangle$
$\langle \text{prf } p \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle p \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle \text{prf } a \mid e \rangle \rangle$

Extendiendo para tipos dependientes

Definición

Términos	$t ::= x \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{wit } V$
Pruebas	$p ::= a \mid \lambda a.p \mid \mu\alpha.c$ $\mid (t, p) \mid \text{prf } V$
Contextos	$e ::= \alpha \mid p \cdot e \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c$
Comandos	$c ::= \langle p \mid e \rangle$

Reducción

$\langle \mu\alpha.c \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$c[e/\alpha]$
$\langle V \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$c[V/a]$
$\langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle q \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle$
$\langle (t, p) \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle p \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle (t, a) \mid e \rangle \rangle$
$\langle \text{prf}(t, V) \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle V \mid e \rangle$
$\langle \text{prf } p \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle p \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle \text{prf } a \mid e \rangle \rangle$

Tipaje (bis)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : A \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \mid e : A \vdash \Delta}{\langle p \mid e \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}$$

$$\frac{c : (\Gamma \vdash \Delta, \alpha : A)}{\Gamma \vdash \mu\alpha.c : A \mid \Delta} \mu$$

$$\frac{c : (\Gamma, a : A \vdash \Delta)}{\Gamma \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c : A \vdash \Delta} \tilde{\mu}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda a.p : [a : A] \rightarrow B \mid \Delta} \rightarrow_l$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash q : A \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \mid e : B[q/a] \vdash \Delta \quad q \notin V \Rightarrow a \notin B}{\Gamma \mid q \cdot e : [a : A] \rightarrow B \vdash \Delta} \rightarrow_E$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \mathbb{N} \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash p : A(t) \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash (t, p) : \exists x A(x) \mid \Delta} \exists_l$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \exists x A(x) \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \text{prf } V : A(\text{wit } V) \mid \Delta} \text{prf}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : \exists x A(x) \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \text{wit } V : \mathbb{N} \mid \Delta} \text{wit}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : A \mid \Delta \quad A \equiv B}{\Gamma \vdash p : B \mid \Delta} \text{CONV}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \mid e : A \vdash \Delta \quad A \equiv B}{\Gamma \mid e : B \vdash \Delta} \text{CONV}$$

Otra vez, un problema...

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B[a] \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda a.p : [a : A] \rightarrow B \mid \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash q : A \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \mid e : B[q] \vdash \Delta \quad q \in V}{\Gamma \mid q \cdot e : [a : A] \rightarrow B \vdash \Delta}}{\langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot e \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}$$

 \rightsquigarrow

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash q : A \mid \Delta \quad \frac{\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B[a] \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma, a : A \mid e : B[q] \vdash \Delta}{\langle p \mid e \rangle : \Gamma, a : A \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}}{\Gamma \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle : A \vdash \Delta} \tilde{\mu}}{\langle q \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}$$

Otra vez, un problema...

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B[a] \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda a.p : [a : A] \rightarrow B \mid \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash q : A \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \mid e : B[q] \vdash \Delta \quad q \in V}{\Gamma \mid q \cdot e : [a : A] \rightarrow B \vdash \Delta}}{\langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot e \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}$$

 \rightsquigarrow

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash q : A \mid \Delta \quad \frac{\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B[a] \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma, a : A \mid e : B[q] \vdash \Delta}{\langle p \mid e \rangle : \Gamma, a : A \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}}{\Gamma \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle : A \vdash \Delta} \tilde{\mu}}{\langle q \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}$$

Otra vez, un problema...

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B[a] \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda a.p : [a : A] \rightarrow B \mid \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash q : A \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \mid e : B[q] \vdash \Delta \quad q \in V}{\Gamma \mid q \cdot e : [a : A] \rightarrow B \vdash \Delta}}{\langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot e \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}$$

 \rightsquigarrow

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash q : A \mid \Delta \quad \frac{\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B[a] \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma, a : A \mid e : B[q] \vdash \Delta}{\langle p \mid e \rangle : \Gamma, a : A \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}}{\Gamma \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle : A \vdash \Delta} \tilde{\mu}}{\langle q \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}$$

Otra vez, un problema...

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B[a] \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda a.p : [a : A] \rightarrow B \mid \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash q : A \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \mid e : B[q] \vdash \Delta \quad q \in V}{\Gamma \mid q \cdot e : [a : A] \rightarrow B \vdash \Delta}}{\langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot e \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}$$

 \rightsquigarrow

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash q : A \mid \Delta \quad \frac{\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B[a] \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma, a : A \mid e : B[q] \vdash \Delta}{\langle p \mid e \rangle : \Gamma, a : A \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}}{\Gamma \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle : A \vdash \Delta} \tilde{\mu}}{\langle q \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}$$

Otra vez, un problema...

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B[a] \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda a.p : [a : A] \rightarrow B \mid \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash q : A \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \mid e : B[q] \vdash \Delta \quad q \in V}{\Gamma \mid q \cdot e : [a : A] \rightarrow B \vdash \Delta}}{\langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot e \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}$$

 \rightsquigarrow

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash q : A \mid \Delta \quad \frac{\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B[a] \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma, a : A \mid e : B[q] \vdash \Delta}{\langle p \mid e \rangle : \Gamma, a : A \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}}{\Gamma \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle : A \vdash \Delta} \tilde{\mu}}{\langle q \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}$$

Otra vez, un problema...

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B[a] \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda a.p : [a : A] \rightarrow B \mid \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash q : A \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \mid e : B[q] \vdash \Delta \quad q \in V}{\Gamma \mid q \cdot e : [a : A] \rightarrow B \vdash \Delta}}{\langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot e \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta} \text{CUT}$$

 \rightsquigarrow

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B[a] \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma, a : A \mid e : B[q] \vdash \Delta; \{a|q\}}{\langle p \mid e \rangle : \Gamma, a : A \vdash \Delta; \{a|q\}} \text{CUT}}{\frac{\Gamma \vdash q : A \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle : A \vdash \Delta; \{.\mid q\}}{\langle q \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta; \{.\mid.\}} \tilde{\mu} \text{CUT}}$$

Tipaje (ter)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : A_{\varepsilon^+} \mid \Delta; \varepsilon \quad \Gamma \mid e : A_{\varepsilon^-} \vdash \Delta; \{\cdot \mid p\} \varepsilon}{\langle p \mid e \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta; \varepsilon} \text{ CUT}$$

$$\frac{c : (\Gamma \vdash \Delta, \alpha : A; \varepsilon)}{\Gamma \vdash \mu \alpha. c : A \mid \Delta; \varepsilon} \mu$$

$$\frac{c : (\Gamma, a : A \vdash \Delta; \{a \mid p\} \varepsilon)}{\Gamma \mid \tilde{\mu} a. c : A \vdash \Delta; \{\cdot \mid p\} \varepsilon} \tilde{\mu}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B \mid \Delta; \varepsilon}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda a. p : [a : A] \rightarrow B \mid \Delta; \varepsilon} \rightarrow_I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash q : A \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \mid e : B[q] \vdash \Delta; \{\cdot \mid \mu \alpha. \langle p \mid q \cdot \alpha \rangle\} \varepsilon}{\Gamma \mid q \cdot e : [a : A] \rightarrow B \vdash \Delta; \{\cdot \mid p\} \varepsilon} \rightarrow_E$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \mathbb{N} \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash p : A(t) \mid \Delta; \varepsilon}{\Gamma \vdash (t, p) : \exists x A(x) \mid \Delta; \varepsilon} \exists_I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \exists x A(x) \mid \Delta; \varepsilon}{\Gamma \vdash \text{prf } V : A(\text{wit } V) \mid \Delta; \varepsilon} \text{prf}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \exists x A(x) \mid \Delta; \varepsilon}{\Gamma \vdash \text{wit } V : \mathbb{N} \mid \Delta; \varepsilon} \text{wit}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : A \mid \Delta; \varepsilon \quad A \equiv B}{\Gamma \vdash p : B \mid \Delta; \varepsilon} \text{CONV}$$

Subject reduction

Si $c : \Gamma \vdash \Delta; \{\cdot \mid \cdot\}$ y $c \rightsquigarrow c'$, entonces $c' : \Gamma \vdash \Delta; \{\cdot \mid \cdot\}$

Tipaje (ter)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : A_{\varepsilon^+} \mid \Delta; \varepsilon \quad \Gamma \mid e : A_{\varepsilon^-} \vdash \Delta; \{\cdot \mid p\} \varepsilon}{\langle p \mid e \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta; \varepsilon} \text{ CUT}$$

$$\frac{c : (\Gamma \vdash \Delta, \alpha : A; \varepsilon)}{\Gamma \vdash \mu\alpha.c : A \mid \Delta; \varepsilon} \mu$$

$$\frac{c : (\Gamma, a : A \vdash \Delta; \{a \mid p\} \varepsilon)}{\Gamma \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c : A \vdash \Delta; \{\cdot \mid p\} \varepsilon} \tilde{\mu}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, a : A \vdash p : B \mid \Delta; \varepsilon}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda a.p : [a : A] \rightarrow B \mid \Delta; \varepsilon} \rightarrow_I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash q : A \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \mid e : B[q] \vdash \Delta; \{\cdot \mid \mu\alpha.\langle p \mid q \cdot \alpha \rangle\} \varepsilon}{\Gamma \mid q \cdot e : [a : A] \rightarrow B \vdash \Delta; \{\cdot \mid p\} \varepsilon} \rightarrow_E$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \mathbb{N} \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash p : A(t) \mid \Delta; \varepsilon}{\Gamma \vdash (t, p) : \exists x A(x) \mid \Delta; \varepsilon} \exists_I$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \exists x A(x) \mid \Delta; \varepsilon}{\Gamma \vdash \text{prf } V : A(\text{wit } V) \mid \Delta; \varepsilon} \text{prf}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \exists x A(x) \mid \Delta; \varepsilon}{\Gamma \vdash \text{wit } V : \mathbb{N} \mid \Delta; \varepsilon} \text{wit}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : A \mid \Delta; \varepsilon \quad A \equiv B}{\Gamma \vdash p : B \mid \Delta; \varepsilon} \text{CONV}$$

Subject reduction

Si $c : \Gamma \vdash \Delta; \{\cdot \mid \cdot\}$ y $c \rightsquigarrow c'$, entonces $c' : \Gamma \vdash \Delta; \{\cdot \mid \cdot\}$

Otra vez, un problema... (bis)

- Hicimos un “bricolaje” para solucionar eso :

$$\langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot e \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \langle q \mid \tilde{\mu} a. \langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta$$

- Pero en la CPS, seguimos teniendo el problema :

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \langle q \mid \tilde{\mu} a. \langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle \rrbracket &:= \llbracket q \rrbracket \llbracket \tilde{\mu} a. \langle p \mid e \rangle \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket q \rrbracket (\lambda a. \underbrace{\llbracket p \rrbracket}_{\neg\neg A[a]} \underbrace{\llbracket e \rrbracket}_{\neg\neg A[q]}) \end{aligned}$$

- Nos gustaría tener algo como :

$$\llbracket \langle q \mid \tilde{\mu} a. \langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle \rrbracket := (\llbracket q \rrbracket (\lambda a. \llbracket p \rrbracket)) \llbracket e \rrbracket$$

↪ explicación en el pizarrón

Otra vez, un problema... (bis)

- Hicimos un “bricolaje” para solucionar eso :

$$\langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot e \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \langle q \mid \tilde{\mu} a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta$$

- Pero en la CPS, seguimos teniendo el problema :

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \langle q \mid \tilde{\mu} a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle \rrbracket &:= \llbracket q \rrbracket \llbracket \tilde{\mu} a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket q \rrbracket (\lambda a. \underbrace{\llbracket p \rrbracket}_{\neg\neg A[a]} \underbrace{\llbracket e \rrbracket}_{\neg A[q]}) \end{aligned}$$

- Nos gustaría tener algo como :

$$\llbracket \langle q \mid \tilde{\mu} a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle \rrbracket := (\llbracket q \rrbracket (\lambda a.\llbracket p \rrbracket))\llbracket e \rrbracket$$

↪ explicación en el pizarrón

Otra vez, un problema... (bis)

- Hicimos un “bricolaje” para solucionar eso :

$$\langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot e \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \langle q \mid \tilde{\mu} a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle : \Gamma \vdash \Delta$$

- Pero en la CPS, seguimos teniendo el problema :

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \langle q \mid \tilde{\mu} a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle \rrbracket &:= \llbracket q \rrbracket \llbracket \tilde{\mu} a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket q \rrbracket (\lambda a. \underbrace{\llbracket p \rrbracket}_{\neg\neg A[a]} \underbrace{\llbracket e \rrbracket}_{\neg A[q]}) \end{aligned}$$

- Nos gustaría tener algo como :

$$\llbracket \langle q \mid \tilde{\mu} a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle \rrbracket := (\llbracket q \rrbracket (\lambda a.\llbracket p \rrbracket))\llbracket e \rrbracket$$

↪ explicación en el pizarrón

Extendiendo una última vez

Definición

Términos	t	$::=$	$x \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{wit } V$
Pruebas	p	$::=$	$a \mid \lambda a.p \mid \mu\alpha.c \mid (t, p) \mid \text{prf } V$ $\mid \mu\hat{t}p.c_{\hat{t}p}$
Contextos	e	$::=$	$\alpha \mid p \cdot e \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c \mid$
Comandos	c	$::=$	$\langle p \mid e \rangle$
	$c_{\hat{t}p}$	$::=$	$\langle p \mid \hat{t}p \rangle \mid \langle V \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c_{\hat{t}p} \rangle$

Reducción

$\langle \lambda a.p \mid V \cdot e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle \mu\hat{t}p.\langle V \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid \hat{t}p \rangle \rangle \mid e \rangle$
$\langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle q \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle$
$\langle \mu\hat{t}p.\langle p \mid \hat{t}p \rangle \mid e \rangle$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle p \mid e \rangle$

$\bar{\lambda}\mu\tilde{\mu}$, pereza y sistema F
(La última dificultad)

Introduciendo pereza

Reducción CbV

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
 \langle \mu\alpha.c \mid e \rangle & \rightsquigarrow & c[e/\alpha] \\
 \langle V \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c \rangle & \rightsquigarrow & c[p/a] \\
 \langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot e \rangle & \rightsquigarrow & \langle q \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid e \rangle \rangle
 \end{array}$$

↪ pizarrón

Introduciendo pereza

Términos	$p ::= V \mid \mu\alpha.c$
Valores	$V ::= v \mid a$
Funciones	$v ::= \lambda a.p$
Contextos	$e ::= E \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c$
Co-valores	$E ::= F \mid \alpha \mid \tilde{\mu}[a].\langle a \mid F \rangle\tau$
Contextos forzadores	$F ::= \alpha \mid p \cdot E$
Comandos	$c ::= \langle p \mid e \rangle$
Entorno	$\tau ::= \epsilon \mid \tau[a := t]$

Reducción CbN

$\langle p \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c \rangle\tau$	\rightsquigarrow	$c\tau[a := p]$
$\langle \mu\alpha.c \mid E \rangle\tau$	\rightsquigarrow	$(c[E/\alpha])\tau$
$\langle a \mid F \rangle\tau[a := p]\tau'$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle p \mid \tilde{\mu}[a].\langle a \mid F \rangle\tau' \rangle\tau$
$\langle V \mid \tilde{\mu}[a].\langle a \mid F \rangle\tau' \rangle\tau$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle V \mid F \rangle\tau[a := V]\tau'$
$\langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot E \rangle\tau$	\rightsquigarrow	$\langle q \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid E \rangle \rangle\tau$

CPS para el $\bar{\lambda}\mu\tilde{\mu}$ perezoso

Pasos chicos :

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\langle p \mid \tilde{\mu}a.c \rangle_{e\mathcal{T}} & \rightsquigarrow c_{e\mathcal{T}}[a := p] \\
\langle p \mid E \rangle_{e\mathcal{T}} & \rightsquigarrow \langle p \mid E \rangle_{p\mathcal{T}} \\
\langle \mu\alpha.c \mid E \rangle_{p\mathcal{T}} & \rightsquigarrow (c[E/\alpha])_{\mathcal{T}} \\
\langle V \mid E \rangle_{p\mathcal{T}} & \rightsquigarrow \langle V \mid E \rangle_{E\mathcal{T}} \\
\langle V \mid \tilde{\mu}[a].\langle a \mid F \rangle_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle_{E\mathcal{T}} & \rightsquigarrow \langle V \mid F \rangle_{V\mathcal{T}}[a := V]_{\mathcal{T}'} \\
\langle V \mid F \rangle_{E\mathcal{T}} & \rightsquigarrow \langle V \mid F \rangle_{V\mathcal{T}} \\
\langle a \mid F \rangle_{V\mathcal{T}}[a := p]_{\mathcal{T}'} & \rightsquigarrow \langle p \mid \tilde{\mu}[a].\langle a \mid F \rangle_{\mathcal{T}'} \rangle_{p\mathcal{T}} \\
\langle \lambda a.p \mid F \rangle_{V\mathcal{T}} & \rightsquigarrow \langle \lambda a.p \mid F \rangle_{F\mathcal{T}} \\
\langle \lambda a.p \mid q \cdot E \rangle_{F\mathcal{T}} & \rightsquigarrow \langle q \mid \tilde{\mu}a.\langle p \mid E \rangle \rangle_{e\mathcal{T}}
\end{array}$$

CPS para el $\bar{\lambda}\mu\tilde{\mu}$ perezoso

CPS :

$$\llbracket \langle p \mid e \rangle \tau \rrbracket := \llbracket e \rrbracket_e \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_\tau \llbracket p \rrbracket_p$$

$$\llbracket \tilde{\mu} a . c \rrbracket_e \tau \rho := \llbracket c \rrbracket \tau [a := \rho]$$

$$\llbracket E \rrbracket_e \tau \rho := \rho \tau \llbracket E \rrbracket_E$$

$$\llbracket \mu \alpha . c \rrbracket_p \tau E := (\llbracket c \rrbracket_c \tau) [E / \alpha]$$

$$\llbracket V \rrbracket_p \tau E := E \tau \llbracket V \rrbracket_v$$

$$\llbracket \tilde{\mu}[a] . \langle a \mid F \rangle \tau' \rrbracket_E \tau V := V \tau [a := V] \tau' \llbracket F \rrbracket_F$$

$$\llbracket F \rrbracket_E \tau V := V \tau \llbracket F \rrbracket_F$$

$$\llbracket a \rrbracket_v \tau F := \tau(a) \tau (\lambda \tau V . V \tau [a := V] \tau' \llbracket F \rrbracket_F)$$

$$\llbracket \lambda a . p \rrbracket_v \tau F := F \tau (\lambda q \tau E . \llbracket p \rrbracket_p \tau [a := q] E)$$

$$\llbracket q \cdot E \rrbracket_F \tau v := v \llbracket q \rrbracket_p \tau \llbracket E \rrbracket_E$$

↪ Tipaje ?

CPS para el $\bar{\lambda}\mu\tilde{\mu}$ perezoso

CPS :

$$\llbracket \langle p \mid e \rangle_{\tau} \rrbracket := \llbracket e \rrbracket_e \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\tau} \llbracket p \rrbracket_p$$

$$\llbracket \tilde{\mu}a.c \rrbracket_e \tau \rho := \llbracket c \rrbracket \tau [a := \rho]$$

$$\llbracket E \rrbracket_e \tau \rho := \rho \tau \llbracket E \rrbracket_E$$

$$\llbracket \mu\alpha.c \rrbracket_p \tau E := (\llbracket c \rrbracket_c \tau) [E/\alpha]$$

$$\llbracket V \rrbracket_p \tau E := E \tau \llbracket V \rrbracket_v$$

$$\llbracket \tilde{\mu}[a].\langle a \mid F \rangle_{\tau'} \rrbracket_E \tau V := V \tau [a := V] \tau' \llbracket F \rrbracket_F$$

$$\llbracket F \rrbracket_E \tau V := V \tau \llbracket F \rrbracket_F$$

$$\llbracket a \rrbracket_v \tau F := \tau(a) \tau (\lambda \tau V. V \tau [a := V] \tau' \llbracket F \rrbracket_F)$$

$$\llbracket \lambda a.p \rrbracket_v \tau F := F \tau (\lambda q \tau E. \llbracket p \rrbracket_p \tau [a := q] E)$$

$$\llbracket q \cdot E \rrbracket_F \tau v := v \llbracket q \rrbracket_p \tau \llbracket E \rrbracket_E$$

\leadsto Tipaje?

Tipaje

Notas / cuestiones :

- Pasaje de continuacion **y** entorno
- 5 niveles :

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket A \rrbracket_e &: \tau \rightarrow (\llbracket A \rrbracket_p \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow \perp \\ \llbracket A \rrbracket_p &: \tau \rightarrow (\llbracket A \rrbracket_E \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow \perp \\ \llbracket A \rrbracket_E &: \tau \rightarrow (\llbracket A \rrbracket_v \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow \perp \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

- Como tipar el entorno τ ?
- Resultado?

Tipaje

Indices de De Bruijn :

$$\frac{\Gamma, a_n : A \vdash p : B \mid \Delta \quad |\Gamma| = n}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda a_n. p : A \rightarrow B \mid \Delta} \quad \frac{c : (\Gamma, a_n : A \vdash \Delta) \quad |\Gamma| = n}{\Gamma \mid \tilde{\mu} a_n. c : A \vdash \Delta}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, a_n : A, \Gamma' \mid F : A \vdash \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash \Delta; \tau : \Gamma' \quad |\Gamma| = n}{\Gamma \mid \tilde{\mu}[a_n]. \langle a_n \mid F \rangle \tau : A \vdash \Delta} \quad \frac{\Gamma(i) = a_i : A}{\Gamma \vdash a_i : A \mid \Delta}$$

$$\frac{c : (\Gamma \vdash \Delta, \alpha_n : A) \quad |\Delta| = n}{\Gamma \vdash \mu \alpha_n. c : A \mid \Delta} \quad \frac{\Delta(i) = \alpha_i : A}{\Gamma \mid \alpha_i : A \vdash \Delta}$$

Tipaje

Tipaje del entorno :

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash p : A \mid \Delta \quad \Gamma \mid e : A \vdash \Delta}{\langle p \mid e \rangle : (\Gamma \vdash \Delta)} \quad \frac{c : (\Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash \Delta) \quad \Gamma \vdash \Delta; \tau : \Gamma'}{c\tau : (\Gamma \vdash \Delta)}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta; \epsilon : \epsilon} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta; \tau : \Gamma' \quad \Gamma, \Gamma' \vdash p : A \mid \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta; \tau[a := p] : \Gamma', a : A}$$

Corrección de la CPS

Pizarrón : tipaje del entorno en la CPS ?

Corrección de la CPS

Enriqueciendo la CPS con todas las informaciones de tipaje,

Teorema

La traducción es bien tipada, i.e.

$\Gamma \vdash_V \Delta; v : A$	implica	$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash_V \Delta; v : A \rrbracket^{\vec{T}}$
$\Gamma; F : A \vdash_F \Delta$	implica	$\llbracket \Gamma; F : A \vdash_F \Delta \rrbracket^{\vec{T}}$
$\Gamma \vdash_V \Delta; V : A$	implica	$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash_V \Delta; V : A \rrbracket^{\vec{T}}$
$\Gamma; E : A \vdash_E \Delta$	implica	$\llbracket \Gamma; E : A \vdash_E \Delta \rrbracket^{\vec{T}}$
$\Gamma \vdash_p \Delta; p : A$	implica	$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash_p \Delta; p : A \rrbracket^{\vec{T}}$
$\Gamma; e : A \vdash_e \Delta$	implica	$\llbracket \Gamma; e : A \vdash_e \Delta \rrbracket^{\vec{T}}$
$c : (\Gamma \vdash_c \Delta)$	implica	$\llbracket c : (\Gamma \vdash_c \Delta) \rrbracket^{\vec{T}}$

Final feliz

Vimos cómo :

- definir un cálculo de secuentes con tipos dependientes
- definir un cálculo de secuentes perezoso
- definir su traducción tipada por CPS y demostrar así su normalización

Mezclando esos ingredientes y dPA^ω , conseguimos :

- un lenguaje $dLPA^\omega$ con una prueba de AC_N y DC
- una traducción CPS **tipada** desde $dLPA^\omega$ hacia HA^ω

Teorema

$PA^2 + DC$ es equi-consistente con HA^2

Demostración : sin meta-uso de DC o AC_N !!

Final feliz

Vimos cómo :

- definir un cálculo de secuentes con tipos dependientes
- definir un cálculo de secuentes perezoso
- definir su traducción tipada por CPS y demostrar así su normalización

Mezclando esos ingredientes y dPA^ω , conseguimos :

- un lenguaje $dLPA^\omega$ con una prueba de $AC_{\mathbb{N}}$ y DC
- una traducción CPS **tipada** desde $dLPA^\omega$ hacia HA^ω

Teorema

$PA^2 + DC$ es equi-consistente con HA^2

Demostración : sin meta-uso de DC o $AC_{\mathbb{N}}$!!

Final feliz

Vimos cómo :

- definir un cálculo de secuentes con tipos dependientes
- definir un cálculo de secuentes perezoso
- definir su traducción tipada por CPS y demostrar así su normalización

Mezclando esos ingredientes y dPA^ω , conseguimos :

- un lenguaje $dLPA^\omega$ con una prueba de $AC_{\mathbb{N}}$ y DC
- una traducción CPS **tipada** desde $dLPA^\omega$ hacia HA^ω

Teorema

$PA^2 + DC$ es equi-consistente con HA^2

Demostración : sin meta-uso de DC o $AC_{\mathbb{N}}$!!