

GRAPH THEORY AND PROBABILITY

P. ERDÖS

A well-known theorem of Ramsay (8; 9) states that to every n there exists a smallest integer $g(n)$ so that every graph of $g(n)$ vertices contains either a set of n independent points or a complete graph of order n , but there exists a graph of $g(n) - 1$ vertices which does not contain a complete subgraph of n vertices and also does not contain a set of n independent points. (A graph is called complete if every two of its vertices are connected by an edge; a set of points is called independent if no two of its points are connected by an edge.) The determination of $g(n)$ seems a very difficult problem; the best inequalities for $g(n)$ are (3)

$$(1) \quad 2^{1/n} < g(n) \leq \binom{2n-2}{n-1}.$$

It is not even known that $g(n)^{1/n}$ tends to a limit. The lower bound in (1) has been obtained by combinatorial and probabilistic arguments without an explicit construction.

In our paper (5) with Szekeres $f(k, l)$ is defined as the least integer so that every graph having $f(k, l)$ vertices contains either a complete graph of order k or a set of l independent points ($f(k, k) = g(k)$). Szekeres proved

$$(2) \quad f(k, l) \leq \binom{k+l-2}{k-1}.$$

Thus for

$$k = 3, f(3, l) \leq \binom{l+1}{2}.$$

I recently proved by an explicit construction that $f(3, l) > l^{1+c_1}$ (4). By probabilistic arguments I can prove that for $k > 3$

$$(3) \quad f(k, l) > l \binom{k+l-2}{k-1}^{c_2},$$

which shows that (2) is not very far from being best possible.

Define now $h(k, l)$ as the least integer so that every graph of $h(k, l)$ vertices contains either a closed circuit of k or fewer lines, or that the graph contains a set of l independent points. Clearly $h(3, l) = f(3, l)$.

By probabilistic arguments we are going to prove that for fixed k and sufficiently large l

$$(4) \quad h(k, l) > l^{1+1/2k}.$$

Further we shall prove that

Received December 13, 1957.

$$(5) \quad h(2k + 1, l) < c_3 l^{1+1/k}, h(2k + 2, l) < c_3 l^{1+1/k}.$$

A graph is called r chromatic if its vertices can be coloured by r colours so that no two vertices of the same colour are connected; also its vertices cannot be coloured in this way by $r - 1$ colours. Tutte (1, 2) first showed that for every r there exists an r chromatic graph which contains no triangle and Kelly (6) showed that for every r there exists an r chromatic graph which contains no k -gon for $k \leq 5$. (Tutte's result was rediscovered several times, for instance, by Mycielski (7). It was asked if such graphs exist for every k .) Now (4) clearly shows that this holds for every k and in fact that there exists a graph of n vertices of chromatic number $> n^\epsilon$ which contains no closed circuit of fewer than k edges.

Now we prove (4). Let n be a large number,

$$0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{k}$$

is arbitrary. Put $m = [n^{1+\epsilon}]$ ($[x]$ denotes the integral part of x , that is, the greatest integer not exceeding x), $p = [n^{1-\eta}]$ where $0 < \eta < \epsilon/2$ is arbitrary. Let $\mathfrak{G}^{(n)}$ be the complete graph of n vertices x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and $\mathfrak{G}^{(p)}$ any of its complete subgraphs having p vertices. Clearly we can choose $\mathfrak{G}^{(p)}$ in $\binom{n}{p}$ ways. Let

$$\mathfrak{G}_\alpha^{(n)}, 1 \leq \alpha \leq \binom{\binom{n}{2}}{m}$$

be an arbitrary subgraph of $\mathfrak{G}^{(n)}$ having m edges (the number of possible choices of α is clearly as indicated).

First of all we show that for almost all α $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha^{(n)}$ has the property that it has more than n common edges with every $\mathfrak{G}^{(p)}$. Almost all here means: for all α 's except for

$$o\left(\binom{\binom{n}{2}}{m}\right).$$

Let the vertices of $\mathfrak{G}^{(p)}$ be x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p . The number of graphs $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha^{(n)}$ containing not more than n of the edges (x_i, x_j) , $1 \leq i < j \leq p$ equals by a simple combinatorial reasoning

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{\binom{p}{2}}{l} \binom{\binom{n}{2} - \binom{p}{2}}{m-l} < (n+1) \binom{\binom{p}{2}}{n} \binom{\binom{n}{2} - \binom{p}{2}}{m} \\ & < p^{2n} \binom{\binom{n}{2} - \binom{m}{2}}{m} < \binom{\binom{n}{2}}{m} p^{2n} \left(1 - \frac{\binom{p}{2}}{\binom{n}{2}}\right)^m < \binom{\binom{n}{2}}{m} p^{2n} \left(1 - \frac{p^2}{n^2}\right)^m \\ & < \binom{\binom{n}{2}}{m} p^{2n} \exp\left(-\frac{mp^2}{n^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now the number of possible choices for $\mathfrak{G}^{(p)}$ is

$$\binom{n}{p} < n^p < p^n.$$

Thus the number of α 's for which there exists a $\mathfrak{G}^{(p)}$ so that $\mathfrak{G}^{(p)} \cap \mathfrak{G}_\alpha^{(n)}$ has not more than n^ϵ edges is less than $(\eta < \epsilon/2)$

$$\binom{\binom{n}{2}}{m} p^{3n} \exp(-n^{1+\epsilon-2\eta}) = o\left(\binom{\binom{n}{2}}{m}\right)$$

as stated.

Unfortunately almost all of these graphs $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha^{(n)}$ contain closed circuits of length not exceeding k (in fact almost all of them contain triangles). But we shall now prove that almost all $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha^{(n)}$ contain fewer than n/k closed circuits of length not exceeding k .

The number of graphs $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha^{(n)}$ which contain a given closed circuit $(x_1, x_2), (x_2, x_3), \dots, (x_l, x_1)$ clearly equals

$$\binom{\binom{n}{2} - l}{m - l}.$$

The circuit is determined by its vertices and their order—thus there are $n(n-1)\dots(n-l+1)$ such circuits. Therefore the expected number of closed circuits of length not exceeding k equals

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{\binom{n}{2}}{m}^{-1} \sum_{l=3}^k l! \binom{n}{l} \binom{\binom{n}{2} - l}{m - l} &< (1 + \sigma(1)) \sum_{l=3}^k n^l \binom{m}{n}^l \\ &< (1 + o(1)) n^k \frac{(2m)^k}{n^{2k}} = \sigma(n) \end{aligned}$$

since $\epsilon < 1/k$. Therefore, by a simple and well-known argument, the number of the α 's for which $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha^{(n)}$ contains n/k or more closed paths of length not exceeding k is

$$o\left(\binom{\binom{n}{2}}{m}\right),$$

as stated.

Thus we see that for almost all α $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha^{(n)}$ has the following properties: in every $\mathfrak{G}^{(p)}$ it has more than n edges and the number of its closed circuits having k or fewer edges is less than n/k . Omit from $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha^{(n)}$ all the edges contained in a closed circuit of k or fewer edges. By what has just been said we omit fewer than n edges. Thus we obtain a new graph $\mathfrak{G}'_\alpha^{(n)}$ which by construction does not contain a closed circuit of k or fewer edges. Also clearly $\mathfrak{G}'_\alpha^{(n)} \cap \mathfrak{G}^{(p)}$

is not empty for every $\mathfrak{G}^{(p)}$. Thus the maximum number of independent points in $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha^{(n)}$ is less than $p = \lfloor n^{1-\eta} \rfloor$, or

$$h(k, \lfloor n^{1-\eta} \rfloor) > n$$

which proves (4).

By more complicated arguments one can improve (4) considerably; thus for $k = 3$ I can show that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large l

$$f(3, l) = h(3, l) > l^{2-\epsilon},$$

which by (2) is very close to the right order of magnitude.

At the moment I am unable to replace the above "existence proof" by a direct construction.

By using a little more care I can prove by the above method the following result: there exists a (sufficiently small) constant c_4 so that for every k and l

$$(6) \quad h(k, l) > c_4 l^{1+\frac{1}{3k}}.$$

(If $k > c \log l$ (6) is trivial since $h(k, l) \geq l$.)

From (6) it is easy to deduce that to every r there exists a c_5 so that for $n > n_0(r, c_5)$ there exists an r chromatic graph of n vertices which does not contain a closed circuit of fewer than $\lfloor c_5 \log n \rfloor$ edges. I am not sure if this result is best possible.

We do not give the details of the proof of (3) since it is simpler than that of (4). For $k = 3$ (3) follows from (4). If $k > 3$, put

$$m = c_6 \lfloor n^{\frac{2}{k-1}} \rfloor$$

and denote by $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha^{(n)}$ the "random" graph of m edges. By a simple computation it follows that for sufficiently small c_6 , $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha^{(n)}$ does not contain a complete graph of order k for more than

$$0.9 \binom{\binom{n}{2}}{m}$$

values of α , and that for more than this number of values of α $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha^{(n)}$ does not contain a set of $c_7 n^{2/k-1} \log n$ independent points ($c_7 = c_7(c_6)$ is sufficiently large). Thus

$$f(k, c_7 n^{2/k-1} \log n) > n,$$

which implies (3) by a simple computation.

Now we prove (5). It will clearly suffice to prove the first inequality of (5). We use induction on l . Let there be given a graph \mathfrak{G} having $h(2k+1, l) - 1$ vertices which does not contain a closed circuit of $2k+1$ or fewer edges and for which the maximum number of independent points is less than l . If every point of \mathfrak{G} has order at least $\lfloor l^{1/k} \rfloor + 2$ (the order of a vertex is the number of edges emanating from it) then, starting from an arbitrary point, we reach in k steps at least l points, which must be all distinct since otherwise \mathfrak{G} would

have to contain a closed circuit of at most $2k$ edges. The endpoints thus obtained must be independent, for if two were connected by an edge \mathcal{G} would contain a closed circuit of $2k + 1$ edges. Thus \mathcal{G} would have a set of at least l independent points, which is false.

Thus \mathcal{G} must have a vertex x_1 of order at most $[l^{1/k}] + 1$. Omit the vertex x_1 and all the vertices connected with it. Thus we obtain the graph \mathcal{G}' and x_1 is not connected with any point of \mathcal{G}' , thus the maximum number of independent points of \mathcal{G}' is $l - 1$, or \mathcal{G}' has at most $h(2k + 1, l - 1) - 1$ vertices, hence

$$h(2k + 1, l) \leq h(2k + 1, l - 1) + [l^{1/k}] + 2$$

which proves (5).

REFERENCES

1. Blanche Descartes, *A three colour problem*, Eureka (April, 1947). (Solution March, 1948.)
2. ——— *Solution to Advanced Problem no. 4526*, Amer. Math. Monthly, *61* (1954), 352.
3. P. Erdős, *Some remarks on the theory of graphs*, B.A.M.S. *53*, (1947), 292-4.
4. ——— *Remarks on a theorem of Ramsey*, Bull. Research Council of Israel, Section F, *7* (1957).
5. P. Erdős and G. Szekeres, *A combinatorial problem in geometry*, Compositio Math. *2* (1935) 463-70.
6. J. B. Kelly and L. M. Kelly, *Paths and circuits in critical graphs*, Amer. J. Math., *76* (1954), 786-92.
7. J. Mycielski, *Sur le colorage des graphs*, Colloquium Math. *3* (1955), 161-2.
8. F. P. Ramsey, *Collected papers*, 82-111.
9. T. Skolem, *Ein kombinatorischer Satz mit Anwendung auf ein logisches Entscheidungsproblem*, Fund. Math. *20* (1933), 254-61.

University of Toronto
and
Technion, Haifa