On Sobolev spaces of bounded subanalytic manifolds

Anna & Guillaume Valette

Singularity Theory and Regular Stratifications in honor of David Trotman Marseille,1.10.2021

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Main results

We will consider the following Sobolev space of bounded subanalytic manifold M:

$$\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M) := \{ u \in L^p(M), \ |\partial u| \in L^p(M) \},$$

where ∂u stands for the gradient of u in the sense of distributions.

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Main results

We will consider the following Sobolev space of bounded subanalytic manifold M:

$$\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M) := \{ u \in L^p(M), \ |\partial u| \in L^p(M) \},$$

where ∂u stands for the gradient of u in the sense of distributions. It is well known that this space, equipped with the norm

$$||u||_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M)} := ||u||_{L^p(M)} + ||\partial u||_{L^p(M)}$$

is a Banach space, in which $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(M)$ is dense for all $p \in [1, \infty)$.

Main results

Definition

We say that M is connected at $x \in \delta M = \overline{M} \setminus M$ if $B(x, \varepsilon) \cap M$ is connected for all $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Main results

Definition

We say that M is connected at $x \in \delta M = \overline{M} \setminus M$ if $B(x, \varepsilon) \cap M$ is connected for all $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. We say that M is normal if it is connected at each $x \in \delta M$.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Main results

Theorem

If *M* is normal then for all $p \in [1, \infty)$ sufficiently large $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\overline{M})$ is dense in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M)$.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Main results

Assume that *M* is normal and let *A* be a subanalytic subset of δM . For all $p \in [1, \infty)$ sufficiently large, we have:

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Main results

Assume that M is normal and let A be a subanalytic subset of δM . For all $p \in [1, \infty)$ sufficiently large, we have:

Theorem

The linear operator

$$\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\overline{M}) \ni \varphi \mapsto \varphi_{|\mathcal{A}} \in L^{p}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}^{k}), \qquad k := \dim \mathcal{A},$$

is bounded for $|| \cdot ||_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M)}$ and thus extends to a mapping $\operatorname{tr}_{A} : \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M) \to L^{p}(A, \mathcal{H}^{k}).$

Main results

Assume that M is normal and let A be a subanalytic subset of δM . For all $p \in [1, \infty)$ sufficiently large, we have:

Theorem

If S is a stratification of A, then $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{\overline{M}\setminus\overline{A}}(\overline{M})$ is a dense subspace of $\bigcap_{Y\in S} \ker tr_Y.$

Main results

Assume that M is normal and let A be a subanalytic subset of δM . For all $p \in [1, \infty)$ sufficiently large, we have:

Theorem

If S is a stratification of A, then $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{\overline{M}\setminus\overline{A}}(\overline{M})$ is a dense subspace of $\bigcap_{Y\in S} \ker \operatorname{tr}_Y. \mathscr{C}^{\infty}_B(\overline{M}) := \{ u \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\overline{M}) : \operatorname{supp}_B u \text{ is compact} \}.$

Main results

Assume that M is normal and let A be a subanalytic subset of δM . For all $p \in [1, \infty)$ sufficiently large, we have:

Theorem

If S is a stratification of A, then $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{\overline{M}\setminus\overline{A}}(\overline{M})$ is a dense subspace of $\bigcap_{Y\in S} \ker \operatorname{tr}_Y.$

In particular, in the case where A is dense in δM , we get:

Main results

Assume that M is normal and let A be a subanalytic subset of δM . For all $p \in [1, \infty)$ sufficiently large, we have:

Theorem

If S is a stratification of A, then $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{\overline{M}\setminus\overline{A}}(\overline{M})$ is a dense subspace of $\bigcap_{Y\in S} \ker \operatorname{tr}_Y.$

In particular, in the case where A is dense in δM , we get:

Corollary

If Σ is a stratification of a dense subset of δM then $\mathscr{C}_0^{\infty}(M)$ is dense in $\bigcap_{S \in \Sigma} \ker \operatorname{tr}_S$ for all $p \in [1, \infty)$ sufficiently large.

Main results

Remarks

The condition of being normal is proved to be necessary:

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Remarks

The condition of being normal is proved to be necessary:

Theorem

 $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\overline{M})$ is dense in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M)$ for arbitrarily large values of p if and only if M is normal.

Main results

Main results

Remarks

The condition of being normal is proved to be necessary:

Theorem

 $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\overline{M})$ is dense in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M)$ for arbitrarily large values of p if and only if M is normal.

If the manifold M is unbounded, the trace is well-defined and it is L^{p}_{loc} on the boundary.

Main results

Remarks

The condition of being normal is proved to be necessary:

Theorem

 $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\overline{M})$ is dense in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M)$ for arbitrarily large values of p if and only if M is normal.

If the manifold M is unbounded, the trace is well-defined and it is L^{p}_{loc} on the boundary. Since we can use cutoff functions, the density results remain true in the unbounded case.

Definition

A \mathscr{C}^{∞} normalization of M is a definable \mathscr{C}^{∞} diffeomorphism $h: \check{M} \to M$ satisfying $\sup_{x \in \check{M}} |D_x h| < \infty$ and $\sup_{x \in M} |D_x h^{-1}| < \infty$, with \check{M} normal \mathscr{C}^{∞} submanifold of \mathbb{R}^k , for some k.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Definition

A \mathscr{C}^{∞} normalization of M is a definable \mathscr{C}^{∞} diffeomorphism $h: \check{M} \to M$ satisfying $\sup_{x \in \check{M}} |D_x h| < \infty$ and $\sup_{x \in M} |D_x h^{-1}| < \infty$, with \check{M} normal \mathscr{C}^{∞} submanifold of \mathbb{R}^k , for some k.

Proposition

Every bounded definable manifold admits a \mathscr{C}^{∞} normalization.

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

Fix a normalization $h: \check{M} \to M$. As h and h^{-1} have bounded derivative the mapping $h_*: \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\check{M}) \to \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M)$, $u \mapsto u \circ h^{-1}$ is a continuous isomorphism for all p. The Main Theorem thus immediately yields that for $p \in [1, \infty)$ sufficiently large, the space

$$\mathscr{C}^{h}(\overline{M}) := h_{*}\mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\overline{\check{M}}) = \{u \circ h^{-1} : u \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\overline{\check{M}})\}$$

is dense in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M)$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Fix a normalization $h: \check{M} \to M$. As h and h^{-1} have bounded derivative the mapping $h_*: \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\check{M}) \to \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M)$, $u \mapsto u \circ h^{-1}$ is a continuous isomorphism for all p. The Main Theorem thus immediately yields that for $p \in [1, \infty)$ sufficiently large, the space

$$\mathscr{C}^h(\overline{M}) := h_*\mathscr{C}^\infty(\overline{\check{M}}) = \{u \circ h^{-1} : u \in \mathscr{C}^\infty(\overline{\check{M}})\}$$

is dense in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M)$.

Although the functions of $\mathscr{C}^h(\overline{M})$ may fail to be smooth on \overline{M} , this ring is satisfying for many purposes. A given function v of this ring has the property that for every x_0 in \overline{M} the restriction of v to a connected component U of $B(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap M$, $\varepsilon > 0$ small, extends to a function which is Lipschitz with respect to the inner metric.

Proposition

There are stratifications \check{S} and S of $\delta \check{M}$ and δM respectively such that for each $S \in S$, $\overline{h}^{-1}(S) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{j} S_i$, where, for each $i \leq j$, S_i is a stratum of \check{S} on which \overline{h} induces a diffeomorphism $h_{S_i} : S_i \to S$ satisfying $\sup_{x \in S_i} |D_x h_{S_i}| < \infty$ and $\sup_{x \in S} |D_x h_{S_i}^{-1}| < \infty$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Proposition

There are stratifications \check{S} and S of $\delta \check{M}$ and δM respectively such that for each $S \in S$, $\bar{h}^{-1}(S) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{j} S_i$, where, for each $i \leq j$, S_i is a stratum of \check{S} on which \bar{h} induces a diffeomorphism $h_{S_i} : S_i \to S$ satisfying $\sup_{x \in S_i} |D_x h_{S_i}| < \infty$ and $\sup_{x \in S} |D_x h_{S_i}^{-1}| < \infty$.

Let $I := \sup_{x \in M} \mathfrak{c}_M(x)$, fix $S \in S$. We define tr_S : $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M) \to L^p(S)^I$ by setting for $v \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M)$ (and $p \in [1, \infty)$ large):

$$\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{S}} \mathsf{v} := \left((\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{S}_1} \mathsf{v} \circ h) \circ h_{\mathcal{S}_1}^{-1}, \dots, (\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{S}_j} \mathsf{v} \circ h) \circ h_{\mathcal{S}_j}^{-1}, 0, \dots, 0 \right).$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Proposition

There are stratifications \check{S} and S of $\delta \check{M}$ and δM respectively such that for each $S \in S$, $\bar{h}^{-1}(S) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{j} S_i$, where, for each $i \leq j$, S_i is a stratum of \check{S} on which \bar{h} induces a diffeomorphism $h_{S_i} : S_i \to S$ satisfying $\sup_{x \in S_i} |D_x h_{S_i}| < \infty$ and $\sup_{x \in S} |D_x h_{S_i}^{-1}| < \infty$.

Let $I := \sup_{x \in M} \mathfrak{c}_M(x)$, fix $S \in S$. We define tr_S : $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M) \to L^p(S)^I$ by setting for $v \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M)$ (and $p \in [1, \infty)$ large):

$$\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{S}} \mathsf{v} := \left((\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{S}_1} \mathsf{v} \circ h) \circ h_{\mathcal{S}_1}^{-1}, \dots, (\operatorname{tr}_{\mathcal{S}_j} \mathsf{v} \circ h) \circ h_{\mathcal{S}_j}^{-1}, 0, \dots, 0 \right).$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Theorem

Let $A \subset \delta M$ be a subanalytic set of dimension k. For $p \in [1, \infty)$ sufficiently large, the linear operator

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}: \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M) \to L^{p}(A, \mathcal{H}^{k})^{I},$$

is bounded.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Theorem

Let $A \subset \delta M$ be a subanalytic set of dimension k. For $p \in [1, \infty)$ sufficiently large, the linear operator

$$\operatorname{tr}_{A}: \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M) \to L^{p}(A, \mathcal{H}^{k})^{I},$$

is bounded.

Proposition

Let $A \subset \delta M$ be subanalytic. If p is sufficiently large then, for every $v \in W^{1,p}(M)$, the set of functions $\{\operatorname{tr}_{A,1} v, \ldots, \operatorname{tr}_{A,l} v\}$ does not depend on the chosen \mathscr{C}^{∞} normalization.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Lipschitz Conic Structure

Theorem (G. Valette)

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be subanalytic and $x_0 \in X$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, there exists a Lipschitz subanalytic homeomorphism

$$H: x_0 * (\mathsf{S}(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap X) \to \overline{\mathsf{B}}(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap X,$$

satisfying $H_{|S(x_0,\varepsilon)\cap X} = Id$, preserving the distance to x_0 , and having the following metric properties:

2

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Lipschitz Conic Structure

Theorem (G. Valette)

1 The natural retraction by deformation onto x_0

$$r: [0,1] imes \overline{\mathsf{B}}(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap X \to \overline{\mathsf{B}}(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap X,$$

defined by

$$r(s,x) := H(sH^{-1}(x) + (1-s)x_0),$$

is Lipschitz.

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Lipschitz Conic Structure

Theorem (G. Valette)

1 The natural retraction by deformation onto x_0

$$r: [0,1] imes \overline{\mathsf{B}}(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap X o \overline{\mathsf{B}}(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap X,$$

defined by

$$r(s,x) := H(sH^{-1}(x) + (1-s)x_0),$$

is Lipschitz. Indeed, there is a constant C such that for every fixed $s \in [0, 1]$, the mapping r_s defined by $x \mapsto r_s(x) := r(s, x)$, is Cs-Lipschitz.

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Lipschitz Conic Structure

Theorem (G. Valette)

2 For each
$$\delta > 0$$
, the restriction of H^{-1} to $\{x \in X : \delta \le ||x - x_0|| \le \varepsilon\}$ is Lipschitz and, for each $s \in (0, 1]$, the map $r_s^{-1} : \overline{B}(x_0, s\varepsilon) \cap X \to \overline{B}(x_0, \varepsilon) \cap X$ is Lipschitz.

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Example

 $X:=\{(x,y)\in [0,1]\times \mathbb{R}: |y|\leq x^2\}$

with $x_0 = (0, 0)$.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

3

Lipschitz Conic Structure



$$X := \{(x, y) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} : |y| \le x^2\}$$

with $x_0 = (0, 0)$. For each $(x, y) \in x_0 * (S(0, 1) \cap X)$, let
 $H(x, y) := (t(x, y)x, t^2(x, y)xy)$,

where

$$t(x,y) = \left(\frac{2x^2 + 2y^2}{x^2 + \sqrt{x^4 + 4x^2y^2(x^2 + y^2)}}\right)^{1/2}$$

.

3

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Lipschitz Conic Structure

Example

$$\begin{aligned} X &:= \{(x,y) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} : |y| \le x^2 \} \\ \text{with } x_0 &= (0,0). \quad \text{For each } (x,y) \in x_0 * (S(0,1) \cap X), \text{ let} \\ H(x,y) &:= (t(x,y)x, \ t^2(x,y)xy), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$t(x,y) = \left(\frac{2x^2 + 2y^2}{x^2 + \sqrt{x^4 + 4x^2y^2(x^2 + y^2)}}\right)^{1/2}$$

A straightforward computation yields that on $x_0 * (S(0,1) \cap X)$ we have $|\partial t(x,y)| \leq \frac{C}{x}$ for some positive constant C.

.

3

Lipschitz Conic Structure

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathscr{R}^M

Łojasiewicz's inequality

Theorem

Let f and g be two globally subanalytic functions on a globally subanalytic set A with $\sup_{x \in A} |f(x)| < \infty$. Assume that $\lim_{t \to 0} f(\gamma(t)) = 0$ for every globally subanalytic arc $\gamma : (0, \varepsilon) \to A$ satisfying $\lim_{t \to 0} g(\gamma(t)) = 0$. Then there exist $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $x \in A$:

 $|f(x)|^{\nu} \leq C|g(x)|.$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Lojasiewicz's inequality} \\ \textbf{The operator } \Theta^M \\ \textbf{The operator } \mathscr{R}^M \end{array}$

There is a positive constant C such that:

Anna & Guillaume Valette On Sobolev spaces of bounded subanalytic manifolds

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathscr{R}^M

There is a positive constant C such that: **1** For all $s \in (0, 1)$ we have for almost all $x \in M^{\varepsilon}$:

$$\left|\frac{\partial r}{\partial s}(s,x)\right| \leq C|x|.$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathscr{R}^M

There is a positive constant C such that:

• For all $s \in (0,1)$ we have for almost all $x \in M^{\varepsilon}$:

$$\left|\frac{\partial r}{\partial s}(s,x)\right| \leq C|x|.$$

Por each v ∈ L^p(M^ε), p ∈ [1,∞), we have for all η ∈ (0,ε] and all s ∈ (0,1]:

$$\frac{1}{C} \left(\int_0^{\eta} ||v||_{L^p(N^{\zeta})}^p d\zeta \right)^{1/p} \le ||v||_{L^p(M^{\eta})} \le C \left(\int_0^{\eta} ||v||_{L^p(N^{\zeta})}^p d\zeta \right)^{1/p}$$

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathscr{R}^M

There is a positive constant C such that:

• For all $s \in (0,1)$ we have for almost all $x \in M^{\varepsilon}$:

$$\left|\frac{\partial r}{\partial s}(s,x)\right| \leq C|x|.$$

Por each v ∈ L^p(M^ε), p ∈ [1,∞), we have for all η ∈ (0,ε] and all s ∈ (0,1]:

$$\frac{1}{C} \left(\int_0^{\eta} ||v||_{L^p(N^{\zeta})}^p d\zeta \right)^{1/p} \le ||v||_{L^p(M^{\eta})} \le C \left(\int_0^{\eta} ||v||_{L^p(N^{\zeta})}^p d\zeta \right)^{1/p}$$

• There exists $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $v \in L^{p}(M^{\varepsilon})$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, $\eta \in (0, \varepsilon)$, and $s \in (0, 1)$:

$$||v \circ r_s||_{L^p(N^\eta)} \leq C s^{-\nu/p} ||v||_{L^p(N^{s\eta})}.$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathcal{R}^M

The operator Θ^{M}

For $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M^{\varepsilon})$ and $x \in M^{\varepsilon}$ we set:

$$\Theta^{M}u(x) := \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial(u \circ r)}{\partial s}(s, x) \, ds = \int_{0}^{1} \langle \partial u(r_{s}(x)), \frac{\partial r}{\partial s}(s, x) \rangle \, ds.$$

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathcal{R}^M

The operator Θ^M

For
$$u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M^{\varepsilon})$$
 and $x \in M^{\varepsilon}$ we set:

$$\Theta^{M}u(x) := \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial(u \circ r)}{\partial s}(s, x) \, ds = \int_{0}^{1} \langle \partial u(r_{s}(x)), \frac{\partial r}{\partial s}(s, x) \rangle \, ds.$$

Lemma

For p sufficiently large, the function $[0,1] \ni s \mapsto ||\frac{\partial(u \circ r_s)}{\partial s}||_{L^p(M^{\varepsilon})}$ belongs to $L^1([0,1])$ for all $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M^{\varepsilon})$, so that $\Theta^M u$ is well-defined. Moreover, for $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M^{\varepsilon})$ and $\eta < \varepsilon$, we then have:

$$||\Theta^{M}u||_{L^{p}(N^{\eta})} \lesssim \eta^{1-\frac{1}{p}} ||u||_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M^{\eta})}.$$

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathcal{R}^M

Proof of the lemma

For $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M^{\varepsilon})$, we have:

$$\int_0^1 ||\frac{\partial (u \circ r_s)}{\partial s}||_{L^p(M^\varepsilon)} ds = \int_0^1 \left(\int_{M^\varepsilon} \left|\frac{\partial (u \circ r)}{\partial s}(s, x)\right|^p dx\right)^{1/p} ds$$

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathcal{R}^M

Proof of the lemma

For $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M^{\varepsilon})$, we have:

$$\int_{0}^{1} ||\frac{\partial(u \circ r_{s})}{\partial s}||_{L^{p}(M^{\varepsilon})} ds = \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{M^{\varepsilon}} \left| \frac{\partial(u \circ r)}{\partial s}(s, x) \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} ds$$
$$\lesssim \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{M^{\varepsilon}} |\partial u(r_{s}(x))|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} ds$$

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathcal{R}^M

Proof of the lemma

For $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M^{\varepsilon})$, we have:

$$\int_{0}^{1} ||\frac{\partial(u \circ r_{s})}{\partial s}||_{L^{p}(M^{\varepsilon})} ds = \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{M^{\varepsilon}} \left| \frac{\partial(u \circ r)}{\partial s}(s, x) \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} ds$$
$$\lesssim \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{M^{\varepsilon}} |\partial u(r_{s}(x))|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} ds$$
$$\lesssim \int_{0}^{1} s^{\frac{-\nu}{p}} ||\partial u||_{L^{p}(M^{s\varepsilon})} ds$$

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathcal{R}^M

Proof of the lemma

For $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M^{\varepsilon})$, we have:

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{1} || \frac{\partial (u \circ r_{s})}{\partial s} ||_{L^{p}(M^{\varepsilon})} ds &= \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{M^{\varepsilon}} \left| \frac{\partial (u \circ r)}{\partial s} (s, x) \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} ds \\ &\lesssim \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{M^{\varepsilon}} |\partial u(r_{s}(x))|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} ds \\ &\lesssim \int_{0}^{1} s^{\frac{-\nu}{p}} ||\partial u||_{L^{p}(M^{\varepsilon})} ds \\ &\leq ||\partial u||_{L^{p}(M^{\varepsilon})}^{p} \int_{0}^{1} |s|^{-\nu/p} ds, \end{split}$$

which is finite for $p > \nu$.

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathcal{R}^M

Proof of the lemma

$$||\Theta^{M}u||_{L^{p}(N^{\eta})} \leq \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{N^{\eta}} |\partial u(r_{s}(x))|^{p} \left|\frac{\partial r}{\partial s}(s,x)\right|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p} ds$$

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathcal{R}^M

Proof of the lemma

$$||\Theta^{M}u||_{L^{p}(N^{\eta})} \leq \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{N^{\eta}} |\partial u(r_{s}(x))|^{p} \left| \frac{\partial r}{\partial s}(s,x) \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} ds$$

$$\stackrel{(1)}{\leq} \eta \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{N^{\eta}} |\partial u(r_{s}(x))|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} ds$$

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathcal{R}^M

Proof of the lemma

$$||\Theta^{M}u||_{L^{p}(N^{\eta})} \leq \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{N^{\eta}} |\partial u(r_{s}(x))|^{p} \left|\frac{\partial r}{\partial s}(s,x)\right|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p} ds$$

$$\stackrel{(1)}{\lesssim} \eta \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{N^{\eta}} |\partial u(r_{s}(x))|^{p} dx\right)^{1/p} ds$$

$$\stackrel{(3)}{\lesssim} \eta \int_{0}^{1} |s|^{-\nu/p} ||\partial u||_{L^{p}(N^{s\eta})} ds$$

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathcal{R}^M

Proof of the lemma

$$\begin{split} ||\Theta^{M}u||_{L^{p}(N^{\eta})} &\leq \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{N^{\eta}} |\partial u(r_{s}(x))|^{p} \left| \frac{\partial r}{\partial s}(s,x) \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} ds \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{\lesssim} \eta \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{N^{\eta}} |\partial u(r_{s}(x))|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} ds \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{\lesssim} \eta \int_{0}^{1} |s|^{-\nu/p} ||\partial u||_{L^{p}(N^{s\eta})} ds \\ &\leq \eta \left(\int_{0}^{1} |s|^{-\nu p'/p} ds \right)^{1/p'} \left(\int_{0}^{1} ||\partial u||_{L^{p}(N^{s\eta})}^{p} ds \right)^{1/p'} \end{split}$$

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathcal{R}^M

Proof of the lemma

$$\begin{split} ||\Theta^{M}u||_{L^{p}(N^{\eta})} &\leq \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{N^{\eta}} |\partial u(r_{s}(x))|^{p} \left| \frac{\partial r}{\partial s}(s,x) \right|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} ds \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{\lesssim} \eta \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{N^{\eta}} |\partial u(r_{s}(x))|^{p} dx \right)^{1/p} ds \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{\lesssim} \eta \int_{0}^{1} |s|^{-\nu/p} ||\partial u||_{L^{p}(N^{s\eta})} ds \\ &\leq \eta \left(\int_{0}^{1} |s|^{-\nu p'/p} ds \right)^{1/p'} \left(\int_{0}^{1} ||\partial u||_{L^{p}(N^{s\eta})}^{p} ds \right)^{1/p} \\ &\lesssim \eta \left(\int_{0}^{1} ||\partial u||_{L^{p}(N^{s\eta})}^{p} ds \right)^{1/p} (\text{for } p > \nu p') \end{split}$$

Anna & Guillaume Valette On Sobolev spaces of bounded subanalytic manifolds

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathcal{R}^M

Proof of the lemma

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Theta^{M}u||_{L^{p}(N^{\eta})} &\leq & \dots \\ &\lesssim & \eta \left(\int_{0}^{1} ||\partial u||_{L^{p}(N^{s\eta})}^{p} ds \right)^{1/p} \text{ (for } p > \nu p') \\ &= & \eta^{1-1/p} \left(\int_{0}^{\eta} ||\partial u||_{L^{p}(N^{t})}^{p} dt \right)^{1/p} \text{ (setting } t := s\eta) \end{aligned}$$

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathcal{R}^M

Proof of the lemma

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Theta^{M}u||_{L^{p}(N^{\eta})} &\leq \dots \\ &\lesssim \eta \left(\int_{0}^{1} ||\partial u||_{L^{p}(N^{s\eta})}^{p} ds \right)^{1/p} \text{ (for } p > \nu p') \\ &= \eta^{1-1/p} \left(\int_{0}^{\eta} ||\partial u||_{L^{p}(N^{t})}^{p} dt \right)^{1/p} \text{ (setting } t := s\eta) \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{\lesssim} \eta^{1-1/p} ||\partial u||_{L^{p}(M^{\eta})}. \end{aligned}$$

・ロト ・日ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathscr{R}^M

For $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M^{\varepsilon})$ (and p sufficiently large for Θ^M to be defined) we set:

$$\mathscr{R}^M u := u - \Theta^M u.$$

Łojasiewicz's inequality The operator Θ^M The operator \mathscr{R}^M

For $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M^{\varepsilon})$ (and p sufficiently large for Θ^M to be defined) we set:

$$\mathscr{R}^M u := u - \Theta^M u.$$

Lemma

For p sufficiently large, $\mathscr{R}^{M}u$ is constant on every connected component of M^{ε} , for all $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(M^{\varepsilon})$. Moreover, Θ^{M} and \mathscr{R}^{M} are then continuous projections and, if u extends to a continuous function on $\overline{M^{\varepsilon}}$ then $\mathscr{R}^{M}u \equiv u(0)$.

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality Uniform Poincaré inequality

Theorem

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded connected open subanalytic subset. For each $p \geq 1$, there exists C > 0 such that for any $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ the following inequality holds

$$||u-u_{\Omega}||_{p}\leq C||\nabla u||_{p},$$

where $u_{\Omega} := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u(x) dx$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality Uniform Poincaré inequality

Lemma

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded open connected subanalytic subset. There exists a subanalytic map

 $h: \Omega \times [0,1] \rightarrow \Omega, (x,s) \mapsto h_s(x)$

continuous with respect to the second variable and such that

- $h_1(\Omega) \subset B(z, \alpha) \subset \Omega$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and $z \in \Omega$;
- a d_xh_t is invertible for almost every (x, t) ∈ Ω × [0, 1], and moreover there exists C > 0 such that whenever d_xh_t is invertible, we have ||d_xh_t⁻¹|| ≤ C.

Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality Uniform Poincaré inequality

Lemma

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open bounded connected subanalytic subset. There exists a subanalytic family of continuous arcs $\gamma_{x,y} : [0,1] \to \Omega, x, y \in \Omega$, such that $\gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y$ for each such x and y, and $||\gamma'_{x,y}(s)|| \leq C$ for all $s \in (0,1)$, and some constant C independent of x and y. Moreover, there is $\eta > 0$ such that for almost every $x, y \in \Omega$:

$$\begin{cases} jac(\Gamma_{s,y})(x) \geq \eta, & s \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ jac(\widetilde{\Gamma}_{s,x})(y) \geq \eta, & s < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

where $\Gamma_{s,y}: \Omega \ni x \mapsto \gamma_{x,y}(s) \in \Omega$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{s,x}: \Omega \ni y \mapsto \gamma_{x,y}(s) \in \Omega$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality Uniform Poincaré inequality

Theorem

For every definable family $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^k$ there is a constant C such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and all $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_t, \delta\Omega_t)$ we have:

 $||u||_{L^p(\Omega_t)} \leq C |\Omega_t|^{1/n} ||\nabla u||_{L^p(\Omega_t)}.$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality Uniform Poincaré inequality

Thank you !

Anna & Guillaume Valette On Sobolev spaces of bounded subanalytic manifolds

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト