

Normalization by Evaluation in Linear Logic

Jules Chouquet¹, Giulio Guerrieri², Luc Pellissier³, and Lionel Vaux⁴

¹PPS, IRIF, UMR 8243, CNRS, Université Paris-Diderot, Paris, France, chouquet@irif.fr

²University of Oxford, Department of Computer Science, Oxford, United Kingdom,
giulio.guerrieri@cs.ox.ac.uk

³LIPN, UMR 7030, Université Paris 13, Sorbonne Paris Cité F-93430 Villetaneuse, France,
luc.pellissier@lipn.univ-paris13.fr

⁴Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, I2M UMR 7373, F-13453, Marseille, France,
lionel.vaux@univ-amu.fr

In programming language semantics, *normalization by evaluation* (NBE) is a technique of computing the output of a program P by appealing only to the denotational semantics of P . Denotational semantics is a methodology for giving mathematical meaning to programming languages: it interprets ("evaluates") P as a function $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ in some mathematical structure, independently of the way P effectively performs the computation. The NBE paradigm requires a mapping *reify* which extracts the output of P (a syntactic term) from the value of $\llbracket P \rrbracket$ (a semantic object). So, the normalization function computing the output (i.e. normal form) $\text{nf}(P)$ of P is obtained by composing *reify* with the interpretation $\llbracket P \rrbracket$:

$$\text{nf}(P) = \text{reify} \circ \llbracket P \rrbracket.$$

The semantic approach of NBE to computation bypasses the traditional syntactic notion of computation as a reduction relation in a term rewrite system, and is therefore sometimes referred to as *reduction-free normalization*. Of course, the trick is to define a denotational semantics by means of mathematical structures that are invariant under reduction and allow for reification.

NBE (named differently) was invented by Martin-Löf [1] as a special and constructive way of presenting an ordinary normalization proof in intuitionistic type theory: to prove that there exists a normal form of an arbitrary proof/term, means to actually be able to compute this normal form from the proof/term. Independently, NBE has been rediscovered by Berger and Schwichtenberg [2] as a tool to implement a concise and efficient normalization procedure for simply-typed λ -calculus with an extensional (" $\beta\eta$ ") notion of term equality. NBE has since been extended both to weaker type systems such as the untyped λ -calculus [3] using a domain theoretic approach, and to richer type systems such as several variants of Martin-Löf type theory [4].

We aim to implement the NBE paradigm in the multiplicative-exponential fragment of linear logic without weakening (MELL_{-w})¹, using the *relational semantics*² as denotational model. More precisely, we prove that given two cut-free, η -expanded MELL_{-w} nets R and S , if they are ACC³ then we can compute the normal form $\text{nf}(\text{cut}(R, S))$ of $\text{cut}(R, S)$ (we denote by $\text{cut}(R, S)$ the net obtained by connecting R and S by means of a cut-link) using only a *finite* subset of the interpretations $\llbracket R \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ of R and S in the relational semantics, without performing any cut-elimination step. Moreover, we are able to bound the size of the finite subsets of $\llbracket R \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ needed to compute $\text{nf}(\text{cut}(R, S))$.

In our approach the notion of *Taylor expansion* [5, 6] of a net plays a crucial role. In the multiplicative-exponential fragment of linear logic (MELL), the Taylor expansion of a MELL net R is, roughly speaking, the (possibly infinite) set of finitary approximants of R . It can be seen as an intermediate, infinite object between R and its relational semantics $\llbracket R \rrbracket$. Indeed, differential nets (which populate the Taylor expansion of a net) still retain a dynamics, even if a very simple, finitary one: the size of differential nets is strictly decreasing under cut-elimination, since duplication is not allowed. On the other hand,

¹Remember that MELL_{-w} is sufficiently expressive to encode the λI -calculus, which is Turing-complete.

²The relational semantics is one of the simplest denotational models of linear logic, giving an interpretation of nets in the category of sets and relations. It is a degenerate model (every formula has the same interpretation as its negation) and it provides some quantitative information (the promotion operator $!$ of linear logic is interpreted using multisets, which record the number of times a resource is used).

³A net is ACC if every correctness graph associated with it is acyclic and connected. In MELL_{-w} , ACC nets are all and only the ones representing a proof in the sequent calculus.

the Taylor expansion of a cut-free, η -expanded MELL net is equal (up to some irrelevant details) to its relational semantics: we will use this fact in our work, see Point 3 below.

Let us sketch how we implement the NBE paradigm in MELL_{-w} . Let R and S be two cut-free, η -expanded and ACC MELL_{-w} nets.

1. According to a result proved in [7], from $\llbracket R \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket S \rrbracket$ we can bound the execution time of $\text{cut}(R, S)$ (i.e. the number of cut-elimination steps leading from $\text{cut}(R, S)$ to its normal form $\text{nf}(\text{cut}(R, S))$) and the size of $\text{nf}(\text{cut}(R, S))$, as well as the size of the element t_0 of order 2 (obtained by taking 2 copies of every box, hereditarily) in the Taylor expansion of $\text{nf}(\text{cut}(R, S))$.
2. By adapting to MELL_{-w} nets a methodology recently developed for algebraic λ -calculus [8], we can bound the size of an element t (not necessarily unique) of the Taylor expansion of $\text{cut}(R, S)$ such that $t_0 \in \text{nf}(t)$; let n be this upper bound to the size of t .
3. We consider all the elements t, t', t'', \dots (there are finitely many) of the Taylor expansion of $\text{cut}(R, S)$ whose size is less than n . Since the relational semantics of a MELL net is equal to the union of the relational semantics of the elements of its Taylor expansion, by necessity among t, t', t'', \dots there is a t such that its relational semantics $\llbracket t \rrbracket$ (which is finite) corresponds to the point of order 2 of $\llbracket \text{cut}(R, S) \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{nf}(\text{cut}(R, S)) \rrbracket$ and hence to the element t_0 of order 2 in the Taylor expansion of $\text{nf}(\text{cut}(R, S))$.
4. Since $\text{cut}(R, S)$ is ACC, then $\text{nf}(\text{cut}(R, S))$ is ACC and in particular box-connected. So, we can apply the injectivity result proved in [9] and build $\text{nf}(\text{cut}(R, S))$ from t_0 .

We conjecture that it is also possible to extend our NBE approach to MELL, the whole multiplicative-exponential fragment of linear logic (including weakening), both typed and untyped. Clearly, in the untyped case there are MELL nets without normal form, so we have to add the hypothesis that $\text{cut}(R, S)$ is normalizable, which is equivalent of saying that the exhaustive interpretation of $\text{cut}(R, S)$ (a suitable restriction of its relational semantics) is not empty, according to [7].

This is an ongoing work in collaboration with Lorenzo Tortora de Falco.

References

- [1] Peter Martin-Lof. An intuitionistic theory of types: Predicative part. In *Logic Colloquium '73*, pages 73–118. North-Holland, 1975.
- [2] Ulrich Berger and Helmut Schwichtenberg. An inverse of the evaluation functional for typed λ -calculus. In *Proceedings of the Sixth Annual IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science (LICS'91)*, pages 203–211, 1991.
- [3] Andrzej Filinski and Henning Korsholm Rohde. A Denotational Account of Untyped Normalization by Evaluation. In *Foundations of Software Science and Computation Structures, 7th International Conference (FoSSaCS'04)*, pages 167–181, 2004.
- [4] Andreas Abel, Thierry Coquand, Peter Dybjer. Normalization by Evaluation for Martin-Löf Type Theory with Typed Equality Judgments. In *Proceedings of the 22nd Annual IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science (LICS'07)*, pages 3–12, 2007.
- [5] Thomas Ehrhard, Laurent Regnier. Differential interaction nets. *Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 364, issue 2, pages 166–195, 2006.
- [6] Thomas Ehrhard, Laurent Regnier. Uniformity and the Taylor expansion of ordinary lambda-terms. *Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 403, issue 2–3, pages 347–372, 2008.
- [7] Daniel De Carvalho, Michele Pagani, Lorenzo Tortora de Falco. A semantic measure of the execution time in linear logic. *Theoretical Computer Science*, volume 412, issue 20, pages 1884–1902, 2011.
- [8] Lionel Vaux. Taylor expansion, β -reduction and normalization. To appear in the proceedings of *Computer Science Logic (CSL'17)*, 2017.
- [9] Giulio Guerrieri, Luc Pellissier, Lorenzo Tortora de Falco. Computing Connected Proof(-Structure)s From Their Taylor Expansion. In *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Formal Structures for Computation and Deduction (FSCD'16)*, pages 20:1–20:18, 2016.