

Chern Simons theory and the volume of three-manifolds

Derbez and Wang

November 4, 2012

Volume of representations

Let (G, X) be either the 3-dimensional hyperbolic geometry

$$(\mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C}), \mathbf{H}^3)$$

or the Seifert geometry

$$(\widetilde{\mathrm{Iso}_0\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})}, \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})}) \text{ where } \widetilde{\mathrm{Iso}_0\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})} = \mathbb{R} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})}$$

In either case denote by ω_X the G -invariant volume form on X . Let M be a closed, connected, oriented 3-manifold. For each $\rho: \pi_1 M \rightarrow G$, there is a *developing map* $D_\rho: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow X$ which is equivariant. Then a volume can be defined by

$$\mathrm{vol}_G(M, \rho) = \left| \int_M D_\rho^* \omega_X \right|$$

Maximal volumes

According to Reznikov in case $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ and Goldman-Brooks in case $G = \widetilde{\mathrm{Iso}_0(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}))}$ the set

$$\mathrm{vol}(M, G) = \{\mathrm{vol}_G(M, \rho), \rho: \pi_1 M \rightarrow G\}$$

is always finite. Therefore it makes sense to define the hyperbolic volume

$$\mathrm{HV}(M) = \max \mathrm{vol}(M, \mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C}))$$

and the Seifert volume

$$\mathrm{SV}(M) = \max \mathrm{vol}(M, \widetilde{\mathrm{Iso}_0 \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})})$$

Maximal volumes

The hyperbolic and Seifert volume satisfy the following functorial property: for any non-zero degree map $f: N \rightarrow M$ (and in particular for any finite covering)

$$SV(N) \geq |\deg f|SV(M) \text{ and } HV(N) \geq |\deg f|HV(M)$$

It is unclear whether these inequalities turn to equalities in the case of finite covering maps.

Volumes of geometric manifolds

Let M be a closed oriented geometric 3-manifold.

Theorem (Reznikov)

If M is hyperbolic then $HV(M)$ is reached by the volume of a representation iff it is faithful and discrete and $HV(M)$ is the volume of M for the hyperbolic metric.

If M is geometric but not hyperbolic then $HV(M) = 0$.

Theorem (Goldman-Brooks)

The same statement is true if M is an $\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -manifold and

$$SV(M) = 4\pi^2 \frac{\chi^2(\mathcal{O}_M)}{|e(M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_M)|}.$$

If M is geometric but neither hyperbolic nor $\widetilde{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ then $SV(M) = 0$.

Volumes of geometric manifolds

Describing the set $\text{vol}(M, G)$ when M is hyperbolic seems a very hard question. However when M is a Seifert manifold this set can be made explicit, using the works of Goldman-Brooks and Eisendud-Hirsh-Neumann.

Suppose M supports the $\widetilde{\text{SL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -geometry and that its base 2-orbifold has a positive genus g . Then

$$\text{vol} \left(M, \text{Iso} \widetilde{\text{SL}}_2(\mathbb{R}) \right) = \left\{ \frac{4\pi^2}{|e(M)|} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{n_i}{a_i} - n \right)^2 \right\}$$

where n_1, \dots, n_r, n are integers such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \lfloor n_i/a_i \rfloor - n \leq 2g - 2, \quad \sum_{i=1}^r \lceil n_i/a_i \rceil - n \geq 2 - 2g$$

and a_1, \dots, a_r are the indices of the singular points of \mathcal{O}_M .

Geometric decomposition of 3-manifolds

Let M be a closed oriented and irreducible 3-manifold.

The geometrization of 3-manifolds implies that M can be decomposed along a family of tori and Klein bottles \mathcal{T}_M such that each component of $M \setminus \mathcal{T}_M$ is geometric.

When M is non-geometric, i.e. $\mathcal{T}_M \neq \emptyset$, we write

$$M^* = M \setminus \mathcal{T}_M = \mathcal{S}(M) \cup \mathcal{H}(M)$$

where the components of $\mathcal{S}(M)$ are $\mathbf{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and those of $\mathcal{H}(M)$ are hyperbolic. When $\mathcal{H}(M) = \emptyset$, then M is a graph manifold.

Denote by $\tau: \partial M^* \rightarrow \partial M^*$ the sewing involution such that $M \simeq M^*/\tau$.

Seifert volume of graph manifolds

Proposition (DW)

Any closed oriented non-geometric graph manifold has a virtually positive Seifert Volume.

Remark

It is not clear whether the hypothesis "virtually" is needed because we don't know if there are examples of non-geometric graph manifolds with $SV = 0$.

The geometric pieces of M^ are virtual product so they don't contribute to the volume. The volume is positive rather because they are glued together in a non-trivial way (so that their geometries do not extend). Therefore one can expect that the volume of representations detects the sewing involution of M .*

Hyperbolic volume of 3-manifolds

Conjecture (M. Boileau)

A closed 3-manifold has a virtually positive HV iff $\|M\| > 0$.

By Reznikov $HV(M) \leq \mu_3 \|M\|$ therefore manifolds with virtually positive hyperbolic volume must contain some hyperbolic pieces in their geometric decomposition.

Proposition (DW)

There are one-edged manifolds M with $\|M\| > 0$ but $HV(M) = 0$.

Meanwhile

Proposition (DW)

If the dual graph of M is a tree (in particular if M is a QHS) with $\|M\| > 0$ then M has a virtually positive hyperbolic volume.

Notice that in this case the term "virtually" cannot be dropped.

Basic gauge theory

Let M be a closed oriented 3-manifold and denote by $p: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ its universal covering.

Given a representation $\rho: \pi_1 M \rightarrow G$ we get a principal G -bundle

$$\xi: P = \tilde{M} \times G / \pi_1 M \rightarrow M$$

defined by

$$\xi([x, g]) = p(x)$$

and endowed with a G -invariant horizontal foliation \mathcal{F}_ρ which is the image of the trivial foliation on $\tilde{M} \times G$.

Denote by $A \in \Omega^1(P; \mathfrak{g})$ a 1-form connection such that $\ker A = T\mathcal{F}_\rho$. Chern and Simons associated to any connection A a closed 3-form $Tf(A) \in \Omega^3(P; \mathbb{C})$ based on a degree 2 invariant polynomial $f: \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. If moreover $P \rightarrow M$ is trivial, the Chern-Simons invariant of A is defined after choosing a section δ of $P \rightarrow M$ by

$$\text{cs}_M(A, \delta) = \int_M \delta^* Tf(A)$$

The volume as a Chern-Simons invariant

When $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ choose

$$f(A \otimes A) = P_1(A \otimes A) = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \mathrm{tr} A^2 \in \mathbb{C}$$

and using a computation of Yoshida we get

$$\mathfrak{S} \mathrm{cs}_M(A, \delta) = -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \mathrm{vol}_G(M, \rho)$$

when $G = \mathrm{Iso}_0 \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}(2; \mathbb{R})} = \mathbb{R} \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})}$ choose

$$f(A \otimes A) = \mathrm{tr} X^2 + t^2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $A = X + t$ in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2; \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and we get

$$\mathrm{cs}_M(A, \delta) = \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{vol}_G(M, \rho)$$

Additivity Principle

Suppose $M = S \cup_{\partial S = \partial Q} Q$ with base points $x \in \text{int}S$ and $y \in \text{int}Q$. For each component T_i of $\partial S \cap \partial Q$ fix a basis (λ_i, μ_i) for $H_1(T_i; \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\varphi: \pi_1(S, x) \rightarrow G$ and $\psi: \pi_1(Q, y) \rightarrow G$ denote two representations such that

$$\varphi(\lambda_i) \stackrel{\mathcal{G}}{\sim} \psi(\lambda_i) \text{ and } \varphi(\mu_i) \stackrel{\mathcal{G}}{\sim} \psi(\mu_i) \quad (0.1)$$

$$\ker \varphi|_{H_1(T_i; \mathbb{Z})} = \ker \psi|_{H_1(T_i; \mathbb{Z})} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \quad (0.2)$$

and generated by a primitive curve denoted m_i in T_i . Denote $m = (m_1, \dots, m_p)$.

Let A , resp. B , be a (flat) connection over S , resp. over Q , corresponding to φ , resp. ψ . By condition (0.1) there exists a gauge transformation g over S , resp. h over Q , such that $g * A$ and $h * B$ smoothly match over the T_i 's giving rise to a global smooth and flat connection $C = g * A \cup h * B$ and therefore to a representation $\rho: \pi_1 M \rightarrow G$.

By condition (0.2) φ and ψ extend to $\widehat{\varphi}: \pi_1 S(m) \rightarrow G$ and $\widehat{\psi}: \pi_1 Q(m) \rightarrow G$ where

$$S(m) = S \bigcup_{\partial \mathbf{D}^2 = m_i} (\cup_i \mathbf{D}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1) \text{ and } Q(m) = Q \bigcup_{\partial \mathbf{D}^2 = m_i} (\cup_i \mathbf{D}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1)$$

In the same way the connections A and B extend to

$$\widehat{A} = A \cup (\cup_i A_i) \text{ and } \widehat{B} = B \cup (\cup_i A_i)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{cs}_M(C) &\equiv \mathfrak{cs}_S(A) + \mathfrak{cs}_Q(B) \\ &\equiv \mathfrak{cs}_{S(m)}(\widehat{A}) + \mathfrak{cs}_{Q(m)}(\widehat{B}) \end{aligned}$$

Applying the correspondence with the volume we get

$$\text{vol}_G(M, \rho) = \text{vol}_G(S(m), \widehat{\varphi}) + \text{vol}_G(Q(m), \widehat{\psi})$$

Seifert volume of graph manifolds

In this section $G = \text{Iso}_0 \widetilde{\text{SL}(2; \mathbb{R})}$.

Let M be a non-geometric closed graph manifold. Up to a finite covering we may assume:

each component of \mathcal{T}_M is shared by two distinct Seifert pieces, each component Q of $M^* = M \setminus \mathcal{T}_M$ is a product $F \times \mathbf{S}^1$, where F is a hyperbolic surface of large genus.

We fix a Seifert piece $S = F_g \times \mathbf{S}^1$ of M and we suppose for simplicity that $M = S \cup Q$, where $Q = F \times \mathbf{S}^1$. If S consists of p boundary components T_1, \dots, T_p we denote by μ_i, λ_i the generators of $H_1(T_i; \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\lambda_i = \partial F \cap T_i$ and $\mu_i = \mathbf{S}^1$.

The space $S(\mu) = S(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p)$ is a Seifert manifold with geometry $\mathbf{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ or $\widetilde{\text{SL}(2; \mathbb{R})}$ depending on whether $e(S(\mu)) = 0$ or $e(S(\mu)) \neq 0$.

Assume $e(S(\mu)) \neq 0$.

By the Eisendud-Hirsh-Neumann generalization of the Milnor-Wood inequality, if $g \gg e(S(\mu))$ there exists a representation $\varphi: \pi_1 S \rightarrow \widetilde{SL(2; \mathbb{R})} \subset G$ factorizing through $\widehat{\varphi}: \pi_1 S(\mu) \rightarrow \widetilde{SL(2; \mathbb{R})}$ such that

$$\varphi(\text{fibre}) = \text{sh}1 \text{ and } \varphi(\lambda_i) \sim \text{sh}\alpha_i$$

and by integration along the fiber just like in the Goldman Brooks paper we get

$$\text{vol}_G(S(\mu), \widehat{\varphi}) = 2\pi^2 |e(S(\mu))|$$

We have to find a representation $\psi: \pi_1 Q \rightarrow G$ factorizing through $\widehat{\psi}: \pi_1 Q(\mu) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}(2; \mathbb{R})}$ such that $\psi(\lambda_i) \sim \mathrm{sh}\alpha_i$. But since the product $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p$ is a commutator in F of length $l = g(F)$ it is sufficient to know if

$$\mathrm{sh}\alpha_1 \dots \mathrm{sh}\alpha_p$$

can be written as a commutator of length $\leq l$. By a result of Eisendud-Hirsh-Neumann this is true provided $l \gg |\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_p|$. Again this condition may be assumed up to a finite covering. Notice that

$$\psi: \pi_1 Q \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}(2; \mathbb{R})}$$

factors through $\pi_1 F$ and therefore

$$\mathrm{vol}_G(Q(h), \widehat{\psi}) = 0$$

by a cohomological dimension argument.

Thurston Hyperbolic Dehn filling theorem

Denote by Q a compact manifold whose interior admits a complete finite volume hyperbolic metric. Denote by T_1, \dots, T_p the boundary components of M and assume each T_i is endowed with a homological basis (μ_i, λ_i) .

Using the Thurston's theorem we deform the faithful and discrete representation to $\varphi: \pi_1 Q \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ sending λ_i to a hyperbolic isometry and μ_i to an order q rotation (for q big enough).

Next consider a $q \times q$ -characteristic covering $p: \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$. The induced representation $\rho = \varphi|_{\pi_1 \tilde{Q}}$ factorizes through $\hat{\rho}: \pi_1 \tilde{Q}(\tilde{\mu}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\mathrm{vol}_{\mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})}(\tilde{Q}(\tilde{\mu}), \hat{\rho}) > 0$$

Hyperbolic volume of 3-manifolds

Suppose $M = Q \cup S$ and Q is hyperbolic.

Suppose $\partial S = \partial Q = T$ is connected. Then

$\text{Rk}(H_1(\partial S; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(S; \mathbb{Z})) = 1$ and we choose the homological basis (λ, μ) in T such that μ is torsion.

Fix a prime number q (big enough) and a representation

$\rho = \varphi|_{\pi_1 \tilde{Q}}$ as above. Denote by (x, x^{-1}) the eigenvalues of $\varphi(\lambda)$.

By construction the elements $\rho(\tilde{\lambda}_1), \dots, \rho(\tilde{\lambda}_p)$ are all conjugated to

$\begin{pmatrix} x^q & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-q} \end{pmatrix}$ whereas $\tilde{\mu}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mu}_p$ are sent trivially.

Next construct a finite covering $q \times q$ -characteristic covering

$r: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ that can be glued to $\rho: \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$ to define a global finite

covering $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ and we construct an abelian representation

$\psi: \pi_1 \tilde{S} \rightarrow \text{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ defined by

$\psi(\tilde{\lambda}_1) = \dots = \psi(\tilde{\lambda}_p) = \begin{pmatrix} x^q & 0 \\ 0 & x^{-q} \end{pmatrix}$ whereas $\tilde{\mu}_1, \dots, \tilde{\mu}_p$ are sent

trivially. This representation factorizes through

$\hat{\psi}: \pi_1 \tilde{S}(\tilde{\mu}) \rightarrow \text{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ such that $\text{vol}_{\text{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})}(\tilde{S}(\tilde{\mu}), \hat{\psi}) = 0$.

Suppose $\partial S = \partial Q$ is no longer connected and $S = F \times \mathbf{S}^1$ where F is a surface with positive genus. Denote by h the \mathbf{S}^1 -factor of S and by d_1, \dots, d_r the boundary component of F .

Set $(\lambda_i, \mu_i) = (d_i, h)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Passing to a finite covering we may assume there is a representation $\rho = \varphi|_{\pi_1 Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ which factorizes through $\widehat{\rho}: \pi_1 Q(\mu) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\mathrm{vol}_{\mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})}(Q(\mu), \rho) > 0$$

Since $d_1 \dots d_r$ is a commutator in F and since any element of $\mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ is a commutator then there exists a representation $\psi: \pi_1 S \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ defined by $\psi(d_1) = \rho(d_1), \dots, \psi(d_r) = \rho(d_r)$ whereas h is sent trivially. This representation factorizes through $\widehat{\psi}: \pi_1 S(\mu) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\mathrm{vol}_{\mathrm{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})}(S(\mu), \widehat{\psi}) = 0$$

because $\|S(\mu)\| = 0$.

Detecting the sewing involution

The sewing involution can be made explicit by fixing a homological basis for each component of ∂M^* .

Suppose for instance $M = Q_1 \cup_{\tau} Q_2$ where ∂Q_i is connected. In this case we say M is one-edged.

Fix a basis (λ_i, μ_i) for $H_1(\partial Q_i; \mathbb{Z})$ and we denote by $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ the matrix of τ in $SL(2; \mathbb{Z})$ where

$$\tau_*(\mu_2) = a\mu_1 + c\lambda_1 \text{ and } \tau_*(\lambda_2) = b\mu_1 + d\lambda_1$$

When Q_i is a Seifert manifold, we fix a fibration with fibre h_i , we denote by \mathcal{O}_i the 2-orbifold Q_i/h_i and the basis (λ_i, μ_i) is chosen s.t. $\lambda_i = h_i$ and μ_i is a section of $\partial \mathcal{O}_i$ in ∂Q_i .

Proposition (DW)

Let $M = Q_1 \cup_{\tau} Q_2$ be an one-edged graph manifold and denote by G the group $\text{Iso}_e \widetilde{\text{SL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$. There exists a n -fold $q \times q$ -characteristic covering $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$, where n, q depend only on Q_1 and Q_2 , and a representation $\varphi: \pi_1 \tilde{M} \rightarrow G$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{vol}_G(\tilde{M}, \varphi)}{n} &= \frac{8\pi^2}{q^2} \text{ if } a = d = 0 \\ &= \frac{4\pi^2}{q^2|b|} \text{ if } c = 0 \\ &= \frac{4\pi^2}{q^2|ac|} \text{ if } ac \neq 0 \\ &= \frac{4\pi^2}{q^2|cd|} \text{ if } cd \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

If Q is a 3-manifold with connected boundary and hyperbolic interior we denote by z_0 the shape of the cusp of $\text{int}Q$.

Proposition (DW)

Let $M = Q \cup_{\tau} S$ be an one-edged manifold, where S is Seifert and Q hyperbolic. Then there exists a n -fold q -characteristic covering $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$, where n, q depend only on Q and S , and a representation $\varphi: \pi_1 \tilde{M} \rightarrow \text{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})$ such that for any $\|(a, c)\|_2 > 2\pi(1 + |z_0|^2)/\Im z_0$ then

$$\frac{\text{vol}_{\text{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})}(\tilde{M}, \varphi)}{n} = \text{vol}Q_+(a, c) + \frac{\pi(q-1)}{2q} \text{length}(\gamma)$$

where γ is the geodesic added to Q_+ to complete the cusp with respect to the (a, c) -Dehn filling. The same statement is true for (b, d) .

Using the computation of Neumann and Zagier we get

$$\frac{\text{vol}_{\text{PSL}(2; \mathbb{C})}(\tilde{M}, \varphi)}{n} = \text{vol}Q_+ - \pi^2 \frac{\Im z_0}{q|a + z_0 c|^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{a^4 + c^4}\right)$$