## STRATIFIED TRANSVERSALITY VIA TIME-DEPENDENT VECTOR FIELDS

C. MUROLO, A. A. DU PLESSIS and D. J. A. TROTMAN

ABSTRACT. For X a (w)-regular or (c)-regular stratification, hence for any Whitney stratification and, via regular embedding, for any abstract stratified set, we use time-dependent vector fields to prove an extension theorem for diffeomorphisms near the indentity defined on strata of a given dimension. Then we show that after isotopy a stratified map  $h : Z \to X$  can be made transverse to a fixed stratified map  $g : Y \to X$ .

A.M.S. CLASSIFICATIONS: Primary 58A35, 57N75; Secondary 54C20, 57R52

**1.** Introduction. A substratified object of a stratified space  $X = (A, \Sigma)$  is a stratified space  $W = (W, \Sigma_W)$  such that  $W \subseteq A$  and each stratum of  $\Sigma_W$  is contained in one and only one stratum of the stratification  $\Sigma$  of X. We consider the problem of putting a substratified object W, or a stratified map  $h : Z \to X$ , in transverse position with respect to a stratified map  $g : Y \to X$ .

This problem was previously considered by Clint McCrory who solved it for stratified polyhedra [13] giving a result essential to the foundation of intersection homology. The problem was treated in the context of Whitney stratifications ((b)-regular) by Mark Goresky, first in his thesis [7] and later in [8] (*Transversality Lemma 5.3*) with a completely revised formulation.

Goresky's Transversality Lemma is for substratified objects W of X satisfying the  $\pi$ -fibre condition and a stratified map  $g: Y \to X$  which is controlled with respect to two systems of control data. The  $\pi$ -fibre condition for W and the control condition for  $g: Y \to X$  are conditions implying that W is locally, near each point x of A, a union of stratified fibres of a projection  $\pi_S: T_S \to S$  (S stratum of X containing x) and a similar geometric property for the fibres of g. These strong conditions were essential to Goresky's inductive sketch proof in order to preserve the transversality with respect to g of a deformation W' of W.

The utility of the transversality theorem of Goresky was proven already in various significant applications : first it was the key result in proving the main theorems 3.4, 4.7 and 6.2 of [8] on representing homology and cohomology of a Whitney stratification X by Whitney cycles and cocycles, then the first author of the present paper used it to define a geometric sum operation in the Goresky sets  $WH^k(X)$  and to give a geometric construction of the Steenrod cohomology operations [15, 16]. On the other hand, the hypotheses of  $\pi$ -fibre on W, and control on f, prevent more general applications.

In this article we prove a stratified transversality theorem for two stratified maps  $h: Z \to X$  and  $g: Y \to X$  between abstract stratified sets or (w)-regular stratifications, without assuming any control condition. Abstract stratified sets form a class containing Whitney and (c)-regular stratifications; but not all (w)-regular stratifications.

The authors gave recently a different proof of this result [18]. The proof presented

here gives more information and is based on a theorem of extension of stratified homeomorphisms and is in reality the original generalisation (see [17]).

The content of the paper is as follows.

In  $\S2$  we recall the definitions of stratified sets of Thom-Mather [5, 11, 12], (c)-regular stratifications, stratified and controlled maps, and stratified time-dependent vector fields.

In §3 we prove a stratified extension theorem (Theorem 3.1) which allows one to extend globally on the whole of A diffeomorphisms given on the k-dimensional part  $S = A_k - A_{k-1}$  of A which extend continuously the identity on  $A_{k-1}$ . We initially state and prove it for the (c)-regular stratifications of K. Bekka [1], and then generalize to a more general stratified context (theorem 3.2). Recall that Whitney (b)-regular stratifications are (c)-regular [1].

In order to prove theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we use certain time-dependent vector field techniques introduced by Mather [10] (to show that infinitesimal stability implies stability) in proving that "every diffeomorphism  $f: S \to S$  in a small enough neighborhood of  $1_S$  in Diff(S,S) is the flow at time t = 1 of a time-dependent vector field" (such a flow is not in general a one parameter group [4]).

Theorem 3.2 states that the stratified extension theorem holds for abstract stratified sets [11, 12], and also for (w)-regular stratifications [26] (thus for Lipschitz stratifications [21]).

In §4 we give the transversality theorem (theorem 4.8) : for every pair of stratified maps  $g: Y \to X$  and  $h: Z \to X$  there exists a *deformation by isotopy* h' of h in X which is transverse to g. Theorem 4.8 holds for abstract stratified sets and for (w)-regular stratifications.

We conclude the article by applying theorem 4.8 to deform, via a stratified isotopy  $\Phi_1 : X \to X$ , a substratified object W of X to a substratified object  $W' = \Phi_1(W)$  transverse to a given map  $g : Y \to X$ . We obtain thus Corollary 4.12 which generalizes Goresky's Transversality Lemma to abstract stratified sets which are not necessarily  $\pi$ -fibre and to stratified maps which are not necessarily controlled.

2. Stratified sets and maps. A  $C^k$  stratification  $(1 \le k \le \infty)$  of a topological space A is a locally finite partition  $\Sigma$  of A into  $C^k$  connected manifolds (the strata of  $\Sigma$ ) satisfying the frontier condition [11] : if X and Y are distinct strata such that  $X \cap \overline{Y} \ne \emptyset$ , then  $X \subseteq \overline{Y}$  and we write X < Y. The pair  $X = (A, \Sigma)$  is called a  $C^k$  stratified space with stratification  $\Sigma$ , and the union of the strata of dimension  $\le k$ , the k-skeleton  $A_k$ , induces a  $C^k$  stratified space  $X_k = (A_k, \Sigma_{|A_k})$ .

Extra conditions may be imposed on  $\Sigma$ , such as to be an *abstract stratified set* of Thom-Mather [5, 11, 12] or, when A is a subset of a  $C^1$  manifold, to satisfy conditions (a) or (b) of Whitney [11, 12, 27], or (c) of Bekka [1] or, when A is a subset of a  $C^2$  manifold, to satisfy condition (w) of Kuo-Verdier [26] or (L) of Mostowski [21].

DEFINITION 2.1. Let X be a stratified space. A family  $F = \{(\pi_X, \rho_X, T_X)\}_{X \in \Sigma}$  is called a system of control data for X if for each stratum X of X we have :

1)  $T_X$  is a neighbourhood of X in A (called a *tubular neighbourhood of X*);

2)  $\pi_X : T_X \to X$  is a continuous retraction of  $T_X$  onto X (called *projection on* X);

3)  $\rho_X : T_X \to [0, \infty[$  is continuous and  $X = \rho_X^{-1}(0)$  ( $\rho_X$  is the distance function from X)

and for every pair X < Y of strata, the restrictions  $\pi_{XY} = \pi_{X|T_{XY}}$  and  $\rho_{XY} = \rho_{X|T_{XY}}$ , to  $T_{XY} = T_X \cap Y$ , satisfy :

- 5)  $(\pi_{XY}, \rho_{XY}) : T_{XY} \to X \times ]0, \infty[$  is a smooth submersion (thus dim  $X < \dim Y);$
- 6) for every stratum Z such that Z > Y > X and for every  $z \in T_{YZ} \cap T_{XZ}$  one has :  $i) \pi_{XY} \pi_{YZ}(z) = \pi_{XZ}(z)$  (the  $\pi$ -control condition)

ii)  $\rho_{XY}\pi_{YZ}(z) = \rho_{XZ}(z)$  (the  $\rho$ -control condition).

In what follows let  $T_X(\epsilon) = \rho_X^{-1}([0, \epsilon]), \forall \epsilon \ge 0$ , and assume  $T_X = T_X(1)$ .

If A is Hausdorff, locally compact and admits a countable basis for its topology, the pair (X, F) is called an *abstract stratified set* (since one works with a unique system of control data of X, in what follows we omit F).

If X is an abstract stratified set, the tubular neighbourhoods  $\{T_X\}_{X\in\Sigma}$  may be chosen such that: " $T_{XY} \neq \emptyset$  if and only if X < Y or X > Y or X = Y" (see [12]).

A stratified map  $f: X \to X'$  between stratified spaces  $X = (A, \Sigma)$  and  $X' = (B, \Sigma')$  is a continuous map  $f: A \to B$  which sends each stratum X of X into a unique stratum X'of X', such that the restriction  $f_X: X \to X'$  is smooth. Call f a stratified homeomorphism if f is a global homeomorphism and each  $f_X$  is a diffeomorphism.

Given  $f: X \to X'$  and two systems of control data  $F = \{(T_X, \pi_X, \rho_X)\}_{X \in \Sigma}$  and  $F' = \{(T_{X'}, \pi_{X'}, \rho_{X'})\}_{X' \in \Sigma'}$ , f is called *controlled (with respect to* F and F') if  $\forall X < Y$ ,  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  such that for all  $y \in T_{XY}(\epsilon) = T_X(\epsilon) \cap Y$  we have :

 $\begin{cases} \pi_{X'Y'}f_Y(y) = f_X\pi_{XY}(y) & \text{(the $\pi$-control condition for $f$)} \\ \rho_{X'Y'}f_Y(y) = \rho_{XY}(y) & \text{(the $\rho$-control condition for $f$)}. \end{cases}$ 

A stratified vector field on X is a family  $\zeta = \{\zeta_X\}_{X \in \Sigma}$  of vector fields, with every  $\zeta_X$  a smooth vector field on the stratum X. Similarly, a stratified vector field  $\zeta = \{\zeta_X\}_{X \in \Sigma}$  is called  $(\pi, \rho)$ -controlled (with respect to F) if the following two control conditions hold:

 $\begin{cases} \pi_{XY*}(\zeta_Y(y)) = \zeta_X(\pi_{XY}(y)) & \text{(the $\pi$-control condition for $\zeta$)} \\ \rho_{XY*}(\zeta_Y(y)) = 0 & \text{(the $\rho$-control condition for $\zeta$)}. \end{cases}$ 

The notion of system of control data of X, introduced by Mather in [12], is the fundamental tool allowing one to obtain good extensions of vector fields.

PROPOSITION 2.2 ([5, 12]). Let X be a C<sup>2</sup> abstract stratified set, X a stratum of X. For each C<sup>1</sup> vector field  $\zeta_X$  on X there exists a stratified  $(\pi, \rho)$ -controlled lifting  $\zeta_{T_X} = \{\zeta_Y\}_{Y \ge X}$  defined on a tubular neighbourhood  $T_X$  of X. Moreover, if  $\zeta_X$  admits a global flow  $\{\phi_t : X \to X\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ , then  $\zeta_{T_X}$  admits a global flow  $\{\phi_{T_X,t} : T_X \to T_X\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ , such that each  $\phi_{T_X,t}$  is a stratified,  $(\pi, \rho)$ -controlled homeomorphism.

DEFINITION 2.3. (K. Bekka 1990). A  $C^k$  stratified space  $(A, \Sigma)$  with  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , is called (c)-regular if, for every stratum  $X \in \Sigma$ , there exists an open neighbourhood  $U_X$  of X in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and a  $C^1$  function  $\rho_X : U_X \to [0, \infty[$ , such that  $\rho_X^{-1}(0) = X$ , and such that its stratified restriction to the *star* of X:

$$\rho_X$$
:  $Star(X) \cap U_X \to [0, \infty]$  is a Thom map,

where  $Star(X) = \bigcup_{Y \in \Sigma, Y \ge X} Y$  and the stratification on  $Star(X) \cap U_X$  is induced by  $\Sigma$ .

In substance, the (c)-regularity of Bekka means that for every pair of adjacent strata X < Y, the tangent spaces at  $y \in Y$  to the level hypersurfaces  $\rho_X^{-1}(\epsilon)$  (where  $\epsilon = \rho_X(y)$ ) have limits which contain  $T_x X$  when  $y \to x \in X$ .

REMARK 2.4. A Bekka (c)-regular stratified space  $X = (A, \Sigma)$  admits a system of control data  $\{(\pi_X, \rho_X, T_X)\}_{X \in \Sigma}$  in which for each stratum  $X \in \Sigma$ ,  $T_X = U_X \cap A$ , and  $\pi_X$ ,  $\rho_X$  are restrictions of  $C^{\infty}$  maps defined on  $U_X$  [1]. Thus (c)-regular stratifications admit a structure of abstract stratified set and so proposition 2.2. holds for them. We underline moreover that in this case, for each vector field  $\zeta_X$  on a stratum X of A, the stratified  $(\pi, \rho)$ -controlled lifting  $\zeta_{T_X} = \{\zeta_Y\}_{Y \ge X}$  defined on a tubular neighbourhood  $T_X$  of X may be chosen *continuous* [2] (this gives a more regular lifted flow  $\{\phi_{T_X,t} : T_X \to T_X\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ ).

Stratified time-dependent vector fields. Let S be a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold, and let I = [0, 1] be the closed unit interval. With notation as in [10] (page 286) and [23] (page 61), consider the projection  $\pi_S : S \times I \to S$  onto the first factor.

Recall that the fibre bundle pullback  $(\pi_S^*TS, \Pi_{S \times I}, S \times I)$  with base space  $S \times I$  of the tangent bundle TS has for total space :

$$\pi_S^*TS = \left\{ \left( (x,t), (y,v) \right) \in (S \times I) \times TS \mid x = y \right\}$$

and so identifying each element  $((x, t), (x, v)) \in \pi_S^*TS$  with (x, v, t), one can rewrite as :

$$\pi_S^* TS = \bigcup_{(x,t) \in S \times I} \{(x,t)\} \times T_x S = TS \times I.$$

The fibre of the projection  $\Pi_{S \times I} : \pi_S^* TS \to S \times I$  at a point (x, t) is  $T_x S$  and so the space of sections  $\Gamma^{\infty}(\pi_S^* TS)$  is exactly the space of  $C^{\infty}$  level preserving vector fields  $\zeta = \{\zeta_t\}_{t \in I}$  defined on  $S \times I$ .

DEFINITION 2.5. A level preserving vector field  $\zeta \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\pi_S^*TS)$  is called a *time*dependent vector field on the manifold S. We will also denote  $\zeta$  by  $\{\zeta_t\}_{t\in I}$ , thinking of it as a smooth family of vector fields on S.

Consider a time-dependent vector field  $\zeta = \{\zeta_t\}_{t \in I}$  and the differential equation on S:

$$E(\zeta) \quad : \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\beta(x,t) = \zeta_t(\beta(x,t))\\ \beta(x,0) = x. \end{cases}$$

A global flow of  $\zeta = {\zeta_t}_t$  is a smooth map  $\phi : S \times I \to S$  which is a solution of the equation  $E(\zeta)$ . We write also  $\phi = {\phi_t : S \to S}_t$ .

Mather showed (Lemma 2, page 289 of [10], or Lemma 3.7.5 in [23]) that every timedependent vector field  $\zeta = \{\zeta_t\}_t$  in a sufficiently small neighbourhood  $O_S$  in  $\Gamma^{\infty}(\pi_S^*TS)$  of the zero (time-dependent) vector field **0** admits such a global flow.

Let  $X = (A, \Sigma)$  be a  $C^2$  abstract stratified set equipped with a system of control data  $F = \{(T_X, \pi_X, \rho_X)\}_{X \in \Sigma}$ .

Fix a stratum X of A and a time-dependent vector field  $\zeta_X = \{\zeta_{Xt}\}_t$ . For all  $t \in I$ ,  $\zeta_{Xt}$  is a vector field of X, so (by proposition 2.2) we can consider a  $(\pi, \rho)$ -controlled lifting  $\zeta_{T_X t}$  on the tubular neighbourhood  $T_X$  of X. We thus determine a time-dependent vector field  $\zeta_{T_X} = \{\zeta_{T_X t}\}_t$  on  $T_X$ . Integrability and continuity of the controlled lifted flow of the vector field defined on a stratum [5, 11] still follow when we consider on X and  $T_X$  time-dependent vector fields instead of the usual vector fields. In particular, with notations as above, we find :

PROPOSITION 2.6. Let X be a stratum of a  $C^2$  abstract stratified set (resp. (c)regular stratification)  $X = (A, \Sigma)$ . Let  $\zeta_{T_X} = \{\zeta_{T_X t}\}_t$  be a time-dependent vector field on  $T_X$  obtained as a controlled (resp. continuous controlled) lifting of a time-dependent vector field  $\zeta_X = \{\zeta_{X t}\}_t$  defined on X. Then the flow  $\phi_{T_X} = \{\phi_{T_X t}\}_t$  of  $\zeta_{T_X}$  satisfies the  $(\pi, \rho)$ -control conditions and is a continuous extension of the flow  $\phi_X = \{\phi_{X t}\}_t$  of  $\zeta_X$ .

*Proof.* Thanks to the  $\rho$ -control condition on each  $\zeta_{Xt}$  [5, 11, 12], for each  $y \in T_X$  the function  $\rho_X \circ \phi_{T_X}(y,t)$  is constant as t varies, and the trajectory  $\phi_{T_X}(y,t)$  stays in the level hypersurface  $\rho_X^{-1}(\rho_X(y))$ . The proof is then formally the same as in the classical case [5, 11, 12]) of a lifted flow of a vector field which does not depend on time.  $\Box$ 

## 3. Extension of stratified homeomorphisms.

The stratified extension theorem proved below is based on techniques of Mather used in proving that infinitesimal stability of a map  $f \in C^{\infty}(S, S)$  implies stability [10].

We first give the extension theorem for (c)-regular stratifications [1] of a closed subset of a manifold M.

STRATIFIED EXTENSION THEOREM 3.1. Let  $X = (A, \Sigma)$  be a  $C^{\infty}$  (c)-regular stratification of a closed subset A of a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold M, and let S denote the union of the strata of dimension k of X.

There exists a neighbourhood B of  $1_S$  in Diff(S, S) such that each  $f \in B$  extends to a stratified homeomorphism  $\tilde{f} : A \to A$  such that  $\tilde{f}_{|A_{k-1}} = 1_{A_{k-1}}$ . Moreover there is a stratified isotopy  $\Phi : A \times I \to A$  such that  $\tilde{f} = \Phi_1$ , the section at time t = 1 of  $\Phi$ .

*Proof.* We adopt the notation of [10] and [23, chapter III]. Spaces of maps will be given the Whitney  $C^{\infty}$  topology.

Step 1 : Construction, for f near  $1_S$ , of an isotopy  $\gamma_f$  between  $1_S$  and f which is the flow of a time-dependent vector field on S.

Consider a "family of geodesics" of the smooth manifold S (see [10] or [23 Proposition 3.3.1]), i.e. a smooth map

$$\gamma: N \times I \longrightarrow S \quad \text{such that} \quad \begin{cases} \gamma(x, y, 0) = x \quad \forall (x, y) \in N \\ \gamma(x, x, t) = x \quad \forall x \in S \text{ and } \forall t \in I \\ \gamma(x, y, 1) = y \quad \forall (x, y) \in N \end{cases}$$

where N is a neighbourhood of the diagonal in  $S \times S$ .

As in corollary 3.4.5 of [23], with  $W = \{g \in C^{\infty}(S, S) \mid (x, g(x)) \in N\}$  an open neighbourhood of  $1_S$  in  $C^{\infty}(S, S)$ , we may suppose the continuity of the map

 $G \quad : \quad W \longrightarrow C^{\infty}(S \times I, S \times I) \,, \quad \text{defined for} \ f \in W \ \text{by} \quad G(f)(x,t) = \big(\gamma\big(x, f(x), t\big), t\big).$ 

Writing  $G(f)_t(x) = G(f)(x,t)$ , we have :  $G(f)_0 = 1_S$  and  $G(f)_1 = f$ .

For fixed f, define  $\phi: S \times I \to I$  by  $\phi(x,t) = \phi_t(x) = \gamma(x, f(x), t)$ , i.e.  $\phi_t = G(f)_t$ . So  $\phi_0 = 1_S$  and  $\phi_1 = f$ .

We will prove that if U is a sufficiently small neighbourhood of  $1_S$  in Diff(S, S), then for each  $f \in U$  the path  $\gamma_f(t) = \gamma(x, f(x), t)$  is contained in U and defines an isotopy between  $1_S$  and f which is the flow of a time-dependent vector field.

Let U be an open neighbourhood of  $1_S$  in Diff(S, S).

After possibly shrinking W, we can assume  $W \subseteq U$ , so that  $W \subseteq Diff(S, S)$ .

By Lemma 3.4.9 of [23], there exists an open neighbourhood V of the identity  $1_{S \times I}$ in  $C^{\infty}(S \times I, S \times I)$ , such that each  $F \in V$  is level-preserving, i.e. can be written  $F(x,t) = (f_t(x),t)$  and moreover for each t,  $f_t \in U$ . It will be convenient to write  $F = \{f_t : S \to S\}_{t \in I}$ .

With the notations of [23], we set  $V = \{F \in C_{lp}^{\infty}(S \times I, S \times I) \mid \lambda_F(I) \subseteq U \}$ where "lp" means "level-preserving", and  $\lambda_F(t) = f_t$  for  $t \in I$ .

When  $F \in V$ , we see that F is a level-preserving diffeomorphism of  $S \times I$ , because  $U \subseteq Diff(S, S)$ . Thus V is an open neighbourhood of  $1_{S \times I}$  contained in  $Diff_{lp}(S \times I, S \times I)$ .

Because  $G: W \to C^{\infty}(S \times I, S \times I)$  is continuous,  $W' = G^{-1}(V)$  is an open neighbourhood of  $1_S$  contained in U and

$$G(W') \subseteq V \subseteq Diff_{lp}(S \times I, S \times I).$$

For each  $f \in W'$ , G(f) is a level-preserving diffeomorphism of  $S \times I$ , i.e.  $G(f) = \{G(f)_t\}_{t \in I}$ , where each diffeomorphism  $G(f)_t$  lies in U.

In particular, if  $f \in W'$  the map  $\phi_t = G(f)_t : S \to S$  is a diffeomorphism, the path  $\gamma_f(t) = \phi_t$  defines an isotopy of S, and the image of  $\gamma_f$  is contained in U.

Now, given  $f \in W'$  and  $t \in I$ , consider the vector field :

$$\xi_t(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \Big|_{\tau=0} \phi_{t+\tau}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \Big|_{\tau=0} \gamma \big( x, f(x), t+\tau \big) \,.$$

As  $\xi_t(x)$  is tangent to S at  $\phi_t(x) = \gamma(x, f(x), t)$ , and  $\phi_t$  is a diffeomorphism for each  $t \in I$ , the composed map

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_t \ = \ \xi_t \circ \phi_t^{-1} & : \quad S \ \to \quad S \ \to \quad TS \\ x \ \mapsto \ \phi_t^{-1}(x) \ \mapsto \ \xi_t(\phi_t^{-1}(x)) \end{aligned}$$

defines a vector field on S.

Also

$$\zeta_t(\phi_t(x)) = \xi_t(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \Big|_{\tau=0} \phi_{t+\tau}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi(x,t),$$

so that  $\phi : S \times I \to S$  is the flow of the time-dependent vector field  $\zeta = {\zeta_t}_{t \times I} \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\pi_S^*TS)$ . Recall that  $\phi(x, 0) = \phi_0(x) = 1_S(x) = x$  and

$$\phi_1(x) = \phi(x, 1) = \gamma(x, f(x), 1) = f(x), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \phi_1 = f,$$

by the properties of the family of geodesics  $\gamma$ .

Precision in the choice of U. To find the neighbourhood B claimed in the statement of the theorem it is useful to choose carefully U. More regularity of  $f \in U$  on  $\overline{S} - S$  will give more regularity of the extension  $f_{T_S} : T_S \to T_S$  of f in "step 2".

Let us consider for U the open neighbourhood of  $1_S$  in  $C^{\infty}(S, S)$  with the  $C^l$ -topology

$$U = B^l_{\delta}(1_S) = \left\{ g \in Diff(S, S) \mid d_l(j^l g(x), j^l 1_S(x)) < \delta(x) , \forall x \in S \right\}$$

and hence with the  $C^{\infty}$ -topology (notation of [6]) where  $\delta : S \to ]0, 1[$  is a function having a smooth extension by zero on  $\partial S = \overline{S} - S = \bigcup_{R < S} R$  with all its derivatives.

By choice of  $\delta$ , each  $f \in U = B^l_{\delta}(1_S)$  tends to  $1_S$  on  $\partial S$  and since  $l \geq 1$ , such an extension has a differential which is the identity on  $\partial S$ : i.e. for every sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in S such that  $\lim x_n = x \in \partial S$  and  $\lim_n T_{x_n} S = \sigma$  we have that  $\lim_n f_{*x_n} = id_{\sigma}$ .

Recall that the time-dependent vector field  $\zeta = \{\zeta_t\}_t \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\pi_S^*TS)$  defined in step 1 depends on a choice of  $f \in W'$  (f was in the definition of  $\phi_t = G(f)_t$ ); so we write  $\zeta(f) = \{\zeta(f)_t\}_t$ . This gives a continuous map :

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} = G' : W' \longrightarrow \Gamma^{\infty}(\pi_S^*TS) \quad , \quad G'(f) = \zeta(f) : S \times I \longrightarrow \pi_S^*TS = TS \times I$$

defined on the neighbourhood W' of  $1_S$  in  $U \subseteq Diff(S, S)$  [10, 23].

Mather showed (Lemma 2 on page 289 of [10], cf. Lemma 3.7.5 of [23]) that there exists a neighbourhood  $O_S$  of the zero vector field **0** in  $\Gamma^{\infty}(\pi_S^*TS)$  such that every timedependent vector field  $\zeta \in O_S$  admits a flow  $\beta = \beta(\zeta)$  defined for all time  $t \in I$ :

$$\beta = \beta(\zeta) : S \times I \to S \qquad \text{such that} \qquad \begin{cases} \beta(\zeta)_0 = 1_S \\ \text{and} \\ \beta(\zeta)_t \in Diff(S,S) \\ , \ \forall \ t \in I \end{cases}$$

and such that the map

$$\theta_S: \Gamma^\infty(\pi^*_S TS) \to C^\infty(S \times I, S) \quad , \quad \theta_S(\zeta) = \beta(\zeta) \ : \ S \times I \longrightarrow S$$

is continuous.

Choose a neighbourhood  $B_{\epsilon}^{l}(0)$  of the zero vector field  $0 \in \Gamma^{\infty}(S)$  and a neighbourhood  $\tilde{B}_{\eta}^{l}(\mathbf{0})$  of  $\mathbf{0} \in \Gamma^{\infty}(\pi_{S}^{*}TS)$  such that for each  $\zeta = \{\zeta_{t}\}_{t \in I} \in \tilde{B}_{\eta}^{l}(\mathbf{0})$  we have  $\zeta_{t} \in B_{\epsilon}^{l}(0)$  for all  $t \in I$  (these exist by Lemma 3.4.9 of [23]), where  $\epsilon, \eta : S \to (0, 1)$  are smooth functions which tend, with all their derivatives, to zero on the frontier  $\partial S$  of S.

Set  $B = {G'}^{-1}(O_S \cap \tilde{B}^l_{\eta}(\mathbf{0}))$ . As G' is continuous and  $G'(1_S) = \mathbf{0}$ , B is an open neighbourhood of  $1_S$  in Diff(S, S) such that  $B \subseteq W' \subseteq B^l_{\delta}(1_S) = U$  and the following properties i, . . . , iv hold for each  $f \in B$ :

i) Because  $f \in B \subseteq B^l_{\delta}(1_S)$ , f extends continuously by  $1_S$  on  $\partial S$  and this extension has a differential which extends continuously by the identity on  $\partial S$ , i.e. for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in S such that  $\lim x_n = x \in \partial S$  and  $\lim_n T_{x_n}S = \tau$  we have that  $\lim_n f_{*x_n} = id_{\tau}$ .

ii) Since  $f \in {G'}^{-1}(\tilde{B}^l_{\eta}(\mathbf{0}))$ , the time-dependent vector field  $G'(f) = \zeta(f) = \{\zeta(f)_t\}_t$ is such that each level vector field  $\zeta(f)_t : S \to TS$ , together with its derivatives, extends continuously by zero on  $\partial S$ , because  $\zeta(f)_t \in B^l_{\epsilon}(0)$ .

*iii)* As  $G'(f) \in O_S$ , the time-dependent vector field  $G'(f) = \zeta(f) = \{\zeta(f)_t\}_{t \in I}$  admits a flow  $\beta(\zeta(f)) : S \times I \to S$ .

*iv)* Since  $f \in W'$ , writing  $\beta(\zeta(f)) = \{\beta(\zeta(f))_t\}_t$  and  $\phi_t = \beta(\zeta(f))_t$ , we have that  $\phi_0 = 1_S$  et  $\phi_1 = f$  (by construction, see also Lemma 1 on page 289 of [10]).

Step 2: Constructing an extension  $f_{T_S}$  of f, on strata of dimension  $\geq k$  in a tubular neighbourhood  $T_S = \bigcup_{\dim X = k} T_X$  of S, such that  $f_{T_S}$  tends to the identity on  $\partial S$ .

For each k-stratum X of A, i.e. each connected component X of S, choose a tubular neighbourhood  $T_X = T_X(1)$  of X in A; these neighbourhoods  $\{T_X\}_X$  may be chosen pairwise disjoint [12]. Denote by  $f_X : X \to X$  the restriction of f to X.

Let  $f \in B \subseteq Diff(S, S)$ . Then f preserves each connected component of S, and we can write  $f = \bigcup_{\dim X = k} f_X$  with  $f_X \in Diff(X, X)$  for each k-stratum X of A.

To extend  $f: S \to S$  to a stratified homeomorphism  $f_{T_S}: T_S \to T_S$ , which is a diffeomorphism on each stratum of  $T_S = \bigcup_{\dim X = k} T_X$ , we define an extension  $f_{T_X}: T_X \to T_X$  of each  $f_X$  and take the (disjoint) union  $f_{T_S} = \bigcup_X f_{T_X}$ .

Remark that each level vector field  $\zeta(f)_t$  of S is a disjoint union  $\zeta(f)_t = \bigcup_X \zeta(f)_{tX}$ . Let  $\zeta(f)_{T_S} = {\zeta(f)_{t,T_S}}_{t \in I}$  be the stratified time-dependent vector field obtained by controlled lifting to  $T_S = \bigcup_X T_X$  of the level vector fields  $\zeta(f)_t$  on S.

As the stratification  $X = (A, \Sigma)$  is (c)-regular, we can assume that each  $\zeta(f)_{t,T_S}$  is a continuous lifting of  $\zeta(f)_t$  on S [2, 22].

Since the time-dependent vector field  $\zeta(f)_{T_S}$  is a controlled lifting of  $\zeta(f)$  which admits a flow  $\phi: S \times I \to S$ , then, by Proposition 2.4,  $\zeta(f)_{T_S}$  admits a flow defined for all  $t \in I$ , namely  $\phi_{T_S}: T_S \times I \to T_S$ , which is a continuous extension of  $\phi$ .

Then for each  $f \in B$ , the map at time t = 1,  $f_{T_S} = [\phi_{T_S}]_1 : T_S \to T_S$  is a continuous extension of  $f : S \to S$ . Moreover, as the map  $\Psi : f \mapsto f^{-1}$  is continuous [10, 23], replacing B by  $B \cup \Psi(B)$  after shrinking B, we can suppose " $f \in B \Rightarrow f^{-1} \in B$ ". One finds that  $(f_{T_S})^{-1} = \beta(\zeta(f^{-1})_{T_S})_1$ , thus  $f_{T_S}$  is a stratified homeomorphism extending f.

Finally, since  $f \in B$ ,  $\zeta(f)_t \in B^l_{\epsilon}(0)$  for all  $t \in I$ , and so the continuous extension  $\zeta(f)_{tT_S}$  also extends continuously by the zero vector field **0** on  $\partial S$ . We have :

$$\lim_{z \to x' \in \partial S} f_{T_S}(z) = x'.$$

Step 3 : Extension of the restriction  $f_{T_S(\frac{1}{2})}$  to the whole of A. So as to obtain an extension of the diffeomorphism  $f \in B$  to A, we give a "deformation" of  $f_{T_S(1)}$  on  $T_S(1) - \overline{T_S(\frac{1}{2})}$  which tends to the identity on  $A - T_S(1)$  and to  $f_{T_S(1)}$  on  $T_S(\frac{1}{2})$ .

Since  $f_{T_S(1)}$  is defined by the family of vector fields  $\zeta(f)_{T_S} = {\zeta(f)_{t_{T_S}}}_{t \in I}$ , we can modify the modulus of each lifted controlled vector field  $\zeta(f)_{t,T_S}$  as follows. Write  $\zeta(f)_{t_T} = \bigcup_{\dim X = k} \zeta(f)_{t_T}$ , and choose a smooth real function  $g : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$  such that g(s) = 1 if  $s \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and g(s) = 0 if  $s \geq 1$ . We define then the time-dependent vector field

$$\zeta(\widetilde{f})_{t T_X}(z) = g(\rho_X(z)) \cdot \zeta(f)_{t T_X}(z),$$

so that

$$\widetilde{\zeta(f)_{T_X}} = \begin{cases} \zeta(f)_{T_X} & \text{if} \quad \rho_X(z) \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad \rho_X(z) \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

and it is controlled since every  $\zeta(f)_{T_X}$  is controlled in  $T_X(\frac{1}{2}) \subset T_X(1) = T_X$ .

The flows  $\tilde{\phi}_{T_X}$  of  $\zeta(f)_{T_X}$  and  $\phi_{T_X}$  of  $\zeta(f)_{T_X}$  satisfy  $\tilde{\phi}_{T_X}(z,t) = \phi_{T_X}(z, g(\rho_X(z)) \cdot t))$ and since  $\phi_{T_X}$  is defined for all  $t \in I$ , this also holds for  $\tilde{\phi}_{T_X}$ .

Finally, because the neighbourhoods  $\{T_X\}_{\dim X=k}$  are pairwise disjoint, we can define a global time-dependent vector field on A:

$$\zeta(f)_A(z) = \begin{cases} \zeta(f)_{T_X}(z) & \text{if } z \in \bigcup_{\dim X = k} T_X(1) \\ 0 & \text{if } z \in A - \bigcup_{\dim X = k} T_X(1) \end{cases}.$$





The time-dependent vector field  $\zeta(f)_A$  clearly has a global flow, namely  $\beta(\zeta(f)_A)$ :  $A \times I \to A$ , which extends continuously  $\tilde{\phi}_{T_X}$  by the identity outside  $T_X(1)$ .

Finally, for each  $f \in B$ , the claimed extension  $\tilde{f} : A \to A$  is found by taking the map at time t = 1,  $\tilde{f} = \left[\beta(\zeta(f)_A)\right]_1 : A \to A$ . In fact, since for every  $t \in I$ ,  $\left[\beta(\zeta(f)_A)\right]_t : A \to A$ A is a stratified homeomorphism,  $\tilde{f}$  is a stratified homeomorphism (see also proposition 2.4); since  $\zeta(\tilde{f})_A = 0$  on  $A_{k-1}$  then  $\tilde{f}_{|A_{k-1}} = 1_{A_{k-1}}$ ; and since  $\tilde{f} = \left[\beta(\zeta(f)_A)\right]_1$  and  $f = \left[\beta(\zeta(f))\right]_1$  (see step 1) with  $\beta(\zeta(f)_A)$  extending  $\beta(\zeta(f))$ , then  $\tilde{f} : A \to A$  extends  $f : S \to S$ .  $\Box$ 

THEOREM 3.2. The statement of theorem 3.1 is valid if X is a  $C^{\infty}$  abstract stratified set or a  $C^{\infty}$  (w)-regular stratification of a closed subset of a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold.

*Proof.* If X is an abstract stratified set [11] we can find a (b)-regular embedding of X in some  $\mathbb{R}^m$  (proved to exist in [7, 19, 24]; see also [20] for a (w)-regular subanalytic, hence (b)-regular embedding) and apply Theorem 3.1. using that (b) implies (c) [1].

If X is a (w)-regular stratification, it may not be possible to put an abstract stratified structure on A, and (c)-regular embeddings may not exist (for example if A is the closure in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of  $\{(x, y) | y = sin(1/x), x \neq 0\}$ ; this is not triangulable so is not even homeomorphic to an abstract stratified set).

However, when X is (w)-regular a vector field  $\zeta(f)_X$  on a stratum X admits a stratified *rugose* lifting  $\zeta(f)_{T_X}$  whose flow is (rugose and hence) continuous [3, 26]. The only change to the proof of Theorem 3.1 is to specify the  $\{T_X\}$  as pairwise disjoint neighbourhoods of the strata of dimension k (instead of tubular neighbourhoods associated to a system of control data), and for each X let  $\rho_X$  be a smooth distance function.

The vector field  $\bigcup_X \zeta(f)_{T_X}$ , starting from  $\bigcup_X \zeta(f)_{T_X}$ , and the final extension  $\zeta(f)_A$  on A, are obtained as in Theorem 3.1.  $\Box$ 

REMARK 3.3. In the stratified extension theorems 3.1 and 3.2 if A is a closed stratified subset of a manifold M it is easy to see that an extension exists on the whole of M, by treating M as a stratified set of which A is a union of strata (add the components of M - A as strata of codimension 0 in M).

## 4. Stratified Transversality of maps and of substratified objects.

In this section we use the stratified extension theorem 3.1 to prove a stratified transversality theorem in which we deform a stratified map  $h : Z \to X$  to make it transverse to a fixed stratified map  $g : Y \to X$ . A different proof of the same theorem has been recently obtained by the authors in [18].

DEFINITION 4.1. Let  $X = (A, \Sigma)$  be a stratified space. A stratified isotopy of X (or of A) is a stratified map  $\Phi : A \times I \to A$ ,  $\{\Phi_t : A \to A\}_{t \in I}$  (where I = [0, 1]), such that for every  $t \in I$ , the map  $\Phi_t : A \to A, \Phi_t(x) = \Phi(x, t)$  is a stratified homeomorphism. Clearly, if  $\{\Phi_t\}_t$  and  $\{\Psi_t\}_t$  are stratified isotopies of X then so is the composite map  $\{\Psi_t \circ \Phi_t\}_t$ .

DEFINITION 4.2. Let  $h, h' : Y \to X$  be two stratified maps. We say that h' is a deformation by isotopy of h in X if there exists a stratified isotopy  $\Phi : X \times I \to X$  such that  $\Phi_0 = 1_X$  and  $h' = \Phi_1 \circ h$ , i.e. h' is the deformation via  $\Phi$  and at time t = 1 of h. We write then  $h \stackrel{\Phi_t}{\equiv} h'$ .

REMARK 4.3. The relation of "deformation by isotopy in X" is an equivalence relation on the set of stratified maps  $h: Y \to X$ .

REMARK 4.4. If  $\Phi : A \times I \to A$  is a stratified isotopy of  $X = (A, \Sigma)$  and  $h : Y \to X$ is a stratified map, for each  $t \in I$ ,  $h_t = \Phi_t \circ h$  is a deformation by isotopy of h in X.

DEFINITION 4.5. Two stratified maps  $h, g: Y \to X$  are said to be transverse in the k-skeleton  $X_k$  (or  $A_k$ ) of X when for each stratum S of  $X_k$  their restrictions to S are transverse in S. More precisely, given strata P and Q of Y such that  $h(P) \subseteq S$  and  $g(Q) \subseteq S$ , the restrictions  $h_{|P}: P \to S$  and  $g_{|Q}: Q \to S$  are transverse.

LEMMA 4.6. Let S be a  $C^1$  manifold, let  $f \in C^1(S,S)$ , and let  $h : P \to S$  and  $g : Q \to S$  be  $C^1$  maps defined on  $C^1$  manifolds P and Q.

If the graph  $\Gamma f$  of f is transverse to  $(h \times g)$  then  $f \circ h$  is transverse to g. Proof. See [17].  $\Box$ 

COROLLARY 4.7. With the hypotheses of Lemma 4.6, if  $f \in Diff(S, S)$  and  $h : P \hookrightarrow X$  is inclusion, then f(P) is transverse to  $g : Q \to S$ .

THEOREM 4.8. Let X be a  $C^{\infty}$  abstract stratified set or a  $C^{\infty}$  (w)-regular stratified subspace of a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold, and let  $g: Y \to X$  be a stratified map defined on a stratified space Y. For each stratified map  $h: Z \to X$  there exists a deformation by isotopy h' of hin X which is transverse to g.

*Proof.* By induction on the dimension of the k-skeleton  $A_k$  of A we construct a chain of n deformations by isotopy of h in  $X = (A, \Sigma)$ :  $h = h^0 \stackrel{\Phi^1}{\equiv} h^1 \stackrel{\Phi^2}{\equiv} \cdots \stackrel{\Phi^n}{\equiv} h^n$  such that  $h^k$  is transverse to g in  $A_k$  for every  $k = 0, \ldots, n$ .

Let k > 0 and suppose that  $h^{k-1}$  has been constructed.

By the inductive hypothesis  $h^{k-1}$  is transverse to g in  $A_{k-1}$ , so we define the restriction of  $h^k$  to  $A_{k-1}$  to coincide with  $h^{k-1}$ . We complete the proof by deforming  $h^{k-1}$ without changing it on  $A_{k-1}$ .

Step 1 : Deformation of  $h_{|[A_k - A_{k-1}]}^{k-1}$ . Let  $\{W_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$  be the strata of  $(h^{k-1})^{-1}(S)$ , and let  $h_{\alpha} : W_{\alpha} \to S$  be the restriction of h to  $W_{\alpha}$ . Similarly, write  $\{Y_{\beta}\}_{\beta}$  for the strata of  $g^{-1}(S)$  and  $g_{\beta} : Y_{\beta} \to S$  for the restriction of g to  $Y_{\beta}$ . For all  $\alpha, \beta$  the set

$$T_{W_{\alpha} \times Y_{\beta}} = \{ f \in C^{\infty}(S, S) \mid \Gamma f \text{ is transverse to } h_{\alpha} \times g_{\beta} \},\$$

where  $\Gamma f$  is the graph of f, is a countable intersection of open dense sets in  $C^{\infty}(S, S)$ [14] and the family  $\{W_{\alpha} \times Y_{\beta}\}_{\alpha,\beta}$  being countable, so is

$$T = \bigcap_{h(W_{\alpha}) \subseteq S, \ g(Y_{\beta}) \subseteq S} T_{W_{\alpha} \times Y_{\beta}} = \left\{ f \in C^{\infty}(S, S; C) \mid \Gamma f \text{ transverse to } h_{S} \times g_{S} \right\}.$$

Hence T is dense in the Baire space  $C^{\infty}(S, S)$ .

Consider now an open neighbourhood B of  $1_S$  in Diff(S, S) as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, and let  $f \in B \cap T$ .

Since  $f \in T$ , for each  $h_{\alpha}$  and  $g_{\beta}$ , Lemma 4.6 implies that  $f \circ h_{\alpha}^{k-1}$  is transverse to  $g_{\beta}$ , and so  $f \circ h_{S}^{k-1}$  is transverse to  $g_{S}$ . Defining  $h^{k} = f \circ h^{k-1}$ ,  $h_{S}^{k}$  is transverse to  $g_{S}$ .

On the other hand  $f \in B$ , so that the maps  $h^k$  et  $h^{k-1}$  coincide on  $A_{k-1}$ , and, by inductive hypothesis on  $h^{k-1}$ ,  $f \circ h^k_{A_{k-1}}$  is transverse to  $g_{A_{k-1}}$  in  $A_{k-1}$ .

Therefore  $f \circ h_{A_k}^k$  being transverse to  $g_{A_k}$  on  $A_k = A_{k-1} \cup S$  we conclude the step 1.

Step 2: Deformation of  $h_{[A-A_k]}^{k-1}$  and conclusion of the proof. Consider the stratified homeomorphism  $\tilde{f} = \Phi_1 = \Phi_1^k : A \to A$  constructed in Theorem 3.1 and set

$$h^k = \tilde{f} \circ h^{k-1}$$

Since the stratified isotopy  $\Phi_t^k$  (see Theorem 3.1), satisfies  $\Phi_1^k = \tilde{f}$ ,  $\Phi_{1|S}^k = f$  and  $\Phi_{1|A_{k-1}}^k = id_{A_{k-1}}$  for the "whole deformation"  $h^k$  of  $h^{k-1}$ ,  $h^k = \Phi_1^k \circ h^{k-1}$  we have :

$$h^{k} = \begin{cases} h_{A_{k-1}}^{k-1} & \text{on } A_{k-1}; \\ f \circ h_{S}^{k-1} & \text{on } S = A_{k} - A_{k-1}; \\ \Phi_{1}^{k} \circ h_{[A-A_{k}]}^{k-1} & \text{on } A - A_{k}. \end{cases}$$

Thus we complete the proof of the theorem by setting  $h' = h^n$ .  $\Box$ 

A different proof of theorem 4.8 will be given in [10].

In Theorem 4.8 the deformation by isotopy  $h': \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{X}$  of h transverse to g can be obtained arbitrarily close to h. In fact since T is dense and B is a neighbourhood of  $1_S$ in  $C^{\infty}(S, S)$ , the diffeomorphism  $f \in B \cap T \subseteq Diff(S, S)$  may be chosen arbitrarily near to  $1_S$  and so the stratified homeomorphism  $\tilde{f} = \Phi_1 : A \to A$  extension of  $f: S \to S$  (see theorem 3.1) may be obtained arbitrarily close to  $1_A$ .

Transversality of substratified objects. In analogy with the definition of Whitney substratified object V of X given by Goresky in [8] for V and X which are Whitney stratified ((b)-regular), we give the following definition, where (E) means a regularity conditions such as "to be an abstract stratified set", the condition (b) of Whitney, the condition (c) of Bekka, (w) of Verdier, or the Lipschitz condition of Mostowski.

DEFINITION 4.9. Let  $X = (A, \Sigma)$  be a stratified space. An (E)-regular substratified object of X, is a stratified space  $V = (V, \Sigma_V)$ , such that V is a closed subset of A,  $\Sigma_V$  is (E)-regular and each stratum of V is contained in a unique stratum of X. If X and V are both abstract stratified sets then we say that V is an *abstract substratified set* of X.

Obviously, if V is a substratified object of X, then the inclusion  $i : V \hookrightarrow X$  is a stratified map. Moreover for every stratum S of X,  $V \cap S$  is a closed subset of S with an induced stratification  $V_S = \{R \text{ stratum of } V \mid R \subseteq S\}$ , i.e. the restriction of V to the stratum S of X.

In what follows, when this is not ambiguous, we write simply V for a substratified object V of X. Thus  $V_k$  and  $A_k$  denote the k-skeletons  $V_k$  and  $X_k$  of V and X. Similarly, when V (or X) is an abstract stratified set equipped with a system of control data (§2), we assume given the system of control data.

DEFINITION 4.10. Let  $W = (W, \Sigma_W)$  and  $W' = (W', \Sigma_{W'})$  be two substratified objects of a stratified space  $X = (A, \Sigma)$ . We say that W' is a deformation by isotopy of W in A if there exists a stratified isotopy  $\Phi : A \times I \to A$  such that  $\Phi_0 = 1_A$  and  $W' = \Phi_1(W)$ . We write then  $W \stackrel{\Phi_t}{\equiv} W'$  or  $\Phi_t : W \equiv W'$ .

Of course "Deformation by isotopy" is an equivalence relation on the set of all substratified objects of X. Moreover one easily has :

PROPOSITION 4.11. If  $\Phi: A \times I \to A$  is a stratified isotopy of  $X = (A, \Sigma)$  and W is a substratified object of X, then for each  $t \in I$  the image  $W' = \Phi_t(W)$  is a substratified object with stratification induced by  $\Phi_t$  and W' is a deformation by isotopy of W.

*Proof.* We only have to remark that when W is an abstract stratified set, if

$$F_{W} = \{(T_{W_{\alpha}}, \pi_{W_{\alpha}}, \rho_{W_{\alpha}})\}_{W_{\alpha} \in \Sigma_{W}} , \qquad \begin{cases} \pi_{W_{\alpha}} : T_{W_{\alpha}} \to W_{\alpha} \\ \rho_{W_{\alpha}} : T_{W_{\alpha}} \to \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

is the system of control data for  $W = \bigcup_{\alpha} W_{\alpha}$ , then the family

$$\left\{\left(\Phi_t(T_{W_\alpha}), \Phi_t \, \pi_{W_\alpha} \Phi_t^{-1}, \, \rho_{W_\alpha} \Phi_t^{-1}\right)\right\}_{\Phi_t(W_\alpha) \text{ stratum of } W'}$$

is a system of control data for  $W' = \bigcup_{\alpha} \Phi_t(W_{\alpha})$ . In fact, all topological properties of the abstract stratified sets are preserved via the homeomorphism  $\Phi_t : A \to A$  and the submersivity of the maps  $(\pi_{W_{\alpha}}, \rho_{W_{\alpha}})$  is preserved by the diffeomorphisms  $\{\Phi_t : X \to X\}_t$ (X stratum of A).  $\Box$ 

Suppose now that W is a substratified object of X, and that the map h = i:  $W \hookrightarrow X$  is the stratified inclusion of W in X, and consider the map  $h' = \Phi_1 \circ h$ . Because the transversalising deformation  $\Phi_1$  is a stratified homeomorphism, and hence is a diffeomorphism on each stratum, by Lemma 4.7 the condition " $h' = \Phi_1 \circ h$  is transverse to g" may be reread as " $W' = \Phi_1(W)$  is transverse to g". Thus we have the following corollary which generalizes the Transversality Lemma of Goresky [8].

COROLLARY 4.12. Let X be a  $C^{\infty}$  abstract stratified set, or a (w)-regular stratified subspace of a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold, and let  $g: Y \to X$  be a stratified map on a stratified space Y. For each substratified object W of X and each open neighbourhood U of W in X, there exists a deformation by isotopy W' of W which is transverse to g and such that  $W' \subseteq U$ .

Corollary 4.12 holds for stratifications and stratified maps more general than that of the *Transversality Lemma* of [8]. For we do not require :

i) that g be controlled with respect to two fixed systems of control data  $T_1$  et  $T_2$  respectively of Y and X or that g be the restriction of a smooth map  $\tilde{g} : M_1 \to M_2$  between two smooth manifolds containing respectively Y and X;

or

ii) that W satisfy the  $\pi$ -fibre condition.

The  $\pi$ -fibre condition is a very strong restriction on the geometry of the substratified object W on X and ensures that (b)-regularity be preserved as was shown in [8]. Possibly other regularity conditions are preserved, for example this is the case for (a)-regularity. A priori there is no reason that a stratified isotopy of X as in corollary 4.12 preserve regularity conditions on substratified objects W of X other than "being an abstract substratified set of X".

Corollary 4.12 (when  $g: Y \hookrightarrow X$  is the inclusion map and  $\dim(Y \cap S) + \dim(W \cap S) < \dim S$  for every stratum S of X) was used by M. Grinberg to prove the existence of self-indexing stratified Morse functions on complex algebraic varieties [9].

## REFERENCES

 K. Bekka, *C-régularité et trivialité topologique*, Singularity theory and its applications, Warwick 1989, Part I, Lecture Notes in Math. **1462**, Springer, Berlin, 1991, 42-62.

[2] K. Bekka, *Isotopy Theorem*, preprint, University of Liverpool, 1991.

[3] H. Brodersen and D. J. A. Trotman, Whitney (b)-regularity is weaker than Kuo's ratio test for real algebraic stratifications, Math. Scand. 45 (1979), 27-34.

[4] C. Freifeld, One parameter subgroups do not fill a neighbourhood of the identity in an infinite dimensional Lie (pseudo-)group, Battelle Rencontre, Lectures in Mathematics and Physics, Benjamin, New-York, 1967, 538-543.

[5] C. G. Gibson, K. Wirthmüller, A. A. du Plessis and E. J. N. Looijenga, *Topological stability of smooth mappings*, Lecture Notes in Math. **552**, Springer-Verlag, 1976.

[6] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin, *Stable mappings and their singularities*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 14, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973.

[7] M. Goresky, *Cohomology and homology of stratified objects*, thesis, Brown University, 1976.

[8] M. Goresky, Whitney stratified chains and cochains, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 267 (1981), 175-196.

[9] M. Grinberg, *Gradient-Like Flows and Self-Indexing in Stratified Morse Theory*, preprint, 19 pages, http://arXiv.org/math.AG/0006163.

[10] J. Mather, Stability of  $C^{\infty}$  mappings: II. Infinitesimal stability implies stability, Annals of Mathematics 89 (1969), 254-291.

[11] J. Mather, *Stratifications and mappings*, Dynamical Systems (M. Peixoto, Editor), Academic Press, New York, 1971, 195-223.

[12] J. Mather, *Notes on topological stability*, Mimeographed notes, Harvard University, 1970.

[13] C. McCrory, *Stratified general position*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology, Santa Barbara 1977, Lecture Notes in Math. **664**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1978, 142-146.

[14] P. Michor, Manifolds of Differentiable Mappings, Shiva Publishing, Orpington, 1980.
[15] C. Murolo, Whitney Homology, Cohomology and Steenrod squares, Ricerche di Matematica 43 (1994), 175-204.

[16] C. Murolo, *The Steenrod p-powers in Whitney Cohomology*, Topology and its Applications **68**, (1996), 133-151.

[17] C. Murolo, Semidifférentiabilité, Transversalité et Homologie de Stratifications Régulières, thesis, University of Provence, 1997.

[18] C. Murolo, A. Du Plessis and D. J. A. Trotman, *Stratified transversality via isotopy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.

[19] H. Natsume, The realisation of abstract stratified sets, Kodai Math. J. 3, (1980), 1-7.

[20] L. Noirel, *Plongements sous-analytiques d'espaces stratifiés de Thom-Mather*, thesis, University of Provence, 1996.

[21] A. Parusiński, *Lipschitz stratifications*, Global Analysis in Modern Mathematics (K. Uhlenbeck, ed.), Proceedings of a Symposium in Honor of Richard Palais' Sixtieth Birthday, Publish or Perish, Houston, 1993, 73-91.

[22] A. A. du Plessis, Continuous controlled vector fields, Singularity theory (Liverpool, 1996, edited by J. W. Bruce and D. M. Q. Mond), London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 263, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1999), 189-197.

[23] A. A. du Plessis and C. T. C. Wall, *The Geometry of Topological Stability*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1995.

[24] M. Teufel, Abstract stratified sets are (b)-regular, Journal of Differential Geometry 16 (1981), 529-536.

[25] R. Thom, Ensembles et morphismes stratifiés, Bull.A.M.S. 75 (1969), 240-284.

[26] J.-L. Verdier, *Stratifications de Whitney et théorème de Bertini-Sard*, Inventiones Math. 36 (1976), 295-312.

[27] H. Whitney, *Local properties of analytic varieties*, Differential and Combinatorial Topology, Princeton Univ. Press, (1965), 205-244.

A. A. DU PLESSIS

Universitet Aarhus – Matematisk Institut Ny Munkegade - Aarhus - Danmark. Email : matadp@mi.aau.dk

C. Murolo, D. J. A. Trotman

Université de Provence – LATP (UMR 6632) 39, rue Joliot-Curie - 13453 Marseille Cedex 13, France. Emails : murolo@gyptis.univ-mrs.fr, trotman@gyptis.univ-mrs.fr