

Multi-patch epidemic models with general infectious periods

GUODONG PANG AND ÉTIENNE PARDOUX

ABSTRACT. We study multi-patch SIR and SEIR models where individuals may migrate from one patch to another in either of the susceptible, exposed/latent, infectious and recovered states. We assume that infections occur locally with a rate that depends on the patch as well as the state of the various groups of individuals in the patch, and the infectious periods have a general distribution, and do not change as individuals migrate from one patch to another. The migration processes in either of the three states are assumed to be Markovian, and independent of the infectious periods. The rates of migration from one patch to another may be different due to the population density and the manner of migration (for example, transportation). We establish a functional law of large number (FLLN) and a function central limit theorem (FCLT) for the susceptible, exposed/latent, infectious and recovered processes. In the FLLN, the limit is determined by a set of Volterra integral equations. In the special case of deterministic infectious periods, the limit becomes an ODE with delay. In the FCLT, the limit is given by a set of stochastic Volterra integral equations driven by a sum of Brownian motion and a continuous Gaussian processes of a particular covariance structure.

1. INTRODUCTION

Multi-patch epidemic models have been used to study various infectious diseases, for example, nosocomial infection [20], vector-borne diseases [19], HIV/AIDS transmission [18], SARS epidemic [22], and so on. They are often used to capture the heterogeneity between different geographic locations, for example, a densely populated city and a less populated rural area. It also helps to study the effect of migrations or lock-down measures among different population groups or locations. In the Covid-19 pandemic, it has been observed that the infectivity in different regions may vary and is impacted by various social-distance and lock-down measures [27].

ODE models are often used to study the dynamics of such multi-patch epidemic models. It is well known that the ODE dynamics arises from the Markovian assumptions in the stochastic multi-patch epidemic model, that is, the infection process is Poisson, the infectious (and/or exposed/latent) periods are exponentially distributed and the migration processes are also Markovian [1, 8, 21, 22, 4, 19]. Some ODE/PDE models are also used to study their dynamics when the infection rates are age-dependent (depending on how long the population has been infected), see, for example, [20, 11]. These models also assume exponentially distributed infectious periods and Markovian migration processes.

In this paper, we study multi-patch SIR and SEIR models, in which the infectious (and exposed/latent) periods have a general distribution, while the migration processes are Markovian. The infection is assumed to be local, that is, the infection rate depends on the susceptible and infectious population in each patch only. Individuals may migrate from one patch to another in each of the Susceptible, Exposed(Latent), Infected and Recovered stages. The epidemic dynamics evolves in a manner of mixed Markovian and non-Markovian components, which seems challenging to directly derive its first-order fluid equations.

We describe the evolution dynamics by tracking the time epochs of becoming exposed and/or infectious and the location of an individual at these event times. Specifically, in the multi-patch SIR

Date: June 26, 2020.

Key words and phrases. multi-patch SIR/SEIR model, general infectious (and/or exposing, latent) periods, migration, FLLN, FCLT, multi-dimensional (stochastic) Volterra integral equations, Poisson random measure.

model, each individual tracks the time epochs of becoming infected and recovered, and is associated with a continuous time Markov chain taking values of the patches/locations. In the multi-patch SEIR model, each individual tracks the time epochs of becoming exposed, infected and recovered, and is associated with two Markov chains that are used to track their movement starting when the individual becomes exposed / infectious. For the initially exposed and/or infected individuals, we also assume that their remaining exposing and/or infectious periods have general distributions, which may be different from those of the newly exposed/infected individuals. For these initially exposed/infectious individuals, we also track their movement while being exposed/infectious.

Given the representations with these time epochs and location processes, we show a functional law of large numbers (FLLN) and a functional central limit theorem (FCLT) for the associated counting processes in the multi-patch SIR and SEIR models. The fluid limits are determined by a set of Volterra integral equations. When the infectious (and exposed/latent) periods are deterministic, we can write the fluid integral equations as a set of ODEs with delay (Remarks 2.1 and 3.1). The limit processes in the FCLT are determined by a set of stochastic Volterra integral equations, driven by a sum of independent Brownian motions and continuous Gaussian processes with a certain covariance structure. When the infectious (and exposed/latent) periods are deterministic, the limits become stochastic differential equations with linear drifts and delay (Remarks 2.3 and 3.2). We also remark how the approach and results can be extended to study multi-patch SIS and SIRS models (Remarks 2.4 and 3.3).

In the proofs of these results, we employ Poisson random measures (PRMs) that are constructed as the sums of the Dirac masses at the time epochs of becoming infectious (and/or exposed), the infectious and exposing periods and the Markov chain starting from the location of each individual at those epochs. We can then use the martingale properties and convergence theorems as critical tools in the proofs. For a single patch SIR and SEIR models with general infectious and exposing periods, an approach using PRMs that are constructed at the time epochs of becoming infectious (and/or exposed), was developed in [24]. The approach is further developed in this paper for multi-patch SIR and SEIR models, to track the locations of each individual at each event epoch.

This paper contributes to the limited literature on stochastic epidemic models with general infectious periods, see the overview in Chapter 3.4 of [8] on the common approaches to study non-Markovian epidemic models and LLN and CLT for the final sizes of the epidemic; see also the recent method using piecewise Markov deterministic processes in [9] and [15] for the SIR model. We also refer to Reinert [28] that proves an FLLN for the empirical measure of the SIR epidemic dynamics using Stein's method. In [24], both FLLN and FCLT were proved for the epidemic dynamics in the classical models (SIR, SIS, SEIR, SIRS) where the PRM representations of the dynamics plays a fundamental role in the proofs. Although Volterra integral equations were used to describe the proportion of infectious population in the SIS, SIR or SEIR model without proving an FLLN (see [6, 7, 10, 12, 17, 29]), no Volterra integral equations have been proposed for multi-patch epidemic models with general infectious (and/or exposing) periods. Our work shows both FLLN and FCLT for non-Markovian multi-patch models, and identify (stochastic) multidimensional Volterra integral equations as their limits.

It is also worth mentioning the multi-type epidemic models where the population splits up into multiple groups of individuals and each group may infect any other group in addition to itself (no migration, and different from the local infection assumption in our model) and proportionate mixing taking into account control measures like social distance or lockdowns is also incorporated, see Chapters 6.1 and 6.2 in [1] and [2, 3]. This is very different from our model since infection is local in our setup and individuals in any of the stages may migrate from one group to another.

We also highlight that Fodor et al. [13] argue that Volterra integral equations are more effective than the ODE models in the study of the Covid-19 pandemic. In particular, they show that the ODE models can significantly underestimate the initial basic reproduction number R_0 , while the integral equations results in more accurate epidemic dynamics after sharp changes in R_0 due to

lockdown measures. The integral equations are also used to estimate the state of the Covid-19 epidemic [14].

1.1. Notation. Throughout the paper, \mathbb{N} denotes the set of natural numbers, and $\mathbb{R}^k(\mathbb{R}_+^k)$ denotes the space of k -dimensional vectors with real (nonnegative) coordinates, with $\mathbb{R}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for $k = 1$. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, denote $x \wedge y = \min\{x, y\}$ and $x \vee y = \max\{x, y\}$. Let $D = D([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ denote the space of \mathbb{R} -valued càdlàg functions defined on $[0, T]$. Throughout the paper, convergence in D means convergence in the Skorohod J_1 topology, see chapter 3 of [5]. Also, D^k stands for the k -fold product equipped with the product topology. Let C be the subset of D consisting of continuous functions. Let C^1 consist of all differentiable functions whose derivative is continuous. For any function $x \in D$, we use $\|x\|_T = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |x(t)|$. For two functions $x, y \in D$, we use $x \circ y(t) = x(y(t))$ denote their composition. All random variables and processes are defined in a common complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. The notation \Rightarrow means convergence in distribution. We use $\mathbf{1}_{\{\cdot\}}$ for indicator function. We use small- o notation for real-valued functions f and non-zero g : $f(x) = o(g(x))$ if $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} |f(x)/g(x)| = 0$.

2. THE MULTI-PATCH SIR MODEL WITH GENERAL INFECTIOUS PERIODS

2.1. Model description. We consider a multi-patch epidemic model, where individuals in each patch experience the Susceptible-Infectious-Recovered (SIR) process. The patches may refer to populations in different locations, for example, a densely populated city and a less populated rural area. Individuals in each patch are infected locally, while they may migrate/travel from one to the other in any of the susceptible, infectious and recovered states. The rate of infection is different in the patches (because of the differences in the density of population or the use of public transportations), while the law of the infectious period is the same (same illness).

Let N be the total population size and L be the number of patches. For each $i = 1, \dots, L$, let $S_i^N(t)$, $I_i^N(t)$ and $R_i^N(t)$ denote the numbers of individuals in patch i that are susceptible, infectious and recovered at time t , respectively. Then we have the balance equation:

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^L (S_i^N(t) + I_i^N(t) + R_i^N(t)), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Assume that $S_i^N(0) > 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^L I_i^N(0) > 0$ and $R_i^N(0) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, L$.

Let λ_i be the infection rate of patch i , $i = 1, \dots, L$. Define the following processes

$$\Phi_i^N(t) = \frac{S_i^N(t)I_i^N(t)}{S_i^N(t) + I_i^N(t) + R_i^N(t)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, L.$$

Let $A_i^N(t)$ be the cumulative counting process of individuals that become infectious during $(0, t]$. Then we can give a representation of the process $A_i^N(t)$ via the standard Poisson random measure $Q_{i,inf}$:

$$A_i^N(t) = \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_i \Phi_i^N(s)} Q_{i,inf}(ds, du), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Equivalently, we can write

$$A_i^N(t) = P_{A,i} \left(\lambda_i \int_0^t \Phi_i^N(s) ds \right), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where $P_{A,i}$ is a unit-rate Poisson process, and independent from each other for $i = 1, \dots, L$. We let $\{\tau_{i,j}^N, j \geq 1\}$ denote the successive jump times of the process A_i^N , for $i = 1, \dots, L$.

For the initially infected individuals $I_i^N(0)$, let $\eta_{k,i}^0$, $k = 1, \dots, I_i^N(0)$, denote their remaining infectious periods. Assume that $\{\eta_{k,i}^0\}$ are i.i.d. with a c.d.f. F_0 , for all i, k . For the newly infected individuals $A_i^N(t)$, let $\eta_{k,i}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, denote their remaining infectious periods. Assume that $\{\eta_{k,i}\}$

are i.i.d. with a c.d.f. F , for all i, k . Let $F_0^c = 1 - F$ and $F^c = 1 - F$. It is reasonable to assume the same distribution for the infectious periods of individuals of the different patches since it is the same illness.

Susceptible (resp. infectious, resp. removed) individuals migrate from patch i to patch j at rate $\nu_{S,i,j}$ (resp. at rate $\nu_{I,i,j}$, resp. at rate $\nu_{R,i,j}$). Let $X(t)$ denote the location (i.e., the patch) at time $t \geq 0$ of an infected individual. $X(t)$ is a Markov process which alternates between states $1, \dots, L$. Define $p_{i,j}(t) = \mathbb{P}(X(r+t) = j | X(r) = i)$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, L$ and $r, t \geq 0$. Then these probabilities can be computed, see, for example, Corollary 2.2 in Chapter 7 of [26].

Example 2.1. *Suppose that there are two patches. While in state 1 (resp. 2) it stays there for a duration of time which follows the exponential distribution with parameter ν_I (resp. $a\nu_I$). We define*

$$\begin{aligned} p_{1,1}(t) &= \mathbb{P}(X(r+t) = 1 | X(r) = 1), & p_{1,2}(t) &= \mathbb{P}(X(r+t) = 2 | X(r) = 1), \\ p_{2,1}(t) &= \mathbb{P}(X(r+t) = 1 | X(r) = 2), & p_{2,2}(t) &= \mathbb{P}(X(r+t) = 2 | X(r) = 2). \end{aligned}$$

A standard computation (based upon, e.g., Corollary 2.2 in Chapter 7 of [26]) yields the following explicit formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{1,1}(t) &= \frac{a + e^{-\nu_I(a+1)t}}{a+1}, & p_{1,2}(t) &= \frac{1 - e^{-\nu_I(a+1)t}}{a+1}, \\ p_{2,1}(t) &= \frac{a}{a+1}(1 - e^{-\nu_I(a+1)t}), & p_{2,2}(t) &= \frac{1 + ae^{-\nu_I(a+1)t}}{a+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

We will use $X_i^{0,k}$ and X_i^k to indicate the associated process for individual k in patch i , for the initially and newly infected ones, respectively. Note that they are all mutually independent and have the same law as described for the process $X(t)$ above. Also note that the processes X_i^k start from the time becoming infected $\tau_{k,i}^N$ while the processes $X_i^{0,k}$ start from time 0.

We now provide a representation of the epidemic evolution dynamics:

$$S_i^N(t) = S_i^N(0) - A_i^N(t) - \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L P_{S,i,\ell} \left(\nu_{S,i,\ell} \int_0^t S_i^N(s) ds \right) + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L P_{S,\ell,i} \left(\nu_{S,\ell,i} \int_0^t S_i^N(s) ds \right), \quad (2.4)$$

$$I_i^N(t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{I_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{t < \tau_{k,i}^0} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^{0,k}(t)=i} + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \eta_{j,\ell} > t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^j(t - \tau_{j,\ell}^N)=i}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_i^N(t) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{I_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^{0,k}(\eta_{k,\ell}^0)=i} + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \eta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^j(\eta_{j,\ell})=i} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L P_{R,i,\ell} \left(\nu_{R,i,\ell} \int_0^t R_i^N(s) ds \right) + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L P_{R,\ell,i} \left(\nu_{R,\ell,i} \int_0^t R_i^N(s) ds \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where $P_{S,i,\ell}, P_{R,i,\ell}$, $i, \ell = 1, \dots, L$, are all unit-rate Poisson processes, mutually independent, and also independent of $P_{A,i}$. Here, the first term in $I_i^N(t)$ represents the number of initially infected individuals from patch $\ell = 1, \dots, L$ that remain infected and are in patch i at time t , and the second term represents the number of newly infected individuals from patch $\ell = 1, \dots, L$ that remain infected and are in patch i at time t . The first term in $R_i^N(t)$ represents the number of initially infected individuals from patch $\ell = 1, \dots, L$ that have recovered by time t and were in patch i at the time of recovery, and the second term represents the number of newly infected individuals from patch $\ell = 1, \dots, L$ that have recovered by time t , and were in patch i at the time of recovery.

Define a PRM $\tilde{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\theta)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^3 \times \{1, \dots, L\}$, which is the sum of the Dirac masses at the points $(\tau_{j,\ell}^N, U_{j,\ell}^N, \eta_{j,i}, X_\ell^j(\eta_{j,\ell}))$ with mean measure $ds \times du \times F(dv) \times \mu_\ell(v, d\theta)$, where for each $v > 0$, $\mu_\ell(v, \{\ell'\}) = p_{\ell,\ell'}(v)$, and an infection occurs at time $\tau_{j,\ell}^N$ in case $U_{j,\ell}^N \leq \lambda_\ell \Phi_\ell^N(\tau_{j,\ell}^N)$.

We can then write for $\ell, \ell' = 1, \dots, L$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \eta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^j(\eta_{j,\ell}) = \ell'} = \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_0^{t-s} \int_{\{\ell'\}} \mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_\ell \Phi_\ell^N(s)} \tilde{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\theta). \quad (2.7)$$

We denote the corresponding compensated PRM $\bar{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\theta) = \tilde{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\theta) - ds \times du \times F(dv) \times \mu_\ell(v, d\theta)$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, L$.

2.1.1. The model with deterministic infectious periods. We discuss the special case of deterministic infectious durations. Let the constant t_o be the infectious period for a newly infected individual, that is, $\eta_{j,\ell} = t_o$ for all j and $\ell = 1, \dots, L$. It is then reasonable to assume that the initially infected individuals have remaining infectious times that are uniformly distributed over $[0, t_o]$. Equivalently, we use two collections of i.i.d. random variables $\{\xi_k^\ell, k \geq 1\}$ which are $\mathcal{U}([-t_o, 0])$ distributed, to represent the times when the initially infected individuals were infected in patch ℓ . Then the epidemic evolution dynamics of $I_i^N(t)$ and $R_i^N(t)$ ($S_i^N(t)$ is the same as in (2.4)) can be described as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_i^N(t) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{I_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{t < \xi_k^\ell + t_o} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^{0,k}(t) = i} + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=A_\ell^N(t-t_o)+1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^j(t-\tau_{j,\ell}^N) = \ell}, \\ R_i^N(t) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{I_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\xi_k^\ell + t_o \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^{0,k}(\xi_k^\ell + t_o) = i} + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t-t_o)} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^j(t_o) = i} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell \neq i} P_{R,i,\ell} \left(\nu_{R,i,\ell} \int_0^t R_i^N(s) ds \right) + \sum_{\ell \neq i} P_{R,\ell,i} \left(\nu_{R,\ell,i} \int_0^t R_i^N(s) ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Define the PRM $\check{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, d\theta)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times \{1, \dots, L\}$, with mean measure $ds du \mu_\ell(d\theta)$, where $\mu_\ell(\{\ell'\}) = p_{\ell,\ell'}(t_o)$ for $\ell, \ell' = 1, \dots, L$. Then we can represent

$$\sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t-t_o)} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^j(t_o) = i} = \int_0^{t-t_o} \int_0^\infty \int_{\{i\}} \mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_\ell \Phi_\ell^N(s)} \check{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, d\theta).$$

2.2. FLLN. For any process Z^N , let $\bar{Z}^N := N^{-1}Z^N$ be the fluid-scaled process. We make the following assumptions on the c.d.f. F .

Assumption 2.1. *The c.d.f. F can be written as $F = F_1 + F_2$, where $F_1(t) = \sum_i a_i \mathbf{1}(t \geq t_i)$ for a finite or countable number of positive numbers a_i and the corresponding t_i such that $\sum_i a_i \leq 1$ and $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k < \dots$, and F_2 is Hölder continuous with exponent $\frac{1}{2} + \theta$ for some $\theta > 0$, that is, $F_2(t + \delta) - F_2(t) \leq c\delta^{1/2+\theta}$ for some $c > 0$. In addition, assume F_0 is continuous.*

Assumption 2.2. *There exist constants $0 < \bar{S}_i(0) \leq 1$, $0 \leq \bar{I}_i(0) < 1$ with $\sum_{i=1}^L \bar{I}_i(0) > 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^L (\bar{S}_i(0) + \bar{I}_i(0)) = 1$ and $(\bar{S}_i^N(0), \bar{I}_i^N(0), i = 1, \dots, L) \Rightarrow (\bar{S}_i(0), \bar{I}_i(0), i = 1, \dots, L)$ in \mathbb{R}^{2L} as $N \rightarrow \infty$. For simplicity, let $S_i^N(0) = [N\bar{S}_i(0)]$ and $I_i^N(0) = [N\bar{I}_i(0)]$ for $i = 1, \dots, L$.*

Theorem 2.1. *Under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2,*

$$(\bar{S}_i^N, \bar{I}_i^N, \bar{R}_i^N, i = 1, \dots, L) \rightarrow (\bar{S}_i, \bar{I}_i, \bar{R}_i, i = 1, \dots, L) \text{ in } D^{3L} \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.8)$$

in probability, locally uniformly on $[0, T]$, where $(\bar{S}_i(t), \bar{I}_i(t), \bar{R}_i(t), i = 1, \dots, L) \in C^{3L}$ is the unique solution to the following set of deterministic integral equations:

$$\bar{S}_i(t) = \bar{S}_i(0) - \lambda_i \int_0^t \Phi_i(s) ds + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\nu_{S, \ell, i} \bar{S}_\ell(s) - \nu_{S, i, \ell} \bar{S}_i(s)) ds, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}_i(t) &= \bar{I}_i(0) - \int_0^t \sum_{\ell=1}^L \bar{I}_\ell(0) p_{\ell, i}(s) dF_0(s) \\ &\quad + \lambda_i \int_0^t \Phi_i(s) ds - \int_0^t \sum_{\ell=1}^L \left(\int_0^{t-s} p_{\ell, i}(u) dF(u) \right) \lambda_\ell \Phi_\ell(s) ds, \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \int_0^t (\nu_{I, \ell, i} \bar{I}_\ell(s) - \nu_{I, i, \ell} \bar{I}_i(s)) ds \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_i(t) &= \int_0^t \sum_{\ell} p_{\ell, i}(s) dF_0(s) + \int_0^t \sum_{\ell} \left(\int_0^{t-s} p_{\ell, i}(u) dF(u) \right) \lambda_\ell \Phi_\ell(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\nu_{R, \ell, i} \bar{R}_\ell(s) - \nu_{R, i, \ell} \bar{R}_i(s)) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

with Φ_i defined by

$$\Phi_i(t) = \frac{\bar{S}_i(t) \bar{I}_i(t)}{\bar{S}_i(t) + \bar{I}_i(t) + \bar{R}_i(t)}. \quad (2.12)$$

Remark 2.1. With a deterministic infectious period $t_o > 0$ and the infection epochs of the initially infected individuals being uniformly distributed on $[-t_o, 0]$ (equivalently, the remaining infectious periods are uniformly distributed on $[0, t_o]$), the convergence in (2.8) holds with the limits as the unique solution to the following set of ODEs with delay:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\bar{S}_i(t)}{dt} &= -\lambda_i \Phi_i(t) + \sum_{\ell \neq i} (\nu_{S, \ell, i} \bar{S}_\ell(t) - \mu_{S, i, \ell} \bar{S}_i(t)), \\ \frac{d\bar{I}_i(t)}{dt} &= \lambda_i \Phi_i(t) - \frac{\mathbf{1}_{t < t_o}}{t_o} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \bar{I}_\ell(0) p_{\ell, i}(t) - \mathbf{1}_{t > t_o} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell p_{\ell, i}(t_o) \Phi_\ell(t - t_o) + \sum_{\ell \neq i} (\nu_{I, \ell, i} \bar{I}_\ell(t) - \mu_{I, i, \ell} \bar{I}_i(t)), \\ \frac{d\bar{R}_i(t)}{dt} &= \frac{\mathbf{1}_{t < t_o}}{t_o} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \bar{I}_\ell(0) p_{\ell, i}(t) + \mathbf{1}_{t > t_o} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell p_{\ell, i}(t_o) \Phi_\ell(t - t_o) + \sum_{\ell \neq i} (\nu_{R, \ell, i} \bar{R}_\ell(t) - \mu_{R, i, \ell} \bar{R}_i(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2. Let the infectious periods be exponentially distributed with rates γ , $\eta_{j, \ell} \sim \exp(\gamma)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, L$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Due to the memoryless property, the remaining infectious periods of the initially infected individuals have the same exponential distribution. It is well known that the epidemic evolution dynamics can be described by the following set of ODEs:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\bar{S}_i(t)}{dt} &= -\lambda_i \Phi_i(t) + \sum_{\ell \neq i} (\nu_{S, \ell, i} \bar{S}_\ell(t) - \mu_{S, i, \ell} \bar{S}_i(t)), \\ \frac{d\bar{I}_i(t)}{dt} &= \lambda_i \Phi_i(t) - \gamma \bar{I}_i(t) + \sum_{\ell \neq i} (\nu_{I, \ell, i} \bar{I}_\ell(t) - \mu_{I, i, \ell} \bar{I}_i(t)), \\ \frac{d\bar{R}_i(t)}{dt} &= \gamma \bar{I}_i(t) + \sum_{\ell \neq i} (\nu_{R, \ell, i} \bar{R}_\ell(t) - \mu_{R, i, \ell} \bar{R}_i(t)). \end{aligned}$$

It can be checked that the set of integral equations in Theorem 2.1 reduces to the ODEs in this special case.

2.3. FCLT. For any process Z^N , let $\hat{Z}^N := \sqrt{N}(\bar{Z}^N - \bar{Z})$ be the diffusion-scaled process where \bar{Z}^N is the fluid-scaled process and \bar{Z} is its limit.

Assumption 2.3. *There exist random variables $\hat{S}_i(0)$ and $\hat{I}_i(0)$, $i = 1, \dots, L$, such that $(\hat{S}_i^N(0), \hat{I}_i^N(0), i = 1, \dots, L) \Rightarrow (\hat{S}_i(0), \hat{I}_i(0), i = 1, \dots, L)$ in \mathbb{R}^{2L} as $N \rightarrow \infty$.*

Theorem 2.2. *Under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3,*

$$(\hat{S}_i^N, \hat{I}_i^N, \hat{R}_i^N, i = 1, \dots, L) \rightarrow (\hat{S}_i(t), \hat{I}_i(t), \hat{R}_i(t), i = 1, \dots, L) \text{ in } D^{3L} \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.13)$$

where the limits are the unique solution to the following set of stochastic Volterra integral equations driven by Gaussian processes:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}_i(t) = & \hat{S}_i(0) - \lambda_i \int_0^t \hat{\Phi}_i(s) ds + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\mu_{S, \ell, i} \hat{S}_\ell(s) - \mu_{S, i, \ell} \hat{S}_i(s)) ds \\ & - \hat{M}_{A, i}(t) + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L (\hat{M}_{S, \ell, i}(t) - \hat{M}_{S, i, \ell}(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{I}_i(t) = & \hat{I}_i(0) + \lambda_i \int_0^t \hat{\Phi}_i(s) ds - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} p_{\ell, i}(u) dF(u) \hat{\Phi}_\ell(s) ds \\ & + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\mu_{I, \ell, i} \hat{I}_\ell(s) - \mu_{I, i, \ell} \hat{I}_i(s)) ds \\ & - \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\hat{I}_{\ell, i}^0(t) + \hat{I}_{\ell, i}(t)) + \hat{M}_{A, i}(t) + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L (\hat{M}_{I, \ell, i}(t) - \hat{M}_{I, i, \ell}(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_i(t) = & \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} p_{\ell, i}(u) dF(u) \hat{\Phi}_\ell(s) ds + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\mu_{R, \ell, i} \hat{R}_\ell(s) - \mu_{R, i, \ell} \hat{R}_i(s)) ds \\ & + \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\hat{I}_{\ell, i}^0(t) + \hat{I}_{\ell, i}(t)) + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L (\hat{M}_{R, \ell, i}(t) - \hat{M}_{R, i, \ell}(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Here

$$\hat{\Phi}_i(t) = \frac{\bar{I}_i(t)(\bar{I}_i(t) + \bar{R}_i(t))\hat{S}_i(t) + \bar{S}_i(t)(\bar{S}_i(t) + \bar{R}_i(t))\hat{I}_i(t) - \bar{S}_i(t)\bar{I}_i(t)\hat{R}_i(t)}{(\bar{S}_i(t) + \bar{I}_i(t) + \bar{R}_i(t))^2}, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\hat{M}_{A, i}(t) = B_{A, i} \left(\int_0^t \lambda_i \Phi_i(s) ds \right), \quad \hat{M}_{S, i, j}(t) = B_{S, i, j} \left(\nu_{S, i, j} \int_0^t \bar{S}_i(s) ds \right),$$

$$\hat{M}_{I, i, j}(t) = B_{I, i, j} \left(\nu_{I, i, j} \int_0^t \bar{I}_i(s) ds \right), \quad \hat{M}_{R, i, j}(t) = B_{R, i, j} \left(\nu_{R, i, j} \int_0^t \bar{R}_i(s) ds \right), \quad i \neq j,$$

with $B_{A, i}$, $B_{S, i, j}$, $B_{I, i, j}$, $B_{R, i, j}$ being mutually independent standard Brownian motions, and with the deterministic functions $\bar{S}_i, \bar{I}_i, \bar{R}_i$ being the limits in Theorem 2.1. The processes $\hat{I}_{i, j}^0$ and $\hat{I}_{i, j}$ are continuous Gaussian processes with mean zero and covariance functions:

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{I}_{i, j}^0(t), \hat{I}_{i', j'}^0(t')) = \begin{cases} \bar{I}_i(0) \left(\int_0^{t \wedge t'} p_{i, j}(s) dF_0(s) - \int_0^t p_{i, j}(s) dF_0(s) \int_0^{t'} p_{i, j}(s) dF_0(s) \right), & \text{if } i = i', j = j', \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{I}_{i,j}(t), \hat{I}_{i',j'}(t')) = \begin{cases} \lambda_i \int_0^{t \wedge t'} \int_0^{t \wedge t' - s} p_{i,j}(u) dF(u) \Phi_i(s) ds, & \text{if } i = i', j = j', \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In addition, $\hat{I}_{i,j}^0$ and $\hat{I}_{i,j}$ are independent, and also independent of the Brownian terms.

Remark 2.3. As discussed in Remark 2.1, when the infectious periods are deterministic and equal to t_o , the stochastic integral equations become linear stochastic differential equations with delay. In particular, the term

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} p_{\ell,i}(u) dF(u) \hat{\Phi}_\ell(s) ds = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell p_{\ell,i}(t_o) \int_0^{t-t_o} \hat{\Phi}_\ell(s) ds.$$

Remark 2.4. The analysis can be easily extended to the multi-patch SIS model, where the population in each patch has susceptible and infectious groups, and when infectious individuals recover, they become susceptible immediately. The epidemic evolution dynamics is described as

$$\begin{aligned} S_i^N(t) &= S_i^N(0) - A_i^N(t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{I_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^{0,k}(\eta_{k,\ell}^0)=i} + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \eta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^j(\eta_{j,\ell})=i} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L P_{S,i,\ell} \left(\nu_{S,i,\ell} \int_0^t S_i^N(s) ds \right) + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L P_{S,\ell,i} \left(\nu_{S,\ell,i} \int_0^t S_i^N(s) ds \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

$$I_i^N(t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{I_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{t < \eta_{k,\ell}^0} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^{0,k}(t)=i} + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \eta_{j,\ell} > t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^j(t - \tau_{j,\ell}^N)=i}, \quad (2.19)$$

where A_i^N is given as in (2.1) with $\Phi_i^N(t) = \frac{S_i^N(t) I_i^N(t)}{S_i^N(t) + I_i^N(t)}$, for $i = 1, \dots, L$. Thus, in the FLLN, we obtain the same limit \bar{I}_i in (2.10) as in the multi-patch SIR model, and the limit $\bar{S}_i(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{S}_i(t) &= \bar{S}_i(0) - \lambda_i \int_0^t \Phi_i(s) ds \int_0^t \sum_{\ell} p_{\ell,i}(s) dF_0(s) + \int_0^t \sum_{\ell} \left(\int_0^{t-s} p_{\ell,i}(u) dF(u) \right) \lambda_\ell \Phi_\ell(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\nu_{S,\ell,i} \bar{S}_j(s) - \nu_{S,i,\ell} \bar{S}_i(s)) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi_i(t) := \frac{\bar{S}_i(t) \bar{I}_i(t)}{\bar{S}_i(t) + \bar{I}_i(t)}$. Similarly in the FCLT, we obtain the same limit \hat{I}_i as in (2.15) for the multi-patch SIR model, and the limit $\hat{S}_i(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}_i(t) &= \hat{S}_i(0) - \lambda_i \int_0^t \hat{\Phi}_i(s) ds + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} p_{\ell,i}(u) dF(u) \hat{\Phi}_\ell(s) ds + \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^0(t) + \hat{I}_{\ell,i}(t)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\mu_{S,\ell,i} \hat{S}_\ell(s) - \mu_{S,i,\ell} \hat{S}_i(s)) ds - \hat{M}_{A,i}(t) + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L (\hat{M}_{S,\ell,i}(t) - \hat{M}_{S,i,\ell}(t)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\Phi}_i(t) = \frac{\bar{I}_i(t)^2 \hat{S}_i(t) + \bar{S}_i(t)^2 \hat{I}_i(t)}{(\bar{S}_i(t) + \bar{I}_i(t))^2}$.

3. THE MULTI-PATCH SEIR MODEL WITH GENERAL EXPOSING AND INFECTIOUS PERIODS

3.1. Model description. In the multi-patch SEIR model, individuals in each patch experience the Susceptible-Exposing (latent)-Infectious-Recovered (SEIR) process, and they may migrate from one patch to another in either of the four stages. As in the SIR model, the infection process is local, the rates of infection vary among patches, and the exposing and recovery rates are the same

among different patches. Let N be the total population size and L be the number of patches. For each patch i , let $S_i^N(t), E_i^N(t), I_i^N(t), R_i^N(t)$ count the numbers of individuals that are susceptible, exposed (latent), infectious and recovered in patch i at time t , respectively. We have the balance equation:

$$N = \sum_{i=1}^L (S_i^N(t) + E_i^N(t) + I_i^N(t) + R_i^N(t)), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Assume that $S_i^N(0) > 0, E_i^N(0) > 0, I_i^N(0) > 0$ and $R_i^N(0) = 0$ for each $i = 1, \dots, L$. Let $A_i^N(t)$ be the cumulative counting process of individuals that become infectious during $(0, t]$, which can be represented as (2.1) and (2.2) in the SIR model with infection rate λ_i and

$$\Phi_i^N(t) = \frac{S_i^N(t)I_i^N(t)}{S_i^N(t) + E_i^N(t) + I_i^N(t) + R_i^N(t)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, L.$$

The $E_i^N(0)$ initially exposed individuals experience the exposing and infectious periods before recovery. Let $\{\zeta_{k,i}^0 : k = 1, \dots, E_i^N\}$ be the remaining exposing/latent periods of the initially exposed individuals in patch i . After the exposing period, let $\{\eta_{-k,i} : k = 1, \dots, E_i^N\}$ be their infectious times. The $I_i^N(0)$ initially infected individuals experience a remaining infectious period before recovery. We have the same notation $\{\eta_{k,i}^0\}$ as in the SIR model for them. The $A_i^N(t)$ newly infected individuals experience the exposing and infectious periods. Let $\{\zeta_{j,i} : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{\eta_{j,i} : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the associated exposing and infectious periods.

Assume that $\{\zeta_{k,i}^0\}, \{\zeta_{j,i}\}, \{\eta_{k,i}^0\}$ and $\{\eta_{j,i}\}$ are all i.i.d. sequences of random variables having c.d.f.'s G_0, G, F_0, F , respectively, and they are also mutually independent. Note that $\eta_{j,i}$ is defined for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq i \leq L$ (those with $j < 0$ code the infectious periods of the initially exposed individuals, while those with $j > 0$ code the infectious periods of the newly exposed individuals). Let $G_0^c = 1 - G_0, G^c = 1 - G, F_0^c = 1 - F_0$ and $F^c = 1 - F$.

Individuals may migrate from patch i to j in any of the four epidemic stages, with rates $\nu_{S,i,j}, \nu_{E,i,j}, \nu_{I,i,j}$ and $\nu_{R,i,j}$ for the susceptible, exposing, infectious and recovered ones, respectively. Times between migration in each of the stages are exponentially distributed. Let $Y(t)$ be the Markov process denoting the location of an individual k that is exposed from patch i at time t , and let $q_{i,j}(t) = \mathbb{P}(Y(r+t) = j | Y(r) = i)$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, L$ and $r, t > 0$. We use $Y_i^{0,k}(t)$ and $Y_i^j(t)$ for the initially and newly exposed individuals in patch i , and note that they have the same distribution as $Y(t)$. These processes are only used before an individual moves from the exposing stage to the infectious stage. We use the same Markov processes $X_i^{0,k}(t)$ and $X_i^j(t)$ for the migration of infectious patients as in the SIR model.

The multi-patch SEIR epidemic evolution dynamics can be described as follows:

$$S_i^N(t) = S_i^N(0) - A_i^N(t) + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \left(P_{S,\ell,i} \left(\nu_{S,\ell,i} \int_0^t S_\ell^N(s) ds \right) - P_{S,i,\ell} \left(\nu_{S,i,\ell} \int_0^t S_i^N(s) ds \right) \right), \quad (3.1)$$

$$E_i^N(t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{E_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{t < \zeta_{k,\ell}^0} \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^{0,k}(t)=i} + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} > t} \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(t - \tau_{j,\ell}^N)=i}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$I_i^N(t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{I_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{t < \eta_{k,\ell}^0} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^{0,k}(t)=i}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{E_{\ell}^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_{\ell}^{0,k}(\zeta_{k,\ell}^0)=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 + \eta_{-k,\ell'} > t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^{0,k}(t-\zeta_{k,\ell}^0)=i} \right) \\
& + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{A_{\ell}^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_{\ell}^j(\zeta_{j,\ell})=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} + \eta_{j,\ell'} > t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(t-\tau_{j,\ell}^N - \zeta_{j,\ell})=i} \right), \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
R_i^N(t) & = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{I_{\ell}^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell}^{0,k}(\eta_{k,\ell}^0)=i} \\
& + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{E_{\ell}^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_{\ell}^{0,k}(\zeta_{k,\ell}^0)=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 + \eta_{-k,\ell'} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\eta_{-k,\ell'})=i} \right) \\
& + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{A_{\ell}^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_{\ell}^j(\zeta_{j,\ell})=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} + \eta_{j,\ell'} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(\eta_{j,\ell'})=i} \right) \\
& - \sum_{j \neq i} P_{R,i,j} \left(\nu_{R,i,j} \int_0^t R_i^N(s) ds \right) + \sum_{j \neq i} P_{R,j,i} \left(\nu_{R,j,i} \int_0^t R_j^N(s) ds \right), \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

where $P_{S,i,j}, P_{R,i,j}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, L$, are all unit-rate Poisson processes, mutually independent, and also independent of $P_{A,i}$. It is clear that the dynamics of $E_{\ell}^N(t)$ is the same as that of $I_{\ell}^N(t)$ in the SIR model. We remark that in the expression for the $I_{\ell}^N(t)$ in the SEIR model, the first term counts the number of initially exposed individuals from all the patches that remain exposed and are in patch i at time t , and the second term counts the numbers of initially exposed individuals from all the patches that have become infectious in patch i at time t (for tracking purposes, the location at the epochs of becoming infection is recorded, since we use $\eta_{k,\ell}$ and $X_{\ell}^{0,k}$ for different patches ℓ). Also note that we use the Markov process $X_{\ell}^{0,k}$ to indicate that these are for the initially exposed individuals. The third term counts the number of newly exposed individuals at all patches that have become infectious and in patch i at time t , and we also track the patch in which each individual becomes infectious.

Note that some of the key components in the dynamics above can be represented via PRMs. The infection process A_{ℓ}^N has the same representation in (2.1) using the PRM $Q_{\ell,inf}$.

Define a PRM $\check{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\vartheta)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^3 \times \{1, \dots, L\}$, which is the sum of the Dirac masses at the points $(\tau_{j,\ell}^N, U_{j,\ell}^N, \zeta_{j,\ell}, Y_{\ell}^j(\zeta_{j,\ell}))$ with mean measure $ds \times du \times G(dv) \times \mu_{\ell}^Y(v, d\vartheta)$, where for each $v > 0$, $\mu_{\ell}^Y(v, \{\ell'\}) = q_{\ell,\ell'}(v)$, and an infection occurs at time $\tau_{j,\ell}^N$ if and only if $U_{j,\ell}^N \leq \lambda_{\ell} \Phi^N(\tau_{j,\ell}^N)$. We can then write for $\ell, \ell' = 1, \dots, L$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{A_{\ell}^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{Y_{\ell}^j(\zeta_{j,\ell})=\ell'} = \int_0^t \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{t-s} \int_{\{\ell'\}} \mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_{\ell} \Phi_{\ell}^N(s)} \check{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\vartheta). \tag{3.5}$$

We denote the corresponding compensated PRM $\bar{\check{Q}}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\vartheta) = \check{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\vartheta) - ds \times du \times G(dv) \times \mu_{\ell}^Y(v, d\vartheta)$ for $\ell, \ell' = 1, \dots, L$.

Define another PRM $\tilde{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dy, d\vartheta, dz, d\theta)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+^3 \times \{1, \dots, L\} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \{1, \dots, L\}$, which is the sum of the Dirac masses at the points $(\tau_{j,\ell}^N, U_{j,\ell}^N, \zeta_{j,\ell}, Y_{\ell}^j(\zeta_{j,\ell}), \eta_{j,\ell'}, X_{\ell'}^j(\eta_{j,\ell'}))$ with mean measure $ds \times du \times G(dy) \times \mu_{\ell}^Y(y, d\vartheta) \times F(dz) \times \mu_{\vartheta}^X(z, d\theta)$, where for each $y > 0$, $\mu_{\ell}^Y(y, \{\ell'\}) = q_{\ell,\ell'}(y)$, and for each $z > 0$, $\mu_{\ell}^X(z, \{\ell'\}) = p_{\ell,\ell'}(z)$, and again an infection occurs at time $\tau_{j,\ell}^N$ if and only if

$U_{j,\ell}^N \leq \lambda_\ell \Phi^N(\tau_{j,\ell}^N)$. We can then write for $\ell, i = 1, \dots, L$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_{\ell'}^j(\zeta_{j,\ell}) = \ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} + \eta_{j,\ell'} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(\eta_{j,\ell'}) = i} \right) \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_0^{t-s} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L \int_{\{\ell'\}} \int_0^{t-s-y} \int_{\{i\}} \mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_\ell \Phi_\ell^N(s)} \tilde{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dy, d\vartheta, dz, d\theta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

We denote the corresponding compensated PRM $\bar{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dy, d\vartheta, dz, d\theta) = \tilde{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dy, d\vartheta, dz, d\theta) - ds \times du \times G(dy) \times \mu_\ell^Y(y, d\vartheta) \times F(dz) \times \mu_{i\vartheta}^X(z, d\theta)$ for $\ell, i = 1, \dots, L$.

3.2. FLLN.

Assumption 3.1. *The c.d.f.'s G and F satisfy the conditions of F in Assumption 2.1. In addition, assume that G_0 and F_0 are continuous.*

Assumption 3.2. *There exist constants $0 < \bar{S}_i(0) \leq 1, 0 \leq \bar{E}_i(0) < 1, 0 \leq \bar{I}_i(0) < 1$ with $\sum_{i=1}^L [\bar{E}_i(0) + \bar{I}_i(0)] > 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^L (\bar{S}_i(0) + \bar{E}_i(0) + \bar{I}_i(0)) = 1$ and $(\bar{S}_i^N(0), \bar{E}_i^N(0), \bar{I}_i^N(0), i = 1, \dots, L) \Rightarrow (\bar{S}_i(0), \bar{E}_i(0), \bar{I}_i(0), i = 1, \dots, L)$ in \mathbb{R}^{3L} as $N \rightarrow \infty$. For simplicity, let $S_i^N(0) = [N\bar{S}_i(0)]$, $E_i^N(0) = [N\bar{E}_i(0)]$ and $I_i^N(0) = [N\bar{I}_i(0)]$ for $i = 1, \dots, L$.*

Theorem 3.1. *Under Assumptions 3.1 and 3.2,*

$(\bar{S}_i^N, \bar{E}_i^N, \bar{I}_i^N, \bar{R}_i^N, i = 1, \dots, L) \rightarrow (\bar{S}_i, \bar{E}_i, \bar{I}_i, \bar{R}_i, i = 1, \dots, L)$ in D^{4L} as $N \rightarrow \infty$, (3.7) in probability, locally uniformly on $[0, T]$, where $(\bar{S}_i(t), \bar{E}_i(t), \bar{I}_i(t), \bar{R}_i(t), i = 1, \dots, L) \in C^{4L}$ is the unique solution to the following set of deterministic integral equations:

$$\bar{S}_i(t) = \bar{S}_i(0) - \lambda_i \int_0^t \Phi_i(s) ds + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\nu_{S,\ell,i} \bar{S}_\ell(s) - \nu_{S,i,\ell} \bar{S}_i(s)) ds, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{E}_i(t) &= \bar{E}_i(0) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \bar{E}_\ell(0) \int_0^t q_{\ell,i}(u) dG_0(u) + \lambda_i \int_0^t \Phi_i(s) ds \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} q_{\ell,i}(u) dG(u) \Phi_\ell(s) ds + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\nu_{E,\ell,i} \bar{E}_\ell(s) - \nu_{E,i,\ell} \bar{E}_i(s)) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}_i(t) &= \bar{I}_i(0) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \bar{I}_\ell(0) \int_0^t p_{\ell,i}(s) dF_0(s) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \bar{E}_\ell(0) \int_0^t q_{\ell,i}(u) dG_0(u) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \bar{E}_\ell(0) H_{\ell,i}^0(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} q_{\ell,i}(u) dG(u) \Phi_\ell(s) ds - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(t-s) \Phi_\ell(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\nu_{I,\ell,i} \bar{I}_\ell(s) - \nu_{I,i,\ell} \bar{I}_i(s)) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_i(t) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^L \bar{I}_\ell(0) \int_0^t p_{\ell,i}(s) dF_0(s) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \bar{E}_\ell(0) H_{\ell,i}^0(t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(t-s) \Phi_\ell(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\nu_{R,\ell,i} \bar{R}_\ell(s) - \nu_{R,i,\ell} \bar{R}_i(s)) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

with

$$\Phi_i(t) := \frac{\bar{S}_i(t)\bar{I}_i(t)}{\bar{S}_i(t) + \bar{E}_i(t) + \bar{I}_i(t) + \bar{R}_i(t)}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$H_{\ell,i}^0(t) := \int_0^t \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(u) \int_0^{t-u} p_{\ell'i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG_0(u), \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$H_{\ell,i}(t) := \int_0^t \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(u) \int_0^{t-u} p_{\ell'i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(u). \quad (3.14)$$

Remark 3.1. Suppose the exposing and infectious periods are deterministic, taking values of $t_e > 0$ and $t_o > 0$. Also, assume that the remaining exposing and infectious periods of the initially exposed and infectious are uniformly distributed over $(0, t_e)$ and $(0, t_o)$, respectively. Then the fluid equations of $\bar{E}_i, \bar{I}_i, \bar{R}_i$ become

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{E}_i(t) &= \bar{E}_i(0) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \bar{E}_\ell(0) \frac{1}{t_e} \int_0^t q_{\ell,i}(u) du + \lambda_i \int_0^t \Phi_s(s) ds \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell q_{\ell,i}(t_e) \int_0^{t-t_e} \Phi_\ell(s) ds + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\nu_{E,\ell,i} \bar{E}_\ell(s) - \nu_{E,i,\ell} \bar{E}_i(s)) ds, \\ \bar{I}_i(t) &= \bar{I}_i(0) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \bar{I}_\ell(0) \frac{1}{t_o} \int_0^t p_{\ell,i}(s) ds + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \bar{E}_\ell(0) \frac{1}{t_e} \int_0^t q_{\ell,i}(u) du \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \bar{E}_\ell(0) \frac{1}{t_e} \int_0^{t-t_o} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(s) p_{\ell',i}(t_o) ds + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell q_{\ell,i}(t_e) \int_0^{t-t_e} \Phi_\ell(s) ds \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(t_e) p_{\ell',i}(t_o) \int_0^{t-t_e-t_o} \Phi_\ell(s) ds + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\nu_{I,\ell,i} \bar{I}_\ell(s) - \nu_{I,i,\ell} \bar{I}_i(s)) ds, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_i(t) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^L \bar{I}_\ell(0) \frac{1}{t_o} \int_0^t p_{\ell,i}(s) ds + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \bar{E}_\ell(0) \frac{1}{t_e} \int_0^{t-t_o} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(s) p_{\ell',i}(t_o) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(t_e) p_{\ell',i}(t_o) \int_0^{t-t_e-t_o} \Phi_\ell(s) ds + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\nu_{R,\ell,i} \bar{R}_\ell(s) - \nu_{R,i,\ell} \bar{R}_i(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that we obtain a set of ODEs with delay after taking derivative.

3.3. FCLT.

Assumption 3.3. There exist random variables $\hat{S}_i(0), \hat{E}_i(0)$ and $\hat{I}_i(0)$, $i = 1, \dots, L$, such that $(\hat{S}_i^N(0), \hat{E}_i^N(0), \hat{I}_i^N(0), i = 1, \dots, L) \Rightarrow (\hat{S}_i(0), \hat{E}_i(0), \hat{I}_i(0), i = 1, \dots, L)$ in \mathbb{R}^{3L} as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3.2. Under Assumptions 3.1 and 3.3,

$$(\hat{S}_i^N, \hat{E}_i^N, \hat{I}_i^N, \hat{R}_i^N, i = 1, \dots, L) \rightarrow (\hat{S}_i(t), \hat{E}_i(t), \hat{I}_i(t), \hat{R}_i(t), i = 1, \dots, L) \quad (3.15)$$

in D^{4L} as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where the limits are the unique solution to the following set of stochastic Volterra integral equations driven by Gaussian processes:

$$\hat{S}_i(t) = \hat{S}_i(0) - \lambda_i \int_0^t \hat{\Phi}_i(s) ds + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\mu_{S,\ell,i} \hat{S}_\ell(s) - \mu_{S,i,\ell} \hat{S}_i(s)) ds$$

$$- \hat{M}_{A,i}(t) + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L (\hat{M}_{S,\ell,i}(t) - \hat{M}_{S,i,\ell}(t)), \quad (3.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{E}_i(t) &= \hat{E}_i(0) + \lambda_i \int_0^t \hat{\Phi}_i(s) ds - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} q_{\ell,i}(u) dG(u) \hat{\Phi}_\ell(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\mu_{I,\ell,i} \hat{E}_\ell(s) - \mu_{I,i,\ell} \hat{E}_i(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \hat{M}_{A,i}(t) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \hat{E}_{\ell,i}^0(t) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \hat{E}_{\ell,i}(t) + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L (\hat{M}_{E,\ell,i}(t) - \hat{M}_{E,i,\ell}(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{I}_i(t) &= \hat{I}_i(0) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} q_{\ell,i}(u) dG(u) \hat{\Phi}_\ell(s) ds - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(t-s) \hat{\Phi}_\ell(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \int_0^t (\nu_{I,\ell,i} \hat{I}_\ell(s) - \nu_{I,i,\ell} \hat{I}_i(s)) ds + \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\hat{M}_{I,\ell,i}(t) - \hat{M}_{I,i,\ell}(t)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\hat{E}_{\ell,i}^0(t) + \hat{E}_{\ell,i}(t)) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,1}(t) + \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,2}(t) + \hat{I}_{\ell,i}(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_i(t) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} q_{\ell,i}(u) dG(u) \hat{\Phi}_\ell(s) ds + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(t-s) \hat{\Phi}_\ell(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \int_0^t (\nu_{R,\ell,i} \hat{R}_\ell(s) - \nu_{R,i,\ell} \hat{R}_i(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\hat{M}_{R,\ell,i}(t) - \hat{M}_{R,i,\ell}(t)) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,1}(t) + \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,2}(t) + \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}_i(t) &= \frac{1}{(\bar{S}_i(t) + \bar{E}_i(t) + \bar{I}_i(t) + \bar{R}_i(t))^2} \left(\bar{I}_i(t)(\bar{E}_i(t) + \bar{I}_i(t) + \bar{R}_i(t)) \hat{S}_i(t) - \bar{S}_i(t) \bar{I}_i(t) \hat{E}_i(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \bar{S}_i(t)(\bar{S}_i(t) + \bar{E}_i(t) + \bar{R}_i(t)) \hat{I}_i(t) - \bar{S}_i(t) \bar{I}_i(t) \hat{R}_i(t) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{M}_{A,i}(t) &= B_{A,i} \left(\int_0^t \lambda_i \Phi_i(s) ds \right), \quad \hat{M}_{S,i,j}(t) = B_{S,i,j} \left(\nu_{S,i,j} \int_0^t \bar{S}_i(s) ds \right), \\ \hat{M}_{E,i,j}(t) &= B_{E,i,j} \left(\nu_{I,i,j} \int_0^t \bar{E}_i(s) ds \right), \quad \hat{M}_{I,i,j}(t) = B_{I,i,j} \left(\nu_{I,i,j} \int_0^t \bar{I}_i(s) ds \right), \\ \hat{M}_{R,i,j}(t) &= B_{R,i,j} \left(\nu_{R,i,j} \int_0^t \bar{R}_i(s) ds \right), \quad i \neq j, \end{aligned}$$

with $B_{A,i}$, $B_{S,i,j}$, $B_{E,i,j}$, $B_{I,i,j}$, $B_{R,i,j}$ being mutually independent standard Brownian motions, and with the deterministic functions \bar{S}_i , \bar{E}_i , \bar{I}_i , \bar{R}_i being the limits in Theorem 3.1. The processes

$\hat{E}_{i,j}^0(t), \hat{E}_{i,j}(t), \hat{I}_{i,j}^{0,1}(t), \hat{I}_{i,j}^{0,2}(t), \hat{I}_{i,j}(t)$ are continuous Gaussian processes, independent of the Brownian motions above, with mean zero and covariance functions:

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{E}_{i,j}^0(t), \hat{E}_{i,j}^0(t')) = \bar{E}_i(0) \int_0^{t \wedge t'} q_{i,j}(s) dG_0(s) - \bar{E}_i(0) \int_0^t q_{i,j}(s) dG_0(s) \int_0^{t'} q_{i,j}(s) dG_0(s),$$

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{E}_{i,j}^0(t), \hat{E}_{i',j'}^0(t')) = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq i', \text{ and for } i = i', j \neq j',$$

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{I}_{i,j}^{0,1}(t), \hat{I}_{i,j}^{0,1}(t')) = \bar{I}_i(0) \int_0^{t \wedge t'} p_{i,j}(s) dF_0(s) - \bar{I}_i(0) \int_0^t p_{i,j}(s) dF_0(s) \int_0^{t'} p_{i,j}(s) dF_0(s),$$

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{I}_{i,j}^{0,1}(t), \hat{I}_{i',j'}^{0,1}(t')) = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq i', \text{ and for } i = i', j \neq j',$$

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{I}_{i,j}^{0,2}(t), \hat{I}_{i,j}^{0,2}(t')) = \bar{E}_i(0) H_{i,j}^0(t \wedge t') - \bar{E}_i(0) H_{i,j}^0(t) H_{i,j}^0(t'),$$

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{I}_{i,j}^{0,2}(t), \hat{I}_{i',j'}^{0,2}(t')) = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq i', \text{ and for } i = i', j \neq j',$$

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{E}_{i,j}(t), \hat{E}_{i,j}(t')) = \lambda_i \int_0^{t \wedge t'} \int_0^{t \wedge t' - s} q_{i,j}(u) dG(u) \Phi_i(s) ds,$$

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{E}_{i,j}(t), \hat{E}_{i',j'}(t')) = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq i', \text{ and for } i = i', j \neq j',$$

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{I}_{i,j}(t), \hat{I}_{i,j}(t')) = \lambda_i \int_0^{t \wedge t'} H_{i,j}(t \wedge t' - s) \Phi_i(s) ds,$$

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{I}_{i,j}(t), \hat{I}_{i',j'}(t')) = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq i', \text{ and for } i = i', j \neq j'.$$

The processes $(\hat{E}_{i,j}^0(t), \hat{I}_{i,j}^{0,2}(t))$, $(\hat{I}_{i,j}^{0,1}(t))$, and $(\hat{E}_{i,j}(t), \hat{I}_{i,j}(t))$ are independent from each other, and

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{E}_{i,j}^0(t), \hat{I}_{i,j}^{0,2}(t')) = \bar{E}_i(0) \int_0^t q_{i,j}(s) \int_0^{t'-s} p_{j,j'}(u) dF(u) dG_0(s) - \bar{E}_i(0) \int_0^t q_{i,j}(s) dG_0(s) H_{i,j}^0(t'),$$

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{E}_{i,j}^0(t), \hat{I}_{i',j'}^{0,2}(t')) = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq i',$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\hat{E}_{i,j}(t), \hat{I}_{i,j'}(t')) &= \lambda_i \int_0^{t \wedge t'} \int_0^{t-s} \left(q_{i,j}(u) \int_0^{t'-s-u} p_{j,j'}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(u) \bar{\Phi}_i(s) ds \\ &\quad - \lambda_i \int_0^{t \wedge t'} \int_0^{t-s} q_{i,j}(u) dG(u) H_{i,j}(t' - s) \Phi_i(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Cov}(\hat{E}_{i,j}(t), \hat{I}_{i',j'}(t')) = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq i'.$$

Remark 3.2. Suppose the c.d.f.'s F_0, G_0, F, G have the same conditions in Remark 3.1. Then the limits in Theorem 3.2 become stochastic differential equations with linear drifts and delay. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} q_{\ell,i}(u) dG(u) \hat{\Phi}_\ell(s) ds &= \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell q_{\ell,i}(t_e) \int_0^{t-t_e} \hat{\Phi}_\ell(s) ds, \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(t-s) \hat{\Phi}_\ell(s) ds &= \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(t_e) p_{\ell',i}(t_o) \int_0^{t-t_e-t_o} \hat{\Phi}_\ell(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.3. The analysis for the multi-patch SEIR model can be easily extended to multi-patch SIRS model, where in each patch, the population is grouped into susceptible, infectious, and recovered individuals and individuals become susceptible after experiencing a recovery period. In this model, the infectious and recovered processes I^N, R^N correspond to the exposed and infectious processes

E^N, I^N in the SEIR model. In the description of the epidemic dynamics, we need to change the dynamics of S_i^N in (3.1) by adding the individuals that have become susceptible after recovery, i.e., the first three terms in R_i^N in (3.4). This is similar to the susceptible process S_i^N in (2.18) for the SIS model. Then it is straightforward to write down the limit processes in the FLLN and FCLT for the processes (S^N, I^N, R^N) (corresponding to (S^N, E^N, I^N) in the SEIR model).

4. PROOF OF THE FLLN FOR THE MULTI-PATCH SIR MODEL

In this section we prove Theorem 2.1. We first provide a representation of the processes $A_i^N(t)$ using PRM $Q_{i,inf}(ds, du)$. Let $\bar{Q}_{i,inf}(ds, du) = Q_{i,inf}(ds, du) - dsdu$ be the compensated PRM. Then for each $i = 1, \dots, L$,

$$A_i^N(t) = \lambda_i \int_0^t \Phi_i^N(s) ds + M_{A,i}^N(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$M_{A,i}^N(t) := \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_1 \Phi_1^N(s)} \bar{Q}_{1,inf}(ds, du), \quad (4.2)$$

The process $\{M_{A,i}^N(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a square-integrable martingale with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_{A,i}^N(t) : t \geq 0\}$, defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_{A,i}^N(t) := \sigma\{S_i^N(0), I_i^N(0), i = 1, \dots, L\} \vee \sigma\{A_i^N(s) : 0 \leq s \leq t\}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

It has the predictable quadratic variation:

$$\langle M_{A,i}^N \rangle(t) = \lambda_i \int_0^t \Phi_i^N(s) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Lemma 4.1. *The sequence $\{(\bar{A}_1^N, \dots, \bar{A}_L^N) : N \geq 1\}$ is tight in D^L . The limit of each convergence subsequence of $\{(\bar{A}_1^N, \dots, \bar{A}_L^N)\}$, denoted as $(\bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_L)$, satisfies*

$$\bar{A}_i = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \bar{A}_i^N = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-1} \lambda_i \int_0^\cdot \Phi_i^N(s) ds,$$

and

$$0 \leq \bar{A}_i(t) - \bar{A}_i(s) \leq \lambda_i(t - s), \quad \text{for } 0 < s \leq t, \quad \text{w.p.1.}$$

Proof. First, since

$$0 \leq \frac{\lambda_i}{N} \int_s^t \Phi_i^N(u) du \leq \lambda_i(t - s), \quad \text{w.p.1. } t \geq s \geq 0, \quad (4.3)$$

the martingales $\{N^{-1/2} M_{A,i}^N(t) : t \geq 0\}$ are stochastically bounded in D by Lemma 5.8 in [25], and thus,

$$\bar{M}_{A,i}^N \Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } D \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.4)$$

Tightness of $\{(\bar{A}_1^N, \dots, \bar{A}_L^N)\}$ in D^L then follows from the representation in (4.1) and the two properties in (4.3) and (4.4). \square

In the following we consider a convergent subsequence of $\{(\bar{A}_1^N, \dots, \bar{A}_L^N)\}$.

Lemma 4.2. *With the limit $(\bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_L)$ of the convergent subsequence of $\{(\bar{A}_1^N, \dots, \bar{A}_L^N)\}$, under Assumption 2.2,*

$$(\bar{S}_1^N, \dots, \bar{S}_L^N) \Rightarrow (\bar{S}_1, \dots, \bar{S}_L) \quad \text{in } D^L \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

where the limit $(\bar{S}_1, \dots, \bar{S}_L)$ is the unique solution to the deterministic integral equations: for each $i = 1, \dots, L$,

$$\bar{S}_i(t) = \bar{S}_i(0) - \bar{A}_i(t) + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \int_0^t (\nu_{S,\ell,i} \bar{S}_\ell(s) - \nu_{S,i,\ell} \bar{S}_i(s)) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Proof. We can rewrite the processes \bar{S}_i^N as

$$\bar{S}_i^N(t) = \bar{S}_i^N(0) - \bar{A}_i^N(t) + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \int_0^t (\nu_{S,\ell,i} \bar{S}_\ell^N(s) - \nu_{S,i,\ell} \bar{S}_i^N(s)) ds + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L (\bar{M}_{S,\ell,i}^N(t) - \bar{M}_{S,i,\ell}^N(t)),$$

where

$$\bar{M}_{S,\ell,i}^N(t) := \frac{1}{N} \left(P_{S,\ell,i} \left(\nu_{S,\ell,i} \int_0^t S_\ell^N(s) ds \right) - \nu_{S,\ell,i} \int_0^t S_\ell^N(s) ds \right).$$

The processes $\bar{M}_{S,\ell,i}^N$ are square integrable martingales with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_S^N(t) : t \geq 0$, defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_S^N(t) := \bigvee_{i=1}^L \mathcal{F}_{A,i}^N(t) \vee \sigma \left\{ P_{S,\ell,i} \left(\nu_{S,\ell,i} \int_0^s S_\ell^N(u) du \right) : 0 \leq s \leq t, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L, \ell \neq i \right\}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

They have the predictable quadratic variation:

$$\langle \bar{M}_{S,\ell,i}^N \rangle(t) = \frac{1}{N^2} \nu_{S,\ell,i} \int_0^t S_i^N(s) ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, the processes

$$(\bar{M}_{S,\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L, \ell \neq i) \Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } D^{L(L-1)} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Under Assumption 2.2, by Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 8.1 (with $y_j \equiv 0$ in the map Υ), applying the continuous mapping theorem, we conclude the convergence of $\{(\bar{S}_1^N, \dots, \bar{S}_L^N)\}$. \square

We next study the processes $I_i^N(t)$, for which we give the following representation.

Lemma 4.3.

$$\begin{aligned} I_i^N(t) &= I_i^N(0) + A_i^N(t) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{I_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^{0,k}(\eta_{k,\ell}^0)=i} - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \eta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^j(\eta_{j,\ell})=i} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell \neq i} P_{I,i,\ell} \left(\nu_{I,i,\ell} \int_0^t I_i^N(s) ds \right) + \sum_{\ell \neq i} P_{I,\ell,i} \left(\nu_{I,\ell,i} \int_0^t I_\ell^N(s) ds \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where $P_{I,i,j}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, L$, are all unit-rate Poisson processes, mutually independent, and also independent of $P_{A,i}$, $P_{S,i,j}$ and $P_{R,i,j}$.

Proof. In the representation of $I_i^N(t)$, we observe that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{I_i^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{t < \eta_{k,i}^0} \mathbf{1}_{X_i^{0,k}(t)=i} = I_i^N(0) - \sum_{k=1}^{I_i^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,i}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_i^{0,k}(\eta_{k,i}^0)=i} - \sum_{\ell \neq i} Y_{i,\ell}^{N,0}(t),$$

and for $\ell \neq i$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{I_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{t < \eta_{k,\ell}^0} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^{0,k}(t)=i} = Y_{\ell,i}^{N,0}(t) - \sum_{k=1}^{I_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^{0,k}(\eta_{k,\ell}^0)=i},$$

where $Y_{i,\ell}^{N,0}(t)$ is the number of initially infected individuals from patch i that are in patch ℓ at the time $t \wedge \eta_{k,\ell}^0$ for $k = 1, \dots, I_\ell^N(0)$. We also observe that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{A_i^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,i}^N + \eta_{j,i} > t} \mathbf{1}_{X_i^j(t - \tau_{j,i}^N) = i} = A_i^N(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{A_i^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,i}^N + \eta_{j,i} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_i^j(\eta_{j,i}) = i} - \sum_{\ell \neq i} Y_{i,\ell}^N(t),$$

and for $\ell \neq i$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \eta_{j,\ell} > t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^j(t - \tau_{j,\ell}^N) = i} = Y_{\ell,i}^N(t) - \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \eta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^j(\eta_{j,\ell}) = i},$$

where $Y_{i,\ell}^N(t)$ denotes the number of individuals who were infected at time $\tau_{j,\ell}^N \in (0, t)$ in patch i , and are in patch ℓ at time $t \wedge (\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \eta_{j,\ell})$ for $j = 1, \dots, A_\ell^N(t)$.

It is clear that

$$\sum_{\ell} \left(Y_{\ell,i}^{0,N}(t) + Y_{\ell,i}^N(t) - Y_{i,\ell}^{0,N}(t) - Y_{i,\ell}^N(t) \right) = \sum_{\ell} \left(P_{I,\ell,i} \left(\nu_{I,\ell,i} \int_0^t I_\ell^N(s) ds \right) - P_{I,i,\ell} \left(\nu_{I,i,\ell} \int_0^t I_i^N(s) ds \right) \right).$$

Thus, using the above identities, we obtain the expression in (4.5). \square

For convenience, we let

$$I_{\ell,i}^{N,0}(t) := \sum_{k=1}^{I_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^{0,k}(\eta_{k,\ell}^0) = i}, \quad I_{\ell,i}^N(t) := \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \eta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^j(\eta_{j,\ell}) = i},$$

for $\ell, i = 1, \dots, L$ and $t \geq 0$. We next treat these processes in the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.4. *Under Assumption 2.2,*

$$\left(\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L \right) \Rightarrow \left(\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^0, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L \right) \quad \text{in } D^{L^2} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^0(t) := \bar{I}_\ell(0) \int_0^t p_{\ell,i}(s) dF_0(s), \quad \ell, i = 1, \dots, L. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. We first focus on $\bar{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(t)$. Note that, since $\eta_{k,1}^0$ and $X_1^{0,k}$ are independent,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,1}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^{0,k}(\eta_{k,1}^0) = 1} \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{X_1^{0,k}(s) = 1} dF_0(s) \right] \\ &= \int_0^t p_{1,1}(s) dF_0(s), \end{aligned}$$

where the expectation is taken under the condition that $X_1^{0,k}(0) = 1$. Note that the pairs $(\eta_{k,1}^0, X_1^{0,k}(\cdot))$ are independent over k , and have the same distributions. Thus, by the LLN of i.i.d. variables, we obtain that for each $t \geq 0$,

$$\bar{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(t) \Rightarrow \bar{I}_{1,1}^0(t) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that the convergence holds in fact in probability, since the limit is deterministic. This can be extended to convergence of finite dimensional distributions. In order to establish tightness in D , we will show that

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{0 \leq u \leq \delta} |\bar{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(t+u) - \bar{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(t)| > \varepsilon \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.7)$$

The fact that this implies tightness in D follows from Corollary page 83 of [5]. By the independence of the pairs $\{(\eta_{k,1}^0, X_1^{0,k}(\eta_{k,1}^0)), k \geq 1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+\delta} |\bar{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(s) - \bar{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(t)| > \epsilon \right) \\
&= \mathbb{P} \left(N^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N\bar{I}_1(0)} \mathbf{1}_{t < \eta_{k,1}^0 \leq t+\delta} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^{0,k}(\eta_{k,1}^0)=1} > \epsilon \right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P} \left(N^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N\bar{I}_1(0)} \left[\mathbf{1}_{t < \eta_{k,1}^0 \leq t+\delta} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^{0,k}(\eta_{k,1}^0)=1} - \int_t^{t+\delta} p_{1,1}(u) dF_0(u) \right] > \epsilon/2 \right) + \mathbf{1}_{\int_t^{t+\delta} p_{1,1}(u) dF_0(u) > \epsilon/2\bar{I}_1(0)} \\
&\leq \frac{4}{\epsilon^2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(N^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{N\bar{I}_1(0)} \left[\mathbf{1}_{t < \eta_{k,1}^0 \leq t+\delta} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^{0,k}(\eta_{k,1}^0)=1} - \int_t^{t+\delta} p_{1,1}(u) dF_0(u) \right] \right)^2 \right] + \mathbf{1}_{\int_t^{t+\delta} p_{1,1}(u) dF_0(u) > \epsilon/2\bar{I}_1(0)} \\
&= \frac{4\bar{I}_1(0)}{\epsilon^2 N} \int_t^{t+\delta} p_{1,1}(s) dF_0(s) \left[1 - \int_t^{t+\delta} p_{1,1}(s) dF_0(s) \right] + \mathbf{1}_{\int_t^{t+\delta} p_{1,1}(u) dF_0(u) > \epsilon/2\bar{I}_1(0)} \\
&\rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\int_t^{t+\delta} p_{1,1}(u) dF_0(u) > \epsilon/2\bar{I}_1(0)}, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally the last term vanishes for $\delta > 0$ small enough, uniformly w.r.t. $t \in [0, T]$. (4.7) follows.

The convergence of the other processes $\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}$ follows similarly. It remains to prove the joint convergence. Since the initial variables of the patches and their migration processes are independent, it suffices to prove the joint convergence of $(\bar{I}_{\ell,1}^{N,0}, \dots, \bar{I}_{\ell,L}^{N,0})$ for different ℓ 's separately. On the other hand, the joint convergence of $(\bar{I}_{\ell,1}^{N,0}, \dots, \bar{I}_{\ell,L}^{N,0})$ is straightforward since they count an exclusive partition of individuals and the associated pairs $(\eta_{k,\ell}^0, X_\ell^{0,k}(\cdot))$ are independent. This completes the proof. \square

For $\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N$, we first consider their conditional expectations

$$\check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) := \mathbb{E}[\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) | \mathcal{F}_{A,\ell}^N(t)], \quad \ell, i = 1, \dots, L.$$

Lemma 4.5. *With the limit $(\bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_L)$ of the convergent subsequence of $\{(\bar{A}_1^N, \dots, \bar{A}_L^N)\}$, under Assumptions 2.2,*

$$(\check{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \Rightarrow (\bar{I}_{\ell,i}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \quad \text{in } D^{2L} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$\bar{I}_{\ell,i}(t) := \int_0^t \left(\int_0^{t-s} p_{\ell,i}(u) dF(u) \right) d\bar{A}_\ell(s), \quad \ell, i = 1, \dots, L. \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. We first focus on $\check{I}_{1,1}^N$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{I}_{1,1}^N(t) &= \mathbb{E}[\bar{I}_{1,1}^N(t) | \mathcal{F}_{A,1}^N(t)] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{A_1^N(t)} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,1}^N + \eta_{j,1} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^j(\eta_{j,1})=1} | \tau_{j,1}^N \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{A_1^N(t)} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{t-\tau_{j,1}^N} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^j(u)=1} dF(u) | \tau_{j,1}^N \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{A_1^N(t)} \int_0^{t-\tau_{j,1}^N} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_0^t \left(\int_0^{t-s} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u) \right) d\bar{A}_1^N(s). \quad (4.9)$$

Let

$$G_{i,j}(t) := \int_0^t p_{i,j}(u) dF(u), \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, L. \quad (4.10)$$

Then we can write

$$\check{I}_{1,1}^N(t) = \int_0^t G_{1,1}(t-s) d\bar{A}_1^N(s) = \bar{A}_1^N(t) - \int_0^t \bar{A}_1^N(s) dG_{1,1}(t-s),$$

where $dG_{1,1}(t-u)$ is the differential of the map $u \rightarrow G_{1,1}(t-u)$. Thus, by the continuous mapping theorem, we obtain $\check{I}_{1,1}^N \Rightarrow \bar{I}_{1,1}$ in D as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$\bar{I}_{1,1}(t) = \bar{A}_1(t) - \int_0^t \bar{A}_1(s) dG_{1,1}(t-s) = \int_0^t G_{1,1}(t-s) d\bar{A}_1(s), \quad t \geq 0.$$

For the joint convergence, it can be shown that the mapping from $(x_1, \dots, x_K) \in D^L$ to

$$\left(x_i(t) - \int_0^t x_i(s) dG_{i,j}(t-s) : t \geq 0, i, j = 1, \dots, L \right) \quad (4.11)$$

in D^{L^2} , is continuous in the Skorohod J_1 topology. Then we can apply the continuous mapping theorem to prove the joint convergence of the processes. This completes the proof. \square

We then show that the processes $\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N$ and $\check{I}_{\ell,i}^N$ are asymptotically negligible for each $\ell, i = 1, \dots, L$.

Lemma 4.6. *Under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2, for any $\epsilon > 0$, and for $\ell, i = 1, \dots, L$,*

$$P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) - \check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t)| > \epsilon \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. We focus on the case $\ell, i = 1$. We have

$$\bar{I}_{1,1}^N(t) - \check{I}_{1,1}^N(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{A_1^N(t)} \chi_{j,1}^N(t),$$

where

$$\chi_{j,1}^N(t) := \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,1}^N + \eta_{j,1} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^j(\eta_{j,1})=1} - \int_0^{t-\tau_{j,1}^N} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u).$$

Recall $G_{1,1}(t)$ in (4.10). Then it is clear that for each j , $\mathbb{E}[\chi_{j,1}^N(t) | \tau_{j,1}^N] = 0$, and

$$\mathbb{E}[\chi_{j,1}^N(t)^2 | \tau_{j,1}^N] = G(t - \tau_{j,1}^N)(1 - G(t - \tau_{j,1}^N)).$$

And by the independence of the pairs $(\eta_{j,1}, X_1^j(\cdot))$ and $(\eta_{j',1}, X_1^{j'}(\cdot))$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\chi_{j,1}^N(t) \chi_{j',1}^N(t) | \mathcal{F}_{A,1}^N(t)] = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(\bar{I}_{1,1}^N(t) - \check{I}_{1,1}^N(t))^2 | \mathcal{F}_{A,1}^N(t)] &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{j=1}^{A_1^N(t)} \mathbb{E}[\chi_{j,1}^N(t)^2 | \tau_{j,1}^N] \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t G(t-u)(1-G(t-u)) d\bar{A}_1^N(u) \leq \frac{\bar{A}_1^N(t)}{N}, \\ \mathbb{E}[(\bar{I}_{1,1}^N(t) - \check{I}_{1,1}^N(t))^2] &\leq \frac{\lambda_1 t}{N}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\bar{I}_{1,1}^N(t) - \check{I}_{1,1}^N(t)\right| > \epsilon\right) \leq \frac{\lambda_1 t}{N\epsilon^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next, for $t, u > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left|(\bar{I}_{1,1}^N(t+u) - \check{I}_{1,1}^N(t+u)) - (\bar{I}_{1,1}^N(t) - \check{I}_{1,1}^N(t))\right| \\ &= \left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N\bar{A}_1^N(t+u)} \chi_{j,1}^N(t+u) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N\bar{A}_1^N(t)} \chi_{j,1}^N(t)\right| \\ &= \left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N\bar{A}_1^N(t)} (\chi_{j,1}^N(t+u) - \chi_{j,1}^N(t)) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=N\bar{A}_1^N(t)+1}^{N\bar{A}_1^N(t+u)} \chi_{j,1}^N(t+u)\right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N\bar{A}_1^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{t < \tau_{j,1}^N + \eta_{j,1} \leq t+u} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^j(\eta_{j,1})=1} + \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+u-s} p_{1,1}(v) dF(v) d\bar{A}_1^N(s) \\ &\quad + |\bar{A}_1^N(t+u) - \bar{A}_1^N(t)|. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Observe that the three terms on the right hand side are nondecreasing in u . Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{u \in [0, \delta]} |(\bar{I}_{1,1}^N(t+u) - \check{I}_{1,1}^N(t+u)) - (\bar{I}_{1,1}^N(t) - \check{I}_{1,1}^N(t))| > \epsilon\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N\bar{A}_1^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{t < \tau_{j,1}^N + \eta_{j,1} \leq t+\delta} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^j(\eta_{j,1})=1} > \epsilon/3\right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(v) dF(v) d\bar{A}_1^N(s) > \epsilon/3\right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\left(|\bar{A}_1^N(t+\delta) - \bar{A}_1^N(t)| > \epsilon/3\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Using the PRM $\tilde{Q}_{1,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\theta)$ and its compensated PRM $\bar{Q}_{1,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\theta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N\bar{A}_1^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{t < \tau_{j,1}^N + \eta_{j,1} \leq t+\delta} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^j(\eta_{j,1})=1}\right)^2\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} \int_{\{1\}} \mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_1 \Phi_1^N(s)} \tilde{Q}_{1,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\theta)\right)^2\right] \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} \int_{\{1\}} \mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_1 \Phi_1^N(s)} \bar{Q}_{1,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\theta)\right)^2\right] \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(v) dF(v) \lambda_1 \bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) ds\right)^2\right] \\ &= \frac{2}{N} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(v) dF(v) \lambda_1 \bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) ds\right] + 2\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(v) dF(v) \lambda_1 \bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) ds\right)^2\right] \\ &\leq \frac{2}{N} \lambda_1 \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(v) dF(v) ds + 2\left(\lambda_1 \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(v) dF(v) ds\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

The first term converges to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and the second term satisfies

$$\frac{1}{\delta} \left(\lambda_1 \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(v) dF(v) ds \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \left(\lambda_1 \int_0^t (F(t+\delta-s) - F(t-s)) ds \right)^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0,$$

which follows from Assumption 2.1, as shown in Lemma 4.3 in [24].

The second term on the right hand side of (4.13) can be treated similarly as the second term right above. Now for the third term, using (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[|\bar{A}_1^N(t+\delta) - \bar{A}_1^N(t)|^2 \right] \\ & \leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[|\bar{M}_{A,1}^N(t+\delta) - \bar{M}_{A,1}^N(t)|^2 \right] + 2\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \lambda_1 N^{-1} \int_t^{t+\delta} \Phi_1^N(s) ds \right|^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

By (4.4), the first term converges to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$. The second term is bounded by $2\lambda_1^2 \delta^2$ by (4.3).

Thus, combining the above, we obtain

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{T}{\delta} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{u \in [0, \delta]} |(\bar{I}_{1,1}^N(t+u) - \check{I}_{1,1}^N(t+u)) - (\bar{I}_{1,1}^N(t) - \check{I}_{1,1}^N(t))| > \epsilon \right) \right] = 0.$$

The result now follows from the next Lemma. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\{\xi^N\}_{N \geq 1}$ be a sequence of random elements in D . If the two conditions*

- (1) *for all $\epsilon > 0$, $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{P}(|\xi^N(t)| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and*
- (2) *for all $\epsilon > 0$, $\limsup_N \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{P}(\sup_{0 \leq u \leq \delta} |\xi^N(t+u) - \xi^N(t)| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$, as $\delta \rightarrow 0$*

are satisfied, then $\xi^N(t) \rightarrow 0$ in probability uniformly in t .

Proof. The Lemma is a direct consequence of the inequality (4.21) in [24], which we repeat here for the reader's convenience:

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\xi^N(t)| > \epsilon \right) \leq \frac{T}{\delta} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{P}(|\xi^N(t)| > \epsilon/2) + \frac{T}{\delta} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{0 \leq u \leq \delta} |\xi^N(t+u) - \xi^N(t)| > \epsilon/2 \right).$$

\square

As a consequence of Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6, we obtain the following.

Lemma 4.8. *Under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2,*

$$(\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \rightarrow (\bar{I}_{\ell,i}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \quad \text{in } D^{L^2} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

We are now ready to prove the convergence of $(\bar{I}_1^N, \dots, \bar{I}_L^N)$.

Lemma 4.9. *With the limit $(\bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_L)$ of the convergent subsequence of $\{(\bar{A}_1^N, \dots, \bar{A}_L^N)\}$, under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2,*

$$(\bar{I}_1^N, \dots, \bar{I}_L^N) \Rightarrow (\bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_L) \quad \text{in } D^L \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

where the limit $(\bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_L)$ is the unique solution to the deterministic equations: for $i = 1, \dots, L$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}_i(t) &= \bar{I}_i(0) + \bar{A}_i(t) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^0(t) + \bar{I}_{\ell,i}(t)) + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \int_0^t (\nu_{I,\ell,i} \bar{I}_\ell(s) - \nu_{I,i,\ell} \bar{I}_i(s)) ds \\ &= \bar{I}_i(0) + \bar{A}_i(t) - \int_0^t \sum_{\ell=1}^L \bar{I}_\ell(0) p_{\ell,i}(s) dF_0(s) - \int_0^t \sum_{\ell=1}^L \left(\int_0^{t-s} p_{\ell,i}(u) dF(u) \right) d\bar{A}_\ell(s) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{\ell \neq i} \int_0^t (\nu_{I,\ell,i} \bar{I}_\ell(s) - \nu_{I,i,\ell} \bar{I}_i(s)) ds$$

with $\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^0$ and $\bar{I}_{\ell,i}$ being defined in (4.6) and (4.8), respectively.

Proof. By the representations of $I_i^N(t)$ in (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}_i^N(t) &= \bar{I}_i^N(0) + \bar{A}_i^N(t) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \left(\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}(t) + \check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) \right) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \Delta_{I,\ell,i}^N(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \left(\bar{M}_{I,\ell,i}^N(t) - \bar{M}_{I,i,\ell}^N(t) \right) + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \int_0^t (\nu_{I,\ell,i} \bar{I}_\ell(s) - \nu_{I,i,\ell} \bar{I}_i(s)) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$\Delta_{I,\ell,i}^N(t) = \check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) - \bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t), \quad (4.15)$$

and for $\ell \neq i$,

$$\bar{M}_{I,\ell,i}^N(t) = \frac{1}{N} \left(P_{I,\ell,i} \left(\nu_{I,\ell,i} \int_0^t I_\ell^N(s) ds \right) - \nu_{I,\ell,i} \int_0^t I_\ell^N(s) ds \right). \quad (4.16)$$

Recall the representation of $\check{I}_{1,1}^N(t)$ in (4.9), which is an integral with respect to \bar{A}_1^N , and similarly for the other processes $\check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t)$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.2 for the convergence of $(\bar{M}_{S,\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L, \ell \neq i)$, we obtain

$$(\bar{M}_{I,\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L, \ell \neq i) \Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } D^{L^2} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.17)$$

Thus, by Lemmas 4.1, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 and 8.1, we apply the continuous mapping theorem to the mapping Υ to conclude the convergence of $(\bar{I}_1^N, \dots, \bar{I}_L^N)$. \square

Finally, we prove the convergence of $(\bar{R}_1^N, \dots, \bar{R}_L^N)$.

Lemma 4.10. *With the limit $(\bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_L)$ of the convergent subsequence of $\{(\bar{A}_1^N, \dots, \bar{A}_L^N)\}$, under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2,*

$$(\bar{R}_1^N, \dots, \bar{R}_L^N) \Rightarrow (\bar{R}_1, \dots, \bar{R}_L) \quad \text{in } D^L \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

where the limit $(\bar{R}_1, \dots, \bar{R}_L)$ is the unique solution to the deterministic equations:

$$\bar{R}_i(t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^0(t) + \bar{I}_{\ell,i}(t)) + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \int_0^t (\nu_{R,\ell,i} \bar{R}_\ell(s) - \nu_{R,i,\ell} \bar{R}_i(s)) ds$$

with $\bar{I}_{i,j}^0$ and $\bar{I}_{i,j}$ being defined in (4.6) and (4.8), respectively.

Proof. We can represent the processes $\bar{R}_i^N(t)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_i^N(t) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^L \left(\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}(t) + \check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) \right) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \Delta_{R,\ell,i}^N(t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \left(\bar{M}_{R,\ell,i}^N(t) - \bar{M}_{R,i,\ell}^N(t) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \int_0^t (\nu_{R,\ell,i} \bar{R}_\ell(s) - \nu_{R,i,\ell} \bar{R}_i(s)) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where $\Delta_{R,\ell,i}^N(t)$ is given (4.15), and for $\ell \neq i$,

$$\bar{M}_{R,\ell,i}^N(t) = \frac{1}{N} \left(P_{R,\ell,i} \left(\nu_{R,\ell,i} \int_0^t R_\ell^N(s) ds \right) - \nu_{R,\ell,i} \int_0^t R_\ell^N(s) ds \right). \quad (4.19)$$

Again, as in the proof of Lemma 4.2 for the convergence of $\bar{M}_{\bar{S},\ell,i}^N$, we obtain

$$(\bar{M}_{\bar{R},\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L, \ell \neq i) \Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } D^{L(L-1)} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.20)$$

Thus, again, by Lemmas 4.1, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 and 8.1, we apply the continuous mapping theorem to the mapping $\tilde{\Upsilon}$ to conclude the convergence of $(\bar{R}_1^N, \dots, \bar{R}_L^N)$. \square

From the argument above, since we have the joint convergence in Lemmas 4.4 and 4.6, we can conclude the joint convergence of $(\bar{S}_i^N, \bar{I}_i^N, \bar{R}_i^N, i = 1, \dots, L)$. In addition, the mapping $(x, y, z) \in D^3 \rightarrow \frac{xy}{x+y+z} \in D$ is Lipschitz and continuous in the Skorohod topology. Thus, we obtain the convergence

$$\bar{\Phi}_i^N(t) \rightarrow \Phi_i(t) := \frac{\bar{S}_i(t)\bar{I}_i(t)}{\bar{S}_i(t) + \bar{I}_i(t) + \bar{R}_i(t)},$$

and thus,

$$(\bar{A}_1^N, \dots, \bar{A}_L^N) \Rightarrow (\bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_L) = \left(\lambda_1 \int_0^\cdot \Phi_1(s) ds, \dots, \lambda_L \int_0^\cdot \Phi_L(s) ds \right) \quad \text{in } D^L \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, all the limits satisfy the integral equations given in Theorem 2.1. Finally, the uniqueness of solutions to the set of integral equations in Theorem 2.1 follows from the Lipschitz continuity of the mapping $(x, y, z) \in D^3 \rightarrow \frac{xy}{x+y+z} \in D$ and applying Gronwall's inequality. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

5. PROOF OF THE FCLT FOR THE MULTI-PATCH SIR MODEL

In this section we prove Theorem 2.2. We first provide the following representations of the diffusion-scaled processes. The process $\hat{A}_i^N(t)$ can be decomposed as:

$$\hat{A}_i^N(t) = \lambda_i \int_0^t \hat{\Phi}_i^N(s) ds + \hat{M}_{A,i}^N(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}_i^N(t) &= \sqrt{N}(\bar{\Phi}_i^N(t) - \Phi_i(t)) = \sqrt{N} \left(\frac{\bar{S}_i^N(t)\bar{I}_i^N(t)}{\bar{S}_i^N(t) + \bar{I}_i^N(t) + \bar{R}_i^N(t)} - \frac{\bar{S}_i(t)\bar{I}_i(t)}{\bar{S}_i(t) + \bar{I}_i(t) + \bar{R}_i(t)} \right) \\ &= \frac{\bar{I}_i^N(t)(\bar{I}_i(t) + \bar{R}_i(t))\hat{S}_i^N(t) + \bar{S}_i(t)(\bar{S}_i^N(t) + \bar{R}_i(t))\hat{I}_i^N(t) - \bar{S}_i(t)\bar{I}_i(t)\hat{R}_i^N(t)}{(\bar{S}_i^N(t) + \bar{I}_i^N(t) + \bar{R}_i^N(t))(\bar{S}_i(t) + \bar{I}_i(t) + \bar{R}_i(t))}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

and

$$\hat{M}_{A,i}^N(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_i \Phi_i^N(s)} \bar{Q}_{i,inf}(ds, du). \quad (5.3)$$

For the processes $\hat{S}_i^N(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}_i^N(t) &= \hat{S}_i^N(0) - \hat{A}_i^N(t) + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\mu_{S,\ell,i} \hat{S}_\ell(s) - \mu_{S,i,\ell} \hat{S}_i(s)) ds \\ &\quad - \hat{M}_{A,i}(t) + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L (\hat{M}_{S,\ell,i}(t) - \hat{M}_{S,i,\ell}(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$\hat{M}_{\bar{S},\ell,i}^N(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(P_{S,\ell,i} \left(\nu_{S,\ell,i} \int_0^t S_\ell^N(s) ds \right) - \nu_{S,\ell,i} \int_0^t S_\ell^N(s) ds \right).$$

For the processes $\hat{I}_i^N(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{I}_i^N(t) &= \hat{I}_i^N(0) + \hat{A}_i^N(t) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} p_{\ell,i}(u) dF(u) \hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\mu_{I,\ell,i} \hat{I}_\ell^N(s) - \mu_{I,i,\ell} \hat{I}_i^N(s)) ds \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}(t) + \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t)) + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L (\hat{M}_{I,\ell,i}^N(t) - \hat{M}_{I,i,\ell}^N(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where for $\ell, i = 1, \dots, L$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{I_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^{0,k}(\eta_{k,\ell}^0) = i} - N \bar{I}_\ell(0) \int_0^t p_{\ell,i}(s) dF_0(s) \right), \\ \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \eta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^j(\eta_{j,\ell}) = i} - N \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} p_{\ell,i}(u) dF(u) \bar{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) ds \right), \end{aligned}$$

and for $\ell \neq i$,

$$\hat{M}_{I,\ell,i}^N(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(P_{I,\ell,i} \left(\nu_{I,\ell,i} \int_0^t I_\ell^N(s) ds \right) - \nu_{I,\ell,i} \int_0^t I_\ell^N(s) ds \right). \quad (5.6)$$

For the processes $\hat{R}_i^N(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_i^N(t) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} p_{\ell,i}(u) dF(u) \hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) ds + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\mu_{R,\ell,i} \hat{R}_\ell^N(s) - \mu_{R,i,\ell} \hat{R}_i^N(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}(t) + \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t)) + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L (\hat{M}_{R,\ell,i}^N(t) - \hat{M}_{R,i,\ell}^N(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

where for $\ell, i = 1, \dots, L$, and $\ell \neq i$,

$$\hat{M}_{R,\ell,i}^N(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(P_{R,\ell,i} \left(\nu_{R,\ell,i} \int_0^t R_\ell^N(s) ds \right) - \nu_{R,\ell,i} \int_0^t R_\ell^N(s) ds \right). \quad (5.8)$$

We establish the convergence of some key components in these representations.

Lemma 5.1. *Under Assumption 2.3,*

$$\begin{aligned} &(\hat{M}_{A,i}^N, \hat{M}_{S,\ell,i}^N, \hat{M}_{I,\ell,i}^N, \hat{M}_{R,\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L, \ell \neq i) \\ &\Rightarrow (\hat{M}_{A,i}, \hat{M}_{S,\ell,i}, \hat{M}_{I,\ell,i}, \hat{M}_{R,\ell,i}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L, \ell \neq i) \quad \text{in } D^{L+3L(L-1)} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the limits are as given in Theorem 2.2.

Proof. This follows from a standard martingale convergence argument, see, e.g., [25]. The main steps include proving that the quadratic variations converge (involving the convergence of fluid-scaled processes) and then applying the FCLT for martingales. We omit the details for brevity. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Under Assumption 2.3,*

$$(\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \Rightarrow (\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^0, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \quad \text{in } D^{L^2} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5.9)$$

where the limits are as given in Theorem 2.2.

Proof. We first focus on the convergence of $\hat{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}$. Recall that

$$\hat{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N\bar{I}_1(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,1}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^{0,k}(\eta_{k,1}^0)=1} - N\bar{I}_1(0) \int_0^t p_{1,1}(s) dF_0(s) \right).$$

Observe that the pairs $(\eta_{k,1}, X_1^{0,k}(\cdot))$ and $(\eta_{k',1}, X_1^{0,k'}(\cdot))$ are independent and have the same law. Thus, its proof follows in a similar approach for empirical processes, see, e.g., Theorem 14.3 in [5]. There are some differences due to the process $X_1^{0,k}$, which we highlight below. So, we apply Theorem 13.5 in [5].

For each $t > 0$ and $\vartheta > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(i\vartheta \hat{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(t) \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{k=1}^{N\bar{I}_1(0)} \exp \left(i\vartheta \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,1}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^{0,k}(\eta_{k,1}^0)=1} - \int_0^t p_{1,1}(s) dF_0(s) \right) \right) \right] \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{N\bar{I}_1(0)} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(i\vartheta \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,1}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^{0,k}(\eta_{k,1}^0)=1} - \int_0^t p_{1,1}(s) dF_0(s) \right) \right) \right] \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\vartheta^2}{2N} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,1}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^{0,k}(\eta_{k,1}^0)=1} - \int_0^t p_{1,1}(s) dF_0(s) \right)^2 \right] + o(N^{-1}) \right)^{N\bar{I}_1(0)} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\vartheta^2}{2N} \int_0^t p_{1,1}(s) dF_0(s) \left(1 - \int_0^t p_{1,1}(s) dF_0(s) \right) + o(N^{-1}) \right)^{N\bar{I}_1(0)} \\ &\xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(-\frac{\vartheta^2}{2} \bar{I}_1(0) \int_0^t p_{1,1}(s) dF_0(s) \left(1 - \int_0^t p_{1,1}(s) dF_0(s) \right) \right) = \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(i\vartheta \hat{I}_{1,1}^0(t) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it can be also shown that for any $0 < s < t$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(i\vartheta \left(\hat{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(t) - \hat{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(s) \right) \right) \right] \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(i\vartheta \left(\hat{I}_{1,1}^0(t) - \hat{I}_{1,1}^0(s) \right) \right) \right] \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, for the convergence of finite dimensional distributions, with $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k$ and $\vartheta_\ell, \ell = 1, \dots, k$, we can write $\sum_{\ell=1}^k i\vartheta_\ell \hat{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(t_\ell)$ using the increments $\hat{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(t_\ell) - \hat{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(t_{\ell-1})$, which have covariances equal to zero over disjoint intervals.

Next, to prove tightness, we employ Theorem 13.5 and verify condition (13.14) in [5]. We show that for $r \leq s \leq t$ and for $N \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \hat{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(s) - \hat{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(r) \right|^2 \left| \hat{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(t) - \hat{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(s) \right|^2 \right] \leq C(\phi(s) - \phi(r))(\phi(t) - \phi(s)) \leq C(\phi(t) - \phi(r))^2$$

for some constant C and $\phi(t) = \int_0^t p_{1,1}(u) dF_0(u)$ which is a nonnegative, nondecreasing and continuous function. Recall F_0 is continuous. This will enforce condition (13.14) in [5], which according to Theorem 13.5 implies tightness in D . Let

$$\Delta I_{r,s}^k = \mathbf{1}_{r < \eta_{k,1}^0 \leq s} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^{0,k}(\eta_{k,1}^0)=1} - \int_r^s p_{1,1}(u) dF_0(u),$$

and

$$\Delta I_{s,t}^k = \mathbf{1}_{s < \eta_{k,1}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^{0,k}(\eta_{k,1}^0)=1} - \int_s^t p_{1,1}(u) dF_0(u).$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}[\Delta I_{r,s}^k] = 0$, $\mathbb{E}[\Delta I_{s,t}^k] = 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[(\Delta I_{r,s}^k)^2] = \int_r^s p_{1,1}(u) dF_0(u) \left(1 - \int_r^s p_{1,1}(u) dF_0(u) \right),$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}[(\Delta I_{s,t}^k)^2] = \int_s^t p_{1,1}(u) dF_0(u) \left(1 - \int_s^t p_{1,1}(u) dF_0(u) \right).$$

By direct calculations, following similar steps in the proof of (14.9) in [5], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{k=1}^{N\bar{I}_1(0)} \Delta I_{r,s}^k \right|^2 \left| \sum_{k=1}^{N\bar{I}_1(0)} \Delta I_{s,t}^k \right|^2 \right] \\ &= N\bar{I}_1(0) \mathbb{E}[\Delta I_{r,s}^2 \Delta I_{s,t}^2] + N\bar{I}_1(0)(N\bar{I}_1(0) - 1) \mathbb{E}[\Delta I_{r,s}^2] \mathbb{E}[\Delta I_{s,t}^2] \\ &\quad + 2N\bar{I}_1(0)(N\bar{I}_1(0) - 1) (\mathbb{E}[\Delta I_{r,s} \Delta I_{s,t}])^2 \\ &\leq C \int_r^s p_{1,1}(u) dF_0(u) \int_s^t p_{1,1}(u) dF_0(u) \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown the convergence of the process $\hat{I}_{1,1}^{N,0} \Rightarrow \hat{I}_{1,1}^0$.

For the joint convergence $(\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L)$, since the variables and processes associated with patch ℓ and patch ℓ' are independent, it suffices to show the joint convergences $(\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}, i = 1, \dots, L)$ for different ℓ 's separately. For the joint convergence $(\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}, i = 1, \dots, L)$, we obtain tightness from that of each process as established above, so it suffices to show the joint convergence of their finite dimensional distributions. Take $\ell = 1, i = 1, 2$ as an example. For $0 < t_1 < t_2$ and $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(i\vartheta_1 \hat{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}(t_1) + i\vartheta_2 \hat{I}_{1,2}^{N,0}(t_2) \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{k=1}^{N\bar{I}_1(0)} \exp \left(i\vartheta_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,1}^0 \leq t_1} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^{0,k}(\eta_{k,1}^0)=1} - \int_0^{t_1} p_{1,1}(s) dF_0(s) \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + i\vartheta_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,1}^0 \leq t_2} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^{0,k}(\eta_{k,1}^0)=2} - \int_0^{t_2} p_{1,2}(s) dF_0(s) \right) \right) \right] \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2N} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\vartheta_1 \left(\mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,1}^0 \leq t_1} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^{0,k}(\eta_{k,1}^0)=1} - \int_0^{t_1} p_{1,1}(s) dF_0(s) \right) \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \vartheta_2 \left(\mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,1}^0 \leq t_2} \mathbf{1}_{X_1^{0,k}(\eta_{k,1}^0)=2} - \int_0^{t_2} p_{1,2}(s) dF_0(s) \right) \right) \right]^2 + o(N^{-1}) \right)^{N\bar{I}_1(0)} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\vartheta_1^2}{2N} \int_0^{t_1} p_{1,1}(s) dF_0(s) \left(1 - \int_0^t p_{1,1}(s) dF_0(s) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\vartheta_2^2}{2N} \int_0^{t_2} p_{1,2}(s) dF_0(s) \left(1 - \int_0^t p_{1,2}(s) dF_0(s) \right) \right)^{N\bar{I}_1(0)} \\ &\xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(-\frac{\vartheta_1^2}{2} \bar{I}_1(0) \int_0^{t_1} p_{1,1}(s) dF_0(s) \left(1 - \int_0^t p_{1,1}(s) dF_0(s) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\vartheta_2^2}{2} \bar{I}_1(0) \int_0^{t_2} p_{1,2}(s) dF_0(s) \left(1 - \int_0^t p_{1,2}(s) dF_0(s) \right) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(i\vartheta_1 \hat{I}_{1,1}^0(t_1) + i\vartheta_2 \hat{I}_{1,2}^0(t_2) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This calculation can be extended to the computation of final dimensional distributions of $(\hat{I}_{1,1}^{N,0}, \hat{I}_{1,2}^{N,0})$. Therefore, we can conclude the joint convergence.

Finally, to prove that the limit processes are continuous when F_0 is continuous, since they are Gaussian, it suffices to show continuity in the quadratic mean [16], that is, for all $t > 0$,

$\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \mathbb{E}[|\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}(t) - \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}(s)|^2] = 0$. This is easily checked from the continuity of the covariance functions. Therefore, the proof of this lemma is complete. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3,*

$$(\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \Rightarrow (\hat{I}_{\ell,i}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \quad \text{in } D^{L^2} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5.10)$$

where the limits are as given in Theorem 2.2.

Proof. Recall the PRM $\tilde{Q}_{i,\text{inf}}(ds, du, dv, d\theta)$ and its compensated PRM $\bar{Q}_{i,\text{inf}}(ds, du, dv, d\theta)$, and the representation in (2.7). We write for $\ell, i = 1, \dots, L$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \eta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^j(\eta_{j,\ell}) = i} - N\lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} p_{\ell,i}(u) dF(u) \bar{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) ds \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_0^{t-s} \int_{\{i\}} \mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_\ell \Phi_\ell^N(s)} \bar{Q}_{\ell,\text{inf}}(ds, du, dv, d\theta). \end{aligned}$$

To prove the convergence, we define the auxiliary processes:

$$\tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_0^{t-s} \int_{\{i\}} \mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_\ell N \Phi_\ell(s)} \bar{Q}_{\ell,\text{inf}}(ds, du, dv, d\theta).$$

where $\Phi_\ell(t)$ is given in (2.12) and is a deterministic function. It can then be shown that the processes $\tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t)$ are square-integrable martingales with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_I^N(t) : t \geq 0\}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_I^N(t) &= \sigma \{ A_i^N(s) : 0 \leq s \leq t, i = 1, \dots, L \} \\ &\quad \vee \sigma \{ (\eta_{j,\ell}, X_\ell^j(s)) : \ell = 1, \dots, L, j = 1, \dots, A_\ell^N(s), 0 \leq s \leq t \}. \end{aligned}$$

They have quadratic variations

$$\langle \tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N \rangle(t) = \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} p_{\ell,i}(u) dF(u) \Phi_\ell(s) ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

In addition, their cross quadratic variations: for $i \neq i'$,

$$\langle \tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \tilde{I}_{\ell,i'}^N \rangle(t) = 0,$$

and for $\ell \neq \ell'$ and any i, i' ,

$$\langle \tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \tilde{I}_{\ell',i'}^N \rangle(t) = 0.$$

Thus, by the FCLT for martingales (see, e.g., [30]), we obtain

$$(\tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \Rightarrow (\hat{I}_{\ell,i}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \quad \text{in } D^{L^2} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.11)$$

Note that the limits are in fact time-changed Brownian motions.

Now it remains to show that

$$\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N - \tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N \Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } D \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

for each $\ell, i = 1, \dots, L$. We focus on the process $\hat{I}_{1,1}^N$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\hat{I}_{1,1}^N(t) - \tilde{I}_{1,1}^N(t)] &= 0, \\ \mathbb{E}[(\hat{I}_{1,1}^N(t) - \tilde{I}_{1,1}^N(t))^2] &= \lambda_1 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u) |\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) - \Phi_1(s)| ds \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the convergence holds by Theorem 2.1 and the dominated convergence theorem. We next show that the sequence $\{\hat{I}_{1,1}^N - \tilde{I}_{1,1}^N\}_N$ is tight. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{I}_{1,1}^N(t) - \tilde{I}_{1,1}^N(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^t \int_{\lambda_1 N(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) \vee \Phi_1(s))}^{\lambda_1 N(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) \wedge \Phi_1(s))} \int_0^{t-s} \int_{\{1\}} \text{sign}(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) - \Phi_1(s)) \tilde{Q}_{1,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\theta) \\ &\quad - \lambda_1 \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u) \hat{\Phi}_1^N(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We can decompose $\text{sign}(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) - \Phi_1(s)) = \mathbf{1}_{\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) - \Phi_1(s) > 0} - \mathbf{1}_{\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) - \Phi_1(s) < 0}$, and write $\hat{\Phi}_1^N(s) = \hat{\Phi}_1^N(s)^+ - \hat{\Phi}_1^N(s)^-$, such that each of these will induce a process that is nondecreasing in t . It is also clear that tightness of these processes will be implied by the tightness of the following processes:

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_1^N(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^t \int_{\lambda_1 N(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) \wedge \Phi_1(s))}^{\lambda_1 N(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) \vee \Phi_1(s))} \int_0^{t-s} \int_{\{1\}} \tilde{Q}_{1,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\theta) \\ \Xi_2^N(t) &= \lambda_1 \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u) |\hat{\Phi}_1^N(s)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since these two processes are nondecreasing in t , it suffices to show (see the Corollary on page 83 in [5] or Lemma 4.7) that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $j = 1, 2$,

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{P} (|\Xi_j^N(t + \delta) - \Xi_j^N(t)| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.12)$$

For the process $\Xi_1^N(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[|\Xi_1^N(t + \delta) - \Xi_1^N(t)|^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_t^{t+\delta} \int_{\lambda_1 N(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) \wedge \Phi_1(s))}^{\lambda_1 N(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) \vee \Phi_1(s))} \int_0^{t+\delta-s} \int_{\{1\}} \tilde{Q}_{1,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\theta) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^t \int_{\lambda_1 N(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) \wedge \Phi_1(s))}^{\lambda_1 N(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) \vee \Phi_1(s))} \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} \int_{\{1\}} \tilde{Q}_{1,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\theta) \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_t^{t+\delta} \int_{\lambda_1 N(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) \wedge \Phi_1(s))}^{\lambda_1 N(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) \vee \Phi_1(s))} \int_0^{t+\delta-s} \int_{\{1\}} \tilde{Q}_{1,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\theta) \right)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^t \int_{\lambda_1 N(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) \wedge \Phi_1(s))}^{\lambda_1 N(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) \vee \Phi_1(s))} \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} \int_{\{1\}} \tilde{Q}_{1,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\theta) \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq 4\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_t^{t+\delta} \int_{\lambda_1 N(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) \wedge \Phi_1(s))}^{\lambda_1 N(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) \vee \Phi_1(s))} \int_0^{t+\delta-s} \int_{\{1\}} \bar{Q}_{1,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\theta) \right)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + 4\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_1 \int_t^{t+\delta} \int_0^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u) |\hat{\Phi}_1^N(s)| ds \right)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + 4\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^t \int_{\lambda_1 N(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) \wedge \Phi_1(s))}^{\lambda_1 N(\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) \vee \Phi_1(s))} \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} \int_{\{1\}} \bar{Q}_{1,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\theta) \right)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + 4\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_1 \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u) |\hat{\Phi}_1^N(s)| ds \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq 4\lambda_1 \int_t^{t+\delta} \int_0^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u) \mathbb{E} [|\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) - \Phi_1(s)|] ds + 4\lambda_1^2 \delta^2 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} [|\hat{\Phi}_1^N(s)|^2] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 4\lambda_1 \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u) \mathbb{E} [|\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) - \Phi_1(s)|] ds \\
& + 4\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_1 \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u) |\hat{\Phi}_1^N(s)| ds \right)^2 \right]. \tag{5.13}
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\mathbb{E} [|\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) - \Phi_1(s)|] \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ by the convergence $\bar{\Phi}_1^N \Rightarrow \Phi_1$ and the dominated convergence theorem. Thus, the first and third terms converge to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$. For the second term, we show that

$$\limsup_N \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} [|\hat{\Phi}_i^N(s)|^2] < \infty. \tag{5.14}$$

By the representation of $\hat{\Phi}_i^N$, it is clear that

$$|\hat{\Phi}_i^N(s)| \leq |\hat{S}_i^N(s)| + |\hat{I}_i^N(s)| + |\hat{R}_i^N(s)| \tag{5.15}$$

for each $s \geq 0$, and $i = 1, \dots, L$. In the representations of $\hat{S}_i^N(t)$, $\hat{I}_i^N(t)$ and $\hat{R}_i^N(t)$ in (5.4)–(5.7), respectively, the following hold: there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all N ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_N \mathbb{E} [|\hat{S}_i^N(0)|^2] \leq C, \quad \sup_N \mathbb{E} [|\hat{I}_i^N(0)|^2] \leq C, \quad \sup_N \mathbb{E} [|\hat{R}_i^N(0)|^2] \leq C, \\
& \sup_N \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} [(\hat{M}_{A,i}^N)^2] \leq \lambda_i \int_0^T \sup_N \bar{\Phi}_i^N(s) ds \leq C \lambda_i \int_0^T \Phi_i(s) ds, \\
& \sup_N \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} [(\hat{M}_{S,i,j}^N)^2] \leq C \nu_{S,i,j} T, \quad \sup_N \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} [(\hat{M}_{R,i,j}^N)^2] \leq C \nu_{R,i,j} T.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

Thus, by taking squares of the processes $\hat{S}_i^N(t)$, $\hat{I}_i^N(t)$ and $\hat{R}_i^N(t)$ in (5.4)–(5.7), we can apply Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and Gronwall's inequality to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_N \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} [|\hat{S}_i^N(s)|^2] < \infty, \quad \limsup_N \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} [|\hat{I}_i^N(s)|^2] < \infty, \\
& \limsup_N \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} [|\hat{R}_i^N(s)|^2] < \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

and thus (5.14) holds.

Next, to prove (5.12) for $\Xi_1^N(t)$, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_1 \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u) |\hat{\Phi}_1^N(s)| ds \right)^2 \right] = 0. \tag{5.18}$$

Consider the two cases of F in Assumption 2.1. In the case $F = F_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_1 \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u) |\hat{\Phi}_1^N(s)| ds \right)^2 \right] \\
& = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_1 \sum_i a_i p_{1,1}(t_i) \int_{t_i-\delta}^{t_i} |\hat{\Phi}_1^N(t-s)| ds \right)^2 \right] \\
& \leq \delta \left(\lambda_1 \sum_i a_i p_{1,1}(t_i) \right)^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{t_i-\delta}^{t_i} |\hat{\Phi}_1^N(t-s)|^2 ds \right] \\
& \leq \delta^2 \left(\lambda_1 \sum_i a_i p_{1,1}(t_i) \right)^2 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} [|\hat{\Phi}_1^N(s)|^2]
\end{aligned}$$

and in the case $F = F_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_1 \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u) | \hat{\Phi}_1^N(s) | ds \right)^2 \right] \\
& \leq t \lambda_1^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \left(\int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u) \right)^2 | \hat{\Phi}_1^N(s) |^2 ds \right] \\
& \leq T \lambda_1^2 \int_0^t (F(t+\delta-s) - F(t-s))^2 ds \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[| \hat{\Phi}_1^N(s) |^2 \right] \\
& \leq T^2 \lambda_1^2 c \delta^{1+2\theta} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[| \hat{\Phi}_1^N(s) |^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, in both cases, we obtain (5.18).

For the process $\Xi_2^N(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \left[| \Xi_2^N(t+\delta) - \Xi_2^N(t) |^2 \right] & \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_1 \int_t^{t+\delta} \int_0^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u) | \hat{\Phi}_1^N(s) | ds \right)^2 \right] \\
& \quad + 2 \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_1 \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u) | \hat{\Phi}_1^N(s) | ds \right)^2 \right] \\
& \leq 4 \lambda_1^2 \delta^2 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[| \hat{\Phi}_1^N(s) |^2 \right] \\
& \quad + 4 \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_1 \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u) | \hat{\Phi}_1^N(s) | ds \right)^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

The argument for these two terms follow from that for the second and fourth terms above for $\Xi_1^N(t)$. This completes the proof. \square

Completing the proof of Theorem 2.2. Note that the processes associated with the initial variables in Lemma 5.2, those associated with the newly infected individuals in Lemma 5.3, and those associated with the migrations in Lemma 5.1 are mutually independent. Thus, all these processes converge jointly. Given the convergence of the initial variables in Assumption 2.3, by the convergence results in Lemmas 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3, we can apply the continuous mapping theorem to the map F defined in Lemma 8.2 below (with $m = L$). The proof is complete. \square

6. PROOF OF THE FLLN FOR THE MULTI-PATCH SEIR MODEL

In this section we prove Theorem 3.1. Note that for the SEIR model, the tightness result in Lemma 4.1 of \bar{A}_i^N , and the convergence of \bar{S}_i^N in Lemma 4.2 hold with the same argument. The analysis of the process \bar{E}_i^N follows the same as that of the process \bar{I}_i^N in the SIR model, so we will omit its proof. We give the following representation of \bar{E}_i^N as in Lemma 4.3:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_i^N(t) & = E_i^N(0) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{E_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^{0,k}(\zeta_{k,\ell}^0) = i} \\
& \quad + A_i^N(t) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(\zeta_{j,\ell}) = i} \\
& \quad + \sum_{\ell \neq i} P_{E,\ell,i} \left(\nu_{E,\ell,i} \int_0^t E_\ell^N(s) ds \right) - \sum_{\ell \neq i} P_{E,i,\ell} \left(\nu_{E,i,\ell} \int_0^t E_i^N(s) ds \right). \tag{6.1}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain the following representations for the process $I_i^N(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_i^N(t) &= I_i^N(0) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{I_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^{0,k}(\eta_{k,\ell}^0)=i} \\
&+ \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{E_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^{0,k}(\zeta_{k,\ell}^0)=i} \\
&- \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{E_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^{0,k}(\zeta_{k,\ell}^0)=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 + \eta_{-k,\ell'} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\eta_{-k,\ell'})=i} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(\zeta_{j,\ell})=i} \\
&- \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(\zeta_{j,\ell})=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} + \eta_{j,\ell'} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(\eta_{j,\ell'})=i} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{\ell \neq i} P_{I,\ell,i} \left(\nu_{I,\ell,i} \int_0^t I_\ell^N(s) ds \right) - \sum_{\ell \neq i} P_{I,i,\ell} \left(\nu_{I,i,\ell} \int_0^t I_i^N(s) ds \right). \tag{6.2}
\end{aligned}$$

We focus on the convergence of $I_i^N(t)$. For $\ell, i = 1, \dots, L$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\ell,i}^{N,0}(t) &:= \sum_{k=1}^{E_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^{0,k}(\zeta_{k,\ell}^0)=i}, & E_{\ell,i}^N(t) &:= \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(\zeta_{j,\ell})=i}, \\
I_{\ell,i}^{N,0,1}(t) &:= \sum_{k=1}^{I_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^{0,k}(\eta_{k,\ell}^0)=i}, \\
I_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}(t) &:= \sum_{k=1}^{E_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^{0,k}(\zeta_{k,\ell}^0)=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 + \eta_{-k,\ell'} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\eta_{-k,\ell'})=i} \right), \\
I_{\ell,i}^N(t) &:= \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(\zeta_{j,\ell})=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} + \eta_{j,\ell'} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(\eta_{j,\ell'})=i} \right). \tag{6.3}
\end{aligned}$$

We first treat the components associated with the initial quantities.

Lemma 6.1. *Under Assumption 3.2,*

$(\bar{E}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}, \bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,1}, \bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \Rightarrow (\bar{E}_{\ell,i}^0, \bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,1}, \bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,2}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L)$ in D^{3L^2} as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where for $\ell, i = 1, \dots, L$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$\bar{E}_{\ell,i}^0(t) := \bar{E}_\ell(0) \int_0^t q_{\ell,i}(s) dG_0(s), \tag{6.4}$$

$$\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,1}(t) := \bar{I}_\ell(0) \int_0^t p_{\ell,i}(s) dF_0(s), \tag{6.5}$$

and

$$\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,2}(t) := \bar{E}_\ell(0) H_{\ell,i}^0(t), \tag{6.6}$$

with $H_{\ell,i}^0(t)$ defined in (3.13).

Proof. Following the same argument as in Lemma 4.4 for the SIR model, we obtain the convergence of $\{\bar{E}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}\}$ and $\{\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,1}\}$. We now sketch the proof for the convergence of $\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}$ since it follows similar steps. We have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\zeta_{k,\ell}^0)=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0+\eta_{-k,\ell'} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\eta_{-k,\ell'})=i} \right) \right] = H_{\ell,i}^0(t),$$

which implies by the LLN of i.i.d. variables that for each $t \geq 0$,

$$\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}(t) \Rightarrow \bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,2}(t) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The convergence of finite dimensional distribution is a straightforward extension. For tightness we use the same argument as in Lemma 4.4.

We start with

$$\begin{aligned} & |\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}(t+s) - \bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}(t)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{E_{\ell}^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{t < \zeta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t+s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\zeta_{k,\ell}^0)=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0+\eta_{-k,\ell'} \leq t+s} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\eta_{-k,\ell'})=i} \right), \\ & + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{E_{\ell}^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\zeta_{k,\ell}^0)=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{t < \zeta_{k,\ell}^0+\eta_{-k,\ell'} \leq t+s} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\eta_{-k,\ell'})=i} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We now note that each of the two terms on the right hand side are increasing in s , so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \delta} |\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}(t+s) - \bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}(t)| > \epsilon \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{E_{\ell}^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{t < \zeta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t+\delta} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\zeta_{k,\ell}^0)=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0+\eta_{-k,\ell'} \leq t+\delta} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\eta_{-k,\ell'})=i} \right) > \epsilon/2 \right) \\ & + \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{E_{\ell}^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\zeta_{k,\ell}^0)=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{t < \zeta_{k,\ell}^0+\eta_{-k,\ell'} \leq t+\delta} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\eta_{-k,\ell'})=i} \right) > \epsilon/2 \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{E_{\ell}^N(0)} \left[\mathbf{1}_{t < \zeta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t+\delta} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\zeta_{k,\ell}^0)=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0+\eta_{-k,\ell'} \leq t+\delta} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\eta_{-k,\ell'})=i} \right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \int_t^{t+\delta} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(s) \int_0^{t+\delta-s} p_{\ell',i}(u) dF(u) dG_0(s) \right] > \epsilon/4 \right) \\ & + \mathbf{1}_{\int_t^{t+\delta} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(s) \int_0^{t+\delta-s} p_{\ell',i}(u) dF(u) dG_0(s) > \epsilon/4 \bar{E}_{\ell}(0)} \\ & + \mathbb{P} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{E_{\ell}^N(0)} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\zeta_{k,\ell}^0)=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{t < \zeta_{k,\ell}^0+\eta_{-k,\ell'} \leq t+\delta} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\eta_{-k,\ell'})=i} \right) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \int_0^t \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(s) \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{\ell',i}(u) dF(u) dG_0(s) \right] > \epsilon/4 \right) \\ & + \mathbf{1}_{\int_0^t \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(s) \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{\ell',i}(u) dF(u) dG_0(s) > \epsilon/4 \bar{E}_{\ell}(0)} \\ & \leq \frac{16 \bar{E}_{\ell}(0)}{\epsilon^2 N} \int_t^{t+\delta} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(s) \int_0^{t+\delta-s} p_{\ell',i}(u) dF(u) dG_0(s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left(1 - \int_t^{t+\delta} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(s) \int_0^{t+\delta-s} p_{\ell',i}(u) dF(u) dG_0(s) \right) \\
& + \mathbf{1}_{\int_t^{t+\delta} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(s) \int_0^{t+\delta-s} p_{\ell',i}(u) dF(u) dG_0(s) > \epsilon/4\bar{E}_\ell(0)} \\
& + \frac{16\bar{E}_\ell(0)}{\epsilon^2 N} \int_0^t \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(s) \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{\ell',i}(u) dF(u) dG_0(s) \\
& \quad \times \left(1 - \int_0^t \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(s) \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{\ell',i}(u) dF(u) dG_0(s) \right) \\
& + \mathbf{1}_{\int_0^t \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(s) \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{\ell',i}(u) dF(u) dG_0(s) > \epsilon/4\bar{E}_\ell(0)} \\
& \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\int_t^{t+\delta} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(s) \int_0^{t+\delta-s} p_{\ell',i}(u) dF(u) dG_0(s) > \epsilon/4\bar{E}_\ell(0)} \\
& \quad + \mathbf{1}_{\int_0^t \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(s) \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{\ell',i}(u) dF(u) dG_0(s) > \epsilon/4\bar{E}_\ell(0)},
\end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. And the two terms in the limit both vanishes as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Thus, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T}{\delta} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{t \leq s \leq t+\delta} |\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}(s) - \bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}(t)| > \epsilon \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, we can conclude that $\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2} \Rightarrow \bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,2}$ in D as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then, since G_0 is continuous, we can verify the continuity of the covariance function, and thus the continuity of the limit processes $\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,2}$.

Since the variables associated with $\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}$ and $\bar{I}_{\ell',i}^{N,0,2}$ are independent, we obtain the joint convergence $(\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \Rightarrow (\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,2}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L)$ in D^{L^2} as $N \rightarrow \infty$. For the joint convergence of $(\bar{E}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}, \bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,1}, \bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2})$, it suffices to show the joint convergence of $(\bar{E}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}, \bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2})$, which is straightforward. This completes the proof. \square

As in the proofs of Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 for the SIR model, we obtain the convergence of the processes $E_{\ell,i}^N(t)$ and $I_{\ell,i}^N(t)$.

Lemma 6.2. *With the limit $(\bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_L)$ of the convergent subsequence of $\{(\bar{A}_1^N, \dots, \bar{A}_L^N)\}$, under Assumptions 3.1 and 3.2,*

$$(\bar{E}_{\ell,i}^N, \bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \Rightarrow (\bar{E}_{\ell,i}(t), \bar{I}_{\ell,i}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \quad \text{in } D^{2L^2} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty, \quad (6.7)$$

where for $\ell, i = 1, \dots, L$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$\bar{E}_{\ell,i}(t) := \int_0^t \left(\int_0^{t-s} q_{\ell,i}(u) dG(u) \right) d\bar{A}_\ell(s), \quad (6.8)$$

and

$$\bar{I}_{\ell,i}(t) := \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(t-s) d\bar{A}_\ell(s), \quad (6.9)$$

with $H_{\ell,i}$ defined in (3.14).

Proof. The proof of the convergence of $\bar{E}_{\ell,i}^N$ follows from the exact same argument as in Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6. So we focus on the convergence of $\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N$. The main steps are similar, so we highlight the differences.

Define the auxiliary processes: for $\ell, i = 1, \dots, L$,

$$\check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) = \mathbb{E}[\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) | \mathcal{F}_{A,\ell}^N], \quad t \geq 0.$$

We first show that

$$(\check{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \Rightarrow (\bar{I}_{\ell,i}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \quad \text{in } D^{L^2} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.10)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) &= N^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(\zeta_{j,\ell}) = \ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} + \eta_{j,\ell'} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(\eta_{j,\ell'}) = i} \right) \Big| \tau_{j,\ell}^N \right] \\ &= N^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{t - \tau_{j,\ell}^N} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(u) = \ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + u + \eta_{j,\ell'} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(\eta_{j,\ell'}) = i} \right) dG(u) \Big| \tau_{j,\ell}^N \right] \\ &= N^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \int_0^{t - \tau_{j,\ell}^N} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(u) = \ell'} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + u + \eta_{j,\ell'} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(\eta_{j,\ell'}) = i} \Big| \tau_{j,\ell}^N \right] \right) dG(u) \\ &= N^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \int_0^{t - \tau_{j,\ell}^N} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(u) = \ell'} \right] \int_0^{t - \tau_{j,\ell}^N - u} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(v) = i} \right] dF(v) \right) dG(u) \\ &= N^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \int_0^{t - \tau_{j,\ell}^N} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(u) \int_0^{t - \tau_{j,\ell}^N - u} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(u) \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(u) \int_0^{t-s-u} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(u) d\bar{A}_\ell^N(s) = \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(t-s) d\bar{A}_\ell^N(s). \quad (6.11) \end{aligned}$$

Recall $H_{\ell,i}(t)$ in (3.14). Then we can write

$$\check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) = \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(t-s) d\bar{A}_\ell^N(s) = \bar{A}_\ell^N(t) - \int_0^t \bar{A}_\ell^N(s) dH_{\ell,i}(t-s),$$

and apply the continuous mapping theorem (as in the proof of Lemma 4.5) to obtain the convergence $\check{I}_{\ell,i}^N \Rightarrow \bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N$ in D as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and then the joint convergence in (6.10) using the mapping (4.11).

We next show that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and for $\ell, i = 1, \dots, L$,

$$P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) - \check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t)| > \epsilon \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.12)$$

This follows from similar steps as in the proof of Lemma 4.6. We highlight the main differences below.

For each $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) - \check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \chi_{j,\ell,i}^N(t),$$

where

$$\chi_{j,\ell,i}^N(t) := \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(\zeta_{j,\ell}) = \ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} + \eta_{j,\ell'} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(\eta_{j,\ell'}) = i} \right) - H_{\ell,i}(t - \tau_{j,\ell}^N).$$

It is clear that $\mathbb{E}[\chi_{j,\ell,i}^N(t) | \tau_{j,\ell}^N] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[\chi_{j,\ell,i}^N(t)^2 | \tau_{j,\ell}^N] = H_{\ell,i}(t - \tau_{j,\ell}^N)(1 - H_{\ell,i}(t - \tau_{j,\ell}^N))$ where $H_{\ell,i}(t)$ is defined in (3.14). Moreover $\mathbb{E}[\chi_{j,\ell,i}^N(t) \chi_{j',\ell,i}^N(t) | \mathcal{F}_{A,\ell}^N(t)] = 0$ due to the independence of the pairs

$(\zeta_{j,\ell}, \eta_{j,\ell'}, Y_\ell^j(\cdot), X_{\ell'}^j(\cdot))$ and $(\zeta_{j',\ell}, \eta_{j',\ell'}, Y_{\ell'}^{j'}(\cdot), X_{\ell'}^{j'}(\cdot))$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) - \check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t))^2 | \mathcal{F}_{A,\ell}^N(t)] &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbb{E}[\chi_{j,\ell,i}^N(t)^2 | \tau_{j,\ell}^N] \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(t-u)(1-H_{\ell,i}(t-u)) d\bar{A}_\ell^N(u) \leq \frac{\lambda_\ell t}{N} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) - \check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t)| > \epsilon\right) \leq \frac{\lambda_\ell t}{N\epsilon^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next, for $t, s > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t+s) - \check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t+s)) - (\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) - \check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t))| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N\bar{A}_\ell^N(t)} (\chi_{j,\ell,i}^N(t+s) - \chi_{j,\ell,i}^N(t)) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=N\bar{A}_\ell^N(t)+1}^{N\bar{A}_\ell^N(t+s)} \chi_{j,\ell,i}^N(t+s) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N\bar{A}_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{t < \tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t+s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(\zeta_{j,\ell})=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} + \eta_{j,\ell'} \leq t+s} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(\eta_{j,\ell'})=i} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N\bar{A}_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(\zeta_{j,\ell})=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{t < \tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} + \eta_{j,\ell'} \leq t+s} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(\eta_{j,\ell'})=i} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N\bar{A}_\ell^N(t)} \int_{t-\tau_{j,\ell}^N}^{t+s-\tau_{j,\ell}^N} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(u) \int_0^{t+s-\tau_{j,\ell}^N-u} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(u) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N\bar{A}_\ell^N(t)} \int_0^{t-\tau_{j,\ell}^N} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(u) \int_{t-\tau_{j,\ell}^N-u}^{t+s-\tau_{j,\ell}^N-u} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(u) \\ &\quad + |\bar{A}_\ell^N(t+s) - \bar{A}_\ell^N(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that each of the five terms on the right hand side is increasing in s . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s \in [0, \delta]} |(\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t+s) - \check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t+s)) - (\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) - \check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t))| > \epsilon\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N\bar{A}_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{t < \tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t+\delta} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(\zeta_{j,\ell})=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} + \eta_{j,\ell'} \leq t+\delta} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(\eta_{j,\ell'})=i} \right) > \epsilon/5\right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N\bar{A}_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(\zeta_{j,\ell})=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{t < \tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} + \eta_{j,\ell'} \leq t+\delta} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(\eta_{j,\ell'})=i} \right) > \epsilon/5\right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(u) \int_0^{t+\delta-s-u} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(u) d\bar{A}_\ell^N(s) > \epsilon/5\right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\left(\int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(u) \int_{t-s-u}^{t+\delta-s-u} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(u) d\bar{A}_\ell^N(s) > \epsilon/5\right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{P}\left(|\bar{A}_\ell^N(t+\delta) - \bar{A}_\ell^N(t)| > \epsilon/5\right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

The last term is treated in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 4.6 for the SIR model. For the first two terms, we use the PRM representation in (3.6). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N\bar{A}_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{t < \tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t + \delta} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(\zeta_{j,\ell}) = \ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} + \eta_{j,\ell'} \leq t + \delta} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(\eta_{j,\ell'}) = i} \right)^2 \right] \\
& \leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{N} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L \int_{\{\ell'\}} \int_0^{t+\delta-s-y} \int_{\{\ell'\}} \mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_\ell \Phi_\ell^N(s)} \bar{Q}_{i,inf}(ds, du, dy, d\vartheta, dz, d\theta) \right)^2 \right] \\
& \quad + 2\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(y) \int_0^{t+\delta-s-y} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(y) \lambda_\ell \bar{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) ds \right)^2 \right] \\
& \leq \frac{2}{N} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(y) \int_0^{t+\delta-s-y} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(y) \lambda_\ell \bar{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) ds \right] \\
& \quad + 2\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(y) \int_0^{t+\delta-s-y} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(y) \lambda_\ell \bar{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) ds \right)^2 \right] \\
& \leq \frac{2}{N} \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(y) \int_0^{t+\delta-s-y} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(y) ds \\
& \quad + 2 \left(\lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(y) \int_0^{t+\delta-s-y} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(y) ds \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the first term converges to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and the second term satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\delta} \left(\lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(y) \int_0^{t+\delta-s-y} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(y) ds \right)^2 \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \left(\lambda_\ell L \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} F(t + \delta - s - y) dG(y) ds \right)^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The convergence follows the same argument as for the proof of (6.11) in [24] under Assumption 3.1.

Similarly, for the second term on the right hand side of (6.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N\bar{A}_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(\zeta_{j,\ell}) = \ell'} \mathbf{1}_{t < \tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} + \eta_{j,\ell'} \leq t + \delta} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(\eta_{j,\ell'}) = i} \right)^2 \right] \\
& \leq \frac{2}{N} \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(u) \int_{t-s-u}^{t+\delta-s-u} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(u) ds \\
& \quad + 2 \left(\lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(u) \int_{t-s-u}^{t+\delta-s-u} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(u) ds \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here it is clear that the first term converges to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and the second term satisfies

$$\frac{1}{\delta} \left(\lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(u) \int_{t-s-u}^{t+\delta-s-u} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(u) ds \right)^2$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \left(\lambda_\ell L \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} (F(t+\delta-s-u) - F(t-s-u)) dG(u) ds \right)^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0.$$

The convergence follows a similar argument as for the proof of (6.9) in [24] under Assumption 3.1. Now for the third and fourth terms on the right hand side of (6.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(u) \int_0^{t+\delta-s-u} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(u) d\bar{A}_\ell^N(s) \right)^2 \right] \\ & \leq \left(\lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(u) \int_0^{t+\delta-s-u} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(u) ds \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(u) \int_{t-s-u}^{t+\delta-s-u} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(u) d\bar{A}_\ell^N(s) \right)^2 \right] \\ & \leq \left(\lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(u) \int_{t-s-u}^{t+\delta-s-u} p_{\ell',i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(u) ds \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

These two terms can be treated similarly as above. Thus we have shown that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{T}{\delta} \right] \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, \delta]} |(\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t+s) - \check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t+s)) - (\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) - \check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t))| > \epsilon \right) = 0,$$

and we can apply Lemma 4.7 to conclude the tightness.

For the joint convergence of the processes $(\bar{E}_{\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L)$ and $(\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L)$, it suffices to prove the joint convergence of the processes $(\check{E}_{\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L)$ and $(\check{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L)$, where $\check{I}_{\ell,i}^N$ is given in (6.11), and

$$\check{E}_{\ell,i}^N(t) := \mathbb{E}[\bar{E}_{\ell,i}^N | \mathcal{F}_{A,\ell}^N] = \int_0^t \left(\int_0^{t-s} q_{\ell,i}(u) dG(u) \right) d\bar{A}_\ell^N(s). \quad (6.14)$$

It is easy to prove that the mapping from $(x_1, \dots, x_L) \in D^L$ to

$$\left(\int_0^\cdot \left(\int_0^{\cdot-s} q_{\ell,i}(u) dG(u) \right) dx_\ell(s), \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(\cdot - s) dx_\ell(s) \right)_{\ell, i=1, \dots, L}$$

is continuous in the Skorohod J_1 topology. Thus, applying the continuous mapping theorem, we obtain the convergence $(\check{E}_{\ell,i}^N, \check{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \Rightarrow (\bar{E}_{\ell,i}(t), \bar{I}_{\ell,i}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L)$ in D^{2L^2} as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then the asymptotic equivalence between $\bar{E}_{\ell,i}^N$ and $\check{E}_{\ell,i}^N$, and between $\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^N$ and $\check{I}_{\ell,i}^N$ results in the joint convergence in (6.7). This completes the proof. \square

We are now ready to prove the convergence of $(\bar{I}_1^N, \dots, \bar{I}_L^N)$.

Lemma 6.3. *With the limit $(\bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_L)$ of the convergent subsequence of $\{(\bar{A}_1^N, \dots, \bar{A}_L^N)\}$, under Assumptions 3.1 and 3.2,*

$$(\bar{I}_1^N, \dots, \bar{I}_L^N) \Rightarrow (\bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_L) \quad \text{in } D^L \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

where the limit $(\bar{I}_1, \dots, \bar{I}_L)$ is the unique solution to the deterministic equations: for $i = 1, \dots, L$,

$$\bar{I}_i(t) = \bar{I}_i(0) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\bar{E}_{\ell,i}^0(t) + \bar{E}_{\ell,i}(t)) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,1}(t) + \bar{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,2}(t) + \bar{I}_{\ell,i}(t))$$

$$+ \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\nu_{I, \ell, i} \bar{I}_\ell(s) - \nu_{I, i, \ell} \bar{I}_i(s)) ds,$$

with $\bar{E}_{\ell, i}^0$, $\bar{I}_{\ell, i}^{0,1}$ and $\bar{I}_{\ell, i}^{0,2}$ being given in (6.4), (6.5) and (6.6) in Lemma 6.1, and with $\bar{E}_{\ell, i}$ and $\bar{I}_{\ell, i}$ being defined in (6.8) and (6.9) in Lemma 6.2, respectively.

Proof. By the representations of $I_i^N(t)$ in (6.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{I}_i^N(t) &= \bar{I}_i^N(0) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\bar{E}_{\ell, i}^{N,0}(t) + \bar{E}_{\ell, i}^N(t)) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\bar{I}_{\ell, i}^{N,0,1}(t) + \bar{I}_{\ell, i}^{N,0,2}(t) + \check{I}_{\ell, i}^N(t)) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \Delta_{I, \ell, i}^N(t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\bar{M}_{I, \ell, i}^N(t) - \bar{M}_{I, i, \ell}^N(t)) + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \int_0^t (\nu_{I, \ell, i} \bar{I}_\ell(s) - \nu_{I, i, \ell} \bar{I}_i(s)) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

where

$$\Delta_{I, \ell, i}^N(t) = \check{I}_{\ell, i}^N(t) - \bar{I}_{\ell, i}^N(t), \quad (6.16)$$

and $\bar{M}_{I, \ell, i}^N(t)$ is given in (4.16), and its convergence in (4.17) holds. Recall the representations of $\check{E}_{\ell, i}^N(t)$ and $\check{I}_{\ell, i}^N(t)$ as integrals with respect to \bar{A}_ℓ^N in (6.14) and (6.11), respectively.

Thus, by Lemmas 4.1, 6.1, and 6.2 and equation (6.12), and by Lemma 8.1, we apply the continuous mapping theorem to the mapping Υ to conclude the convergence of $(\bar{I}_1^N, \dots, \bar{I}_L^N)$. \square

We next prove the convergence of $(\bar{R}_1^N, \dots, \bar{R}_L^N)$. Similar to (6.2), we obtain the following representations for the process $R_i^N(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} R_i^N(t) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{I_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k, \ell}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^{0, k}(\eta_{k, \ell}^0) = i} + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{k=1}^{E_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k, \ell}^0 \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^{0, k}(\zeta_{k, \ell}^0) = \ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k, \ell}^0 + \eta_{-k, \ell'} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^{0, k}(\eta_{-k, \ell'}) = i} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j, \ell}^N + \zeta_{j, \ell} \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(\zeta_{j, \ell}) = \ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j, \ell}^N + \zeta_{j, \ell} + \eta_{j, \ell'} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(\eta_{j, \ell'}) = i} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell \neq i} P_{I, \ell, i} \left(\nu_{I, \ell, i} \int_0^t I_\ell^N(s) ds \right) - \sum_{\ell \neq i} P_{I, i, \ell} \left(\nu_{I, i, \ell} \int_0^t I_i^N(s) ds \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

Lemma 6.4. *With the limit $(\bar{A}_1, \dots, \bar{A}_L)$ of the convergent subsequence of $\{(\bar{A}_1^N, \dots, \bar{A}_L^N)\}$, under Assumptions 3.1 and 3.2,*

$$(\bar{R}_1^N, \dots, \bar{R}_L^N) \Rightarrow (\bar{R}_1, \dots, \bar{R}_L) \quad \text{in } D^L \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

where the limit $(\bar{R}_1, \dots, \bar{R}_L)$ is the unique solution to the deterministic equations:

$$\bar{R}_i(t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^L \left(\bar{I}_{\ell, i}^{0,1}(t) + \bar{I}_{\ell, i}^{0,2}(t) + \bar{I}_{\ell, i}(t) \right) + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \int_0^t (\nu_{R, \ell, i} \bar{R}_\ell(s) - \nu_{R, i, \ell} \bar{R}_i(s)) ds$$

with $\bar{I}_{\ell, i}^{0,1}$, $\bar{I}_{\ell, i}^{0,2}$ and $\bar{I}_{\ell, i}$ being given in (6.5), (6.6) and (6.9), respectively.

Proof. We can represent the processes $\bar{R}_i^N(t)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_i^N(t) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^L \left(\bar{I}_{\ell, i}^{N,0,1}(t) + \bar{I}_{\ell, i}^{N,0,2}(t) + \check{I}_{\ell, i}^N(t) \right) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \Delta_{I, \ell, i}^N(t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L (\bar{M}_{\bar{R}, \ell, i}^N(t) - \bar{M}_{\bar{R}, i, \ell}^N(t)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \int_0^t (\nu_{R, \ell, i} \bar{R}_\ell(s) - \nu_{R, i, \ell} \bar{R}_i(s)) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

where $\Delta_{I,\ell,i}^N(t)$ is given (4.15), and $\bar{M}_{R,\ell,i}^N(t)$ is given in (4.19), and its convergence in (4.20) holds. Recall the representation of $\check{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t)$ as an integral with respect to \bar{A}_ℓ^N in (6.11).

Thus, again, by Lemmas 4.1, 6.1, and 6.2 and equation (6.12), and by Lemma 8.1, we apply the continuous mapping theorem to the mapping $\tilde{\Upsilon}$ to conclude the convergence of $(\bar{R}_1^N, \dots, \bar{R}_L^N)$. \square

The rest of the proof follows from the same argument as for the SIR model. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

7. PROOF OF THE FCLT FOR THE MULTI-PATCH SEIR MODEL

In this section, we prove Theorem 3.2. We first give the following representations of the diffusion-scaled processes. The process $\hat{A}_i^N(t)$ has the same decomposition as in (5.1), but with

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}_i^N(t) &= \sqrt{N}(\bar{\Phi}_i^N(t) - \Phi_i(t)) \\ &= \sqrt{N} \left(\frac{\bar{S}_i^N(t)\bar{I}_i^N(t)}{\bar{S}_i^N(t) + \bar{E}_i^N(t) + \bar{I}_i^N(t) + \bar{R}_i^N(t)} - \frac{\bar{S}_i(t)\bar{I}_i(t)}{\bar{S}_i(t) + \bar{E}_i(t) + \bar{I}_i(t) + \bar{R}_i(t)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\bar{K}_i^N(t)\bar{K}_i(t)} \left(\bar{I}_i^N(t)(\bar{E}_i(t) + \bar{I}_i(t) + \bar{R}_i(t))\hat{S}_i^N(t) - \bar{S}_i(t)\bar{I}_i(t)\hat{E}_i^N(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \bar{S}_i(t)(\bar{S}_i^N(t) + \bar{E}_i(t) + \bar{R}_i(t))\hat{I}_i^N(t) - \bar{S}_i(t)\bar{I}_i(t)\hat{R}_i^N(t) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

where

$$\bar{K}_i^N(t) := \bar{S}_i^N(t) + \bar{E}_i^N(t) + \bar{I}_i^N(t) + \bar{R}_i^N(t), \quad \bar{K}_i(t) := \bar{S}_i(t) + \bar{E}_i(t) + \bar{I}_i(t) + \bar{R}_i(t).$$

We have the same representation of the process $\hat{S}_i^N(t)$ in (5.4). For the process $\hat{E}_i^N(t)$, by the representation in (6.1), using the definitions of $E_{\ell,i}^{N,0}(t)$ and $E_{\ell,i}^N(t)$ in (6.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{E}_i^N(t) &= \hat{E}_i^N(0) + \lambda_i \int_0^t \hat{\Phi}_i^N(s) ds - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} q_{\ell,i}(u) dG(u) \hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L \int_0^t (\mu_{I,\ell,i} \hat{E}_\ell^N(s) - \mu_{I,i,\ell} \hat{E}_i^N(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \hat{M}_{A,i}^N(t) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \hat{E}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}(t) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \hat{E}_{\ell,i}^N(t) + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^L (\hat{M}_{E,\ell,i}^N(t) - \hat{M}_{E,i,\ell}^N(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

where for $\ell, i = 1, \dots, L$,

$$\hat{E}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{E_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^{0,k}(\zeta_{k,\ell}^0) = i} - N \bar{E}_\ell(0) \int_0^t q_{\ell,i}(s) dG_0(s) \right), \quad (7.3)$$

$$\hat{E}_{\ell,i}^N(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(\zeta_{j,\ell}) = i} - N \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \left(\int_0^{t-s} q_{\ell,i}(u) dG(u) \right) \bar{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) ds \right), \quad (7.4)$$

and for $\ell \neq i$,

$$\hat{M}_{E,\ell,i}^N(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(P_{E,\ell,i} \left(\nu_{E,\ell,i} \int_0^t E_\ell^N(s) ds \right) - \nu_{E,\ell,i} \int_0^t E_\ell^N(s) ds \right).$$

For the process $\hat{I}_i^N(t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{I}_i^N(t) &= \hat{I}_i^N(0) + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t-s} q_{\ell,i}(u) dG(u) \hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) ds - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(t-s) \hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \int_0^t \left(\nu_{I,\ell,i} \hat{I}_\ell^N(s) - \nu_{I,i,\ell} \hat{I}_i^N(s) \right) ds + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \left(\hat{M}_{I,\ell,i}^N(t) - \hat{M}_{I,i,\ell}^N(t) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \left(\hat{E}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}(t) + \hat{E}_{\ell,i}^N(t) \right) - \sum_{\ell=1}^L \left(\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,1}(t) + \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}(t) + \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

where $\hat{E}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}(t)$ and $\hat{E}_{\ell,i}^N(t)$ are defined in (7.3) and (7.4), respectively, and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,1}(t) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{I_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\eta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_\ell^{0,k}(\eta_{k,\ell}^0)=i} - N \bar{I}_\ell(0) \int_0^t p_{\ell,i}(s) dF_0(s) \right), \\ \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}(t) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{E_\ell^N(0)} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^{0,k}(\zeta_{k,\ell}^0)=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\zeta_{k,\ell}^0 + \eta_{-k,\ell'} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^{0,k}(\eta_{-k,\ell'})=i} \right) - N \bar{E}_\ell(0) H_{\ell,i}^0(t) \right), \\ \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{A_\ell^N(t)} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} \leq t} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L \mathbf{1}_{Y_\ell^j(\zeta_{j,\ell})=\ell'} \mathbf{1}_{\tau_{j,\ell}^N + \zeta_{j,\ell} + \eta_{j,\ell'} \leq t} \mathbf{1}_{X_{\ell'}^j(\eta_{j,\ell'})=i} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - N \lambda_\ell \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(t-s) \hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) ds \right), \end{aligned}$$

and $\hat{M}_{I,\ell,i}^N(t)$ is as defined in (5.6) for the SIR model.

For the process $\hat{R}_i^N(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_i^N(t) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^L \lambda_\ell \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(t-s) \hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell \neq i} \int_0^t \left(\nu_{R,\ell,i} \hat{R}_\ell^N(s) - \nu_{R,i,\ell} \hat{R}_i^N(s) \right) ds + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \left(\hat{M}_{R,\ell,i}^N(t) - \hat{M}_{R,i,\ell}^N(t) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \left(\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,1}(t) + \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}(t) + \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

where $\hat{M}_{R,\ell,i}^N(t)$ is as defined in (5.8) for the SIR model.

The convergence of the processes \hat{E}_i^N follows the same argument for that of \hat{I}_i^N in the SIR model, so we focus on the convergence of \hat{I}_i^N in the SEIR model which is given in (7.5). First, as in Lemma 5.1, we have the joint convergence of the following martingales, whose proof is omitted.

Lemma 7.1. *Under Assumption 3.3,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\hat{M}_{A,i}^N, \hat{M}_{E,\ell,i}^N, \hat{M}_{S,\ell,i}^N, \hat{M}_{I,\ell,i}^N, \hat{M}_{R,\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L, \ell \neq i \right) \\ & \Rightarrow \left(\hat{M}_{A,i}, \hat{M}_{E,\ell,i}, \hat{M}_{S,\ell,i}, \hat{M}_{I,\ell,i}, \hat{M}_{R,\ell,i}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L, \ell \neq i \right) \quad \text{in } D^{L+4L(L-1)} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the limits are as given in Theorem 3.2.

We now prove the convergence of the components associated with the initially exposed and infected individuals.

Lemma 7.2. *Under Assumptions 3.1 and 3.3,*

$(\hat{E}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}, \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,1}, \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \Rightarrow (\hat{E}_{\ell,i}^0, \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,1}, \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,2}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L)$ in D^{3L^2} as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where the limits are as given in Theorem 3.2.

Proof. The convergence of $(\hat{E}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L)$ and $(\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,1}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L)$ follows from the same argument as $(\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L)$ in Lemma 5.2 for the SIR model. We focus on the process $\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}$. We again apply Theorem 13.5 in [5]. By direct calculations, we obtain for $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(i\vartheta \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}(t) \right) \right] \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(i\vartheta \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,2}(t) \right) \right] = \exp \left(-\frac{\vartheta^2}{2} \bar{E}_\ell(0) H_{\ell,i}^0(t) (1 - H_{\ell,i}^0(t)) \right)$$

and for $t > t' \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(i\vartheta \left(\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}(t) - \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}(t') \right) \right) \right] \\ & \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(i\vartheta \left(\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,2}(t) - \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,2}(t') \right) \right) \right] = \exp \left(-\frac{\vartheta^2}{2} \bar{E}_\ell(0) (H_{\ell,i}^0(t) - H_{\ell,i}^0(t')) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and then establish the convergence of finite dimensional distributions of $\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}$.

Also, we obtain for $t' \leq t \leq t''$ and for $N \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}(t') - \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}(t) \right|^2 \left| \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}(t'') - \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}(t) \right|^2 \right] \leq C(\phi(t) - \phi(t'))(\phi(t'') - \phi(t)) \leq C(\phi(t'') - \phi(t'))^2$$

where $\phi(t) = \int_0^t \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(s) \int_0^{t-s} p_{\ell',i}(u) dF(u) dG_0(s)$. Note that since G_0 is continuous, this function $\phi(t)$ is a nonnegative, nondecreasing and continuous function.

This proves the convergence of $\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2} \Rightarrow \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{0,2}$ in D as $N \rightarrow \infty$. For the joint convergence of $\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}$ and $\hat{I}_{\ell',i'}^{N,0,2}$, we can follow a similar argument as in the proof of the joint convergence $(\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L)$ for the SIR model in Lemma 5.2. For the joint convergence of $(\hat{E}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}, \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,1}, \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L)$, by the independence of the variables associated with $I_\ell^N(0)$ and $E_\ell^N(0)$, it suffices to show the joint convergence $(\hat{E}_{\ell,i}^{N,0}, \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^{N,0,2}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L)$, which also follows similarly as in Lemma 5.2.

Finally for the continuity of the limit processes, it suffices to show the continuity in the quadratic mean [16], which follows from the continuity of the covariance functions. \square

We next prove the convergence of the components associated with the newly exposed individuals.

Lemma 7.3. *Under Assumptions 3.1 and 3.3,*

$$(\hat{E}_{\ell,i}^N, \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \Rightarrow (\hat{E}_{\ell,i}, \hat{I}_{\ell,i}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \quad \text{in } D^{2L^2} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty, \quad (7.7)$$

where the limits are as given in Theorem 3.2.

Proof. The convergence of $(\hat{E}_{\ell,i}^N, \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L)$ follows from a similar argument as that of $(\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L)$ for the SIR model in Lemma 7.3. It uses the PRM $\check{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\vartheta)$ and the representation in (3.5). In particular, we can write

$$\hat{E}_{\ell,i}^N(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_0^{t-s} \int_{\{i\}} \mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_\ell \Phi_\ell^N(s)} \check{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\vartheta).$$

Define the auxiliary process

$$\tilde{E}_{\ell,i}^N(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_0^{t-s} \int_{\{i\}} \mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_\ell N \Phi_\ell(s)} \check{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\vartheta), \quad (7.8)$$

where $\Phi_\ell(t)$ is given in (3.12). It can be proved in the convergence of $\hat{E}_{\ell,i}^N$, we also have

$$\hat{E}_{\ell,i}^N - \tilde{E}_{\ell,i}^N \Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } D \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

for each $\ell, i = 1, \dots, L$.

We focus on the convergence of $\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t)$. Recall the PRM $\tilde{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dy, d\vartheta, dz, d\theta)$ and the representation in (3.6). We can then write

$$\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_0^{t-s} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L \int_{\{\ell'\}} \int_0^{t-s-y} \int_{\{\ell\}} \mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_\ell \Phi_\ell^N(s)} \bar{Q}_{i,inf}(ds, du, dy, d\vartheta, dz, d\theta)$$

We define the auxiliary process

$$\tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_0^{t-s} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L \int_{\{\ell'\}} \int_0^{t-s-y} \int_{\{\ell\}} \mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_\ell N \Phi_\ell(s)} \bar{Q}_{i,inf}(ds, du, dy, d\vartheta, dz, d\theta) \quad (7.9)$$

where $\Phi_\ell(t)$ is given in (3.12). Since $\Phi_\ell(t)$ is a deterministic function, then $\tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t)$ is a square-integrable martingale with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_I^N(t) : t \geq 0\}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_I^N(t) = \sigma \{ & A_i^N(s) : 0 \leq s \leq t, i = 1, \dots, L \} \\ & \vee \sigma \left\{ (Y_\ell^j(s), X_\ell^j(s), \zeta_{j,\ell}, \eta_{j,\ell}) : \ell = 1, \dots, L, j = 1, \dots, A_\ell^N(s), 0 \leq s \leq t \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It has the quadratic variation

$$\langle \tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N \rangle(t) = \lambda_\ell \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(t-s) \bar{\Phi}_\ell(s) ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $H_{\ell,i}(t)$ is defined in (3.14), and the cross quadratic variations of the processes $\tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t)$ and $\tilde{I}_{\ell',i'}^N(t)$ satisfy: for $i \neq i'$,

$$\langle \tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \tilde{I}_{\ell',i'}^N \rangle(t) = 0,$$

and for $\ell' \neq \ell$ and any $i, i' = 1, \dots, L$,

$$\langle \tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \tilde{I}_{\ell',i'}^N \rangle(t) = 0.$$

Thus, by the FCLT for martingales (see, e.g., [30]), we obtain

$$(\tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \Rightarrow (\hat{I}_{\ell,i}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \quad \text{in } D^{L^2} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now it remains to show that

$$\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N - \tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N \Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } D \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

for each $\ell, i = 1, \dots, L$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) - \tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t)] &= 0, \\ \mathbb{E}[(\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) - \tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t))^2] &= \lambda_\ell \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(t-s) |\bar{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) - \Phi_\ell(s)| ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the convergence holds by Theorem 3.1 and the dominated convergence theorem.

We next show that the sequence $\{\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N - \tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N\}$ is tight. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) - \tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \int_0^{t-s} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L \int_{\{\ell'\}} \int_0^{t-s-y} \int_{\{\ell\}} \left(\mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_\ell \Phi_\ell^N(s)} - \mathbf{1}_{u \leq \lambda_\ell N \Phi_\ell(s)} \right) \tilde{Q}_{i,inf}(ds, du, dy, d\vartheta, dz, d\theta) \\ & \quad - \lambda_\ell \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(t-s) \hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 5.3, it suffices to prove tightness of the following processes

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_1^N(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \int_0^t \int_{\lambda_\ell N(\bar{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) \vee \Phi_\ell(s))}^{\lambda_\ell N(\bar{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) \wedge \Phi_\ell(s))} \int_0^{t-s} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L \int_{\{\ell'\}} \int_0^{t-s-y} \int_{\{\ell'\}} \tilde{Q}_{i,inf}(ds, du, dy, d\vartheta, dz, d\theta) \\ \Xi_2^N(t) &= \lambda_\ell \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(t-s) |\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)| ds.\end{aligned}$$

By the monotone property of these two processes in t , we then show that for any $\epsilon > 0$, and $\kappa = 1, 2$,

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{P} (|\Xi_\kappa^N(t+\delta) - \Xi_\kappa^N(t)| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0. \quad (7.10)$$

Similar to the derivation in (5.13) of Lemma 5.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \left[|\Xi_1^N(t+\delta) - \Xi_1^N(t)|^2 \right] &\leq 4\lambda_\ell \int_t^{t+\delta} H_{\ell,i}(t+\delta-s) \mathbb{E} [|\bar{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) - \Phi_\ell(s)|] ds + 4\lambda_\ell^2 \delta^2 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} [|\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)|^2] \\ &\quad + 4\lambda_\ell \int_0^t (H_{\ell,i}(t+\delta-s) - H_{\ell,i}(t-s)) \mathbb{E} [|\bar{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) - \Phi_\ell(s)|] ds \\ &\quad + 4\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_\ell \int_0^t (H_{\ell,i}(t+\delta-s) - H_{\ell,i}(t-s)) |\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)| ds \right)^2 \right].\end{aligned} \quad (7.11)$$

The first and third terms converge to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$ by the convergence $\mathbb{E} [|\bar{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) - \Phi_\ell(s)|] \rightarrow 0$ and applying the dominated convergence theorem. By a similar argument as in Lemma 5.3, we also obtain $\limsup_N \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} [|\hat{\Phi}_i^N(s)|^2] < \infty$. By (7.1), we have $|\hat{\Phi}_i^N(s)| \leq |\hat{S}_i^N(s)| + |\hat{E}_i^N(s)| + |\hat{I}_i^N(s)| + |\hat{R}_i^N(s)|$ and then using the the bounds in (5.16) together with those for $\hat{E}_i^N(0)$ and $\hat{M}_{E,i,j}^N$ and applying Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and Gronwall's inequality, we obtain (5.17) together with the bound for $\hat{E}_i^N(t)$, and thus the claim follows.

For the last term in (7.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_\ell \int_0^t (H_{\ell,i}(t+\delta-s) - H_{\ell,i}(t-s)) |\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)| ds \right)^2 \right] &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_0^{t+\delta-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(y) \int_{t-s-y}^{t+\delta-s-y} p_{\ell'i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(y) |\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)| ds \right)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell,\ell'}(y) \int_0^{t+\delta-s-y} p_{\ell'i}(v) dF(v) \right) dG(y) |\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)| ds \right)^2 \right].\end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

We can bound the sum of those two terms by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_\ell L \int_0^t \int_0^{t+\delta-s} (F(t+\delta-s-u) - F(t-s-u)) dG(u) |\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)| ds \right)^2 \right] &\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_\ell L \int_0^t (G(t+\delta-s) - G(t-s)) |\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)| ds \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq (\lambda_\ell L)^2 t \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \left(\int_0^{t+\delta-s} (F(t+\delta-s-u) - F(t-s-u)) dG(u) \right)^2 |\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)|^2 ds \right]\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (\lambda_\ell L)^2 t \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t (G(t+\delta-s) - G(t-s))^2 |\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)|^2 ds \right] \\
& \leq (\lambda_\ell L)^2 T \left(\int_0^t \left(\int_0^{t+\delta-s} (F(t+\delta-s-u) - F(t-s-u)) dG(u) \right)^2 ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^t (G(t+\delta-s) - G(t-s))^2 ds \right) \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)|^2 ds \right].
\end{aligned}$$

By the Lipschitz continuity condition in the case of G_2 and F_2 in Assumption 3.1, say, with Lipschitz coefficient θ' and θ and constants c' and c , respectively, we obtain the bounds for the right hand side:

$$(\lambda_\ell L)^2 T^2 (c^2 \delta^{1+2\theta} + (c')^2 \delta^{1+2\theta'}) \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)|^2 ds \right].$$

Now suppose that both functions G and F are discrete, say, $G(t) = G_1(t) = \sum_k b_k \mathbf{1}_{t \geq s_k}$ for a finite or countable number of b_k and the corresponding s_k such that $\sum_k b_k \leq 1$ and $s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_k < \dots$, and $F(t) = F_1(t) = \sum_i a_i \mathbf{1}(t \geq t_i)$ for a finite or countable number of positive numbers a_i and the corresponding t_i such that $\sum_i a_i \leq 1$ and $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k < \dots$. The first term on the right hand side of (7.12) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_\ell L \sum_k \int_0^t \int_0^{t+\delta-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell, \ell'}(u) \sum_k p_{\ell', i}(t_k) a_k \mathbf{1}_{t-s-t_k < u < t+\delta-s-t_k} \right) dG(u) |\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)| ds \right)^2 \right] \\
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_\ell \sum_k a_k \sup_{k'} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell, \ell'}(s_{k'}) b_{k'} p_{\ell, i}(t_k) \int_{t_k-\delta}^{t_k} |\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(t-s)| ds \right)^2 \right] \\
& \leq \left(\lambda_\ell \sum_k a_k \sup_{k'} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell, \ell'}(s_{k'}) b_{k'} p_{\ell, i}(t_k) \right)^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_{t_k-\delta}^{t_k} |\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(t-s)| ds \right)^2 \right] \\
& \leq \delta \left(\lambda_\ell \sum_k a_k \sup_{k'} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell, \ell'}(s_{k'}) b_{k'} p_{\ell, i}(t_k) \right)^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{t_k-\delta}^{t_k} |\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(t-s)|^2 ds \right] \\
& \leq \delta^2 \left(\lambda_\ell \sum_k a_k \sup_{k'} \sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell, \ell'}(s_{k'}) b_{k'} p_{\ell, i}(t_k) \right)^2 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)|^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the second term on the right hand side of (7.12) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_\ell \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell, \ell'}(u) \sup_k p_{\ell', i}(t_k) a_k \right) dG(u) |\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)| ds \right)^2 \right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_\ell \sum_{k'} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell, \ell'}(s_{k'}) b_{k'} \mathbf{1}_{t-s < s_{k'} < t+\delta-s} \sup_k p_{\ell', i}(t_k) a_k \right) \int_{s_{k'}-\delta}^{s_{k'}} |\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(t-s)| ds \right)^2 \right] \\
& \leq \delta^2 \lambda_\ell^2 \left(\sum_{k'} \left(\sum_{\ell'=1}^L q_{\ell, \ell'}(s_{k'}) b_{k'} \mathbf{1}_{t-s < s_{k'} < t+\delta-s} \sup_k p_{\ell', i}(t_k) a_k \right) \right)^2 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)|^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, in both cases, we obtain

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_\ell \int_0^t (H_{\ell, i}(t+\delta-s) - H_{\ell, i}(t-s)) |\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)| ds \right)^2 \right] = 0. \quad (7.13)$$

The other cases where G (resp. F) is discrete and F (resp. G) is Lipschitz continuous can be treated similarly without difficulty. Thus, (7.10) holds for Ξ_1^N . Consider next

$$\Xi_2^N(t) = \lambda_\ell \int_0^t H_{\ell,i}(t-s) |\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)| ds.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[|\Xi_2^N(t+\delta) - \Xi_2^N(t)|^2 \right] &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_\ell \int_t^{t+\delta} H_{\ell,i}(t+\delta-s) \hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) ds \right)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_\ell \int_0^t (H_{\ell,i}(t+\delta-s) - H_{\ell,i}(t-s)) \hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s) ds \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq 4\lambda_1^2 \delta^2 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[|\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) - \Phi_1(s)|^2 \right] \\ &\quad + 4\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\lambda_1 \int_0^t \int_{t-s}^{t+\delta-s} p_{1,1}(u) dF(u) |\bar{\Phi}_1^N(s) - \Phi_1(s)| ds \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Here the first term is bounded by $2\lambda_\ell^2 \delta^2 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[|\hat{\Phi}_\ell^N(s)|^2 \right]$ and the second term is treated as above in (7.13). This completes the proof of (7.10), and thus the convergence

$$(\hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \Rightarrow (\hat{I}_{\ell,i}, \ell, i = 1, \dots, L) \quad \text{in } D^{L^2} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

It remains to prove the joint convergence of $(\hat{E}_{\ell,i}^N, \hat{I}_{\ell,i}^N)$, for which it suffices to prove the joint convergence of $(\tilde{E}_{\ell,i}^N, \tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N)$. Recall the representations of them in (7.8) and (7.9) using the PRMs $\tilde{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dv, d\vartheta)$ and $\tilde{Q}_{\ell,inf}(ds, du, dy, d\vartheta, dz, d\theta)$, respectively. By their definitions of the two PRMs, we can regard $\tilde{Q}_{\ell,inf}$ as projections of $\tilde{Q}_{\ell,inf}$ from $\mathbb{R}_+^3 \times \{1, \dots, L\} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \{1, \dots, L\}$ onto $\mathbb{R}_+^3 \times \{1, \dots, L\}$. Thus it is straightforward to prove the convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions of $(\tilde{E}_{\ell,i}^N, \tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N)$ by computing

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(i\theta_1 \tilde{E}_{\ell,i}^N(t_1) + i\theta_2 \tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N(t_2) \right) \right] \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(i\theta_1 \tilde{E}_{\ell,i}(t_1) + i\theta_2 \tilde{I}_{\ell,i}(t_2) \right) \right] \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

and their extensions to multiple time points. Since tightness of $(\tilde{E}_{\ell,i}^N, \tilde{I}_{\ell,i}^N)$ follows from that of each individual process, we can conclude their joint convergence in D^{L^2} . This completes the proof. \square

Completing the proof of Theorem 2.2. We observe the correspondence of the processes $\hat{\Phi}_i^N$ in (7.1), and $\hat{S}_i^N, \hat{E}_i^N, \hat{I}_i^N, \hat{R}_i^N$ in (5.4), (7.2), (7.5), and (7.6) with the mapping \tilde{F} defined below in Lemma 8.3. We have shown the convergence of the components associated with the initial variables, newly exposed individuals, and migration processes in Lemmas 7.2, 7.3, 7.1, which are all mutually independent. Thus, given the convergence of the initial variables in Assumption 3.3, we can apply the continuous mapping theorem to the map \tilde{F} with $m = L$, and conclude the joint convergence of the processes $(\hat{S}_i^N, \hat{E}_i^N, \hat{I}_i^N, \hat{R}_i^N)$. \square

8. APPENDIX

In this section we define several integral mappings and prove their continuity in the Skorohod J_1 topology.

Define the m -dimensional integral mapping $\Upsilon : (y_1, \dots, y_m, z_1, \dots, z_m) \in D^{2m} \rightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in D^m$, where the y_j 's are nondecreasing:

$$x_i(t) = x_i(0) + y_i(t) + z_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^t \phi_{j,i}(t-s) dy_j(s) + \sum_{j \neq i} \int_0^t (a_{j,i} x_j(s) - b_{i,j} x_i(s)) ds, \quad (8.1)$$

for given constants $a_{i,j}, b_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ and fixed functions $\phi_{i,j}$ in D , $i, j = 1, \dots, m$. Let $\tilde{\Upsilon}$ be the mapping without $y_i(t)$ terms but with the integral with respect to $y_i(t)$ only. This is an extension of the mapping in Section 8.1 of [24], whose proof can be easily extended to this mapping. Thus we omit the proof for brevity.

Lemma 8.1. *Given $(y_1, \dots, y_m, z_1, \dots, z_m) \in D^{2m}$ with (y_1, \dots, y_m) being nondecreasing, $a_{i,j}, b_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$, $\phi_{i,j} \in D$ in D , $i, j = 1, \dots, m$, and $(x_1(0), \dots, x_m(0)) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, there exists a unique solution $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in D^m$ to the integral mapping Υ . If $(y_1, \dots, y_m, z_1, \dots, z_m) \in C^{2m}$, then $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in C^m$. The map Υ is continuous in the Skorohod J_1 topology, that is, if $(y_1^n, \dots, y_m^n, z_1^n, \dots, z_m^n) \rightarrow (y_1, \dots, y_m, z_1, \dots, z_m)$ in D^{2m} , then $(x_1^n, \dots, x_m^n) = \Upsilon(y_1^n, \dots, y_m^n, z_1^n, \dots, z_m^n) \rightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ in D^m , and if, in addition, $(y_1, \dots, y_m, z_1, \dots, z_m) \in C^{2m}$, then $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in C^m$, and the convergence holds uniformly on compacts. The same conclusions hold for the mapping $\tilde{\Upsilon}$.*

We next define a $3m$ -dimensional integral mapping F : given $a_i, b_i, c_i, \phi_i, \psi_i, \varphi_i \in D$, some constants $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i > 0$ and functions $F_{\ell,i}$ for $\ell, i = 1, \dots, m$, let x_i, y_i, z_i be the solutions to the following set of integral equations:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i(t) &= x_i(0) + \phi_i(t) - \int_0^t (a_i(s)x_i(s) + b_i(s)y_i(s) + c_i(s)z_i(s))ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^m \int_0^t (\alpha_{\ell,i}x_\ell(s) - \alpha_{i,\ell}x_i(s))ds, \\ y_i(t) &= y_i(0) + \psi_i(t) + \int_0^t (a_i(s)x_i(s) + b_i(s)y_i(s) + c_i(s)z_i(s))ds \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^m \int_0^t F_{\ell,i}(t-s)(a_\ell(s)x_\ell(s) + b_\ell(s)y_\ell(s) + c_\ell(s)z_\ell(s))ds, \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^m \int_0^t (\beta_{\ell,i}y_\ell(s) - \beta_{i,\ell}y_i(s))ds, \\ z_i(t) &= z_i(0) + \varphi_i(t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \int_0^t F_{\ell,i}(t-s)(a_\ell(s)x_\ell(s) + b_\ell(s)y_\ell(s) + c_\ell(s)z_\ell(s))ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^m \int_0^t (\gamma_{\ell,i}z_\ell(s) - \gamma_{i,\ell}z_i(s))ds. \end{aligned}$$

In the next lemma, we study the existence and uniqueness of its solution and the continuity property.

Lemma 8.2. *Assume that $F_{\ell,i}$, $\ell, i = 1, \dots, m$ are bounded and continuous functions satisfying $F_{\ell,i}(0) = 0$, and let the constants $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i > 0$ and the functions $\phi_i, \psi_i, \varphi_i$ be given. There exists a unique solution $(x_i, y_i, z_i, i = 1, \dots, m) \in D^{3m}$ to the set of integrable equations defining the mapping F . The mapping is continuous in the Skorohod J_1 topology, that is, if $(a_i^n, b_i^n, c_i^n, \phi_i^n, \psi_i^n, \varphi_i^n, i = 1, \dots, m) \rightarrow (a_i, b_i, c_i, \phi_i, \psi_i, \varphi_i, i = 1, \dots, m)$ in $D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^{6m})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $(x_i^n(0), y_i^n(0), z_i^n(0), i = 1, \dots, m) \rightarrow (x_i(0), y_i(0), z_i(0), i = 1, \dots, m)$, then $(x_i^n, y_i^n, z_i^n, i = 1, \dots, m) \rightarrow (x_i, y_i, z_i, i = 1, \dots, m)$ in $D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^{3m})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In addition, if $\phi_i, \psi_i, \varphi_i$ are continuous, then $(x_i, y_i, z_i, i = 1, \dots, m) \in C^{3m}$ and the mapping F is continuous uniformly on compact sets in $[0, T]$.*

Proof. For the existence and uniqueness of solutions, we can apply the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem, and modify the proofs in Theorems 1.2 and 2.3 in Chapter II of [23] (where these results are shown for Volterra integral equations with continuous functions).

We now prove the the continuity of the mapping in the Skorohod J_1 topology. Note that functions in D are necessarily bounded. For the given functions $\phi_i, \psi_i, \varphi_i \in D$, let T be a common continuity

point. Then there exists increasing homeomorphisms λ^n on $[0, T]$ such that $\|\lambda^n - e\|_T \rightarrow 0$, $\|\phi_i^n - \phi_i \circ \lambda^n\|_T \rightarrow 0$, $\|\psi_i^n - \psi_i \circ \lambda^n\|_T \rightarrow 0$, $\|\varphi_i^n - \varphi_i \circ \lambda^n\|_T \rightarrow 0$, $\|a_i^n - a_i \circ \lambda^n\|_T \rightarrow 0$, $\|b_i^n - b_i \circ \lambda^n\|_T \rightarrow 0$, and $\|c_i^n - c_i \circ \lambda^n\|_T \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Here $e(t) := t$ for all $t \geq 0$. It also suffices to consider homeomorphisms λ^n that are absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $[0, T]$ having derivatives $\dot{\lambda}^n$ satisfying $\|\dot{\lambda}^n - 1\|_T \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let C_0 be some constant such that $\sup_{\ell, i} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |F_{\ell, i}(t)| \leq C_0$.

For brevity, we consider one of the functions, y_1 , since the others can be treated similarly. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |y_1^n(t) - y_1(\lambda^n(t))| \\
& \leq |y_1^n(0) - y_1(0)| + \|\psi_1^n - \psi_1 \circ \lambda^n\|_T \\
& \quad + \left| \int_0^t (a_1^n(s)x_1^n(s) + b_1^n(s)y_1^n(s) + c_1^n(s)z_1^n(s))ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \int_0^{\lambda^n(t)} (a_1(s)x_1(s) + b_1(s)y_1(s) + c_1(s)z_1(s))ds \right| \\
& \quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \left| \int_0^t F_{\ell, 1}(t-s)(a_\ell^n(s)x_\ell^n(s) + b_\ell^n(s)y_\ell^n(s) + c_\ell^n(s)z_\ell^n(s))ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \int_0^{\lambda^n(t)} F_{\ell, 1}(\lambda^n(t)-s)(a_\ell(s)x_\ell(s) + b_\ell(s)y_\ell(s) + c_\ell(s)z_\ell(s))ds \right| \\
& \quad + \sum_{\ell=2}^m \left| \int_0^t (\beta_{\ell, 1}y_\ell^n(s) - \beta_{1, \ell}y_1^n(s))ds - \int_0^{\lambda^n(t)} (\beta_{\ell, 1}y_\ell(s) - \beta_{1, \ell}y_1(s))ds \right|. \tag{8.2}
\end{aligned}$$

By the change of variables for the second integral, and by the boundedness of the functions x_1^n, y_1^n, z_1^n and $a_1(\lambda^n(s)), b_1(\lambda^n(s)), c_1(\lambda^n(s))$, the third term on the right hand side of (8.2) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\dot{\lambda}^n - 1\|_T \int_0^T |a_1(s)x_1(s) + b_1(s)y_1(s) + c_1(s)z_1(s)|ds \\
& + \int_0^t \left(|a_1^n(s) - a_1(\lambda^n(s))||x_1^n(s)| + |b_1^n(s) - b_1(\lambda^n(s))||y_1^n(s)| + |c_1^n(s) - c_1(\lambda^n(s))||z_1^n(s)| \right) ds \\
& + \int_0^t \left(|a_1(\lambda^n(s))||x_1(\lambda^n(s)) - x_1(s)| + |b_1(\lambda^n(s))||y_1(\lambda^n(s)) - y_1(s)| + |c_1(\lambda^n(s))||z_1(\lambda^n(s)) - z_1(s)| \right) ds \\
& \leq \|\dot{\lambda}^n - 1\|_T \int_0^T |a_1(s)x_1(s) + b_1(s)y_1(s) + c_1(s)z_1(s)|ds \\
& + C_1 \left(\|a_1^n - a_1 \circ \lambda^n\|_T + \|b_1^n - b_1 \circ \lambda^n\|_T + \|c_1^n - c_1 \circ \lambda^n\|_T \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^t \left(|x_1(\lambda^n(s)) - x_1(s)| + |y_1(\lambda^n(s)) - y_1(s)| + |z_1(\lambda^n(s)) - z_1(s)| \right) ds \right) \tag{8.3}
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant C_1 , where the first term converges to zero since $\|\dot{\lambda}^n - 1\|_T \rightarrow 0$ and the next three terms converge to zero because of the convergences of $a_1^n \rightarrow a_1$, $b_1^n \rightarrow b_1$ and $c_1^n \rightarrow c_1$ in the Skorohod topology.

For the fourth term on the right hand side of (8.2), by the change of variables for the second integrals, we bound the term with $\ell = 1$ by

$$\|\dot{\lambda}^n - 1\|_T \int_0^T |F_{1, 1}(\lambda^n(t) - \lambda^n(s))||a_1(s)x_1(s) + b_1(s)y_1(s) + c_1(s)z_1(s)|ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^t |F_{1,1}(t-s) - F_{1,1}(\lambda^n(t) - \lambda^n(s))| |a_1^n(s)x_1^n(s) + b_1^n(s)y_1^n(s) + c_1^n(s)z_1^n(s)| ds \\
& + \int_0^t |F_{1,1}(\lambda^n(t) - \lambda^n(s))| |a_1^n(s)x_1^n(s) + b_1^n(s)y_1^n(s) + c_1^n(s)z_1^n(s) \\
& \quad - a_1(\lambda^n(s))x_1(\lambda^n(s)) - b_1(\lambda^n(s))y_1(\lambda^n(s)) - c_1(\lambda^n(s))z_1(\lambda^n(s))| ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the first two terms converge to zero since $\|\dot{\lambda}^n - 1\|_T \rightarrow 0$ and $F_{1,1}$ is continuous, respectively, and also because the functions in D are bounded over $[0, T]$. For the third term, similar to the second and third terms in (8.3), it can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_0 C_1 \left(\|a_1^n - a_1 \circ \lambda^n\|_T + \|b_1^n - b_1 \circ \lambda^n\|_T + \|c_1^n - c_1 \circ \lambda^n\|_T \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_0^t (|x_1(\lambda^n(s)) - x_1(s)| + |y_1(\lambda^n(s)) - y_1(s)| + |z_1(\lambda^n(s)) - z_1(s)|) ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For the last term on the right hand side of (8.2), again by the change of variables, we can bound the ℓ -component by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\dot{\lambda}^n - 1\|_T \int_0^T |\beta_{\ell,1} y_\ell(\lambda^n(s)) - \beta_{1,\ell} y_1(\lambda^n(s))| ds \\
& + \beta_{\ell,1} \int_0^t |y_\ell^n(s) - y_\ell(\lambda^n(s))| ds + \beta_{1,\ell} \int_0^t |y_1^n(s) - y_1(\lambda^n(s))| ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the continuity property in the Skorohod J_1 topology holds by applying Gronwall's inequality to the set of integral inequalities for x_i, y_i, z_i . The uniform continuity property on compacts when the functions are continuous is straightforward. This completes the proof. \square

Finally, we define a $4m$ -dimensional integral mapping \tilde{F} : given $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i, \phi_i, \psi_i, \varphi_i, \chi_i \in D$, some constants $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \kappa_i > 0$ and functions $F_{\ell,i}, G_{\ell,i}$ for $\ell, i = 1, \dots, m$, let x_i, y_i, z_i, w_i be the solutions to the following integral mapping:

$$\begin{aligned}
x_i(t) &= x_i(0) + \phi_i(t) - \int_0^t (a_i(s)x_i(s) + b_i(s)y_i(s) + c_i(s)z_i(s) + d_i(s)w_i(s)) ds \\
& \quad + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^m \int_0^t (\alpha_{\ell,i} x_\ell(s) - \alpha_{i,\ell} x_i(s)) ds \\
y_i(t) &= y_i(0) + \psi_i(t) + \int_0^t (a_i(s)x_i(s) + b_i(s)y_i(s) + c_i(s)z_i(s) + d_i(s)w_i(s)) ds \\
& \quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^m \int_0^t F_{\ell,i}(t-s) (a_\ell(s)x_\ell(s) + b_\ell(s)y_\ell(s) + c_\ell(s)z_\ell(s) + d_\ell(s)w_\ell(s)) ds \\
& \quad + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^m \int_0^t (\beta_{\ell,i} y_\ell(s) - \beta_{i,\ell} y_i(s)) ds \\
z_i(t) &= z_i(0) + \varphi_i(t) - \sum_{\ell=1}^m \int_0^t F_{\ell,i}(t-s) (a_\ell(s)x_\ell(s) + b_\ell(s)y_\ell(s) + c_\ell(s)z_\ell(s) + d_\ell(s)w_\ell(s)) ds \\
& \quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^m \int_0^t G_{\ell,i}(t-s) (a_\ell(s)x_\ell(s) + b_\ell(s)y_\ell(s) + c_\ell(s)z_\ell(s) + d_\ell(s)w_\ell(s)) ds \\
& \quad + \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^m \int_0^t (\gamma_{\ell,i} z_\ell(s) - \gamma_{i,\ell} z_i(s)) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
w_i(t) &= w_i(0) + \chi_i(t) + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \int_0^t F_{\ell,i}(t-s)(a_\ell(s)x_\ell(s) + b_\ell(s)y_\ell(s) + c_\ell(s)z_\ell(s) + d_\ell(s)w_\ell(s))ds \\
&+ \sum_{\ell=1}^m \int_0^t G_{\ell,i}(t-s)(a_\ell(s)x_\ell(s) + b_\ell(s)y_\ell(s) + c_\ell(s)z_\ell(s) + d_\ell(s)w_\ell(s))ds \\
&+ \sum_{\ell=1, \ell \neq i}^m \int_0^t (\kappa_{\ell,i}w_\ell(s) - \kappa_{i,\ell}w_i(s))ds.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the following lemma is similar to that of Lemma 8.2 and is omitted.

Lemma 8.3. *Assume that $F_{\ell,i}$ and $G_{\ell,i}$, $\ell, i = 1, \dots, m$ are measurable, bounded and continuous functions satisfying $F_{\ell,i}(0) = 0$ and $G_{\ell,i}(0) = 0$, and let the constants $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \kappa_i > 0$ and the functions $\phi_i, \psi_i, \varphi_i, \chi_i$ be given. There exists a unique solution $(x_i, y_i, z_i, w_i, i = 1, \dots, m) \in D^{4m}$ to the set of integrable equations defining the mapping \tilde{F} . The mapping is continuous in the Skorohod J_1 topology, that is, if $(a_i^n, b_i^n, c_i^n, d_i^n, \phi_i^n, \psi_i^n, \varphi_i^n, \chi_i^n, i = 1, \dots, m) \rightarrow (a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i, \phi_i, \psi_i, \varphi_i, \chi_i, i = 1, \dots, m)$ in $D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^{8m})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $(x_i^n(0), y_i^n(0), z_i^n(0), w_i^n(0), i = 1, \dots, m) \rightarrow (x_i(0), y_i(0), z_i(0), w_i(0), i = 1, \dots, m)$, then $(x_i^n, y_i^n, z_i^n, w_i^n, i = 1, \dots, m) \rightarrow (x_i, y_i, z_i, w_i, i = 1, \dots, m)$ in $D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^{4m})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In addition, if $\phi_i, \psi_i, \varphi_i, \chi_i$ are continuous, then $(x_i, y_i, z_i, w_i, i = 1, \dots, m) \in C^{4m}$ and the mapping \tilde{F} is continuous uniformly on compact sets in $[0, T]$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Hakan Andersson and Tom Britton. *Stochastic epidemic models and their statistical analysis*, volume 151. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [2] Frank Ball. A unified approach to the distribution of total size and total area under the trajectory of infectives in epidemic models. *Advances in Applied Probability*, 18(2):289–310, 1986.
- [3] Frank Ball and Damian Clancy. The final size and severity of a generalised stochastic multitype epidemic model. *Advances in applied probability*, 25(4):721–736, 1993.
- [4] Derdei Bichara and Abderrahman Iggidr. Multi-patch and multi-group epidemic models: a new framework. *Journal of mathematical biology*, 77(1):107–134, 2018.
- [5] Patrick Billingsley. *Convergence of probability measures*. John Wiley & Sons, 1999.
- [6] Fred Brauer. On a nonlinear integral equation for population growth problems. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 6(2):312–317, 1975.
- [7] Fred Brauer, Carlos Castillo-Chavez, and Zhilan Feng. *Mathematical Models in Epidemiology*. Springer, 2019.
- [8] Tom Britton and Etienne Pardoux eds. *Stochastic epidemic models with inference*. Springer, 2019.
- [9] Damian Clancy. Sir epidemic models with general infectious period distribution. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 85:1–5, 2014.
- [10] Kenneth L Cooke. An epidemic equation with immigration. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 29(1-2):135–158, 1976.
- [11] Mamadou L Diagne, Ousmane Seydi, and Aissata AB Sy. A two-group age of infection epidemic model with periodic behavioral changes. *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems-B*, 22(11):0, 2019.
- [12] Odo Diekmann. Limiting behaviour in an epidemic model. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 1(5):459–470, 1977.
- [13] Zoltan Fodor, Sandor D. Katz, and Tamas S. Kovacs. Why integral equations should be used instead of differential equations to describe the dynamics of epidemics. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2004.07208.pdf>, 2020.
- [14] Raphaël Forien, Guodong Pang, and Étienne Pardoux. Estimating the state of the covid-19 epidemic in France using non-Markovian models - basic prediction tools. *working paper*, 2020.
- [15] A Gómez-Corral and M López-García. On SIR epidemic models with generally distributed infectious periods: Number of secondary cases and probability of infection. *International Journal of Biomathematics*, 10(02):1750024, 2017.
- [16] Marjorie G Hahn. Central limit theorems in $D[0, 1]$. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verwandte Gebiete*, 44(2):89–101, 1978.
- [17] Herbert W Hethcote and Pauline van den Driessche. An SIS epidemic model with variable population size and a delay. *Journal of mathematical biology*, 34(2):177–194, 1995.
- [18] Wenzhang Huang, Kenneth L Cooke, and Carlos Castillo-Chavez. Stability and bifurcation for a multiple-group model for the dynamics of hiv/aids transmission. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 52(3):835–854, 1992.

- [19] Aberrahman Iggidr, Gauthier Sallet, and Max O Souza. On the dynamics of a class of multi-group models for vector-borne diseases. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and applications*, 441(2):723–743, 2016.
- [20] Pierre Magal and Connell McCluskey. Two-group infection age model including an application to nosocomial infection. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 73(2):1058–1095, 2013.
- [21] Pierre Magal, Ousmane Seydi, and Glenn Webb. Final size of an epidemic for a two-group sir model. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 76(5):2042–2059, 2016.
- [22] Pierre Magal, Ousmane Seydi, and Glenn Webb. Final size of a multi-group sir epidemic model: Irreducible and non-irreducible modes of transmission. *Mathematical biosciences*, 301:59–67, 2018.
- [23] R. K. Miller. *Nonlinear Volterra Integral Equations*. Benjamin Press, Menlo Park, Cal., 1971.
- [24] Guodong Pang and Étienne Pardoux. Functional limit theorems for non-Markovian epidemic models. *Working paper*, arXiv:2003.03249, 2020.
- [25] Guodong Pang, Rishi Talreja, and Ward Whitt. Martingale proofs of many-server heavy-traffic limits for markovian queues. *Probability Surveys*, 4:193–267, 2007.
- [26] Étienne Pardoux. *Markov processes and applications: algorithms, networks, genome and finance*, volume 796. John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- [27] Melanie Prague, Linda Wittkop, Quentin Clairon, Dan Dutartre, Rodolphe Thiebaut, and Boris Pierre Hejblum. Population modeling of early COVID-19 epidemic dynamics in French regions and estimation of the lockdown impact on infection rate. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.21.20073536>, 2020.
- [28] Gesine Reinert. The asymptotic evolution of the general stochastic epidemic. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 5(4):1061–1086, 1995.
- [29] Pauline van den Driessche and James Watmough. A simple sis epidemic model with a backward bifurcation. *Journal of Mathematical Biology*, 40(6):525–540, 2000.
- [30] Ward Whitt. Proofs of the martingale FCLT. *Probability Surveys*, 4:268–302, 2007.

THE HAROLD AND INGE MARCUS DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL AND MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING, COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802 USA
E-mail address: gup3@psu.edu

AIX-MARSEILLE UNIVERSITÉ, CNRS, CENTRALE MARSEILLE, I2M, UMR 7373 13453 MARSEILLE, FRANCE
E-mail address: etienne.pardoux@univ.amu.fr