On the number of points on abelian and Jacobian varieties over finite fields

by

YVES AUBRY (Toulon), SAFIA HALOUI (Lyngby) and GILLES LACHAUD (Marseille)

Contents

1.	Introduction
2.	Abelian varieties
	2.1. Upper bounds
	2.2. Specht's inequality
	2.3. A general lower bound
	2.4. Harmonic mean
	2.5. Convexity
3.	Jacobians
	3.1. Jacobians as abelian varieties
	3.2. Virtual zeta functions
	3.3. Specific bounds for Jacobians
	3.4. Discussing the bounds
4.	Jacobian surfaces
$R\epsilon$	eferences

1. Introduction. This article has roughly a threefold aim. The first is to provide a series of upper and lower bounds for the number of points on an abelian variety defined over a finite field. A simple typical result is

$$(q+1-m)^g \le |A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \le (q+1+m)^g$$

(Corollaries 2.14 and 2.2). Here A is an abelian variety of dimension g defined over the field \mathbb{F}_q of q elements, and m is the integer part of $2q^{1/2}$. This inequality improves on

$$(q+1-2q^{1/2})^g \le |A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \le (q+1+2q^{1/2})^g,$$

which is an immediate consequence of Weil's inequality. We also provide bounds for $|A(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ depending on the trace of A. If, by chance, A is the Ja-

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 11G10, 11G20, 11G25, 14G10, 14G15.

Key words and phrases: abelian varieties over finite fields, Jacobians, curves over finite fields, zeta functions.

cobian of a curve or the Prym variety of a covering of curves, the trace is easily expressed in terms of the number of rational points on the corresponding curves. We obtain two other lower bounds depending on the *harmonic* mean $\eta = \eta(A)$ of the numbers $q + 1 + x_i$, namely

$$\frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{1}{q+1+x_i},$$

where

$$f_A(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{g} (t^2 + x_i t + q)$$

is the Weil polynomial of A.

Our second aim is to obtain specific lower bounds in the special case where $A = J_C$ is the Jacobian of a smooth, projective, absolutely irreducible algebraic curve C defined over \mathbb{F}_q . M. Martin-Deschamps and the third author proved in [9] that

$$|J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge \eta \frac{q^{g-1} - 1}{g} \cdot \frac{N + q - 1}{q - 1},$$

where g is the genus of C and $N = |C(\mathbb{F}_q)|$. This article offers several improvements to this bound, if q is large enough: for instance,

$$|J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge \left(1 - \frac{2}{q}\right) \left(q + 1 + \frac{N - (q+1)}{g}\right)^g,$$

and also, with Stanley's notation (3.4),

$$|J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge \frac{\eta}{g} \left[\binom{N+1}{g-2} + \sum_{n=0}^{g-1} q^{g-1-n} \binom{N}{n} \right].$$

The third aim is to give exact values for the maximum and the minimum number of rational points on Jacobian varieties of dimension 2, namely, to calculate, in the case g = 2, the numbers

$$J_q(g) = \max_C |J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)|$$
 and $j_q(g) = \min_C |J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)|$,

where C ranges over the set of equivalence classes of smooth curves of genus g over \mathbb{F}_q . These numbers are the analogues for Jacobians of the numbers

$$N_q(g) = \max_{C} |C(\mathbb{F}_q)|$$
 and $n_q(g) = \min_{C} |C(\mathbb{F}_q)|$,

introduced by J.-P. Serre. For g = 2, the bounds

$$(q+1-m)^2 \le j_q(2), \quad J_q(2) \le (q+1+m)^2$$

are attained in most cases, with exceptions occurring when q is special. It is worth pointing out that S. Ballet and R. Rolland [3] recently obtained asymptotic lower bounds on the number of points on Jacobian varieties;

these results are distinct from ours. Some of the results presented here come from the thesis [7] of the second author, and the contents of the present article are summarized in [1].

The contents of this article are as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the number of points on general abelian varieties. In $\S 2.1$, we first prove an upper bound (Theorem 2.1) obtained by H. G. Quebbemann in the case of Jacobians, and M. Perret in the case of Prym varieties. Then we state three sharper upper bounds, depending on the defect of A or on a specific parameter r.

The lower bounds we discuss in §2.2 are based on auxiliary results, predominantly on inequalities between classical means, and depend on the trace of A. The first one (Theorem 2.11) is based on Specht's inequality (Proposition 2.7), and is symmetric to the upper bound of Theorem 2.1. Another important result is Theorem 2.13, and Corollary 2.14 gives the unconditional lower bound stated at the beginning of this introduction.

The bounds stated in Theorem 2.15 and Proposition 2.16 are expressed in terms of the harmonic mean η . In order to compare these bounds, we show in §2.4 that if $q \geq 8$, then $\eta \geq q+1-m$ (Proposition 2.17). The convexity method of Perret is used in §2.5 to give two other bounds in Theorem 2.18 and Proposition 2.19.

We discuss Jacobians in Section 3. The previous inequalities apply to Jacobians, with the number of points on the related curve as a parameter, and this is stated in §3.1. The bound for the number of points on Jacobians given in [9] depends on the identity

$$\frac{g}{\eta} |J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)| = \sum_{n=0}^{g-1} A_n + \sum_{n=0}^{g-2} q^{g-1-n} A_n,$$

where A_n is the number of positive divisors on C which are rational over \mathbb{F}_q . In §3.2, this identity is proved in an abstract framework, free of any geometric setting (Theorem 3.5).

By using the combinatorics of the exponential formula, various inequalities for the numbers A_n are obtained in §3.3, depending on two conditions (**B**) and (**N**) which are satisfied by Jacobians. We discuss these conditions by giving in Propositions 3.7 and 3.8 some results on a certain number B_n , which, in the case of Jacobians, is the number of rational prime cycles of degree n on the curve. For instance, for a curve of genus g,

$$nB_n \ge (q^{n/4} + 1)^2((q^{n/4} - 1)^2 - 2g).$$

This leads to three new lower bounds. All of these are gathered in §3.4, where we compare them, and discuss their accuracy.

In Section 4, the last one, the complete calculation of $J_q(2)$ in Theorem 4.1 and of $j_q(2)$ in Theorem 4.2 is worked out.

2. Abelian varieties

2.1. Upper bounds. Let A be an abelian variety of dimension g defined over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic p, with $q=p^n$. The Weil polynomial $f_A(t)$ of A is the characteristic polynomial of its Frobenius endomorphism F_A . Let $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_g, \overline{\omega}_1, \ldots, \overline{\omega}_g$ be the complex roots of $f_A(t)$, with $|\omega_i| = q^{1/2}$ by Weil's inequality. For $1 \le i \le g$, we put $x_i = -(\omega_i + \overline{\omega}_i)$, and we say that A is of type $[x_1, \ldots, x_g]$. The type of A only depends on the isogeny class of A. Let

$$\tau = \tau(A) = -\sum_{i=1}^{g} (\omega_i + \overline{\omega}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{g} x_i.$$

The integer τ is the opposite of the trace of F_A , and we say that A has trace $-\tau$. The number of rational points on A is $|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| = f_A(1)$, and

(2.1)
$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| = \prod_{i=1}^g (q+1+x_i)$$

since

$$f_A(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{g} (t - \omega_i)(t - \overline{\omega}_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{g} (t^2 + x_i t + q).$$

Since $|x_i| \leq 2q^{1/2}$, one deduces from (2.1) the classical bounds

$$(q+1-2q^{1/2})^g \le |A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \le (q+1+2q^{1/2})^g.$$

The arithmetic-geometric inequality states that

$$(c_1 \dots c_k)^{1/k} \le \frac{1}{k} (c_1 + \dots + c_k)$$

if c_1, \ldots, c_k are non-negative real numbers, with equality if and only if $c_1 = \cdots = c_k$. Applying this inequality to (2.1), we obtain the following upper bound, proved by H. G. Quebbemann [13] in the case of Jacobians, and M. Perret [12] in the case of Prym varieties:

Theorem 2.1. Let A/\mathbb{F}_q be an abelian variety of dimension g and $trace -\tau$. Then

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \le (q+1+\tau/g)^g,$$

with equality if and only if A is of type $[x, \ldots, x]$.

Throughout this article, we shall denote $m = [2q^{1/2}]$, where $[\alpha]$ denotes the integer part of the real number α . J.-P. Serre [16] proved that

$$(2.2) |\tau| \le gm,$$

hence, by Theorem 2.1:

COROLLARY 2.2. Let A/\mathbb{F}_q be an abelian variety of dimension g. Then $|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \leq (q+1+m)^g$,

with equality if and only if A is of type [m, ..., m].

We say that A (or τ) has defect d if $\tau = gm - d$.

Proposition 2.3. If A has defect d, with d = 1 or d = 2, then

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \le (q+m)^d (q+1+m)^{g-d}.$$

Proof. J.-P. Serre gives in [18] the list of types $[x_1, \ldots, x_g]$ such that d = 1 or d = 2, and we prove the proposition by inspection. The various possibilities are described in Table 1 below. In this table,

$$\varphi_1 = (-1 + \sqrt{5})/2, \quad \varphi_2 = (-1 - \sqrt{5})/2,$$

 $\omega_i = 1 - 4\cos^2(j\pi/7), \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$

Moreover, β_d is the right hand side of the inequality and b = q + 1 + m.

Table 1. Types with defect 1 or 2, with b = q + 1 + m

\overline{d}	$[x_1,\ldots,x_g]$	$\beta_d - A(\mathbb{F}_q) $
1	$(m,\ldots,m,m-1)$	0
	$(m,\ldots,m,m+arphi_1,m+arphi_2)$	b^{g-2}
2	$(m,\ldots,m,m-1,m-1)$	0
	$(m,\ldots,m,m-2)$	b^{g-2}
	$(m,\ldots,m,m+\sqrt{2}-1,m-\sqrt{2}-1)$	$2b^{g-2}$
	$(m, \ldots, m, m + \sqrt{3} - 1, m - \sqrt{3} - 1)$	$3b^{g-2}$
	$(m,\ldots,m,m-1,m+\varphi_1,m+\varphi_2)$	$b^{g-3}(b-1)$
	$(m,\ldots,m,m+\varphi_1,m+\varphi_2,m+\varphi_1,m+\varphi_2)$	$b^{g-4}(2b^2 - 2b - 1)$
	$(m,\ldots,m,m+\omega_1,m+\omega_2,m+\omega_3)$	$b^{g-3}(2b-1)$

Now assume $g \ge 2$. The following result extends Proposition 2.3 somewhat. Let

$$y_i = x_i - [\tau/g] \quad (1 \le i \le g), \quad r = \sum_{i=1}^g y_i = \tau - g[\tau/g],$$

so that r is the remainder of the division of τ by g.

Proposition 2.4. If r = 1 or r = g - 1, then

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \le (q+1+[\tau/g])^{g-r}(q+2+[\tau/g])^r.$$

Proof. Take an integer k with $1 \le k \le g-1$. If H belongs to the set \mathfrak{P}_k of subsets of $\{1,\ldots,g\}$ with k elements, we define

$$y_H = \sum_{i \in H} y_i$$
 and $f_k(T) = \prod_{H \in \mathfrak{P}_k} (T - y_H).$

Observe that $f_k \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$, since the family (x_i) is stable under $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Moreover,

$$\frac{\operatorname{Tr} y_H}{\operatorname{deg} f_k} = \frac{1}{\binom{g}{k}} \sum_{H \in \mathfrak{P}_k} y_H = \frac{1}{\binom{g}{k}} \binom{g-1}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^g y_i = \frac{kr}{g}.$$

Now recall that, if y is a totally positive algebraic integer, then the arithmetic-geometric inequality implies that

$$\operatorname{Tr} y \ge \deg y$$
.

Hence, if $y_H > 0$ for every $H \in \mathfrak{P}_k$, then $kr \geq g$. This shows that if kr < g, then, after renumbering the numbers x_i if necessary, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} y_i \le 0$$
, i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i \le k[\tau/g]$.

Now choose k = g - r, which implies $r \ge 0$. Then

$$\sum_{=g-r+1}^{g} x_i \ge r([\tau/g] + 1).$$

Hence, according to the arithmetic-geometric inequality,

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| = \prod_{i=1}^g (q+1+x_i)$$

$$\leq \left(q+1+\frac{1}{g-r}\sum_{i=1}^{g-r} x_i\right)^{g-r} \left(q+1+\frac{1}{r}\sum_{i=g-r+1}^g x_i\right)^r$$

$$\leq (q+1+[\tau/q])^{g-r}(q+2+[\tau/q])^r,$$

where the second inequality follows from Lemma 2.5 below. To complete the proof of Proposition 2.4, it remains to establish that r(g-r) < g if and only if r = 1 or r = g - 1. Observe that the inequality r(g-r) < g holds in every case if $g \le 3$. Now assume that $g \ge 4$ and let

$$r_{\pm}(g) = \frac{1}{2}(g \pm (g^2 - 4g)^{1/2}).$$

The inequality holds if and only if $r < r_{-}(g)$ or $r > r_{+}(g)$. If g = 4, then $r_{-}(4) = r_{+}(4) = 2$. If $g \ge 5$, then $1 < r_{-}(g) < 2$ and $g - 2 < r_{+}(g) < g - 1$.

Lemma 2.5. Let
$$0 \le a \le c \le d \le b$$
. If $(g-r)a+rb=(g-r)c+rd$, then $a^{g-r}b^r \le c^{g-r}d^r$.

Proof. The barycenter of $(a, \log a)$ and $(b, \log b)$ with weights g - r and r is

$$\left(\frac{(g-r)a+rb}{g}, \frac{(g-r)\log a + r\log b}{g}\right)$$

and that of $(c, \log c)$ and $(d, \log d)$ with the same weights is

$$\left(\frac{(g-r)c+rd}{g}, \frac{(g-r)\log c + r\log d}{g}\right)$$

$$= \left(\frac{(g-r)a+rb}{g}, \frac{(g-r)\log c + r\log d}{g}\right),$$

and the result follows from the concavity of the logarithm.

If τ has defect 1, then

$$\tau/g = m - 1/g$$
, $[\tau/g] = m - 1$, $r = (gm - 1) - (gm - g) = g - 1$, and Proposition 2.4 reduces to Proposition 2.3.

Corollary 2.6. If
$$\tau = gm - g + 1$$
 (defect $g - 1$), then
$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \le (q + m)^{g-1}(q + 1 + m).$$

Proof. Here

$$\tau/g=m-1+1/g, \quad [\tau/g]=m-1, \quad r=gm-g+1-(gm-g)=1,$$
 and the result follows. \blacksquare

Remark. Smyth's Theorem [20, p. 2] asserts that if x is a totally positive algebraic integer, then, with finitely many exceptions, explicitly listed,

$$\operatorname{Tr} x \ge 1.7719 \deg x.$$

From this, one deduces that the conclusion of Proposition 2.4 holds true for every r if $g \leq 7$ and if the polynomials x-1 and x^2-3x+1 do not divide f_{g-r} .

2.2. Specht's inequality. The first lower bound for $|A(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ that we obtain is symmetrical to the upper bound given in Theorem 2.1, and depends on *Specht's ratio*, defined for $h \geq 1$ as

$$S(h) = \frac{h^{1/(h-1)}}{e \log h^{1/(h-1)}}, \quad S(1) = 1.$$

This is the least upper bound of the ratio of the arithmetic mean to the geometric mean, as a reverse of the arithmetic-geometric inequality (see [21] and [6]).

PROPOSITION 2.7 (Specht's inequality). Let a and b be two numbers with 0 < a < b, and h = b/a. Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$ be a sequence in [a, b]. Then

$$\alpha(\mathbf{c}) \leq S(h)\gamma(\mathbf{c}),$$

where
$$\alpha(\mathbf{c}) = (c_1 + \dots + c_n)/n$$
 and $\gamma(\mathbf{c}) = (c_1 \dots c_n)^{1/n}$.

Proof. Let

$$\lambda = \frac{b-a}{\log b - \log a}, \quad \mu = \frac{a \log b - b \log a}{\log b - \log a}, \quad S_0 = \lambda e^{(\mu - \lambda)/\lambda},$$

so that λ is the *logarithmic mean* of a and b.

(a) We first prove that $S_0 = S(h)$. Indeed,

$$\frac{\mu}{\lambda} = \frac{a \log b - b \log a}{b - a} = \frac{\log(ah) - \log a^h}{h - 1} = \frac{\log(ha^{1 - h})}{h - 1} = \log(a^{-1}h^{1/(h - 1)}),$$

and

$$\lambda = \frac{b - a}{\log b - \log a} = \frac{a(h - 1)}{\log h},$$

hence,

$$\lambda e^{\mu/\lambda} e^{-1} = \frac{a(h-1)}{\log h} a^{-1} h^{1/(h-1)} e^{-1}.$$

(b) We now prove that

$$\alpha(\mathbf{c}) \leq S_0 \gamma(\mathbf{c}).$$

If $t \in [\log a, \log b]$, the line D of equation $y = \lambda t + \mu$ lies above the graph of the function $y = e^t$, hence, in this interval, $e^t \leq \lambda t + \mu$. If $t_i = \log c_i$ and $\log \mathbf{c} = (\log c_1, \ldots, \log c_n)$, we get

$$\alpha(\mathbf{c}) = \frac{e^{t_1} + \dots + e^{t_n}}{n} \le \lambda \left(\frac{t_1 + \dots + t_n}{n}\right) + \mu = \lambda \alpha(\log \mathbf{c}) + \mu.$$

On the other hand, the function $t \mapsto S_0 e^t - \lambda t - \mu$ is convex on the whole line \mathbb{R} , with minimum 0 for $t = (\lambda - \mu)/\lambda$, hence $\lambda t + \mu \leq S_0 e^t$. Since $\exp \alpha(\log \mathbf{c}) = \gamma(\mathbf{c})$, this implies

$$\lambda \alpha(\log \mathbf{c}) + \mu \le S_0 \exp \alpha(\log \mathbf{c}) = S_0 \gamma(\mathbf{c}).$$

The two preceding displays imply the required bound, and the proof of Specht's inequality is complete. \blacksquare

For the proof of Theorem 2.11 below, we need some preliminary results.

Lemma 2.8. We have $S(h) \to 1$ if $h \to 1$, and S(h) is an increasing map from $\mathbf{I} =]1, +\infty[$ onto itself. Moreover

$$\log S(e^{2t}) \le t^2/2 \quad \text{if } t > 0.$$

Proof. If t > 0,

$$\log S(e^{2t}) = \frac{2t}{e^{2t} - 1} - \log \frac{2t}{e^{2t} - 1} - 1,$$

that is,

$$\log S(e^{2t}) = t \coth t - 1 + \log \frac{\sinh t}{t}.$$

The Taylor series

$$t \coth t - 1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2n} B_{2n}}{(2n)!} t^{2n},$$

where B_n is the *n*th Bernoulli number, is convergent if $0 < t < \pi$. From this one sees that $\log S(e^{2t})$ is an increasing map from $]0, +\infty[$ onto itself, with limit 0 if $t \to 0$. This proves the first statement. Since this Taylor series is alternating, we have

$$(2.3) t \coth t - 1 \le t^2/3$$

if $0 < t < \pi$, and this is obvious if $t \ge \pi$. Now

$$\frac{d}{dt}\log\frac{\sinh t}{t} = \coth t - \frac{1}{t}.$$

By integrating, and with the help of (2.3), we get

$$\log \frac{\sinh t}{t} \le \frac{t^2}{6} \quad \text{if } t > 0. \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 2.9. Let $t_0 = 2\log(1+\sqrt{2})$. If $0 < t < t_0$, define

$$f(t) = -\log(1 - 2\tanh^2(t/2)).$$

Then $f(t) \ge t^2/2$.

Proof. The function f(t) is well defined, since $\tanh(t_0/2) = 1/\sqrt{2}$, and f(t) is increasing from $]0, t_0[$ to **I**. We have

$$f'(t) = \frac{4\tanh(t/2)}{3 - \cosh t},$$

and $\cosh t_0 = 3$. The Taylor series of $\tanh t$ is convergent if $0 < t < \pi/2$, and alternating. This implies

$$\tanh t > t - t^3/3,$$

and

$$4 \tanh(t/2) \ge t(2 - t^2/2) \ge t(3 - \cosh t),$$

which means that $f'(t) \geq t$, and implies the result by integrating.

PROPOSITION 2.10. The function S(H(q)) is a decreasing map from **I** onto itself. If q > 2, then

(2.4)
$$S(H(q)) \le 1 + \frac{2}{q-2}.$$

Moreover S(H(2)) = 3.828...

Proof. The first statement comes from Lemma 2.8, since H(q) is a decreasing map of \mathbf{I} onto itself. If $0 < t < t_0$, then $H(e^{2t}) > H(e^{2t_0}) = 2$ and

$$\frac{H(e^{2t})}{H(e^{2t})-2} = \frac{(e^t+1)^2}{6e^t-e^{2t}-1} = f(t).$$

Hence, Lemma 2.9 implies

$$\log \frac{H(e^{2t})}{H(e^{2t}) - 2} \ge \frac{t^2}{2}.$$

Combining this with Lemma 2.8, we get

$$\log S(e^{2t}) \le \frac{t^2}{2} \le \log \frac{H(e^{2t})}{H(e^{2t}) - 2}.$$

Let $x_0 = e^{2t_0} = (1 + \sqrt{2})^4$. From the above relation we deduce that if $1 < x < x_0$,

$$S(x) \le \frac{H(x)}{H(x) - 2}.$$

Now H(x) is a strictly decreasing map of **I** onto itself, and H(H(x)) = x. Since H maps $]2, +\infty[$ onto $]1, x_0[$, we get the required inequality by letting x = H(q).

THEOREM 2.11. If $q \ge 2$, let M(q) = 1/S(h(q)), where S(h) is Specht's ratio and

$$h(q) = \left(\frac{q^{1/2} + 1}{q^{1/2} - 1}\right)^2.$$

Let A/\mathbb{F}_q be an abelian variety of dimension g and trace $-\tau$ over \mathbb{F}_q .

(i) We have

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge M(q)^g (q+1+\tau/g)^g.$$

(ii) In particular,

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge (1 - 2/q)^g (q + 1 + \tau/g)^g,$$

where 1 - 2/q has to be replaced by 0.261 if q = 2.

Proof. According to (2.1), we apply Proposition 2.7 with $c_i = q + 1 + x_i$, $1 \le i \le g$. Then

$$c_1 \dots c_g = |A(\mathbb{F}_q)|, \quad \frac{c_1 + \dots + c_g}{g} = q + 1 + \tau/g,$$

and this gives (i). By Proposition 2.10, the function M(q) is increasing, and

$$M(q) \ge 1 - 2/q \quad \text{if } q \ge 2.$$

Moreover M(2) = 0.261... Hence, (ii) follows from (i).

Theorems 2.1 and 2.11(ii) are summarized in the relation

$$(2.5) (1 - 2/q)(q + 1 + \tau/g) \le |A(\mathbb{F}_q)|^{1/g} \le q + 1 + \tau/g.$$

2.3. A general lower bound. It is natural to wonder whether $|A(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ has a lower bound symmetrical to Corollary 2.2, and the answer turns out to be in the affirmative.

LEMMA 2.12. Let c_1, \ldots, c_n be non-negative real numbers, and

$$F(T) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (T + c_i) \in \mathbb{R}[T].$$

Let

$$\alpha = (c_1 + \dots + c_n)/n, \quad \gamma = (c_1 \dots c_n)^{1/n},$$

and assume that $t \geq 0$ and $0 \leq c \leq \gamma$.

(i) We have

$$F(t) \ge (t+c)^n + n(\alpha - c)t^{n-1},$$

and in particular $F(t) \ge (t+c)^n$.

(ii) Moreover

$$F'(t) \ge n(t+c)^{n-1} + n(n-1)(\alpha - c)t^{n-2},$$

and in particular $F'(t) \ge n(t+c)^{n-1}$.

These inequalities are strict unless $c_1 = \cdots = c_n$ and $c = \gamma$.

Proof. We exclude the case where $c_1 = \cdots = c_n$. Let \mathfrak{P}_k be the set of subsets of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ with k elements. For $0 \le k \le n$, let

$$s_k = \sum_{H \in \mathfrak{P}_k} \prod_{i \in H} c_i$$

be the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree k in c_1, \ldots, c_n . According to Newton and Maclaurin, the sequence

$$k \mapsto \left(\binom{n}{k}^{-1} s_k \right)^{1/k}$$

is strictly decreasing, in particular

$$\gamma^k < \binom{n}{k}^{-1} s_k < \alpha^k,$$

and since $c < \gamma$,

$$\binom{n}{k}c^k < s_k.$$

Putting $s_0 = 1$, and since $s_1 = n\alpha$, we obtain

$$F(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} s_k t^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} c^k t^{n-k} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} {s_k - {n \choose k} c^k} t^{n-k}$$

$$> (t+c)^n + n(\alpha - c)t^{n-1},$$

which proves the first inequality of (i), and of course the second, since $\alpha - c \ge 0$. Also,

$$F'(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (n-k)s_k t^{n-1-k},$$

and (ii) is proved along the lines of the proof of (i).

REMARK. With the notation of Lemma 2.12, the basic inequality

$$F(t) \ge (t + \lambda)^n$$

is just a consequence of the following Hölder inequality, where x_1, \ldots, x_n and y_1, \ldots, y_n are non-negative real numbers:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (x_i + y_i) > \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{1/n} + \prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i^{1/n}\right)^n,$$

unless $x_1 = \cdots = x_n$ and $y_1 = \cdots = y_n$.

The real Weil polynomial of A is

$$h_A(T) = \prod_{i=1}^g (T + x_i).$$

Then $f_A(t) = t^g h_A(t + qt^{-1})$ and $|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| = h_A(q+1)$.

Theorem 2.13. Let A/\mathbb{F}_q be an abelian variety of dimension g and trace $-\tau$. Then

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge (q+1-m)^g + (q-m)^{g-1}(gm+\tau).$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 2.12 to the polynomial

$$F(T) = h_A(T+m+1) = \prod_{i=1}^{g} (T+m+1+x_i).$$

Here $c_i = m + 1 + x_i$, and

$$\gamma = \prod_{i=1}^{g} (m+1+x_i)^{1/g} > 0.$$

Then $\gamma^g \in \mathbb{Z}$, since this algebraic integer is left invariant by $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, hence $\gamma \geq 1$. Now apply Lemma 2.12(i) with c = 1 and t = q - m. We get

$$h_A(q+1) = F(q-m) \ge (q-m+1)^g + g(q-m)^{g-1}(\alpha-1),$$

and the result follows by observing that $g(\alpha - 1) = gm + \tau$.

REMARK. For g = 1, the inequality of Theorem 2.13 is an equality (if $q \le 4$ then q - m = 0 and we use the convention $0^0 = 1$).

Serre's inequality (2.2) implies $gm + \tau \ge 0$, hence:

Corollary 2.14. Let A/\mathbb{F}_q be an abelian variety of dimension g. Then

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge (q+1-m)^g,$$

with equality if and only if A is of type $[-m, \ldots, -m]$.

2.4. Harmonic mean. The harmonic mean $\eta = \eta(A)$ of the family of numbers $q + 1 + x_i$ $(1 \le i \le g)$ is defined by

$$\frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{1}{q+1+x_i},$$

hence

$$\frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{1}{|1 - \omega_i|^2}.$$

The classical inequality between harmonic and geometric means leads to:

Theorem 2.15. Let A/\mathbb{F}_q be an abelian variety of dimension g. Then

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \geq \eta^g$$
.

The next proposition is similar to Theorem 2.13.

Proposition 2.16. Let A/\mathbb{F}_q be an abelian variety of dimension g. Then

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge \eta (q+1-m)^{g-1} + \eta \frac{g-1}{q} (q-m)^{g-2} (gm+\tau).$$

Proof. Since

$$\frac{h'_A(t)}{h_A(t)} = \sum_{i=1}^g \frac{1}{t+x_i},$$

we have

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| = \frac{\eta}{q} \, h'_A(q+1).$$

By applying Lemma 2.12(ii) with F(T) as in the proof of Theorem 2.13, we obtain

$$h'_A(q+1) \ge g(q+1-m)^{g-1} + (g-1)(q-m)^{g-2}(gm+\tau).$$

leading to the second inequality. \blacksquare

In order to compare the results of the previous section, a lower bound for η is needed. The proof of the forthcoming proposition makes use of a simple observation, following C. Smyth [20] and J.-P. Serre [19]: Let

$$P(T) = \prod_{i=1}^{g} (T - \alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$$

and $F \in \mathbb{Z}[T]$. The resultant $\operatorname{res}(P, F)$ is an integer, and if $\operatorname{res}(P, F) \neq 0$, then

(2.6)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{g} \log |F(\alpha_i)| = \log |\operatorname{res}(P, F)| \ge 0.$$

Proposition 2.17. If $q \ge 8$, then

$$\eta(A) \ge q + 1 - m.$$

Proof. (a) Assume c > 2. If $-1 < t \le c(c-2)$, then

$$\log(1+t) \le \frac{ct}{c+t}.$$

Indeed,

if
$$f(t) = \frac{ct}{c+t} - \log(1+t)$$
 then $f'(t) = \frac{t(c^2 - 2c - t)}{(1+t)(c+t)^2}$.

Thus $f'(t) \le 0$ if $-1 \le t < 0$ and $f'(t) \ge 0$ if $0 \le t \le c(c-2)$. This proves our statement, since $f(-1) = \infty$ and f(0) = 0.

(b) If $q \ge 8$, and if |x| < m + 1, then

$$\log(x + m + 1) \le (q + 1 - m) \left(1 - \frac{q + 1 - m}{q + 1 + x}\right).$$

Let c = q + 1 - m. If q > 5, then q + 1 - m > 2, and if $q \ge 11$, then

$$2m + 1 \le (q + 1 - m)(q - 1 - m).$$

Putting t = x + m, the hypotheses of (a) are satisfied, and

$$\log(x+m+1) \le \frac{(q+1-m)(x+m)}{q+1+x} = (q+1-m)\left(1 - \frac{q+1-m}{q+1+x}\right).$$

Finally, the conclusion may be verified by brute force for q = 8, 9.

(c) Now use (2.6) with F(t) = t + m + 1 and $P(t) = (-1)^g h_A(-t)$. Obviously $\operatorname{res}(P, F) \neq 0$, hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{g} \log(x_i + m + 1) \ge 0.$$

One deduces from (b) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{g} \left(1 - \frac{q+1-m}{q+1+x_i} \right) \ge 0, \quad \text{hence} \quad (q+1-m) \sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{1}{q+1+x_i} \le g. \blacksquare$$

Remarks. (i) Proposition 2.17 shows that Theorem 2.15 is stronger than Corollary 2.14 if $q \ge 8$.

(ii) It can happen that $\eta < q + 1 - m$. Take q = 2 for instance. As explained in Section 4, there is an abelian surface A/\mathbb{F}_2 with

$$f_A(t) = t^4 - t^3 - 2t + 4$$
 and $|A(\mathbb{F}_2)| = 2$.

Hence, A is of type $[x_+, x_-]$, with $x_{\pm} = (-1 \pm \sqrt{17})/2$, and $\eta = 4/5$, but q + 1 - m = 1.

(iii) It is easy to deduce an upper bound for $|A(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ from the harmonic mean combined with Specht's inequality. First, observe that if $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ is a sequence in [a, b] as in Proposition 2.7, and if h = b/a > 1, then

(2.7)
$$\gamma(\mathbf{c}) \le S(h)\eta(\mathbf{c})$$

as one sees by applying Specht's inequality to $\mathbf{c}' = (1/c_1, \dots, 1/c_n)$. Now take $c_i = q + 1 + x_i$ as above; we get

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \le S(H(q))^g \, \eta(A)^g,$$

and by Proposition 2.10,

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \le \left(\frac{q}{q-2}\right)^g \eta(A)^g.$$

2.5. Convexity. Another way to obtain lower bounds for $|A(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ is to use convexity methods as performed by M. Perret. We give the statement of [12, Th. 3], slightly rectified.

Theorem 2.18. Let A/\mathbb{F}_q be an abelian variety of dimension g and $trace -\tau$. Then

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge (q-1)^g \left(\frac{q^{1/2}+1}{q^{1/2}-1}\right)^{\omega-2\delta}, \quad where \quad \omega = \frac{\tau}{2q^{1/2}},$$

and where $\delta = 0$ if $g + \omega$ is an even integer, and $\delta = 1$ otherwise.

Proof. The idea is to find the minimum of the function

$$(x_1, \dots, x_g) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^g (q+1+x_i)$$

on the set

$$\{(x_1,\ldots,x_g)\in[-2q^{1/2},2q^{1/2}]^g\mid x_1+\cdots+x_g=\tau\}.$$

Let

$$y_i = \frac{x_i}{2q^{1/2}}, \quad c = \frac{q+1}{2q^{1/2}}.$$

The problem reduces to minimizing the function

$$F(y_1, \dots, y_g) = \sum_{i=1}^{g} \log(c + y_i)$$

on the polytope

$$P = \{(y_1, \dots, y_q) \in [-1, 1]^g \mid y_1 + \dots + y_q = \omega\}.$$

The set of points in P where F is minimal is invariant under permutations. Since F is strictly concave, the points in this set are vertices of P. But at most one of the coordinates of a vertex of P is different from ± 1 . Hence the minimum of F is attained at a vertex

$$\gamma = (1, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, -1, \beta)$$
 with $\beta \in [-1, 1]$.

Denote by u and v the respective numbers of occurrences of 1 and of -1 in γ , and set $\delta = 1$ if β is in the open interval (-1, 1) and 0 otherwise. Then

$$u + v + \delta = g, \quad u - v + \delta\beta = \omega,$$

and adding these equations, it follows that if $\delta=0$ then $g+\omega$ is an even integer. The converse is true: if $\delta=1$ then β is in the open interval (-1,1), and either $\beta\neq 0$ and $g+\omega$ is not an integer, or $\beta=0$ and $g+\omega=2u+1$. Hence,

$$\min_{(y_1, \dots, y_g) \in P} \exp F(y_1, \dots, y_g) = (c + \beta)^{\delta} (c + 1)^u (c - 1)^v$$

$$= (c + \beta)^{\delta} (c^2 - 1)^{(u+v)/2} \left(\frac{c+1}{c-1}\right)^{(u-v)/2}$$

$$= (c + \beta)^{\delta} (c^2 - 1)^{(g-\delta)/2} \left(\frac{c+1}{c-1}\right)^{(\omega-\delta\beta)/2},$$

and $c + \beta \ge c - 1$ and $\omega - \delta \beta \ge \omega - \delta$.

One could improve Theorem 2.18 by computing more explicitly the coordinates of the extremal points of P in the above proof.

Proposition 2.19. Let

$$r = \left\lceil \frac{g + [\omega]}{2} \right
ceil, \quad s = \left\lceil \frac{g - 1 - [\omega]}{2} \right
ceil, \quad where \quad \omega = \frac{\tau}{2q^{1/2}}.$$

Then

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \geq (q+1+\tau-2(r-s)q^{1/2})(q+1+2q^{1/2})^r(q+1-2q^{1/2})^s.$$

Proof. We keep the notation and results from the proof of Theorem 2.18. If $\gamma \neq (1, ..., 1)$, we denote by r and s the number of occurrences of 1 and of -1 respectively in γ but now, without possibly counting β . Then $r - s = \omega - \beta$, thus β must be equal to $\{\omega\} = \omega - [\omega]$ or $\{\omega\} - 1$ (after perhaps a permutation of β with one of the coordinates equal to -1 in the case where $\beta = 1$). Thus,

$$r+s=g-1, \quad r-s=[\omega]+\epsilon, \quad \beta=\{\omega\}-\epsilon,$$

where $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$. If $\gamma = (1,\ldots,1)$, the previous identities remain true if r = g and s = -1. The equations $2r = g - 1 + [\omega] + \epsilon$ and $2s = g - 1 - [\omega] - \epsilon$

show that $\epsilon = 1$ if and only if $g + [\omega]$ is even, and that

$$r = \left\lceil \frac{g + [\omega]}{2} \right\rceil \quad \text{and} \quad s = \left\lceil \frac{g - 1 - [\omega]}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.18, we obtain

$$\min_{(y_1,\dots,y_g)\in P} \exp F(y_1,\dots,y_g) = (c + \{\omega\} - \epsilon)(c^2 - 1)^{(g-1)/2} \left(\frac{c+1}{c-1}\right)^{([\omega]+\epsilon)/2}.$$

Then

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \geq (q-1)^{g-1} \left(q+1+2q^{1/2}(\{\omega\}-\epsilon)\right) \left(\frac{q^{1/2}+1}{q^{1/2}-1}\right)^{([\omega]+\epsilon)/2}$$

where $\epsilon = 1$ if $g + [\omega]$ is even and 0 otherwise, from which the result follows.

REMARK. If q is not a square, the bound of Proposition 2.19 is reached only if r=s (which implies that $|\tau|<2q^{1/2}$) and τ is the trace of some elliptic curve. If q is a square, this bound is not reached only if $\tau-2(r-s)q^{1/2}$ is not the trace of an elliptic curve (in particular, it is reached if τ is coprime to p).

3. Jacobians

3.1. Jacobians as abelian varieties. Let C denote a nonsingular, projective, absolutely irreducible curve defined over \mathbb{F}_q , with Jacobian J_C . We define

$$J_q(g) = \max_{C} |J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)| \quad \text{and} \quad j_q(g) = \min_{C} |J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)|,$$

where C ranges over the set of curves of genus g. Corollaries 2.2 and 2.14 imply

$$(q+1-m)^g \le j_q(g) \le |J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)| \le J_q(g) \le (q+1+m)^g$$
.

Let $N = |C(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ be the number of \mathbb{F}_q -rational points on C. If J_C has trace $-\tau$, then $N = q + 1 + \tau$, and (2.5) implies

$$\left(1 - \frac{2}{q}\right)^g \left(q + 1 + \frac{N - (q+1)}{g}\right)^g \le |J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)| \le \left(q + 1 + \frac{N - (q+1)}{g}\right)^g.$$

Hence.

$$\left(1-\frac{2}{q}\right)^g\left(q+1+\frac{N_q(g)-(q+1)}{g}\right)^g\leq J_q(g)\leq \left(q+1+\frac{N_q(g)-(q+1)}{g}\right)^g,$$

where $N_q(g)$ stands for the maximum number of rational points on a curve defined over \mathbb{F}_q of genus g. The quantity $J_q(g)$ has the following asymptotic behaviour. Define, as usual,

$$A(q) = \limsup_{q \to \infty} \frac{N_q(g)}{g}.$$

The preceding inequalities imply

$$(1-2/q)(q+1+A(q)) \le \limsup J_q(g)^{1/g} \le q+1+A(q).$$

On the one hand, the Drinfeld-Vlăduţ upper bound [26, p. 146]

$$A(q) \le q^{1/2} - 1$$

implies

$$\limsup_{q \to \infty} J_q(g)^{1/g} \le q + q^{1/2}$$

(the Weil bound would only give the upper bound $q + 1 + 2q^{1/2}$). On the other hand, S. Vlădut [27] has proved that if q is a square, then

$$q\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)^{q^{1/2}-1} \le \limsup_{g \to \infty} J_q(g)^{1/g}.$$

Observe that, when $q \to \infty$,

$$q\left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)^{q^{1/2}-1} = q + q^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{q^{1/2}}\right).$$

REMARK. Some observations on the relationship between $N_q(g)$ and $J_q(g)$ are in order. The number of points on the Jacobian of a maximal curve, that is, with $N_q(g)$ points, does not necessarily reach $J_q(g)$. For instance, J.-P. Serre showed in [18, p. Se47] that there exist two curves of genus 2 over \mathbb{F}_3 with $N_3(2) = 8$ points whose Jacobians have 35 points and 36 points respectively.

We shall see in Section 4 that a maximal Jacobian surface, that is, with $J_q(2)$ points, is always the Jacobian of a maximal curve (but there is no reason that this could remain true if g > 2).

A curve reaching the Serre-Weil bound (i.e. with q+1+m points) has type $[m, \ldots, m]$ by (2.2), hence, in the case where the Serre-Weil bound is reached for curves of genus g, a curve of genus g is maximal if and only if its Jacobian is maximal.

We record the consequences for Jacobians of the results of Section 2. Theorem 2.11(i) implies, with M(q) as defined there:

Proposition 3.1. If C is a curve of genus g over \mathbb{F}_q as above, then

$$|J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge M(q)^g \left(q + 1 + \frac{N - (q+1)}{g}\right)^g.$$

Theorem 2.13 implies

$$|J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge (q+1-m)^g + (gm+N-q-1)(q-m)^{g-1},$$

and Proposition 2.19 leads to:

Proposition 3.2. If C is a curve of genus g over \mathbb{F}_q as above, then

(II)
$$|J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge (N - 2(r - s)q^{1/2})(q + 1 + 2q^{1/2})^r (q + 1 - 2q^{1/2})^s$$
, with

$$r = \left[\frac{g + [\omega]}{2}\right], \quad \ s = \left[\frac{g - 1 - [\omega]}{2}\right], \quad \ where \quad \ \omega = \frac{N - q - 1}{2q^{1/2}}. \ \blacksquare$$

- **3.2. Virtual zeta functions.** The usual numerical sequences associated to a curve over \mathbb{F}_q (number of points, number of effective and prime divisors) occur in expressions involving the numerator of its zeta function. These sequences are defined and their main properties are obtained here by taking as starting point a polynomial satisfying suitable conditions, without any geometric reference. If A is an abelian variety of dimension g over \mathbb{F}_q , with characteristic polynomial $f_A(t)$, the reciprocal Weil polynomial $P(t) = P_A(t) = t^{2g} f_A(t^{-1})$ fulfills the following conditions:
 - (i) P(t) satisfies the functional equation

$$P(t) = q^g t^{2g} P\left(\frac{1}{qt}\right),$$

(ii)
$$P(0) = 1$$
 and $P(1) \neq 0$.

The inverse roots of P have modulus $q^{1/2}$, but we do not need this condition now. Condition (i) means that if

$$P(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{2g} a_n t^n$$

then $a_{2g-n}=q^{g-n}a_n$ for $0 \le n \le 2g$, in other words the sequence $q^{-n/2}a_n$ is palindromic. This condition implies that the possible multiplicity of $\pm q^{1/2}$ as a root is even, as shown by H. Stichtenoth in the proof of [24, Th. 5.1.15(e)]. Now, let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $q \ge 2$, and denote by $\mathbf{P}_g(q)$ the set of polynomials of degree 2g in $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ satisfying (i) and (ii). If $P \in \mathbf{P}_g(q)$, the $virtual\ zeta\ function$ with numerator P is the power series

(3.1)
$$Z(t) = Z_P(t) = \frac{P(t)}{(1-t)(1-qt)} \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]],$$

which is convergent if $|t| < q^{-1}$. For $n \ge 0$, define $A_n = A_n(P) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $N_n = N_n(P) \in \mathbb{Q}$ as the coefficients of the power series

(3.2)
$$Z(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n t^n, \quad \log Z(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n \frac{t^n}{n},$$

and put

$$B_n = B_n(P) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}u\right) N_d \in \mathbb{Q},$$

where μ is the Möbius function. The Möbius inversion formula implies

$$(3.3) N_n = \sum_{d|n} dB_d.$$

We use R. P. Stanley's notation [22, p. 15]: if S is a set with n elements, recall that a *combination with repetition* of k elements (or a k-multiset) in S is a function $f: S \to \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{x \in S} f(x) = k.$$

The number of all k-multisets in S is denoted by

(3.4)
$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n+k-1}{k} = \binom{n+k-1}{n-1},$$

and these numbers have generating function

(3.5)
$$(1-t)^{-n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} t^k.$$

We now recall a result of Schur [15]:

LEMMA 3.3. Let $P \in \mathbf{P}_q(q)$. With the previous notation:

(i) We have

$$Z(t) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - t^n)^{-B_n}.$$

- (ii) The numbers B_n and N_n are in \mathbb{Z} for any $n \geq 1$.
- (iii) If $n \ge 1$, then

(3.6)
$$A_n = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{P}_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} B_i \\ b_i \end{pmatrix},$$
with $\mathcal{P}_n = \{b = (b - b) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid b = 2b + b = n\}$

with $\mathcal{P}_n = \{b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid b_1 + 2b_2 + \dots + nb_n = n\}.$

Proof. First, (i) is a consequence of (3.3). By using the negative binomial formula (3.5), we get (iii) from (i) by comparison of the coefficients of these power series.

We prove (ii) by induction. First, (i) implies $A_1 = B_1$, and also

$$Z(t)\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1-t^i)^{B_i} = \prod_{i=n}^{\infty}(1-t^i)^{-B_i} = 1 + B_nt^n \pmod{t^{n+1}}.$$

If $B_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \le i \le n-1$, the left hand side is a power series with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} , and in particular the coefficient of t^n . Then $N_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ by (3.3).

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define $\pi_n = (q^{n+1} - 1)/(q - 1)$, so that $\pi_{-1} = 0$ and

$$\frac{1}{(1-t)(1-qt)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pi_n t^n.$$

The definition of Z(t) implies

(3.7)
$$A_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n,2g)} a_k \pi_{n-k}, \quad n \ge 0.$$

LEMMA 3.4. Let $P \in \mathbf{P}_q(q)$, and assume $g \geq 2$. If $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

(3.8)
$$A_n = q^{n+1-g} A_{2g-2-n} + P(1)\pi_{n-g},$$

assuming that $A_n = 0$ if n < 0. In particular,

(3.9)
$$A_n = P(1) \pi_{n-q}, \quad n \ge 2g - 1.$$

Proof. The proof has three steps.

(a) If $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$(q-1)\sum_{k=0}^{2g} a_k \pi_{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{2g} a_k (q^{n-k+1} - 1) = q^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{2g} a_k q^{-k} - \sum_{k=0}^{2g} a_k$$
$$= q^{n+1} P(q^{-1}) - P(1) = (q^{n+1-g} - 1)P(1) = (q-1)P(1)\pi_{n-g},$$

since $P(q^{-1}) = q^{-g}P(1)$. Hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2g} a_k \pi_{n-k} = P(1)\pi_{n-g}.$$

This proves (3.9), since the left hand side is equal to A_n (if n = 2g - 1, notice that the term with subscript k = 2g is zero).

(b) If
$$0 \le n \le 2g - 2$$
, then

$$q^{n+1-g}A_{2g-n-2} = \frac{q^{n+1-g}}{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2g-n-2} a_k (q^{2g-n-1-k} - 1)$$

$$= \frac{-1}{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2g-n-2} a_k q^{g-k} (q^{n-2g+k+1} - 1)$$

$$= -\sum_{k=0}^{2g-n-2} a_{2g-k} \pi_{n-2g+k} = -\sum_{k=n+2}^{2g} a_k \pi_{n-k}.$$

(c) If $n \geq 2g - 1$, then $A_{2g-2-n} = 0$ and (3.8) is equivalent to (3.9), which has already been proved in (a). If $0 \leq n \leq 2g - 2$, we deduce from (a) that

$$A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \pi_{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{2g} a_k \pi_{n-k} - a_{n+1} \pi_{-1} - \sum_{k=n+2}^{2g} a_k \pi_{n-k}.$$

The first term is equal to $P(1)\pi_{n-g}$ by (a), the second is zero, and the third is equal to $q^{n+1-g}A_{2g-n-2}$ by (b).

We have thus proved (3.8) if $n \ge 0$. If n < 0, then (3.8) reduces to (3.9) by putting m = 2g - 1 - n.

As in the case of the zeta function of a curve [9], we deduce from Lemma 3.4 that if $g \ge 2$, then

(3.10)
$$\sum_{n=0}^{g-1} A_n t^n + \sum_{n=0}^{g-2} q^{g-1-n} A_n t^{2g-2-n} = Z_P(t) - \frac{P(1)t^g}{(1-t)(1-qt)}.$$

In particular, if $t = q^{-1/2}$,

(3.11)
$$A_{g-1} + 2q^{(g-1)/2} \sum_{n=0}^{g-2} A_n q^{-n/2} = q^{(g-1)/2} Z_P(q^{-1/2}) + \frac{P(1)}{(q^{1/2} - 1)^2}.$$

If $P \in \mathbf{P}_g(q)$, the map $\omega \mapsto q/\omega$ is a permutation of the inverse roots of P, and we order them in a sequence $(\omega_i)_{1 \le i \le 2g}$ such that $\omega_{g+i} = q/\omega_i$ for $1 \le i \le g$. We put

$$x_i = -(\omega_i + q/\omega_i), \quad 1 \le i \le g.$$

As in §2.4, we define $\eta = \eta(P) \in \mathbb{Q}$ by

$$\frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{1}{q+1+x_i}.$$

Since $P(1) \neq 0$, we know that $q + 1 + x_i \neq 0$. As in the case of the zeta function of a curve [9], the evaluation at t = 1 of (3.10) leads to

Theorem 3.5. Assume $g \geq 2$. With notation as above, if $P \in \mathbf{P}_g(q)$, then

$$\frac{g}{\eta}P(1) = \sum_{n=0}^{g-1} A_n + \sum_{n=0}^{g-2} q^{g-1-n} A_n. \blacksquare$$

We now recall the exponential formula (see R. P. Stanley [23]), which goes back to Euler. Let $\mathbf{y} = (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of indeterminates. To an element $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ one associates the monomial $\mathbf{y}^b = y_1^{b_1} \ldots y_n^{b_n}$

in the ring $\mathbb{Q}[[\mathbf{y}]]$. Then

$$\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n \frac{t^n}{n}\right) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(y_n \frac{t^n}{n}\right) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{b_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_n!} \left(y_n \frac{t^n}{n}\right)^{b_n}$$
$$= \sum_{b_1, \dots, b_k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\mathbf{y}^b}{b_1! \dots b_k!} \frac{t^{b_1 + 2b_2 + \dots + kb_k}}{2^{b_2} \dots k^{b_k}}.$$

Let $C_0(\mathbf{y}) = 1$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \ge 1$,

$$C_n(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{P}_n} c(b)\mathbf{y}^b, \quad c(b) = \frac{n!}{b_1! \dots b_n!} \frac{1}{2^{b_2} \dots n^{b_n}}$$

where \mathcal{P}_n is as above. We put

$$C_n(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{C_n(\mathbf{y})}{n!}.$$

The previous computations show that the following classical identity, called the *exponential formula*, holds in the ring $\mathbb{Q}[[\mathbf{y}]][[t]]$:

$$\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n \frac{t^n}{n}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(\mathbf{y}) t^n.$$

The coefficients of $C_n(\mathbf{y})$ are natural numbers, since

$$C_n(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \mathbf{y}^{\beta(\sigma)},$$

where the summation extends over the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n , where

$$\beta(\sigma) = (b_1(\sigma), \dots, b_n(\sigma)),$$

and $b_k(\sigma)$ is the number of cycles of length k in the cycle decomposition of σ as a product of disjoint cycles. Now come back to the zeta function $Z_P(t)$ of a polynomial $P \in \mathbf{P}_g(q)$. From (3.2), we deduce

$$(3.12) A_n = \mathcal{C}_n(N_1, \dots, N_n).$$

3.3. Specific bounds for Jacobians. All the results of §3.2 apply if we take for P the reciprocal Weil polynomial P_A of an abelian variety A over \mathbb{F}_q , and $|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| = P_A(1)$. We put

$$Z_A(t) = Z_P(t),$$
 $A_n(A) = A_n(P),$ $B_n(A) = B_n(P),$ $N_n(A) = N_n(P)$
 $(N_n(A) \text{ should not be confused with } |A(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})|).$ If A is of dimension g and

 $(N_n(A) \text{ should not be confused with } |A(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})|)$. If A is of dimension g and trace $-\tau$, then

$$P_A(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{2g} a_n t^n, \quad |a_n| \le {2g \choose n} q^{n/2}, \quad a_1 = \tau.$$

We deduce from (3.7) that, roughly speaking, if q is large with respect to q, then

(3.13)
$$A_n = \pi_n + \pi_{n-1}\tau + O(q^{n-1}) = q^n + q^{n-1}\tau + O(q^{n-1}),$$

where the implied constant depends only on n and g. Now consider a curve C over \mathbb{F}_q with Jacobian J as above, and take $P = P_J$. Then $Z_J(t) = Z_C(t)$ is the zeta function of C. Moreover, A_n and B_n are respectively the number of effective and prime rational divisors of degree n of C, and $N_n = |C(\mathbb{F}_{q^n})|$. We record for future use that in this case the following conditions hold:

(B)
$$B_n \ge 0$$
 for $1 \le n \le 2g$,
(N) $N_n \ge N_1 \ge 0$ for $1 \le n \le 2g$.

(N)
$$N_n \ge N_1 \ge 0$$
 for $1 \le n \le 2g$

Actually, these conditions hold for any $n \geq 1$, and more precisely $N_n \geq N_d$ if $d \mid n$. The Jacobian of a curve satisfies (**B**) and (**N**), unlike a general abelian variety. Notice that (\mathbf{B}) is stronger than (\mathbf{N}) , since if (\mathbf{B}) holds, one deduces from (3.3) that

$$nB_n \le N_n - N_1$$
 for $n \ge 2$.

We discuss below some lower bounds for N_n and B_n which are valid for any abelian variety. The results show that (\mathbf{B}) and (\mathbf{N}) can be peculiar to Jacobians only if q is sufficiently large with respect to q.

LEMMA 3.6. Let A be an abelian variety of dimension $g \geq 1$ over \mathbb{F}_q . If $g \le q/m$, then $N_1 = B_1 \ge 1$. If

$$g \leq \frac{q - q^{1/2}}{2}, \quad then \quad N_n \geq N_1 \quad for \ n \geq 1,$$

and hence $B_n \geq 0$ if n is prime.

Proof. The first statement comes from Serre's inequality. By Weil's inequality, $N_n - N_1 \ge f_q(g)$ with

$$f_q(g) = q^n - q - 2g(q^{n/2} + q^{1/2}),$$

and $g \mapsto f_q(g)$ is decreasing. If $g \leq g_0 = (q - q^{1/2})/2$, then

$$f_q(g) \ge f_q(g_0) = (q^{n/2} + q^{1/2})(q^{n/2} - q),$$

hence $f_q(g) \geq 0$ if $n \geq 2$.

The following two propositions improve some results of N. Elkies et al. [5, Lem. 2.1(i)].

Proposition 3.7. Let A be an abelian variety of dimension $g \geq 1$ over \mathbb{F}_q . If $n \geq 2$, then

$$|nB_n - q^n| \le (2g+2)q^{n/2} + 4gq^{n/4} - (4g+2),$$

and

$$nB_n \ge (q^{n/4} + 1)^2((q^{n/4} - 1)^2 - 2g).$$

Proof. Since

$$N_n = q^n + 1 + \tau_n$$
, where $\tau_n = -\sum_{i=1}^g (\omega_i^n + \bar{\omega}_i^n)$,

we find

$$nB_n = \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d)(q^d + 1 + \tau_d) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d)q^d + 0 + \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d)\tau_d,$$

$$|nB_n - q^n| \le \sum_{d|n, d < n} |\mu(n/d)| q^d + \sum_{d|n} |\mu(n/d)| |\tau_d|$$

$$\le \sum_{d|n, d < n} |\mu(n/d)| q^d + 2g \sum_{d|n} q^{d/2} \le 2gq^{n/2} + \sum_{d|n, d < n} (q^d + 2gq^{d/2}).$$

Since $q/(q-1) \leq 2$, we have

$$\sum_{d|n, d < n} q^d \le q + q^2 + \dots + q^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} = \frac{q^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1} - 1}{q - 1} - 1 \le \frac{q}{q - 1} (q^{n/2} - 1) \le 2q^{n/2} - 2,$$

and

$$\sum_{d|n, d < n} (q^d + 2gq^{d/2}) \le 2q^{n/2} + 4gq^{n/4} - (4g + 2).$$

This implies the first statement, and hence $nB_n \geq F(q^{n/4})$, where

$$F(x) = x^4 - (2g+2)x^2 - 4gx + 4g + 2 = G(x) + 6g + 1,$$

$$G(x) = (x+1)^2((x-1)^2 - 2g).$$

Since F(x) > G(x) for any real number x, the second statement follows.

REMARK. Notice that if g = 0, then B_n is equal to the number $I_q(n)$ of irreducible monic polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ of degree n. The proof of Proposition 3.7 holds in this case, and if $n \geq 1$, we get the tight inequalities

$$|nI_q(n) - q^n| \le 2q^{n/2} - 2$$
, $nI_q(n) \ge (q^{n/2} - 1)^2 + 1$.

PROPOSITION 3.8. Let A be an abelian variety of dimension $g \geq 2$ over \mathbb{F}_q , and let $n \geq 2$.

(i) If
$$n > 4\log_q(1 + (2g)^{1/2})$$
, that is, if

$$g < \frac{(q^{n/4} - 1)^2}{2}$$
, then $B_n \ge 1$.

(ii) If

$$g \le \frac{q - q^{1/2}}{2}$$
, then $B_n \ge 0$.

- (iii) If $n \geq g$, then $B_n \geq 1$, unless $2 \leq g \leq 9$ and $q \leq 5$.
- (iv) If $n \ge 2g$, then $B_n \ge 1$, unless $2 \le g \le 3$ and q = 2, in which case $B_n \ge 1$ whenever $n \ge 2g + 1$.

Proof. The second statement of Proposition 3.7 implies (i), since $B_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In the proof of (ii), we can assume $n \geq 4$, since Lemma 3.6 implies $B_2 \geq 0$ and $B_3 \geq 0$. But $q - q^{1/2} < (q^{n/4} - 1)^2$ if $n \geq 4$, and (i) implies (ii). Let

$$f_q(g) = 4\log_q(1 + (2g)^{1/2}).$$

Then $q \mapsto f_q(g)$ is decreasing, and $g \mapsto f_q(g)$ is increasing. If $g \ge 10$, then $g > f_2(g)$ and (i) implies $B_n \ge 1$ for every $n \ge g$. On the other hand, if $g \ge 3$ and $q \ge 7$, then $g > f_7(g)$ as well. It is easy to see that a "strict" version of (ii) holds, namely, if $2g < q - q^{1/2}$, and in particular if g = 2 and $g \ge 7$, then $B_n > 0$ for every $n \ge 2$. This proves (iii).

Now observe that:

$$g \ge 4 \implies 2g > f_2(g);$$
 $g \ge 3 \implies 2g > f_3(g);$ $g \ge 2 \implies 2g > f_4(g).$ This implies by (i) that $B_n \ge 1$ for every $n \ge 2g$ if

$$g \ge 4$$
 and $q \ge 2$; $g \ge 3$ and $q \ge 3$; $g \ge 2$ and $q \ge 4$.

The values excluded in the above relations are (g,q) = (2,2), (2,3), (3,2). Let F(x) be as in the proof of Proposition 3.7. We know that $B_n \ge 1$ as soon as $F(q^{n/4}) > 0$. If g = 2, then $F(q^{n/4}) > 0$ if $q^n > 59$, hence,

$$(g,q) = (2,3) \implies B_n \ge 1$$
 if $n \ge 2g = 4$,

and this proves the first statement of (iv). In the same way,

$$(g,q) = (2,2) \implies B_n \ge 1 \quad \text{if } n \ge 2g + 2 = 6.$$

Moreover, if (g,q) = (2,2) and n = 2g + 1 = 5, then $B_5 \ge 4$ by Serre's inequality. If g = 3 and $n \ge 7$, then $F(2^{n/4}) \ge F(2^{7/4}) > 0$, hence,

$$(g,q) = (3,2) \implies B_n \ge 1 \text{ if } n \ge 2g + 1 = 7,$$

and this proves the second statement of (iv). \blacksquare

EXAMPLE. Here are two instances of the exceptional cases appearing in (iv). Consider the elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_2 :

$$E_1: y^2 + y = x^3, \quad E_2: y^2 + xy = x^3 + x^2 + 1.$$

Then $f_{E_1}(t) = t^2 + 2$ and $f_{E_2}(t) = t^2 - t + 2$. If $A = E_1 \times E_2$, then $B_4(A) = -1$ and $N_4(A) - N_1(A) = 6$. If $A = E_2 \times E_2 \times E_2$, then $B_6(A) = 0$ and $N_6(A) - N_1(A) = 38$.

We shall use the results of $\S 3.2$ in order to obtain lower bounds for the number of rational points on the Jacobian of a curve. To do that, we consider an abelian variety, and assume that Condition (\mathbf{B}) or Condition (\mathbf{N}) is satisfied.

Lemma 3.9. Assume that (B) holds. If $n \ge 2$, then

$$A_n \ge {\binom{N_1}{n}} + \sum_{i=2}^n B_i {\binom{N_1}{i}}.$$

Proof. All the terms on the right hand side of (3.6) are ≥ 0 . In order to get a lower bound, we sum over the subset of \mathcal{P}_n consisting of

$$(n,0,\ldots,0),(n-2,1,0,\ldots,0),\ldots,(1,0,\ldots,0,1,0),(0,\ldots,0,1).$$

From (3.9) we deduce

$$(3.14) |A(\mathbb{F}_q)| = \frac{q-1}{q^g-1} A_{2g-1}.$$

From now on, for any abelian variety A over \mathbb{F}_q , we put $N = N_1(A)$, which should not be confused with $|A(\mathbb{F}_q)|$. From (3.14) and Lemma 3.9 we deduce:

Proposition 3.10. Let A be an abelian variety, and assume that (\mathbf{B}) holds. Then

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge \frac{q-1}{q^g - 1} \left[\binom{N}{2g - 1} + \sum_{i=2}^{2g-1} B_i \binom{N}{2g - 1 - i} \right].$$

In particular,

$$(3.15) |A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge \frac{q-1}{q^g-1} \binom{N}{2g-1}. \blacksquare$$

REMARKS. (i) Notice that the bound (III) can be made more explicit, using Proposition 3.7.

(ii) The bound (3.15) is not optimal for $g \ge 10$ or $q \ge 7$, by Proposition 3.8(iii).

Lemma 3.11. Let A be an abelian variety, and assume that (\mathbf{N}) holds. Then

$$A_n \ge \binom{N}{n}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Proof. We recall two classical results. Let $\mathbf{y} = (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathbf{z} = (z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two sequences of indeterminates, and take $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Firstly, by applying the exponential formula to

$$\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(y_n+z_n)\frac{t^n}{n}\right) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}y_n\frac{t^n}{n}\right)\exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}z_n\frac{t^n}{n}\right),$$

and expanding the right hand side, we obtain

(3.16)
$$C_n(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k(\mathbf{y}) C_{n-k}(\mathbf{z}).$$

Secondly, if $M \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\exp\biggl(\sum_{n=1}^\infty M\frac{t^n}{n}\biggr) = \exp\biggl(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{t^n}{n}\biggr)^M = (1-t)^{-M} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \biggl(\binom{M}{n}\biggr)t^n,$$

hence

(3.17)
$$C_n(M, \dots, M) = \binom{M}{n}.$$

We define

$$\mathbf{n} = (N, N, \dots), \quad \mathbf{d} = (0, N_2 - N, N_3 - N, \dots).$$

Then, by (3.12), (3.16) and (3.17),

$$A_n = \mathcal{C}_n(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{d}) = \sum_{k=0}^n \mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{n}) \mathcal{C}_{n-k}(\mathbf{d}) \ge \mathcal{C}_n(\mathbf{n}) = \binom{N}{n}. \quad \blacksquare$$

We put, if $k \geq 2$,

$$\mathcal{X}_k(N) = \binom{N}{k} - q \binom{N}{k-2}$$
$$= \binom{N}{k-2} \left[\binom{N-1}{k} + 1 \right] \left(\frac{N-1}{k-1} + 1 \right) - q \right].$$

LEMMA 3.12. Let A be an abelian variety, and assume that (N) holds. If $k \geq 0$, then $C_k(\mathbf{n}) \geq 0$, $C_k(\mathbf{d}) \geq 0$, and

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| = \mathcal{C}_g(\mathbf{d}) + (N-1)\mathcal{C}_{g-1}(\mathbf{d}) + \sum_{k=2}^g \mathcal{X}_k(N)\mathcal{C}_{g-k}(\mathbf{d}).$$

Proof. By (N), the coordinates of \mathbf{n} and \mathbf{d} are ≥ 0 , and this implies our first assertion. Let \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{z} be two sequences of indeterminates. By (3.16),

$$C_{g}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}) - qC_{g-2}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{k=0}^{g} C_{k}(\mathbf{y})C_{g-k}(\mathbf{z}) - q \sum_{k=0}^{g-2} C_{k}(\mathbf{y})C_{g-2-k}(\mathbf{z})$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{g} C_{k}(\mathbf{y})C_{g-k}(\mathbf{z}) - q \sum_{k=2}^{g} C_{k-2}(\mathbf{y})C_{g-k}(\mathbf{z})$$

$$= C_{0}(\mathbf{y})C_{g}(\mathbf{z}) + C_{1}(\mathbf{y})C_{g-1}(\mathbf{z})$$

$$+ \sum_{k=2}^{g} (C_{k}(\mathbf{y}) - qC_{k-2}(\mathbf{y}))C_{g-k}(\mathbf{z}).$$

Now, by applying (3.8) with n = g, we get

$$(3.18) |A(\mathbb{F}_q)| = A_q - qA_{q-2},$$

and using (3.12),

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| = \mathcal{C}_q(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{d}) - q\mathcal{C}_{q-2}(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{d}).$$

Replacing \mathbf{y} by \mathbf{n} and \mathbf{z} by \mathbf{d} , and since (3.17) implies

$$\mathcal{C}_k(\mathbf{n}) = \binom{N}{k},$$

we get the required expression for $|A(\mathbb{F}_q)|$.

Proposition 3.13. Assume $g \geq 2$. Let A be an abelian variety. Assume that (N) holds and

(3.19)
$$\left(\frac{N-1}{g}+1\right)\left(\frac{N-1}{g-1}+1\right)-q>0.$$

Then

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge \binom{N}{g} - q \binom{N}{g-2}.$$

Proof. The right hand side of (**IV**) is equal to $\mathcal{X}_g(N)$, and $\mathcal{X}_g(N) > 0$ if and only if (3.19) holds, in which case $N \geq 1$. For $k = 2, \ldots, g$, we have

$$\mathcal{X}_k(N) \ge \binom{N}{k-2} \left(\left(\frac{N-1}{g} + 1 \right) \left(\frac{N-1}{g-1} + 1 \right) - q \right) \ge 0,$$

where the second inequality comes from (3.19). Applying Lemma 3.12 we deduce

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge C_0(\mathbf{n})C_g(\mathbf{d}) + C_1(\mathbf{n})C_{g-1}(\mathbf{d}) + \mathcal{X}_g C_0(\mathbf{d}) \ge \mathcal{X}_g C_0(\mathbf{d}),$$

and the result follows, since $C_0(\mathbf{d}) = 1$.

Remarks. (i) The condition (3.19) is satisfied if $N \ge g(q^{1/2} - 1) + 1$. This inequality has to be compared with the Drinfeld-Vladut upper bound.

(ii) Notice that Proposition 3.13 can be improved: Since

$$C_n(\mathbf{d}) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{P}_n} c(b) \mathbf{d}^b \ge \frac{N_n - N}{n},$$

because the right hand side is the term of the sum corresponding to $b = (0, \ldots, 0, 1)$, we get

$$C_0(\mathbf{n})C_g(\mathbf{d}) \ge \frac{N_g - N}{g}$$
 and $C_1(\mathbf{n})C_{g-1}(\mathbf{d}) \ge N \frac{N_{g-1} - N}{g-1}$.

Therefore if (3.19) holds, then

$$|J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge \frac{N_g - N}{g} + N \frac{N_{g-1} - N}{g - 1} + \left(\binom{N}{g}\right) - q\left(\binom{N}{g - 2}\right),$$

and the numbers N_g and N_{g-1} can be replaced by their standard lower bounds in order to get a bound improving (**IV**).

(iii) Lemma 3.4 provides some other identities than (3.14) and (3.18), for instance

$$A_{2g-2} = |A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \pi_{g-2} + q^{g-1}.$$

On the other hand, $Z_A(t) < 0$ if $q^{-1} < t < 1$, because $f_A(t) \ge 0$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and one deduces from (3.11) the inequality

$$A_{g-1} \le \frac{|A(\mathbb{F}_q)|}{(q^{1/2} - 1)^2} - 2q^{(g-1)/2},$$

as established by S. Ballet, C. Ritzenthaler and R. Rolland [2]. These relations lead to lower bounds similar to (III) and (IV).

Assume $g \geq 2$. From Theorem 3.5, we know that

(3.20)
$$\frac{g}{\eta} |A(\mathbb{F}_q)| = \sum_{n=0}^{g-1} A_n + \sum_{n=0}^{g-2} q^{g-1-n} A_n,$$

where $\eta = \eta(A)$ is the harmonic mean of the numbers $q + 1 + x_i$, as in §2.2. We recall from [9] that

$$(3.21) \eta \ge (q^{1/2} - 1)^2,$$

(3.22)
$$\eta \ge \frac{g(q-1)^2}{(g+1)(q+1)-N},$$

and (3.22) is always tighter than (3.21) though the latter does not depend on N. Moreover, if $q \geq 8$, by Proposition 2.17 we know that

$$\eta \ge q + 1 - m$$
.

This lower bound is better than the uniform lower bound deduced from (3.22), namely

$$\eta \ge \frac{g(q-1)^2}{(g+1)(q+1)-N} \ge \frac{(q-1)^2}{q+1+m} = q+1-m-\frac{4q-m^2}{q+1+m}.$$

Theorem 3.14. If $g \ge 2$, and if (N) holds, then

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge \frac{\eta}{g} \left[\binom{N+1}{g-2} + \sum_{n=0}^{g-1} q^{g-1-n} \binom{N}{n} \right].$$

Proof. Since (\mathbf{N}) holds, we apply the inequality of Lemma 3.11 in (3.20). Noticing that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{g-2} \binom{N}{n} = \binom{N+1}{g-2},$$

we obtain the result.

REMARK. The expression in brackets in (\mathbf{V}) is $\geq q^{g-1}$. Since $N \geq 0$, we recover as a corollary the bound [9, Th. 2(1)], which does not depend on N:

$$|J_C(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge q^{g-1} \frac{(q-1)^2}{(q+1)(g+1)}.$$

The right hand side of (V) is cumbersome. Here is a simpler lower bound using the partial sums of the exponential series. Let

$$e_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{x^j}{j!}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, x > 0.$$

Then

$$e_n(x) = e^x \frac{\Gamma(n+1,x)}{n!}$$
, where $\Gamma(n,x) = \int_x^\infty t^{n-1} e^{-t} dt$

is the incomplete Gamma function. Since

$$\binom{N}{n} \ge \frac{N^n}{n!},$$

we get from Theorem 3.14:

Corollary 3.15. If $g \ge 2$, then

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge \left[\binom{N+1}{g-2} + q^{g-1}e_{g-1}(q^{-1}N) \right] \frac{(q-1)^2}{(g+1)(q+1) - N}.$$

3.4. Discussing the bounds. Let C be a curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over \mathbb{F}_q , with $N = |C(\mathbb{F}_q)|$. We recall the lower bounds for the number of rational points on $J = J_C$, respectively obtained from Proposition 3.1 (with M(q) as defined there), Proposition 3.2 (with r and s as defined there), Proposition 3.10, Proposition 3.13, and Theorem 3.14:

$$(\mathbf{I}) \quad |J(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge M(q)^g \left(q + 1 + \frac{N - (q+1)}{g}\right)^g,$$

(II)
$$|J(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge (N - 2(r - s)q^{1/2})(q + 1 + 2q^{1/2})^r (q + 1 - 2q^{1/2})^s$$
,

(III)
$$|J(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge \frac{q-1}{q^g-1} \left[\binom{N}{2g-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{2g-1} B_i \binom{N}{2g-1-i} \right],$$

$$|J(\mathbf{IV})| |J(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge {N \choose g} - q {N \choose g-2},$$

$$(\mathbf{V}) \quad |J(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge \frac{\eta}{g} \left[\binom{N+1}{g-2} + \sum_{n=0}^{g-1} q^{g-1-n} \binom{N}{n} \right].$$

The following points are worth noticing.

(i) When q is large with respect to g, we have, for any abelian variety of dimension g,

$$|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| = q^g + O(q^{g-1/2}),$$

and (I) and (II) are the only bounds consistent with this estimate. More precisely, since (II) is usually reached for abelian varieties when q is a square, this bound is probably the best one as soon as $g \leq (q - q^{1/2})/2$.

(ii) Assume that (**N**) holds. Then (3.20), together with the inequality $A_n \geq N$ for $n \geq 1$, gives

$$\frac{g}{\eta}|A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge (q^{g-1} - 1)\frac{N + q - 1}{q - 1}.$$

Using (3.21), we recover [9, Th. 2(2)]:

$$(3.23) |A(\mathbb{F}_q)| \ge (q^{1/2} - 1)^2 \frac{q^{g-1} - 1}{g} \frac{N + q - 1}{q - 1}.$$

But if $n \geq 1$ and $N \geq 1$, the inequality in Lemma 3.11 is better than $A_n \geq N$, since

$$\binom{N}{n} \ge N.$$

Hence, (\mathbf{V}) is always better than (3.23).

- (iii) The tables in [9] provide numerical evidence that these bounds can be better than those which hold for general abelian varieties, at least when q is not too large.
- (iv) Assume $q \geq 4$, and let A be any abelian variety of dimension $g \leq (q q^{1/2})/2$ with $N_1 \geq 0$. Then the bounds (**IV**) and (**V**) hold for A, by Lemma 3.6. Likewise, the bound (**III**) holds for A, by Proposition 3.8(ii).
- (v) The numerical experiments that we performed lead to the following observations. The bound (**IV**) can be good even if $g \geq 9$. However, when g is large, (**V**) seems to be better than (**III**) and (**IV**), and probably (**V**) becomes better than (**II**) when g is very large.
- **4. Jacobian surfaces.** The characteristic polynomial of an elliptic curve determines the number of its rational points, and vice versa. Therefore, the values of $J_q(1)$ and $j_q(1)$ are given by the Deuring-Waterhouse Theorem (see [4], [28]): if $q = p^n$, then

$$J_q(1) = \begin{cases} q+1+m & \text{if } n=1, \ n \text{ is even, or } p \nmid m, \\ q+m & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
$$j_q(1) = \begin{cases} q+1-m & \text{if } n=1, \ n \text{ is even, or } p \nmid m, \\ q+2-m & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The description of the set of characteristic polynomials of abelian surfaces has been given by H.-G. Rück [14]. The question of describing the set of isogeny classes of abelian surfaces which contain a Jacobian has been widely studied, especially by J.-P. Serre [16], [17], [18], whose aim was to determine $N_q(2)$. A complete answer to this question was finally given by E. Howe, E. Nart, and C. Ritzenthaler [8]. In the remainder of this section, we explain how to deduce from these results the value of $J_q(2)$ and $J_q(2)$.

Let A be an abelian surface over \mathbb{F}_q of type $[x_1, x_2]$. Its characteristic polynomial has the form

$$f_A(t) = t^4 + a_1 t^3 + a_2 t^2 + q a_1 t + q^2,$$

with

$$a_1 = x_1 + x_2$$
 and $a_2 = x_1 x_2 + 2q$.

By elementary computations, H. G. Rück [14] showed that the fact that the roots of $f_A(t)$ are q-Weil numbers (i.e. algebraic integers whose images under every complex embedding have absolute value $q^{1/2}$) is equivalent to

$$(4.1) |a_1| \le 2m \text{ and } 2|a_1|q^{1/2} - 2q \le a_2 \le a_1^2/4 + 2q.$$

We have

$$(4.2) |A(\mathbb{F}_q)| = f_A(1) = q^2 + 1 + (q+1)a_1 + a_2.$$

Table 2 gives all the possibilities for (a_1, a_2) such that $a_1 \geq 2m - 2$. Here

$$\varphi_1 = (-1 + \sqrt{5})/2, \quad \varphi_2 = (-1 - \sqrt{5})/2.$$

Table 2. Couples (a_1, a_2) maximizing $|A(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ (b = q + 1 + m)

a_1	a_2	Type	$ A(\mathbb{F}_q) $
$\overline{2m}$	$m^2 + 2q$	[m,m]	b^2
2m - 1	$m^2 - m + 2q$	[m, m-1]	b(b-1)
	$m^2 - m - 1 + 2q$	$[m+\varphi_1, m+\varphi_2]$	b^2-b-1
2m - 2	$m^2 - 2m + 1 + 2q$	[m-1,m-1]	$(b-1)^2$
	$m^2 - 2m + 2q$	[m, m-2]	b(b-2)
	$m^2 - 2m - 1 + 2q$	$[m-1+\sqrt{2}, m-1-\sqrt{2}]$	$(b-1)^2 - 2$
	$m^2 - 2m - 2 + 2q$	$[m-1+\sqrt{3}, m-1-\sqrt{3}]$	$(b-1)^2-3$

The numbers of points are classified in decreasing order and an abelian variety with (a_1, a_2) not in the table has fewer points than any value in the

table. Indeed, if $-2m \le a_1 < 2m - 2$, then

$$(q+1)a_1 + a_2 \le [(q+1)a_1 + a_1^2/4 + 2q]$$

$$\le [(q+1)(2m-3) + (2m-3)^2/4 + 2q]$$

$$= (q+1)(2m-2) + (m^2 - 2m - 2 + 2q) + (3 - (q+m))$$

$$< (q+1)(2m-2) + (m^2 - 2m - 2 + 2q)$$

(notice that the function $x \mapsto (q+1)x + (x^2/4)$ is increasing on the interval [-2m, 2m-3]). And therefore, for any couple (a'_1, a'_2) in Table 2, we have

$$q^2 + 1 + (q+1)a_1 + a_2 \le q^2 + 1 + (q+1)a_1' + a_2'.$$

In the same way, we build the table of couples (a_1, a_2) with $a_1 \leq -2m+2$. Notice that the ends of the interval containing a_2 given by (4.1) depend only on the absolute value of a_1 , hence the possible entries for a_2 are the same as in the previous table. If q > 4, the numbers of points are classified in increasing order. Moreover, if q > 5, and an abelian variety with (a_1, a_2) not in the next table has more points than any value in the table. Indeed, if q > 5 and $-2m + 2 < a_1 \leq 2m$, then

$$(q+1)a_1 + a_2 \ge (q+1)a_1 + 2|a_1|q^{1/2} - 2q$$

$$\ge (q+1)(-2m+3) + 2(2m-3)q^{1/2} - 2q$$

$$= (q+1)(-2m+2) + (m^2 - 2m + 1 + 2q)$$

$$- (2q^{1/2} - m + 1)^2 + (q^{1/2} - 1)^2$$

$$> (q+1)(-2m+2) + (m^2 - 2m + 1 + 2q)$$

(notice that $x \mapsto (q+1)x + 2|x|q^{1/2}$ is increasing on [-2m+3, 2m]). And therefore, for any couple (a'_1, a'_2) in Table 3, we have

$$q^2 + 1 + (q+1)a_1 + a_2 \ge q^2 + 1 + (q+1)a_1' + a_2'$$

Table 3. Couples (a_1, a_2) minimizing $|A(\mathbb{F}_q)|$, with q > 5, and b' = q + 1 - m

$\overline{a_1}$	a_2	Туре	$A(\mathbb{F}_q)$
-2m	$m^2 + 2q$	[-m,-m]	$b^{\prime 2}$
-2m+1	$m^2 - m - 1 + 2q$	$[-m-\varphi_1,-m-\varphi_2]$	$b'^2 + b' - 1$
	$m^2 - m + 2q$	[-m,-m+1]	b'(b'+1)
-2m + 2	$m^2 - 2m - 2 + 2q$	$[-m+1+\sqrt{3},-m+1-\sqrt{3}]$	$(b'+1)^2-3$
	$m^2 - 2m - 1 + 2q$	$[-m+1+\sqrt{2},-m+1-\sqrt{2}]$	$(b'+1)^2-2$
	$m^2 - 2m + 2q$	[-m,-m+2]	b'(b'+2)
	$m^2 - 2m + 1 + 2q$	[-m+1,-m+1]	$(b'+1)^2$

Most of the cases of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 will be proved in the following way:

- (i) Look at the highest row of Table 2 or 3 (depending on the proposition being proved).
- (ii) Check if the corresponding polynomial is the characteristic polynomial of an abelian variety.
- (iii) If yes, check if this abelian variety is isogenous to a Jacobian variety.
- (iv) Otherwise, look at the following row and come back to the second step.

For the second step, we use the results of H.-G. Rück [14] who solved the problem of describing characteristic polynomials of abelian surfaces, in particular we use the fact that if (a_1, a_2) satisfy (4.1) and p does not divide a_2 then the corresponding polynomial is the characteristic polynomial of an abelian surface.

For the third step, we use [8] where we can find a characterization of isogeny classes of abelian surfaces containing a Jacobian.

For q=4, it turns out that none of the entries in Tables 2 and 3 correspond to a Jacobian; therefore the general method does not apply and the computation of $J_q(2)$ and $j_q(2)$ needs to be done "by hand". Moreover, for q=2,3,5, the couples (a_1,a_2) in Table 3 might not minimize $|A(\mathbb{F}_q)|$ and also, for q<5, the numbers of points in Table 3 are not classified in increasing order. To remedy this, we will prove that for q=2,3,5, the Jacobian surfaces arising from the third step of the proof of Theorem 4.2 are actually minimal.

The determination of $J_q(2)$ in Theorem 4.1 is closely related to that of $N_q(2)$, as done by J.-P. Serre [18]. In order to simplify the proof of Theorem 4.2, we use the fact that, given a curve of genus 2, if we denote by (a_1, a_2) the coefficients associated to its characteristic polynomial, there exists a curve (its quadratic twist) whose coefficients are $(-a_1, a_2)$. This allows us to adapt the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Let us recall the definition of special numbers introduced by J.-P. Serre. An odd power q of a prime number p is special if one of the following conditions is satisfied (recall that $m = \lfloor 2q^{1/2} \rfloor$):

- (i) m is divisible by p,
- (ii) there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $q = x^2 + 1$,
- (iii) there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $q = x^2 + x + 1$,
- (iv) there exists $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $q = x^2 + x + 2$.

REMARK. In [17], J.-P. Serre asserts that if q is prime then the only possible conditions are (ii) and (iii). When q is not prime, then (ii) is impossible, (iii) is possible only if $q = 7^3$, and (iv) is possible only if $q = 2^3$, 2^5

or 2^{13} . Moreover, using basic arithmetic, it can be shown (see [10] for more details) that (ii), (iii) and (iv) are respectively equivalent to $m^2 - 4q = -4$, -3 and -7.

Theorem 4.1. We have

$$J_q(2) = (q+1+m)^2,$$

except in the following cases:

\overline{q}	$J_q(2)$
4	55
9	225
q special:	
$\{2q^{1/2}\} \ge \varphi_1$	$(q+1+m+\varphi_1)(q+1+m+\varphi_2)$
$\{2q^{1/2}\} < \varphi_1, p \neq 2 or p m$	$(q+m)^2$
otherwise	(q+1+m)(q-1+m)

Here $\varphi_1 = (-1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, $\varphi_2 = (-1 - \sqrt{5})/2$, and $\{x\}$ is the fractional part of a real number x.

Proof. (a) Assume that q is a square.

If $q \neq 4, 9, N_q(2)$ is the Serre-Weil bound [17], thus there exists a curve of type [m, m].

If q=4, then m=4. First we prove that $J_4(2) \leq 55$. Every curve of genus 2 over \mathbb{F}_q is hyperelliptic, so the number of rational points is at most 2(q+1)=10. We deduce that a Jacobian of dimension 2 over \mathbb{F}_4 must have $a_1 \leq 10 - (q+1) = 5$.

If $a_1 = 5$ then $a_2 \le 14$ by (4.1). An abelian surface over \mathbb{F}_4 with $(a_1, a_2) = (5, 14)$ is of type [3, 2] and is never a Jacobian (because $x_1 - x_2 = 3 - 2 = 1$, see [8]). Thus we have $a_2 \le 13$ and a Jacobian surface over \mathbb{F}_4 with $a_1 = 5$ has at most $q^2 + 1 + 5(q + 1) + 13 = 55$ points. If $a_1 < 5$, then

$$q^{2} + 1 + (q+1)a_{1} + a_{2} \le q^{2} + 1 + (q+1)a_{1} + a_{1}^{2}/4 + 2q \le 49$$

(notice that the function $x \mapsto 5x + x^2/4$ is increasing on [-8, 4], and $a_1 \ge -8$). Thus an abelian surface over \mathbb{F}_4 with $a_1 < 5$ has less than 55 points, hence $J_4(2) \le 55$.

It remains to prove that $J_4(2) \geq 55$. An abelian surface over \mathbb{F}_4 with $(a_1, a_2) = (5, 13)$ is of type $[3 + \varphi_1, 3 + \varphi_2]$. Such an abelian surface exists (because p = 2 does not divide 13) and by [8] it is isogenous to a Jacobian. This Jacobian has $q^2 + 1 + 5(q + 1) + 13 = 55$ points.

If q = 9, then m = 6. Since 2(q+1) = 20, we must have $a_1 \le 20 - (q+1) = 10 = 2m - 2$. The highest row of Table 2 such that $a_1 = 2m - 2$ is that with

type [m-1, m-1], and this is the type of some Jacobian with $(q+m)^2 = 225$ points.

- (b) Assume that q is not a square. This part of the proof follows easily from Serre's results. He proved in [18] the following facts:
 - There exists a Jacobian of type [m, m] if and only if q is not special.
 - An abelian surface of type [m, m-1] is never a Jacobian.
- If q is special, then there exists a Jacobian of type $[m+\varphi_1, m+\varphi_2]$ if and only if $\{2q^{1/2}\} \geq \varphi_1$. Note that $\{2q^{1/2}\} \geq \varphi_1$ is equivalent to $m+\varphi_1 \leq 2q^{1/2}$, thus it is obvious that this condition is necessary.
- If q is special, $\{2q^{1/2}\} < \varphi_1, p \neq 2 \text{ or } p \mid m$, then there exists a Jacobian of type [m-1, m-1].
- If q is special, $\{2q^{1/2}\} < \varphi_1$, p = 2 and $p \nmid m$, that is, $q = 2^5$ or 2^{13} (if $q = 2^3$, then $\{2q^{1/2}\} \ge \varphi_1$), then there exists a Jacobian of type [m, m-2].

It remains to prove that for $q=2^5$ and 2^{13} , there does not exist a Jacobian of type [m-1, m-1]. In fact, when $q=2^5$ and 2^{13} , an abelian variety with all x_i equal to m-1 must have dimension respectively a multiple of 5 and 13 (see [11, Prop. 2.5]).

Theorem 4.2. We have

$$j_q(2) = (q+1-m)^2,$$

except in the following cases:

\overline{q}	$j_q(2)$
4	5
9	25
q special:	
$\{2q^{1/2}\} \ge \varphi_1$	$(q+1-m-\varphi_1)(q+1-m-\varphi_2)$
$\sqrt{2} - 1 \le \{2q^{1/2}\} < \varphi_1$	$(q+2-m+\sqrt{2})(q+2-m-\sqrt{2})$
$\{2q^{1/2}\} < \sqrt{2} - 1, \ p \nmid m \ and \ q \neq 7^3$	(q+1-m)(q+3-m)
otherwise	$(q+2-m)^2$

Proof. (a) Assume that q is a square.

- If $q \neq 4, 9$, we saw that there exists a curve of type [m, m], and its quadratic twist is of type [-m, -m].
- If q = 4, then m = 4. First we prove that $j_4(2) \ge 5$. We have $a_1 \ge -5$ since the quadratic twist of a curve with $a_1 < -5$ would have $a_1 > 5$ and we saw that it is not possible.

If $a_1 = -5$ then $a_2 \ge 12$ by (4.1). An abelian surface over \mathbb{F}_4 with $(a_1, a_2) = (-5, 12)$ is of type [-4, 1] and is never a Jacobian. Thus $a_2 \ge 13$

and a Jacobian surface over \mathbb{F}_4 with $a_1 = -5$ has at least $q^2 + 1 - 5(q+1) + 13 = 5$ points. If $a_1 > -5$, then

 $q^2 + 1 + (q+1)a_1 + a_2 \ge q^2 + 1 + (q+1)a_1 + 2|a_1|q^{1/2} - 2q = 9 + 5a_1 + 4|a_1| \ge 5$ (note that $x \mapsto 5x + 4|x|$ is increasing on [-4, 8]). Thus an abelian surface over \mathbb{F}_4 with $a_1 > -5$ has more than five points, hence $j_4(2) \ge 5$.

It remains to prove that $j_4(2) \leq 5$. There exists a curve with $(a_1, a_2) = (-5, 13)$: the quadratic twist of the curve with $(a_1, a_2) = (5, 13)$ in the proof of Theorem 4.1. The number of points on its Jacobian is

$$q^2 + 1 - 5(q+1) + 13 = 5.$$

- If q=9, then m=6. Using the same argument as in the last step, we must have $a_1 \geq -2m+2$. We look at the rows of Table 3, starting with the rows on the top for which $a_1 = -2m+2$. The first two can be ignored since $\{2q^{1/2}\}=0$ is less than $\sqrt{3}-1$ and less than $\sqrt{2}-1$. An abelian surface of type [-m,-m+2] is not a Jacobian (this is an almost ordinary abelian surface, $m^2=4q$ and m-(m-2) is squarefree, see [8]). The product of two copies of an elliptic curve of trace (m-1) is isogenous to a Jacobian (such a curve exists since $3 \nmid (m-1)$).
- (b) Assume that q is not a square. Using twisting arguments and the proof of Theorem 4.1, we see that:
 - There exists a Jacobian of type [-m, -m] if and only if q is not special.
- If q is special, there exists a Jacobian of type $[-m-\varphi_1, -m-\varphi_2]$ if and only if $\{2q^{1/2}\} \geq \varphi_1$. Notice that this last condition is satisfied for q=2 but not for q=3 or 5. If q=2, then m=2 and a Jacobian of type $[-2-\varphi_1, -2-\varphi_2]$ has $(2+1-2-\varphi_1)(2+1-2-\varphi_2)=1$ point, so it has to be minimal.
 - An abelian surface of type [-m, -m+1] is never a Jacobian.

In the remainder of the proof, we suppose that q is special and $\{2q^{1/2}\} < \varphi_1$.

- In order to ensure the existence of an abelian surface of type $[-m+1+\sqrt{3},-m+1-\sqrt{3}]$, it is necessary to have $\{2q^{1/2}\} \geq \sqrt{3}-1$. When $\{2q^{1/2}\} < \varphi_1$, this condition is never satisfied (since $\varphi_1 < \sqrt{3}-1$).
- In order to ensure the existence of an abelian surface of type $[-m+1+\sqrt{2},-m+1-\sqrt{2}]$, it is necessary to have $\{2q^{1/2}\} \ge \sqrt{2}-1$. Suppose that this condition holds. We shall show that there exists an abelian surface of type $[-m+1+\sqrt{2},-m+1-\sqrt{2}]$. We use the same kind of argument that J.-P. Serre used in [18]. If $p \mid m$, we are done since $p \nmid a_2 = m^2 2m 1 + 2q$. Otherwise, $(m-2q^{1/2})(m+2q^{1/2}) = m^2 4q \in \{-3,-4,-7\}$, hence

$${2q^{1/2}} = 2q^{1/2} - m = \frac{4q - m^2}{m + 2q^{1/2}} \le \frac{7}{2m},$$

and if $m \geq 9$, then $\frac{7}{2m} < \sqrt{2} - 1$. It remains to consider by hand the non-square prime powers of the form $x^2 + 1$, $x^2 + x + 1$ and $x^2 + x + 2$ with m < 9 (i.e. q < 21). These prime powers are precisely 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 13 and 17. If q = 2, 8, then $\{2q^{1/2}\} \geq \varphi_1$. If q = 3, then $p \mid m$. If q = 7, 13, 17, then $\{2q^{1/2}\} < \sqrt{2} - 1$. If q = 5, then m = 4 and p = 5 do not divide $a_2 = m^2 - 2m - 1 + 2q = 17$, and we are done. Finally, using [8], we conclude that this abelian surface is isogenous to a Jacobian.

If q=3, then m=3 and a Jacobian of type $[-3+1+\sqrt{2},-3+1-\sqrt{2}]$ has $(a_1,a_2)=(-4,8)$ and $q^2+1-4(q+1)+8=2$ points. We shall show that such a Jacobian is minimal. We just proved that the entries in Table 3 above $[-m+1+\sqrt{2},-m+1-\sqrt{2}]$ do not correspond to a Jacobian. Moreover, the entries below $[-m+1+\sqrt{2},-m+1-\sqrt{2}]$ would obviously give more than two points. Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that a Jacobian whose (a_1,a_2) is not in Table 3 (i.e. with $a_1>-2m+2=-4$) has more than two points. If $a_1>-4$, then

 $(q+1)a_1 + a_2 \ge (q+1)a_1 + 2|a_1|q^{1/2} - 2q = 4a_1 + 2|a_1|\sqrt{3} - 6 \ge -18 + 6\sqrt{3}$ (the function $x \mapsto 4x + 2|x|\sqrt{3}$ is increasing on [-3, 6]). Thus an abelian surface over \mathbb{F}_3 with $a_1 > -4$ has more than $q^2 + 1 + ([-18 + 6\sqrt{3}] + 1) = 10 - 7 = 3$ points, and the result is proved.

If q=5, then m=4 and a Jacobian of type $[-4+1+\sqrt{2},-4+1-\sqrt{2}]$ has $(a_1,a_2)=(-6,17)$ and $q^2+1-6(q+1)+17=7$ points. Again, we shall show that such a Jacobian is minimal. Arguing as for q=3, we see that a Jacobian with $a_1\leq -6$ must have at least seven points. If $a_1>-6$, then

 $(q+1)a_1 + a_2 \ge (q+1)a_1 + 2|a_1|q^{1/2} - 2q = 6a_1 + 2|a_1|\sqrt{5} - 10 \ge -40 + 10\sqrt{5}$ (the function $x \mapsto 6x + 2|x|\sqrt{5}$ is increasing on [-5,8]). Thus an abelian surface over \mathbb{F}_5 with $a_1 > -6$ has more than $q^2 + 1 + ([-40 + 10\sqrt{5}] + 1) = 26 - 17 = 9$ points, and the result is proved.

- If $\{2q^{1/2}\}\$ < $\sqrt{2}-1$, $p \nmid m$ and $q \neq 7^3$, then $p \nmid (m-2)$. To see this, take $p \neq 2$ (if p=2, this is obvious) and use the remark about special numbers in this section. Suppose that p divides m-2; then p also divides $m^2-4-4q=(m+2)(m-2)-4q$. Since $p\neq 2$, we must have $m^2-4q\in\{-3,-4\}$. If $m^2-4q=-3$, p divides -3-4=-7 thus p=7. But q is not prime (since for q=7, $p\nmid (m-2)=5$), therefore we must have $q=7^3$ and this case is excluded. If $m^2-4q=-4$, p divides -4-4=-8, thus p=2, which contradicts our assumption. This proves our assertion, and therefore there exist elliptic curves of trace m and m-2 and by [8] their product is isogenous to a Jacobian.
- Suppose that $\{2q^{1/2}\} < \sqrt{2} 1$ and $p \mid m$, or $q = 7^3$. By [28], if $p \mid m$, there does not exist an elliptic curve of trace m (q = 2 and 3 are excluded

since in those cases, $\{2q^{1/2}\} \ge \sqrt{2} - 1$). If $q = 7^3$ (thus m - 2 = 35) there does not exist an elliptic curve of trace m - 2. Therefore, in both cases, an abelian surface of type [-m, -m + 2] cannot exist.

• If $\{2q^{1/2}\}<\sqrt{2}-1$ and $p\mid m$, or $q=7^3$, there exists a curve of type [-m+1,-m+1]: the quadratic twist of the curve of type [m-1,m-1] in the proof of Theorem 4.1. \blacksquare

References

- Y. Aubry, S. Haloui et G. Lachaud, Sur le nombre de points rationnels des variétés abéliennes et des Jacobiennes sur les corps finis, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 350 (2012), 907–910.
- [2] S. Ballet, C. Ritzenthaler and R. Rolland, On the existence of dimension zero divisors in algebraic function fields defined over F_q, Acta Arith. 143 (2010), 377–392.
- [3] S. Ballet and R. Rolland, Lower bounds on the class number of algebraic function fields defined over any finite field, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 24 (2012), 505–540.
- [4] M. Deuring, Die Typen der Multiplikatorenringe elliptischer Funktionenkörper, Abh. Math. Sem. Hansischen Univ. 14 (1941), 197–272.
- [5] N. D. Elkies, E. W. Howe, A. Kresch, B. Poonen, J. L. Wetherell and M. E. Zieve, Curves of every genus with many points. II. Asymptotically good families, Duke Math. J. 122 (2004), 399–422.
- [6] J. I. Fujii, S. Izumino and Y. Seo, Determinant for positive operators and Specht's theorem, Sci. Math. Japan 1 (1998), 307–310.
- [7] S. Haloui, Sur le nombre de points rationnels des variétés abéliennes sur les corps finis, thesis, Inst. Math. Luminy, Univ. de la Méditerranée Aix-Marseille II, 2011.
- [8] E. Howe, E. Nart and C. Ritzenthaler, Jacobians in isogeny classes of abelian surfaces over finite fields, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 59 (2009), 239–289.
- [9] G. Lachaud et M. Martin-Deschamps, Nombre de points des jacobiennes sur un corps fini, Acta Arith. 16 (1990), 329–340.
- [10] K. Lauter, The maximum or minimum number of rational points on genus three curves over finite fields (with an appendix by J.-P. Serre), Compos. Math. 134 (2002), 87–111.
- [11] D. Maisner and E. Nart, Abelian surfaces over finite fields as jacobians (with an appendix by E. W. Howe), Experiment. Math. 11 (2002), 321–337.
- [12] M. Perret, Number of points of Prym varieties over finite fields, Glasgow Math. J. 48 (2006), 275–280.
- [13] H.-G. Quebbemann, Lattices from curves over finite fields, preprint, 1989.
- [14] H.-G. Rück, Abelian surfaces and Jacobian varieties over finite fields, Compos. Math. 76 (1990), 351–366.
- [15] I. Schur, Arithmetische Eigenschaften der Potenzsummen einer algebraischen Gleichung, Compos. Math. 4 (1937), 432–444.
- [16] J.-P. Serre, Sur le nombre des points rationnels d'une courbe algébrique sur un corps fini, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I 296 (1983), 397–402; Œuvres, Vol. III, no. 128, 658–663.
- [17] J.-P. Serre, Nombre de points des courbes algébriques sur \mathbb{F}_q , Sém. de Théorie des nombres de Bordeaux 1982/83, no. 22; Œuvres, Vol. III, no. 129, 664–668.
- [18] J.-P. Serre, Rational Points on Curves over Finite Fields, lectures at Harvard University, notes by F. Gouvea, 1985.

- [19] J.-P. Serre, Lettre à Daniel Bertrand, 15 février 1997.
- [20] C. Smyth, Totally positive algebraic integers of small trace, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 34 (1984), no. 3, 1–28.
- [21] W. Specht, Zur Theorie der elementaren Mittel, Math. Z. 74 (1960), 91–98.
- [22] R. P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 1, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [23] R. P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 2, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [24] H. Stichtenoth, Algebraic Function Fields and Codes, 2nd ed., Grad. Texts in Math. 254, Springer, Berlin, 2009.
- [25] J. Tate, Classes d'isogénies de variétés abéliennes sur un corps fini (d'après T. Honda), Séminaire Bourbaki 21 (1968/69), exp. 352.
- [26] M. Tsfasman, S. Vlăduţ and D. Nogin, Algebraic Geometric Codes: Basic Notions, Math. Surveys Monogr. 139, Amer. Math. Soc., 2007.
- [27] S. Vlăduț, An exhaustion bound for algebro-geometric "modular" codes, Problemy Peredachi Informatsii 23 (1987), no. 1, 28–41.
- [28] W. C. Waterhouse, Abelian varieties over finite fields, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 2 (1969), 521–560.

Yves Aubry Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy Aix-Marseille Université and Institut de Mathématiques de Toulon Université du Sud Toulon-Var, France E-mail: yves.aubry@univ-tln.fr Safia Haloui
Department of Mathematics
Technical University of Denmark
Lyngby, Denmark
E-mail: s.haloui@mat.dtu.dk

Gilles Lachaud Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy Aix-Marseille Université/CNRS, France E-mail: gilles.lachaud@univ-amu.fr

> Received on 8.5.2012 and in revised form on 25.2.2013 (7059)